









**SARDAR PATEL'S CORRESPONDENCE**  
**1945-50**







MOUNTBATTEN TAKES THE SALUTE AT A CEREMONIAL PARADE IN THE FORECOURT OF GOVERNMENT HOUSE  
(NOW RASHTRAPATI BHAVAN) OF THE LAST BRITISH DETACHMENT TO LEAVE INDIA  
FOLLOWING THE TRANSFER OF POWER

# **SARDAR PATEL'S CORRESPONDENCE**

**1945-50**

## **VOLUME IV**

**TRANSFER OF POWER—COMMUNAL HOLOCAUST ON PARTITION-  
ADMINISTRATION AND STABILITY**

**EDITED BY  
DURGA DAS**

**Editor-in-Chief, India News & Feature Alliance  
Formerly Chief Editor, Hindustan Times, New Delhi  
Author of 'India—From Curzon to Nehru and After'**



**NAVAJIVAN PUBLISHING HOUSE  
AHMEDABAD-14**

*Principal collaborators:*

**SHANKAR PRASADA**, ICS (retd.)  
Special Secretary, Kashmir Affairs (1958-65)  
Chief Commissioner of Delhi (1948-54)

**B. L. SHARMA**  
Former Principal Information Officer, Government of India,  
Former Special Officer on Kashmir Affairs in the External Affairs  
Ministry, New Delhi, and author

**INDER JIT**  
Director-Editor, India News and Feature Alliance and  
Editor, The States, New Delhi

**TREVOR DRIEBERG**  
Political Commentator and Feature Writer  
Former News Editor, The Indian Express, New Delhi

**UGGAR SAIN** •  
Former News Editor and Assistant Editor,  
The Hindustan Times, New Delhi

## CONTENTS

FOREWORD .. .. .	vii
CHRONOLOGY OF PRINCIPAL EVENTS IN SARDAR'S LIFE ..	xi
FOCUS ON SARDAR .. .. .	xxv
OUTLINE OF I-X VOLUMES .. .. .	xxxiii
HIGHLIGHTS — VOLUMES I-III AND V-X .. .. .	xxxv
CONTENTS OF VOLUME IV .. .. .	xlii
ABBREVIATIONS .. .. .	xlvi
GLOSSARY OF INDIAN TERMS .. .. .	xlvi
INTRODUCTION TO VOLUME IV .. .. .	xlix
SARDAR PATEL'S CORRESPONDENCE .. .. .	1-558
APPENDICES .. .. .	559
INDEX .. .. .	569

### ILLUSTRATIONS:

Mountbatten takes the salute at a ceremonial parade in the forecourt of Government House (now Rashtrapati Bhavan) of the last British detachment to leave India following the transfer of power *Frontispiece*

*Facing p.*

Mountbatten meets Indian leaders at the Viceroy's House on 2 June 1947. Round the table from left to right are: Baldev Singh, Kripalani, Patel, Nehru, Mountbatten, Jinnah, Liaquat Ali Khan and Nishtar. Behind Mountbatten are Lord Ismay and Sir Eric Mieville 80

Patel as Minister of Information presided over a Press Conference held by Mountbatten in Constituent Assembly Hall to explain the background of the partition plan of 3 June 1947, to Indian and foreign Press Correspondents. They are shown on their arrival for the conference 81

Reception given by Patel at Imperial Hotel, New Delhi, on 27 July 1947. Left to right: Maniben Patel, Lady Mountbatten, S. Shahrir (then Vice-President of Indonesia), Patel and Mountbatten 192

Nehru delivers his "tryst-with-destiny" speech at the midnight session of the Constituent Assembly of India on 14/15 August 1947. Dr. Rajendra Prasad is in the chair 193



Oath of allegiance to free India at the midnight session of the Constituent Assembly on 14/15 August 1947. Left to right in front row: Vallabhbhai Patel, K. M. Munshi, N. V. Gadgil and Mrs. Ammu Swaminathan	320
Mountbatten administers oath of office to Patel as a Minister in the Central Cabinet on 15 August 1947 in the Durbar Hall of Government House, New Delhi. Other Ministers on right and left look on	321
A refugee train from West Pakistan at Ambala Railway Station in East Punjab	480
A meal for refugees from West Punjab at a canteen near Delhi Railway Station before their dispersal to various camps in September 1947	481

## FOREWORD

The correspondence of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel in ten volumes, covers a crucial period in India's history: 1 October 1945 to 12 December 1950, three days before his death in Bombay.

The letters and annexures consist of exchanges between Sardar Patel on the one hand and Lord Wavell, Lord Mountbatten, Jawaharlal Nehru, Rajendra Prasad, G. Rajagopalachari, rulers of Princely States, Premiers of Congress Ministries and scores of Congressmen belonging to the party rank and file, on the other. These relate to various matters which arose in the domestic, international, constitutional, administrative and economic spheres in this period and thus constitute valuable source material of history. They were in the custody of Miss Maniben Patel, the Sardar's daughter, and were deposited by her with the Navajivan Trust in Ahmedabad after his death.

The Trust arranged, under her direction and supervision, to have them typed in Ahmedabad and send the copies to me for editing and compilation. The volumes are thus based on authentic copies of the correspondence deposited with the Trust.

Explaining the time-lag in releasing the correspondence, Maniben said in a long talk she had with me in New Delhi in late 1970 that she had held it back until she was certain that its publication would not be harmful to the nation for which her father had laboured and suffered so much. The letters contain matter relating to affairs of State and party organisation whose untimely disclosure might have embarrassed the Government or the political leaders of the day.

Since, however, some of the correspondence in the possession of other parties and relating to isolated events had been made public, she decided that the time had come to release the correspondence to give a balanced picture of the events to which they relate or of the Sardar's views. "I have been late," she said, "but not too late."

The Navajivan Trust, she added, had agreed to publish the correspondence and thus made it possible for the people of India and the world outside to have access to this valuable material which throws new light on the momentous developments

of the period covered by these papers and the calculations made by the leading personalities of the day in shaping the course of history.

The significance of the correspondence lies in the light it sheds on the main characters on the Indian stage as they acted and reacted to the challenges of the time and to the problems posed by their differing approach and outlook. There is indeed hardly a national or regional issue of consequence in contemporary history the origin of which cannot be traced to the five crucial years.

Vallabhbhai Patel emerges from the correspondence as a great organiser and consolidator of modern India. Over 70 when he assumed ministerial office for the first time, he carried on his ailing shoulders the crushing burden of building the political infrastructure of the new India. Death prevented him from completing his task, but what he achieved in five short years endures.

These volumes are the bones and sinews of Indian history of a crucial period. To the scholar and researcher, they offer a treasure of source material. To the politician, they should serve as a guide. To the citizen, they provide inspiration.

Arrangements are in chronological order and not by topic. But the letters have been grouped thematically to retain interrelation of events and negotiations. Correspondence of a routine nature has been omitted and a few excisions have been made out of regard for the susceptibilities of the persons concerned. Such excisions are indicated by dots.

Where an extract or extracts from a letter are published, this is indicated by the word 'Extracts' at the top of the letter. The omissions have been effected by the persons entrusted by Maniben Patel and the Navajivan Trust with selecting the material for publication.

In several instances, letters, enclosures and other documents referred to in the published correspondence are not to be found in these volumes. It is hoped that future researchers will be able to fill these gaps.

The yardstick which the Sardar applied to public life and to political and socio-economic issues was forgotten or ignored by his successors with consequences which became apparent in the confused state of politics in the 1960s. India has again entered the most crucial period in the evolution of its polity. The stand taken by the Sardar on various issues and his vision of the road which India should take have acquired a new relevance.

Each volume of the series contains an outline of the contents of the other nine volumes in the form of a summary of the main features. This should help researchers ascertain at a glance what the whole series contains. The details of arrangements for selection and presentation of the correspondence were explained in the Foreword to the first volume, and are not therefore recapitulated here. The feature "Focus on Sardar" giving the views of Maniben Patel and the "Chronology of Principal Events in Sardar's Life" given in Volume I are retained in this volume and will be reproduced in succeeding volumes.

We owe a word of gratitude to the Sardar's daughter, Kumari Maniben Patel. After the Sardar's death, she preserved these papers with great care and readily agreed to their publication. Not only this, she had a hand in the meticulous scrutiny and compilation of these papers. By her efforts the authenticity of these records has been vouchsafed and the Navajivan Trust has been able to publish them with a sense of redeeming a part of its debt to the Sardar.

Since, in the line of duty I witnessed, chronicled, and even participated in many of the events of this stirring period, I was approached by the Trustees to undertake the arduous task of editing the voluminous correspondence. My colleagues have helped to process this vast accumulation of material with professional skill, arrange it thematically and make it meaningful to the average reader. For me and them it has been a labour of love. We also thank our aides, the staff of the Navajivan Trust who assisted in bringing this massive undertaking to a successful close.

2, Tolstoy Lane  
New Delhi-1

DURGA DAS



## **CHRONOLOGY OF PRINCIPAL EVENTS IN SARDAR'S LIFE**

- 1875** Born on 31 October at Nadiad, Kaira district, Gujarat. Fourth son of Jhaverbhai Patel, a farmer of Karamsad in the same district, and Ladbai. Belonged to agricultural caste known as Leuva Patidar. Schooling up to English third standard at Karamsad.
- 1891** English 4th and 5th standards at Petlad, a small town seven miles from Karamsad. Lived in a rented room with four or five other boys, with seven days' ration. Walked from Karamsad to Petlad every week.
- 1893** At the age of 18 married Jhaverba of Gana, a small village three miles from Karamsad.
- 1897** Matriculated from a high school in Nadiad, Kaira district, at 22.
- 1900** Passed District Pleaders' Examination. Studied with books borrowed from friends. Set up independent practice at Godhra, headquarters of Panchmahals district, Gujarat. Contracted bubonic plague from a court nazir whom he nursed when an epidemic broke out in Godhra.
- 1902** Shifted practice to Borsad, where he quickly made a name as a criminal lawyer.
- 1905** Saved enough money to go to England to become a barrister, but postponed departure in deference to the wishes of his elder brother Vithalbhai, who came to know about his plan and wished to go first. Looked after his brother's wife while he was away.
- 1909** Wife Jhaverba died after an operation in Bombay. Received a telegram containing news of her death while arguing a murder case in Borsad, but continued case until hearing was completed. In spite of pressure from friends and relations, refused to marry again.
- 1910** Left for England. Admitted to Middle Temple.
- 1911** Passed preliminary examination with honours, standing first in Equity. Fell ill with a tropical disease unknown in England. Doctors wanted to amputate his leg, but a German

doctor intervened and cured him through an operation which he underwent without chloroform.

- 1912 Took final examination after sixth term instead of usual 12. Stood first in first class, winning a prize of £50 and exemption from two terms. Sailed for India the day after convocation.
- 1913 Reached Bombay on 13 February. Refused post in Judicial Department as a lecturer in Government Law School, Bombay, and left for Ahmedabad, where he established himself as foremost criminal lawyer.
- 1915 Member, Gujarat Sabha, which was converted into Gujarat Provincial Congress Committee in 1919.
- 1917 Elected member of Ahmedabad Municipal Board. Election challenged and set aside. Stood in a by-election and was returned unopposed. Mahatma Gandhi, president of the first Gujarat Provincial Conference, appointed an executive committee with Patel as secretary. Impressed by Gandhi's leadership of the agitation against the British indigo planters of Champaran, Bihar.

Conducted agitation against begar (forced labour for Government purposes). Led agitation against the appointment of a British member of the Indian Civil Service as Municipal Commissioner and secured his removal.

As Chairman of the Sanitary Committee of Ahmedabad, stayed on in city when most of the citizens went away during an epidemic of plague. Took a leading role in helping sufferers and enforcing precautionary measures.

- 1918 Organised famine relief work in Ahmedabad district. Represented textile mill labour with Gandhi and Shankarlal Banker before a tribunal appointed to hear a dispute between labour and millowners.
- Put up a temporary hospital in city with grant from Municipal Board to Gujarat Sabha to combat severe influenza epidemic. Organised no-tax campaign in Kaira district along with Gandhi. During campaign Gandhi said he was testing Patel, adding later that Patel had turned out to be "pure gold." Chairman, Sanitary Committee and Public Works Committee of Ahmedabad Municipality.
- Helped Gandhi in recruitment drive for British Indian Army. They used to walk together for miles and cooked their own food.

# 1919 Chairman, Managing Committee, Ahmedabad Municipal Board.

Organised movement against Rowlatt Bills designed to strangle movement for self-rule. Led big demonstration march in Ahmedabad on 6 April and addressed public meeting against bills. Sold publicly Gandhi's proscribed books, Hind Swaraj and Sarvodaya, and published Satyagraha Patrika in Gujarati without official declaration or permission. Government took no action.

Helped local authorities restore peace and order after large-scale disturbances leading to martial law in Ahmedabad after arrest of Gandhi.

Served with a show-cause notice for cancellation of his sanad (permit to practise law) for participating in a public meeting advocating satyagraha. Case ended with a warning. Refused to pay fine imposed by Government as penalty for riots in city. Sofa attached and auctioned for Rs. 100.

# 1920 Organised campaign of Congress Party in elections to Ahmedabad Municipal Board. Congress captured almost all elected seats. Discarded Western dress and adopted khadi dhoti, kurta and chappals. Burnt all his foreign clothes. Way of life also changed to traditional pattern in Gujarat. Organised a conference of political workers of Gujarat in Ahmedabad and persuaded it to adopt a resolution supporting Gandhi's civil disobedience movement.

In response to Gandhi's call at Nagpur session of Congress to collect money for Tilak Swaraj Fund, promised to raise Rs. 1 million and enrol 300,000 party members in Gujarat. Fulfilled these promises within three months.

# 1921 Elected chairman of Reception Committee of 36th session of Congress held at Ahmedabad. For first time, delegates sat on floor and the session set new pattern in simplicity, austerity and businesslike proceedings.

Built a hospital and maternity home on 21 acres of land along Sabarmati river at site of session. The fountain built at that time is still there.

# 1922 Government of Bombay suspended Ahmedabad Municipality after a sharp tussle over supervision of municipal



schools. Organised schools under People's Primary Education Board with public contributions. Municipality suspended. Collected Rs. 1 million for Gujarat Vidyapith in a tour which took him up to Rangoon.

1923 All-India Congress Committee deputed him to conduct satyagraha at Nagpur in connection with British District Commissioner's ban on flying national flag in cantonment area.

Resisted Bombay Government's levy of punitive tax on people of Borsad who were charged with harbouring criminals. Tax withdrawn. Called Suba of Borsad.

1924 Ahmedabad Municipality reinstated. Fresh elections gave Congress Party a decisive majority in enlarged Municipal Council. Elected President of municipality.

1927 Passed a resolution giving notice to Ahmedabad Cantonment to pay water tax from 1920 at rate of eight annas. If tax was not paid, threatened to cut off water connection. Tax paid under protest.

Introduced khadi uniform in municipality. Municipal work was conducted in Gujarati after he became President. His scheme for supplying pure water passed.

Completed drainage scheme during term as President. Collected all arrears of municipal tax not paid by high officials, some well-to-do people and municipal councillors by cutting water connections and attaching properties.

Unprecedented rainfall caused great damage and hardship throughout Gujarat. Saved Ahmedabad from floods by getting culverts breached. Organised relief measures for flood sufferers with public contributions. Promoted grow-more food and fodder campaigns and opened shops to sell high-quality gram, cereal and cotton seed for sowing at low cost to farmers. Received more than Rs. 10 million from Government earmarked for famine relief. A new party emerged in Ahmedabad Municipality. Clashed with it over appointment of chief officer.

1928 Resigned presidency. Led peasant agitation in Bardoli taluka, Surat district, against increase in land revenue rates. Bombay Government punished farmers for non-payment by confiscating and auctioning land, crops and other property.

As agitation continued unabated, Government agreed to restore all unsold confiscated land, release satyagrahis, reinstate dismissed village officers and reduce land tax. Named Sardar of Bardoli and thereafter known as Sardar Patel. Presided over first local self-government conference in Surat.

1929 Presided over Maharashtra Political Conference in Poona. Toured Maharashtra, mobilising public opinion against enhanced land taxes and untouchability.

Presided over Kathiawar Political Conference at Morvi. Toured Madras Presidency at request of C. Rajagopalachari. Presided over Tamil Nadu Political Conference at Vedaranyam. Reiterated support for Congress resolution on dominion status at conference against supporters of complete independence. Also visited Karnatak and Bihar. At Calcutta session of Congress advocated support to all-party committee headed by Motilal Nehru which recommended acceptance of dominion status as India's political goal, provided demand was granted within two years. Motilal Nehru, Congress President, moved a resolution from chair congratulating Patel and peasants of Bardoli on victory over British bureaucracy. Nominated in September as a candidate for presidency of Congress session at Lahore but withdrew in favour of Jawaharlal Nehru.

1930 Arrested on 7 March while addressing a public meeting at Ras village, near Borsad, a few days after Gandhi announced march to Dandi near Surat, to break salt law. Preceded marchers to arrange accommodation and food on way. Sentenced to three months' imprisonment and a fine of Rs. 500 or three weeks' additional imprisonment. Refused to pay fine and lodged in Sabarmati jail, Ahmedabad.

Went on hunger-strike in jail, requesting C class diet instead of A class. Request granted. Released on 26 June. Arrested when leading a procession in Bombay on 31 July and sentenced to three months' jail. Taken to Yeravda jail near Poona. On release, made a speech at Khadi Bhandar, for which he was arrested. Sentenced to nine months' imprisonment in the second week of December.

1931 Released from jail in March under Gandhi-Irwin Pact. Presided over 46th Congress session at Karachi in last

week of March. Helped Gandhi in his discussions with Viceroy (Lord Irwin) in Simla in last week of August on Congress participation in First Round Table Conference in London.

- 1932 Arrested at 4 a.m. on 4 January and taken by car to Yeravda and imprisoned with Gandhi there for 16 months. Mother died at Karamsad.
  - 1933 Transferred to Nasik jail on 1 August. Elder brother Vithalbhai died in Switzerland on 22 October. Rejected terms on which Government offered to release him on parole for two days to perform funeral rites.
  - 1934 Developed serious nasal trouble in jail and released on 14 July. Issued statement accepting Gandhi's decision to leave Congress on 24 September. Congress decided at its annual session in Bombay in October to contest elections to Central Legislative Assembly. Undertook tour of many parts of country campaigning for party candidates. British Government allowed Jinnah to go to North-West Frontier. Sardar also sought and obtained permission to do so. But after going there was not allowed to visit Bannu district.
  - 1935 Organised relief work in Borsad taluka where plague had broken out in four successive summers. Organised relief for victims of Quetta earthquake from Bombay, where he was laid low with jaundice.
- Sir Roger Lumley, Governor of Bombay, invited Sardar to a meeting and offered him premiership of Bombay Presidency, but added that land confiscated from farmers in Bardoli and sold would not be returned to owners. Sardar replied: "I am not going to be premier and the land will be returned to the peasants."
- President of Third Local Self-Government Conference at Broach.
- 1936 Collected Rs. 49,000 for Harijan Fund in two days in February. Appointed Chairman of Congress Parliamentary Sub-Committee to select party candidates for provincial elections under Government of India Act 1935.
  - 1937 Involved in a controversy with K. F. Nariman, President of Bombay Pradesh Congress Committee, over selection of premier for Bombay Presidency. Guided Congress Ministries after acceptance of office.

- 1938** Involved in July in controversy on a constitutional issue with Dr. N. B. Khare, Prime Minister of Central Provinces. Khare accused Sardar of conspiring to oust him from office and resigned from Government and Congress. Efforts to impose central party discipline on provincial leaders earned him title Dictator of India. Presided over Kathiawar Rajkiya Parishad. Narrowly escaped attempt to kill him. Visit to Mysore city resulted in Government of Mysore State agreeing to flying of Congress flag in its territory. Flew to Rajkot on 24 December for talks with Thakore Saheb of Rajkot, who signed agreement on release of prisoners in Rajkot State and offered Sardar a portfolio in his Council of Ministers.
- 1939** Subhas Chandra Bose defied Gandhi, Patel and other members of Working Committee and got elected President of Congress at Tripura session uncontested because Maulana Azad withdrew at last moment. Thakore Saheb of Rajkot broke agreement and satyagraha was renewed in State early in the year. Bose's resolution on mass civil disobedience defeated at Tripura session in February. Bose described Sardar as "shining light of the ruling clique" and resigned presidency. Working Committee appointed a war sub-committee of three, including Vallabhbhai, in August. Warned provincial Congress organisations not to force a political crisis by hasty action.
- 1940** Replied in a public speech at Ahmedabad in October to Sir Samuel Hoare, Secretary of State for the Dominions, who had asked in House of Commons whether India was fit for independence. Sardar said: "If you lose the war, you will have lost everything, and even if you win, you will have suffered so heavily that your victory will be an empty one. At the end of the war, I declare no nation will remain subject to another. A great revolution is going to sweep the people everywhere. We shall see a new world emerge out of the fiery ordeal of this war." Arrested under Defence of India Act on 18 November for participating in satyagraha launched by Gandhi to press Britain for firm commitment on Indian independence. Imprisoned in Sabarmati jail and later transferred to Yeravda.

- 1941 Released on 20 August from detention on ground of health. Condemned communal killing in Ahmedabad at first public meeting after release. Treated for acute intestinal disorder.
- 1942 Participated in talks with Sir Stafford Cripps in New Delhi. Favoured acceptance of constitutional proposals put forward by Cripps provided they were modified to suit political conditions in India.
- 1942 Supported Quit India resolution at AICC meeting in Bombay  
August on 8 August. Arrested at 4 a.m. on 9 August under Defence of India Act. Detained without trial at Ahmednagar fort with other Working Committee members.
- 1945 Transferred to Yeravda. Recurrence of intestinal trouble. Released on 15 June.
- Went to Simla during political talks between Viceroy (Lord Wavell) and Indian leaders.
- Entrusted with organising Congress election campaign for Central and provincial legislatures.
- Secured G. V. Mavalankar's election as Speaker of the Central Legislative Assembly in December.
- 1946 Issued call to Ministers in Congress Governments to work for eradication of untouchability.
- Disapproved Dr. B. R. Ambedkar's proposal for mass conversion of members of Scheduled Castes to other religions. If they changed religion, they could not claim benefits as Harijans.
- Persuaded revolting men of Royal Indian Navy to call off agitation in February as "the dawn of freedom is breaking and the sun will rise in a few months."
- If political issues between Britain and India could not be solved satisfactorily, India would withdraw from Bretton Woods Conference, Sardar said in statement in March. Condemned suggestion that sterling balances should be scaled down. "Sterling credit is the economic foundation of India and this country's future progress largely depends upon it."
- Opposed Jinnah's demand for Pakistan, saying: "It is a monstrous thing that a man can claim a different nationality because he changes his religion." Hindus and Muslims were all basically Indians and thought as such. Congress

could not accept the theory of Pakistan, happen what may. The two-nation theory would ruin all.

Declared in an exclusive interview with the political correspondent of Reuter that India would be prepared to refer major political issues to an international body for arbitration. Britain could not stay in India indefinitely. "Hand over power either to the Congress or to the Muslim League and go out of India," he said.

Advised withdrawal of trial of leaders of Indian National Army in Delhi.

Pleaded for fuller freedom to legislatures in Princely States and to Praja Mandals to select representatives to Constituent Assembly.

Appealed to Sikhs not to boycott Constituent Assembly even though Cabinet Mission had not done them justice.

Rejected possibility of a coalition between Muslim League and Congress.

Joined Viceroy's Executive Council as Home Member on 3 September. Announced in Central Legislative Assembly that foreigners would be appointed to senior administrative posts only in exceptional cases.

Accepted the principle of state control of industry; told Central Legislative Assembly that it was not desirable to go fast on nationalisation.

Spurned Jinnah's proposal for an exchange of population. Urged Muslim League to join Constituent Assembly and abandon its Pakistan policy.

- 1947 Talks with British Government representatives on transfer of control of services from Secretary of State to Interim Government and compensation for British officials completed. Statement on All India Radio's language policy announcing changes in existing practice.

Rejoinder to Jinnah: "Let an impartial tribunal decide the Pakistan issue, for Pakistan could be attained only on the basis of justice and understanding and not by force of arms."

Appealed to Muslim League to accept invitation to join talks and agree to arbitration by a "free power" in case of disagreement between League and Congress.

Told Princes to play their part in shaping India's destiny. Warned North-West Frontier Province Ministry headed by Khan Abdul Qayum Khan that Centre would not submit to rowdyism and threats.

Interim Government's proposals on compensation to British officials accepted by Attlee Government. Demanded dominion status for India "at once" so that a strong Centre could deal firmly with threats to law and order. Statement issued on outbreak of riots in Dera Ismail Khan and elsewhere in NWFP.

Rulers of Dholpur, Nabha and Nawanagar told Sardar in New Delhi of their decision to join Constituent Assembly. States Department created and entrusted to Sardar. Described Jinnah's demand for a land corridor linking West Pakistan with the eastern wing as "fantastic nonsense."

Assured Princes that "internal autonomy" of their states would be respected.

Patel Committee's report on minorities submitted to Constituent Assembly. Report recommended joint electorates, representation in Ministries at Centre and in states and other safeguards.

Insisted on stationing a brigade of Indian Army in Kathiawar to protect states acceding to Indian Union from apprehended attack from Junagadh.

Persuaded Government to overrule Mountbatten and entrust Indian Army with operations against Nawab of Junagadh.

Pledged Government protection to Muslims staying in India. Said forced conversions and marriages would not be recognised.

Announced full agreement between India and Pakistan on all outstanding issues relating to partition, including division of armed forces. Said Kashmir had not been referred to Inter-Dominion Partition Committee. Announced in Constituent Assembly financial settlement between India and Pakistan under which India would transfer Rs. 750 million to Pakistan as a "great gesture of goodwill."

Addressed Orissa rulers in Cuttack. They agreed to merge their territories with Orissa province.

Announced at public meeting in Jammu that "everything possible" would be done to save Kashmir, "and this assurance I am conveying on behalf of the Government of India." Praised Sheikh Abdullah's role in ensuring Kashmir's accession to India.

1948 Appealed to labour leaders of West Bengal to support popular Government. Warned Pakistan that if it wanted further division of the country, "let us do it in the open field." Asked Indian Muslims: "In the recent all-India Muslim Conference, why did you not open your mouths on the Kashmir issue? Why did you not condemn the action of Pakistan? These things create doubts in the minds of the people."

Declared in a statement: "Unless the Kashmir issue is settled, the financial pact between India and Pakistan cannot be implemented. . . . Let there be no mistake that Kashmir belongs to India."

Declared at Patna that abolition of zamindari without compensation would be nothing short of robbery.

Appealed to Nizam of Hyderabad to respect his people's wishes and accede to India.

Inaugurating Rajasthan Union, said that only by coming together could smaller states save themselves from anarchy and confusion.

In message on formation of Vindhya Pradesh, said: "Unification and democratisation would be purposeless if they did not bring about improvement in the lot of the people."

Warned British politicians and Press to halt propaganda against India. Blamed Churchill for India's partition and attendant disasters.

Rejected compromise on Hyderabad. Accession and responsible government were India's minimum demands.

Said in an eve-of-independence speech: "In free India there is no room for divided loyalties."

Informed Parliament that "action would be taken against Hyderabad for breach of the standstill agreement."

Congratulated Defence Services on their remarkable success in the "police action" in Hyderabad.

Indicted UN for mishandling Kashmir issue. "We accepted the UN Commission's cease-fire proposals, but the other



party did not. We could perform the Kashmir operation without danger if only we could free ourselves from our commitments."

Referring to Pakistan and its anti-Indian propaganda, said: "I wonder whether they are really afraid of us, or is this outburst merely an attempt to preserve their unity?"

Inaugurated Advisory Board of Central India States. Praised Nehru's leadership on his 60th birthday, saying: "Pandit Nehru has led the country through the crisis and has by his great leadership enhanced the prestige of India. We want our leader to remain at the helm for many years."

1949 Announced merger of five Princely States of Rajputana—Bikaner, Jaipur, Jaisalmer, Jodhpur and Udaipur—to form Rajasthan Union and hailed it as a momentous development.

Advised Maharaja of Baroda to merge his State with Bombay.

Challenged contention of Nawab of Bhopal that paramountcy had lapsed with British withdrawal from India.

Warned Communists that if they continued to oppose Government and create danger they would be dealt with severely.

Opposed demand for linguistic states because consolidation of country must precede revision of boundaries.

Appealed to members of Rashtriya Swayam Sevak Sangh to join Congress.

Advised South Indians to learn Hindi.

Appealed for public contributions to rebuild Somnath temple in Junagadh.

Announced in Hyderabad that responsible government would be introduced in former Nizam's State only after normalcy was restored.

Warned Master Tara Singh in a speech at Amritsar that he was following suicidal policies.

Advised RSS to concentrate on regenerating Hindu society through constitutional and constructive methods.

Inaugurated Rajasthan State in Jaipur.

Announced take-over of administration of Bhopal State by Centre and congratulated Nawab on his understanding

and self-sacrifice. Declared that welfare of Muslims would be prime concern of State's new administration.

At a public meeting at Indore, warned Congress politicians in newly formed states unions that he would dissolve ministries and administer unions centrally if they did not stop their petty squabbles and tussles for power.

Told All-India Depressed Classes Leagues Confederation: "India's independence will not be completed until every Harijan claims, and actually gets, equality of status."

Moving consideration of report of Advisory Committee on Minorities in Constituent Assembly, said: "Minority concessions, though not good in themselves, have to be treated as purely temporary. Minorities should voluntarily agree to abolition of reservations for them in services and legislatures."

Supported grant of privy purses to princes in return for accession to Indian Union.

1950 Warned Pakistan against pushing Hindus out of eastern wing.

Defended in Parliament use of preventive detention to fight terrorism and violence.

Gave details of democratic set-up for Delhi Union Territory.

Appealed at public meeting in Calcutta for fair trial to Nehru-Liaquat Pact on minorities.

Told public meeting at Indore that Congress "is fully behind Nehru." Called for revolution in system of education in address to Gujarat Vidyapith.

Opened newly constructed building of Navajivan Trust, Ahmedabad. Purse of Rs. 1.5 million presented on behalf of Gujarat on his 75th birthday, which he immediately handed over to President of the Provincial Congress Committee.

Declared that accepting aid from United States did not mean alignment with any power bloc. UNO should be strengthened.

Deplored Chinese intervention in Tibet and use of force against Tibetans.

Fell ill on 15 November. Taken to Bombay on Tuesday, 12 December. Died on Friday morning, 15 December.



## FOCUS ON SARDAR

An illuminating picture of Sardar Patel's activities and style of work was provided by his daughter Maniben. What follows are extracts from the information and views given by her to Trevor Drieberg and the Editor in New Delhi:

The Sardar was a man of few words. He wrote very little; he hardly kept any record of his public or party work. He destroyed letters addressed to him after reading them and replied by hand, not keeping copies. But after he was appointed Chairman of the Congress Parliamentary Board in 1934, files relating to its transactions were kept.

When the Sardar fell ill towards the end of the Bardoli satyagraha in 1928, it was suggested that somebody should give him secretarial help. I said: "If someone is to be kept, why not I?" From 1929 until his death, I preserved his correspondence whenever possible. Once, when K. Gopalaswami, political commentator of the Times of India, visited him in his flat on Marine Drive, Bombay, the Sardar called for a letter he had received from C. Rajagopalachari, forgetting that he had torn it up and thrown it in the wastepaper basket. Fortunately, I had collected the pieces. It took me some time to paste them together before passing it on to him. This happened before the Interim Government was formed.

The Sardar travelled second-class by railway before he became a Minister. I would spread his bedding at night and retire to a third-class compartment. But from 1934, when there was much correspondence to attend to even on train journeys and people came to see him at stations, I kept company with him in his second-class compartment. I used to make copies of important letters he wrote in hand, but he would question this, asking why I was taking such trouble and wasting time. I also kept newspaper clippings of important events with which he was associated.

The Sardar read several newspapers and listened to radio news bulletins regularly. This enabled him to keep abreast of developments throughout the country. He also talked to people to get information to supplement other sources.

After 1945, the secretarial functions of the Congress Parliamentary Board were undertaken mainly by Shantilal Shah. The Sardar was undergoing treatment for intestinal trouble at the

Nature Cure Clinic, Poona, when he sent for Shantilal Shah from Bombay. Shah, a Congress Socialist, hesitated at first because he did not know what was in store for him. But B. G. Kher (Premier of Bombay) advised him to take up the work. The Sardar told Shah he wanted him to act as his secretary at the Parliamentary Board office located at the headquarters of the Bombay Pradesh Congress Committee.

The Sardar was a very shrewd judge of character. When he met a person for the first time he looked him up and down, and the assessment he made in that process rarely went wrong.

Once when Mathuradas Trikamji, Mayor of Bombay, asked what kind of men he kept round him, the Sardar replied that his was a "juggler's basket." He kept all kinds of people for the single aim of winning India's freedom. He used different people for different purposes. He was fully aware of their weaknesses and drawbacks but exploited their useful qualities.

The Sardar learnt punctuality in his youth when he had to do everything for himself. This experience taught him to save time by doing things according to schedule. This gave him self-reliance and at the same time an appreciation of the difficulties other people encountered. He walked on business errands rather than use transport. This habit served him well in London when he was attending the Inns of Court. He used to walk from his lodgings to the law library, a distance of several miles daily. He could not afford to buy books for study, and was at the library when the librarian opened it and left at closing time every evening.

The visit to England fulfilled two of my father's ambitions. He wanted to see the country from which people had come to conquer India and to qualify as a barrister. He had seen how even mediocre lawyers had been able to build up a large practice because they had been to London to study for the Bar. On his return to Ahmedabad, he established himself as the city's leading criminal lawyer.

When he was a district pleader at Borsad in the early 1900s, there was a British magistrate who kept a mirror in his court to study the faces of witnesses. This made witnesses nervous and the magistrate drew conclusions from their behaviour about their truthfulness. The Sardar thought of a plan to make the officer behave. He demanded transfer to the district court of a case in which he was appearing before the magistrate. The Sardar presented him with a sealed letter. The magistrate opened the letter and saw his name

heading the list of witnesses for the defence. He called the Sardar to his chamber for a talk. The accused was acquitted and the magistrate stopped using the mirror, conceding that he had no right to do so. This incident illustrates a facet of my father's character. He had no fear of the British magistracy or administrators.

The Sardar was a man of regular habits and disliked armchair politicians. He read about Gandhiji's action in Champaran and was impressed by his methods of organising peasants to resist exploitation and oppression. Mahatma Gandhi was preoccupied with the agitation in Bihar and wanted somebody who would give up everything and devote all his time to the satyagraha campaign in Kaira district organised as a protest against excessive land revenue. My father volunteered his services, and they were accepted.

Until that time the Sardar wore European dress. He was so fastidious that finding no good laundry in Ahmedabad he got his stiff collars washed in Bombay.

From early youth, the Sardar developed the qualities of leadership and discipline. In later life, these qualities were to help him in organising large groups of people for action. Until the Bardoli movement, he was hardly known outside Gujarat. He did not go out of Gujarat until Gandhiji's sentence of imprisonment for six years in 1922, when he went on a fund-raising mission for the Gujarat Vidyapith as far east as Rangoon.

For years in Gujarat, whenever Gandhiji addressed a public meeting the Sardar did not speak at it and later, when the Sardar spoke, other Congress leaders did not speak. This is an illustration of the discipline observed by Congressmen at the time. The leader spoke for them and the others showed their loyalty by action.

When Gandhiji started the swadeshi movement and burning of foreign clothes,\*the Sardar burnt all his European clothes, socks and hats. He never wore any type of headgear, even a khadi cap, after he cast aside his black Banglora cap. From then, he always wore dhoti and kurta and a chaddar on his shoulder, adding only a warm jacket in winter.

The satyagraha in Borsad taluka, Kaira district, lasted a month. The provincial Government had levied a punitive tax of eight annas—a large sum at that time—per person on all the inhabitants for the maintenance of the preventive police. Even children were taxed. The campaign succeeded and in this satyagraha he gained the title Suba of Borsad.

Another sphere in which the Sardar helped to raise the morale of the people of the area was connected with the activities of dacoits. People dared not leave their houses after 6 p.m. for fear of dacoits who infested the area. They appealed to the Sardar for help. He agreed, provided they did not allow their houses to be used for keeping stolen property.

In the Bardoli satyagraha, there was one organiser for every big village. The Sardar set out at noon from his headquarters and returned at midnight after visiting many villages. There was only one car, so all the other organisers journeyed on foot or by train or cart. The peasants accepted the Sardar as their unquestioned leader. Every morning, he received written reports from each village through volunteer messengers. The title Sardar of Bardoli was conferred on him by a party worker at a public meeting. It gained nationwide currency when Gandhiji started referring to him in this manner.

As head of Ahmedabad Municipality, the Sardar looked far ahead of immediate civic needs and planned accordingly, unlike some of his successors who made changes looking to short-term gains.

His qualities of leadership were recognised when he organised relief measures during the floods that hit Gujarat in 1927. He went round Ahmedabad city with the chief engineer and ordered a culvert to be broken to let the flood waters flow into the Sabarmati river. This saved the city from total inundation. He also persuaded the Bombay Government to provide more than a crore of rupees for relief to the flood victims. He sent help to the Collector of Kaira when the district was cut off from the rest of Gujarat. Only the Collector's bungalow, which stood on a mound, was safe, and all the townfolk had gathered there for safety. The Sardar's men brought food and other necessities.

The Sardar went to Calcutta for the Congress session over which Motilal Nehru presided. Even though his name had become a household word as the hero of Bardoli his physical appearance was not known. The volunteers of the Congress failed to identify him and since he did not carry a ticket he was not admitted to the pandal. The next day he carried his membership card and the volunteers were surprised when they found people shouting for the darshan of the Sardar of Bardoli and that the hero was the person the volunteers had kept out the previous day.

The Sardar was favoured by the Provincial Congress Committees as the next party president, but Motilal Nehru wrote to

Gandhiji asking that Jawaharlal should succeed him. Motilal wanted to see his son Congress chief before he died. My father agreed with Bapu (Gandhiji) that Motilal's wish be fulfilled.

It was usual for the Congress President to attend annual sessions with large retinues. The Sardar went to the Karachi session in 1931 with only me and an aide, thus cutting drastically the expenses of the reception committee.

The outlook of the Sardar and of Nehru was vastly different. They agreed to differ, but at the same time worked together for the common cause of India's freedom. The influence of Mridula Sarabhai and Rafi Ahmed Kidwai on Nehru was to a large extent responsible for the rift between my father and Jawaharlal.

The Sardar became the party boss from the time he became head of the Parliamentary Board. He was also the party's main fund-raiser. The Congress High Command, of which he was the mainspring, functioned as a moral prop for the Chief Ministers against the administrative machine directed by the British.

I used to sleep by the telephone to take calls that came at odd hours of the night so that the Sardar's sleep was not disturbed. I took down messages and passed them on to him the next morning. One such call came at midnight from Biswanath Das, then Premier of Orissa. He had decided to resign over the choice of a provincial official to act as Governor. The Sardar backed him and the Viceroy yielded.

I may recall a meeting the Sardar had with Bombay Governor Lumley in 1935 at Lumley's request. It lasted about an hour. Lumley told the Sardar that he would be Premier of Bombay, but the lands confiscated from the peasants of Bardoli would never be returned. The Sardar replied: "Note it down that I am not going to be Premier, and also that the lands will be returned to the peasants." .

The Sardar took many unpopular decisions in party and Government matters, but his decisions were accepted because he had no axe to grind. He was not amenable to threats or blackmail. He had no property of his own and he was above extraneous considerations. He had nothing to lose, had no ambition and no desire to cling to office.

Once in Yeravda jail, Gandhiji asked in good humour what post he would like to hold after Independence. The Sardar replied he would become a sadhu. In 1945, when it had been decided to replace Azad as Congress President, the Sardar got the largest



share of votes in the Working Committee. But Kripalani, one of the candidates, withdrew in favour of Nehru and handed a paper to the Sardar to withdraw his nomination as well so that Nehru could be elected unopposed.

Gandhiji, to whom this matter was referred, told Nehru: "I don't want to make you a prop of mine if people don't want you." Nehru kept silent and was selected President. Gandhiji supported Nehru's choice as Prime Minister because he was well known outside India. He compared the Sardar and Nehru to two bullocks yoked to a cart. He felt that if Nehru was made Prime Minister he would be prevented from "making mischief" in the country.

When the Sardar became Home Member and later on Deputy Prime Minister, anybody could call on him during his morning walk from 4.30 to 6.30. He was a fast walker and few could keep pace with him. They told him what they wanted and then they would drop out when the dialogue was over. He gave brief answers and listened mostly. For party workers and others this was an opportunity for opening their hearts to him. At the end of an hour's listening, the Sardar would probably reply in a couple of words. He arranged assistance for even the humblest party worker who needed it. He provided hospitalisation if necessary. After his illness in March 1948 his medical advisers stopped morning walks completely and restricted his interviews.

In 1941, he was afflicted with severe intestinal trouble. He woke around 3.30 every morning because of pain in the bowels. He spent an hour in the toilet before setting out on his morning walk. He had a cup of tea and breakfast, which consisted of a piece of toast and apple juice. In these early morning hours, before going for a walk, I did my quota of spinning. When he assumed office his Private Secretary V. Shankar came in with office files after breakfast. The Sardar looked through the morning newspapers carefully and rarely missed any significant news. He gave oral instructions to Shankar. As before, he would write as little as possible. When in good health, he left home around 9.30 for the Home Ministry, returned for lunch and after a nap of 15 minutes went to the Information and Broadcasting Ministry.

I looked after the Sardar's Gujarati correspondence while Shankar attended to that in English. I passed on some of the correspondence in Hindi to Shankar. Morarji Desai had recommended Shankar as Private Secretary. The Sardar invited him to lunch to look him over before selecting him for the post.

The Partition Committee set up under the Mountbatten Plan and consisting of as many as 30 to 40 officers, would come to the Sardar's house and stay up to lunch receiving instructions from him. Its members had to report back to him in the evening. Every order he issued had to be executed within 24 hours. He rang up Premiers at night when he had a particular issue to discuss with them. He was against wasting money on telephone calls on matters that were not urgent. I kept a diary of all private trunk calls, for which Sardar paid out of his own pocket.

I recall another instance of his method of work. There was a crisis in the jute industry. The Sardar phoned G. G. Desai, Commerce Secretary, and M. P. Birla, Chairman of the Indian Jute Manufacturers' Association, Calcutta, and others every night to check on developments. Similarly, at the time of the Bombay riots and disturbances elsewhere, he made phone calls at night to find out what was happening. He made calls to Punjab and Bengal and UP at the time of the partition troubles.

The Sardar was not impolite or arrogant in his dealings with people. He replied promptly to correspondence. He read all the letters addressed to him personally and generally told the officer concerned how he should reply. He never signed letters or any other document blindly. When he was not fully satisfied with a draft, he would change it himself or ask the officer concerned to redraft it. He liked precision and conciseness in letters. He would say that this was "not a place for essays" or for exhibiting one's command of English.

Mountbatten recognised the Sardar's greatness. I was the only other person present when the Sardar had a talk with Bapu between 4 and 5 p.m. on the day of his assassination. Bapu had decided to release the Sardar from the Ministry at the latter's instance, but Mountbatten strongly opposed this because he felt that the Sardar "had his feet on the ground while Nehru had his in the clouds." He told Gandhiji that he could not release the Sardar. Gandhiji agreed and withdrew his decision.

It was agreed at the conversation on January 30 afternoon that Gandhiji, Nehru and the Sardar should sit together and iron out their differences. But this meeting was never held. The Sardar was greatly upset by the slander campaign against him at that time in certain Congress and Government circles. He was worried at heart that Gandhiji had to defend him continually against these slanders.

Previously, when Nehru lived at York Road and the Sardar just across on Aurangzeb Road, they used to meet every day, even though for a few minutes. Nehru would sometimes drop in after lunch, or in the evening or at night after dinner. They walked together and discussed matters. The Sardar would walk with Nehru up to the gate of the latter's house on York Road and see him off there or they would return together to Aurangzeb Road.

These daily meetings and talks cleared misunderstandings, but this dialogue became very difficult after Nehru moved to the house of the former Commander-in-Chief on Teen Murti Marg. If he had not moved there on Mountbatten's advice, much of their later differences might never have developed. Because of his illness, the Sardar was not able to go to Nehru's house frequently.

When there were party matters to be attended to, the Sardar requested the AICC General Secretary to call at his house for instructions. The Working Committee met at the Sardar's residence since there was no good AICC office building at that time in Delhi. The provincial Premiers constantly sought his guidance, and he was a great help to them in their encounters with members of the bureaucracy or party dissidents.

The administrations of the new states formed after integration lacked capable men to guide them. But in those areas where party members had carried out constructive work under the Sardar's direction before independence, such men were available. This was so in Kathiawar and Gujarat. Elsewhere in the country, party workers in the former states had only indulged in agitation and there was no solid foundation for their work.

The Sardar did not aspire to prime ministership or any other high office. He once said that if India had won Swaraj ten years earlier he would have solved the food problem as he had solved that of the states. He added: "But I have not the strength to do so now." He considered food the country's most important problem after consolidation. He was essentially a man of action, averse to writing. His philosophy of life may be summed up in the words: "Why not create history rather than waste time writing it?"

MANIBEN PATEL

## OUTLINE OF I-X VOLUMES

### MAIN TOPICS

#### KASHMIR PROBLEM

1945-46

#### ELECTIONS

Working of Constituent Assembly and its parliamentary aspects  
Interim Government and its working

Negotiations with Indian States—their place in future set-up  
Bretton Woods Conference and other international conferences

Indian National Army

Provincial politics—Assam, Bengal, Bihar, Bombay, Central  
Provinces, Madras, Orissa, North-West Frontier Province,  
Sind and United Provinces

1947

#### NATIONAL ISSUES

Working of Central Government

(i) Interim Union Government

(a) Before 15 August 1947

(b) After 15 August 1947

(ii) External

(a) Ambassadorial appointments

(b) Indo-Nepal relations

(c) United Nations Organisation

#### TRANSFER OF POWER

Partition

Communal flare-up

Refugee problem

#### STATES POLITICS

Hyderabad

Junagadh

Indian States

(a) Accession

(b) Integration

(c) Democratisation

**Indian Provinces**

1948

**Indian States and their problems**

**Hyderabad State**

**Indian Government—its working and problems**

**Gandhi assassination**

**Refugee problem—rehabilitation**

**Provincial politics**

1949

**Indian States and their problems**

**Hyderabad**

**Provincial politics**

**Central Government and its working and problems**

**Gandhi murder, Trial of accused**

1950

**INDIAN GOVERNMENT AND ITS WORKING**

**Internal—Inter-ministerial correspondence**

**External—Commonwealth and India**

**China's aggression in Tibet**

**Constitutional—Constitution-making**

**New Head of State under Constitution**

**Role of Governor**

**STATES POLITICS**

**Indian States and their problems**

**Hyderabad issue**

**Provincial politics**

## **HIGHLIGHTS—VOLUMES I-III AND V-X**

### **VOL. I: NEW LIGHT ON KASHMIR**

This absorbing inside story of the events leading up to Independence and continuing subsequently till Sardar Patel's death in 1950 has not been told before. It contains the basic raw material for the research scholar and the historian. The correspondence published in this series opens with the efforts of Maharaja Hari Singh and his counsellors in Srinagar to shore up the feudal structure in Jammu and Kashmir by denial of democratic rights.

The Maharaja unleashed a campaign of repression against the National Conference, the political mouthpiece of the state people, arrested Sheikh Mohammed Abdullah, its chief, and charged him with sedition. Jawaharlal Nehru entered Kashmir in defiance of the state Government's ban and courted arrest.

The Maharaja, finding that his hands were forced by the invasion of the state from Pakistan by armed "raiders," opted for India and, after signing the instrument of accession, agreed to the formation of a popular government under Sheikh Abdullah. The Maharaja and the Sheikh were soon at loggerheads. The Maharaja, under relentless pressure from New Delhi, surrendered power and placed himself in the hands of the Sardar who, as the correspondence reveals, played a crucial role in the negotiations which led ultimately to the withdrawal of the Maharaja from the state and the succession of his heir, Yuvraj Karan Singh, as regent and finally as constitutional head of the state.

Patel also pointed out how India's case on Kashmir before the United Nations Security Council should have been effectively presented. The exchange of letters between him and the Sheikh foreshadows the strong differences on approach to the Kashmir problem which ultimately led to the Sheikh's removal from the prime ministership of the state in 1953. Abdullah went his own way, heedless of Patel's restraining influence.

### **VOL. II: ELECTIONS TO CENTRAL & PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURES—DIRECTION OF CONGRESS CAMPAIGN**

Soon after the Congress leaders were released from detention in 1944, negotiations opened with the representatives of the British Raj in New Delhi and the leaders of other Indian political

parties, notably the Muslim League, on new constitutional arrangements to suit the change in the political climate brought about by World War II and to satisfy the aspirations of the Indian people for freedom.

Patel's guiding hand was evident in these negotiations as well as in the direction and management of the Congress campaign for the elections to the Central and provincial legislatures under the Government of India Act of 1935. These elections, based on communal electorates with a restricted franchise, were an acid test of the Congress claim to represent the Indian people and to be the recipient of power on their behalf when the British relinquished their authority. The Muslim League, through Mohammed Ali Jinnah, contested this claim, asserting that it was the sole champion of the Muslim "nation" which aspired to a separate existence on the advent of independence.

Patel's role as chief of staff of the Congress High Command, indefatigably marshalling manpower and finances and selection of suitable candidates for the big electoral battle, comes out strikingly in the correspondence in this volume.

VOL. III: GUIDANCE TO MINISTRIES—CONSTITUENT ASSEMBLY  
PROBLEMS—INTERIM GOVERNMENT DEADLOCK—REFORMS  
IN INDIAN STATES

Elections to the Central and Provincial Assemblies were followed by the formation of a board consisting of Maulana Azad, Sardar Patel and Dr. Rajendra Prasad to organise elections to the Constituent Assembly as proposed under the Cabinet Mission plan, aiding and guiding Provincial Congress Ministries, including the Coalition Ministry in the Punjab, and supervising the working of the Congress party in the Central Assembly. These tasks fell primarily on the shoulders of Sardar Patel, who functioned as a one-man High Command.

The political situation was complicated by several factors. The Governor of Sind, Sir Francis Mudie, manoeuvred to put in the Muslim League in power. Direct Action Day, observed by the Muslim League on 16 August 1946, resulted in unprecedented communal carnage in Calcutta. There were riots in Bihar. The League refused to agree to the Cabinet Mission plan. Mahatma Gandhi's meetings with Lord Wavell failed to straighten matters. Patel attributed the deadlock over the formation of an Interim Coalition Government to bungling by the Viceroy.

An Interim Government, headed by Nehru and consisting of Congress party nominees, was formed on 2 September 1946. It was enlarged into a Congress-League coalition in the middle of October 1946. Soon after, Patel described the coalition, which was functioning without joint responsibility, as an arena of party politics and intrigue. He repeatedly drew Wavell's attention to the disruptionist role of the League members of the government. He also tried to persuade him to bring Bengal under virtual martial law to restore peace and tranquillity. Problems of Indian National Army personnel, the Royal Indian Navy uprising, creation of the International Monetary Fund, shipping, trade, and the Secretary of States' Services were firmly and constructively handled by the Sardar.

The movement for reform in the Indian states sponsored by Praja Mandals received an impetus from political developments in British India. The meagre hope of success is revealed in a note Nehru forwarded to the Sardar of his talks with the Nawab of Bhopal in early April 1946. The Sardar, however, guided the movement into the right channel. The correspondence reveals the country in the throes of revolutionary change.

#### VOL. V: CONTROL OVER CONGRESS MINISTRIES— INDIAN STATES' ACCESSION

Creating conditions for stable government and maintaining law and order in the old provinces of British India after the disruption of partition was a major problem which was Patel's prime responsibility as Minister of Home Affairs. Relations between governors and chief ministers had to be redefined in the changed political context, and the Sardar was often called upon to mediate in disputes resulting from conflicting interpretations of rules and practices by those in authority.

Problems also arose from the emergence of factionalism in the provincial Congress organisations, and the Sardar had to exert his authority to prevent them from cracking up in the heat of local power struggles. The most notable instance was in Madras, where two rival factions were engaged in a bitter struggle which resulted in the ouster of Chief Minister T. Prakasam.

Rehabilitation of Hindu and Sikh refugees from West Pakistan was the key problem encountered in East Punjab, together with the intransigence of Master Tara Singh and the Akali Dal. In Assam, large-scale infiltration of Muslims created difficulties for the provincial administration in the wake of the loss of



Sylhet district to Pakistan. The inclusion of the tribal hill tracts in the province also presented difficulties as the hillsmen, ethnically and culturally different from the dwellers in the Brahmaputra Valley, wanted a separate identity. In the interests of national security in a sensitive region, they were brought together under one administration.

Bringing the conglomeration of Princely States within the ambit of the new integrated nation-state the Congress leaders envisaged after freedom also presented many problems, the solution of which rested on Patel's shoulders as Minister of States.

**VOL. VI: PATEL-NEHRU DIFFERENCES—ASSASSINATION OF GANDHI—  
SERVICES REORGANISED—REFUGEE REHABILITATION**

Sardar Patel and Jawaharlal Nehru came to the brink of relinquishing office in each other's favour over differences, first arising out of communal disturbances in Ajmer-Merwara and later snowballing into serious conflict over the definition of the functions of the Prime Minister in relation to his colleagues and the preparation of memoranda by both for presentation to Gandhi for his adjudication. The assassination of Gandhi at this crucial period acted, however, as a cementing bond between them. The Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh and similar organisations were banned.

C. Rajagopalachari was brought to the Centre as Governor-General in succession to Mountbatten. Restoring popular confidence after the Gandhi murder and curbing the extremist elements responsible for it without at the same time causing civil strife was the responsibility of Patel. The correspondence between Nehru and Patel on this subject reveals the different styles with which they functioned. Throughout the year, the influx of fresh waves of refugees and their rehabilitation continued to be a major pre-occupation of the government, and it was Patel's lot to co-ordinate these tasks.

The difficulties encountered in providing cohesive and effective administrations in the provinces called for firm directives from Patel. In the United Provinces, the major conflict was between the veteran Congress leader Purushottamdas Tandon and Premier Pant and their political adversary Rafi Ahmed Kidwai. This was represented in the Press as a struggle between supporters of Patel and Nehru and a reflection of a power tussle at a higher level. Sharp differences arose in Assam between Governor Akbar Hydari and Chief Minister Gopinath Bardoloi, while Communist activities became a cause of worry in this province and in neighbouring West Bengal.

## VOL. VII: INTEGRATING INDIAN STATES—POLICE ACTION IN HYDERABAD

The year 1948 was significant for the introduction of responsible government in many Princely States, and also for the attempts of some princes to block this process. Integration talks were launched and new groupings of states came into existence with popular ministers to run them. Not long after their induction, Patel was called upon to deal with the squabbles within these governments as well as between them and the princely heads of the new unions.

The papers relating to the States of Hyderabad and Junagadh have been brought together, for their case stands apart from that of the other states which voluntarily merged in the Indian Union as a result of the patriotic impulse of their rulers, who read the signs of the times. While the ruler of Junagadh fled to Pakistan, the Nizam dreamt of carving out an independent state in the belly of the Indian Union.

With this end in view, the Nizam's agents went on an arms buying spree in Europe and organised bands of thugs to terrorise the predominantly Hindu population of his State. Secret negotiations were also started with the British for the addition of Bastar State to his domain and the retrocession of Berar, which had been incorporated in the Central Provinces. Advances were made to the Portuguese Government about providing access to Hyderabad through Goa to the Arabian Sea.

Here, as in other instances of princely opposition to joining India, the undercover manoeuvrings of British officials and politicians, keen on seeing India weak and divided after independence, played an important part in stiffening the resistance of the Nizam and the feudal clique which supported him. After vain attempts to persuade the Nizam to agree peaceably to joining the Indian Union, as the vast majority of his subjects demanded, the Indian Government organised a "police action" to effect the merger. The story ends with the Nizam's surrender and expression of complete confidence in Sardar Patel's political sagacity.

## VOL. VIII: FOREIGN POLICY IN EVOLUTION—CONSTITUTION- MAKING—POLITICAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE PROBLEMS

The future of India's relations with the Commonwealth of Nations, ties with Nepal, recognition of Communist China and disputes with Pakistan over canal waters, ill-treatment of minorities in Pakistan and evacuee property were important matters of

foreign policy in which the Sardar made a significant contribution. The main task the Sardar undertook was to merge the Unions of Princely States with the adjoining states of the Indian Union, thus creating a bigger territorial unit than existed under the British or any former Raj.

Internally, differences arose over whether the first President of the Indian Republic should be C. Rajagopalachari or Dr. Rajendra Prasad. Nehru and Patel took different attitudes to mass agitation by the Akalis in East Punjab. The Hindu Code Bill was another issue on which eminent Congress leaders differed from the government's view.

The sentence of death was passed on 12 February on Nathuram Godse, the principal accused in the Gandhi murder case, and Patel overruled pleas for clemency. As Minister of Information and Broadcasting, he laid down a policy on the use of Hindi for the guidance of All India Radio.

Nehru visited the United States. Patel, the Deputy Prime Minister, filled in for him. His confidential fortnightly letter of over 10,000 words to chief ministers of states elaborating his political, economic and administrative philosophy is in the nature of a will and testament.

#### **VOL. IX: POLITICAL CONTROVERSIES—REFUGEES FROM EAST BENGAL—TERRITORIAL INTEGRATION OF PRINCELY STATES**

Factionalism continued to cause administrative snarls in the states and provinces and to this were added charges of corruption and abuse of power against those in authority. The Sardar was deeply involved in trying to keep peace among the warring factions and weeding out the corrupt. A controversy erupted in West Bengal over the relative status of Bengali and Hindi for official purposes, and a movement for Greater Bengal, including East Pakistan, raised its head in the province.

Friction within the Madras Ministry was sharpened by popular demands for a separate Andhra Pradesh. Factions within the Punjab Congress came to be identified with Nehru and Patel. In Hyderabad, the Communists as well as communalists became active and caused anxiety to the State administration. The Communist-supported uprising of the peasants of Telengana, who employed force to dispossess the landlords and divide up their property, led to military operations against them.

Communal riots broke out in West Bengal as more refugees streamed in from East Pakistan with stories of atrocities perpetrated on the Hindu minority there. The Congress party split in Andhra Pradesh at the same time as the Communist swung into action in the region. Master Tara Singh strove hard to stir up communal feeling in the Punjab. He advocated a cautious approach to the language problem in this province.

The partition of Bengal and the consequent loss of jute so necessary for mills in India was a matter of great concern to the Sardar who wanted the provinces to put more acreage under its cultivation. Food shortage equally worried him and he urged the provinces to open up waste lands and popularise modern and better techniques of agriculture among farmers. On other economic fronts he appealed to labour to shun strikes for the more reasonable procedure of arbitration of disputes. To Rajendra Prasad's objection that the Hindu Code Bill should not be rushed through the legislature, he said the party had debated it and decided "to support" it. He emphasised that even majority party decisions should be accepted and implemented by all members and objectors should quit. He stressed the need for quick action in the cases of suspended government servants.

#### VOL. X: ACUTE POWER STRUGGLE—TRIUMPH OF MUTUAL ACCOMMODATION—WARNING AGAINST CHINA

The highlight of foreign affairs was the Chinese invasion of Tibet, an event which raised the problem of security along the Himalayan border. In letters to Nehru and CR, the Sardar underscored the potential threats to India's sovereignty from this source. Pakistan's persecution of minorities, incursions into Indian territory and general hostility were other matters of concern to New Delhi. Efforts were even made to poison the good relations between India and Nepal. After the election of Rajendra Prasad as President, Nehru announced his intention of resigning the office of Prime Minister and devote himself to party activities. The Central Cabinet was reconstituted and CR entered it. There were several exchanges of correspondence between Nehru and Patel on matters of principle, including a definition of the powers of a governor. Finance Minister John Matthai resigned on an issue of principle, and this was followed by a bitter war of words.

In the Congress presidential elections, Nehru and Patel ranged on different sides. Nehru opposed Purushottamdas Tandon's nomination, and when Tandon was elected Nehru refused to join the Congress Working Committee. The Sardar's health began to fail.

## CONTENTS OF VOLUME IV

<b>CHAPTER I WAVELL'S ROLE</b> .. .. .	<b>1</b>
AICC resolution on British plan; Sardar's rejoinder to Wavell; League defiance of legal orders; Wavell speaks to Liaquat; Advisory Committee for Delhi and Ajmer-Merwara; Bihar Governor on indiscipline in Police; Sardar suggests martial law in disturbed parts of Punjab; Muslim pockets in Calcutta; Gandhi-Jinnah peace appeal move; Sardar's message to newspapers; Mountbatten's Frontier visit; Ministers and police	
<b>CHAPTER II SOVEREIGN OR PARTITIONED BENGAL</b> .. .. .	<b>30</b>
Dispute over grouping of provinces; Suhrawardy threatens sack of Calcutta if partition of Bengal decided on; Sardar's assurance to Bengal Hindus; Sarat Bose wants sovereign Bengal; appeal to Sardar to visit Bengal; problem of leadership; Hindustan no Hindu State, declares Sardar; a disinterested Governor	
<b>CHAPTER III ALL INDIA RADIO LANGUAGE POLICY</b> .. .. .	<b>60</b>
Liaquat writes to Sardar on a communal and political issue; Hydari committee recommendations; choice of vocabulary; fixation of proportions of Urdu-Hindi words; League objections to draft decisions; Bokhari's contribution; League insists on Cabinet discussion; views of provincial governments; NWFP agrees to Pushto bias; Azad's suggestion on AIR music programme; Assembly questions	
<b>CHAPTER IV INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT</b> .. .. .	<b>90</b>
Nehru writes to Rajagopalachari; link with foreign concerns; funds for Mazdoor Sangh; Wardha resolution; textile industry's difficulties; Sardar's message; arms manufacture; Bhatnagar submits plan to Sardar; working class unity; Ruikar's letter to Sardar	
<b>CHAPTER V NEW MOUNTBATTEN PLAN</b> .. .. .	<b>110</b>
Transfer of power: British proposals; Simla talks; V.P. Menon's conversation with Nehru; NWFP referendum; truncated Pakistan; broadcasts by Viceroy, Nehru and Jinnah; HMG's statement; withdrawal of British forces; Boundary Commission; Viceroy's meeting with leaders;	

League recalcitrance; Secretary of States services; question of compensation; pensions for members of Defence Services

**CHAPTER VI RADCLIFFE AWARD .. .. . 171**

League's impossible demand on boundaries; Chittagong Hill Tribes' fear; B. N. Rau's note; consequences of partition; administrative arrangements; Independence Bill; Attlee's speech; British officers in India; reconstitution of Government; Mountbatten writes to Sardar about his replacement; division of assets and liabilities; future of Sikh shrine Nankana Sahib; national debt; Properties Rights and Liabilities Order

**CHAPTER VII ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL'S TASK .. .. . 205**

Chief Justice Kania writes to Nehru; asked to continue in Tribunal; Steering Committee; three issues for reference; the procedure; Spens asks for assurances, Nehru agrees; partition of assets; payment of cash balance to Pakistan; Sardar's instruction to Finance Minister

**CHAPTER VIII REFUGEE RELIEF : GANDHI'S NOAKHALI MISSION 219**

Total demoralisation; Gandhi's letter to Suhrawardy; Col. Gill asked to visit Bihar; tension in NWFP, minorities in panic; Khan Sahib tours Hazara district; relief to DI Khan sufferers; Edwina Mountbatten's interest in refugee welfare: correspondence with Maniben; Delhi administration: Chief Commissioner's attitude; Sardar snubs Governor Jenkins; refugees stranded in Sialkot; tension in Patiala and Rampur; grant to UCRW; censorship of Press messages; Nehru unhappy over slant in newspaper reports

**CHAPTER IX VICTIMS OF COMMUNALISM .. .. . 309**

Lahore conference; Nehru urges special attention to problem; tales of woe and atrocities; evacuation plans under Brig. Chimni; transport mobilisation; train incidents; army-police assistance promised; Sikh claim; property sold at black-market prices; Nehru's note for Cabinet on Delhi disturbances; searches of air passengers

**CHAPTER X FATE OF MINORITIES .. .. . 387**

League leaders' provocative speeches; attempt to resist Bengal and Punjab partition; Jinnah's fulminations; Delhi's poisoned atmosphere worries Nehru; suggestions for restoration of peace; Sardar disfavours division of Delhi; Zakir Husain's allegations about police inaction; Sardar's note for

Cabinet; Muslim leaders' plea to Gandhi: meeting arranged; disloyalty not to be tolerated, says Sardar

**CHAPTER XI MINISTERS' SALARIES .. .. . 439**

Mavalankar writes to Sardar about wasteful expenditure of public funds and ostentatious living; Finance Minister's proposals: note to Sardar; Nehru endorses Sardar's views; Pay Commission's report; fair deal to Government employees; labour's obligation; guards at ministers' residences

**CHAPTER XII SUDHIR GHOSH EPISODE .. .. . 451**

Position of High Commissioner vis-a-vis PRO; status of information officers; Krishna Menon writes to Nehru levelling charges of indiscipline against Sudhir; Nehru's letter to Sardar; Menon's telegram to Sardar; Sardar rejects Menon's proposals; Sardar tells Nehru of his misgivings about Menon; Sudhir returns from London, meets Nehru; Sudhir replies to Menon's allegations

**CHAPTER XIII EXTERNAL AFFAIRS .. .. . 474**

Cabinet Committee to advise on appointments; Nehru-Wavell correspondence; constitutional position; Establishment Officers' duties; Mountbatten's embarrassment; delegations abroad; Deputy High Commissioners in Delhi and Karachi; inter-dominion talks; Attlee's letter to Nehru; Ismay's farewell to Sardar; India's wedding present to Princess Elizabeth

**CHAPTER XIV ARMED FORCES RECONSTITUTION .. .. . 510**

Allocation of units; division of forces; composition of Joint Defence Council; Sardar's letter to Viceroy; Special Armed Constabulary; frontier defence; Pakistan National Guard; service terms of British officers; Jinnah-Nehru broadcast

**CHAPTER XV NEHRU FORMS NEW CABINET .. .. . 533**

Sardar pledges loyalty; Gandhi advises Rajendra Prasad to give up Food portfolio; Jairamdas Doulatram fills post; re-organisation of departments; Sardar's views; Oulsnam episode; Gandhi says K. M. Munshi unsuited for Bengal governorship; AICC resolution on Congress objectives; Mountbatten bids farewell, calls Sardar a stern realist; Mountbatten writes to Nehru on economic situation

## ABBREVIATIONS

<b>AFHQ</b>	<b>Armed Forces Headquarters</b>
<b>AFRC</b>	<b>Armed Forces Reconstitution Committee</b>
<b>AG</b>	<b>Adjutant-General</b>
<b>AI</b>	<b>Anglo-Indian</b>
<b>AICG</b>	<b>All India Congress Committee</b>
<b>AIOIE</b>	<b>All India Organisation of Industrial Employees</b>
<b>AIR</b>	<b>All India Radio</b>
<b>AITUC</b>	<b>All India Trade Union Congress</b>
<b>ALC</b>	<b>Asian Labour Conference</b>
<b>ANA</b>	<b>American News Agency</b>
<b>API</b>	<b>Associated Press of India</b>
<b>APSV</b>	<b>Assistant Private Secretary to Viceroy</b>
<b>ASI</b>	<b>Assistant Sub-Inspector (Police)</b>
<b>BBCIR</b>	<b>Bombay Baroda and Central India Railway</b>
<b>CA</b>	<b>Constituent Assembly</b>
<b>CG</b>	<b>Chief Commissioner or Cabinet Committee</b>
<b>C-in-C</b>	<b>Commander-in-Chief</b>
<b>CID</b>	<b>Criminal Investigation Department or Committee of Imperial Defence</b>
<b>CIE</b>	<b>Companion of the Order of Indian Empire</b>
<b>CISR</b>	<b>Council of Industrial and Scientific Research</b>
<b>CJ</b>	<b>Chief Justice</b>
<b>CM</b>	<b>Cabinet Mission or Chief Minister</b>
<b>CP</b>	<b>Central Provinces</b>
<b>CPI</b>	<b>Communist Party of India</b>
<b>GPTWF</b>	<b>Central Provinces Textile Workers' Federation</b>
<b>CR</b>	<b>Chakravarti Rajagopalachari</b>
<b>CrPC</b>	<b>Criminal Procedure Code</b>
<b>DC</b>	<b>Deputy Commissioner</b>
<b>DGAIR</b>	<b>Director-General, All India Radio</b>
<b>DHC</b>	<b>Deputy High Commissioner</b>
<b>DIG</b>	<b>Deputy Inspector-General (Police)</b>
<b>DM</b>	<b>District Magistrate or Defence Minister</b>



<b>DPSV</b>	<b>Deputy Private Secretary to Viceroy</b>
<b>FM</b>	<b>Field Marshal</b>
<b>FPJ</b>	<b>Free Press Journal</b>
<b>FPSC</b>	<b>Federal Public Service Commission</b>
<b>GG</b>	<b>Governor-General</b>
<b>GGC</b>	<b>Governor-General in Council</b>
<b>GHQ</b>	<b>General Headquarters</b>
<b>GIPR</b>	<b>Great Indian Peninsular Railway</b>
<b>HAC</b>	<b>Hindustan Aircraft Company</b>
<b>HC</b>	<b>High Commissioner</b>
<b>HE</b>	<b>His Excellency</b>
<b>HH</b>	<b>His Highness</b>
<b>HM</b>	<b>His Majesty or Honourable Member</b>
<b>HMG</b>	<b>His Majesty's Government</b>
<b>HMS</b>	<b>His Majesty's Service</b>
<b>HMSS</b>	<b>Hindustan Mazdoor Sevak Sangh</b>
<b>IA</b>	<b>Indian Army</b>
<b>IAF</b>	<b>Indian Air Force</b>
<b>ICS</b>	<b>Indian Civil Service</b>
<b>IH</b>	<b>India House</b>
<b>IMS</b>	<b>Indian Medical Service</b>
<b>INA</b>	<b>Indian National Army</b>
<b>INTUC</b>	<b>Indian National Trade Union Congress</b>
<b>IPC</b>	<b>Indian Penal Code</b>
<b>ITF</b>	<b>Indian Territorial Force</b>
<b>IU</b>	<b>Indian Union</b>
<b>JDG</b>	<b>Joint Defence Council</b>
<b>JPSV</b>	<b>Joint Private Secretary to Viceroy</b>
<b>KCIE</b>	<b>Knight Commander of Indian Empire</b>
<b>LA</b>	<b>Legislative Assembly</b>
<b>MLA</b>	<b>Member of Legislative Assembly</b>
<b>MS</b>	<b>Military Secretary</b>
<b>NHQ</b>	<b>Naval Headquarters</b>
<b>NWFP</b>	<b>North-West Frontier Province</b>
<b>NWR</b>	<b>North-Western Railway</b>
<b>OBE</b>	<b>Order of the British Empire</b>
<b>PIO</b>	<b>Principal Information Officer</b>

<b>PMA</b>	<b>Pakistan Military Academy</b>
<b>PRO</b>	<b>Public Relations Officer</b>
<b>PS</b>	<b>Private Secretary</b>
<b>PSV</b>	<b>Private Secretary to Viceroy</b>
<b>QMG</b>	<b>Quarter-Master General</b>
<b>RAF</b>	<b>Royal Air Force</b>
<b>RIAF</b>	<b>Royal Indian Air Force</b>
<b>RIN</b>	<b>Royal Indian Navy</b>
<b>RPAF</b>	<b>Royal Pakistan Air Force</b>
<b>RPF</b>	<b>Railway Protection Force</b>
<b>RSS</b>	<b>Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh</b>
<b>SAC</b>	<b>Special Armed Constabulary</b>
<b>SCHQ</b>	<b>Supreme Commander Headquarters</b>
<b>SGG</b>	<b>Secretary to Governor-General</b>
<b>SHO</b>	<b>Station House Officer</b>
<b>SI</b>	<b>Sub-Inspector (Police)</b>
<b>SP</b>	<b>Superintendent of Police</b>
<b>SSP</b>	<b>Senior Superintendent of Police</b>
<b>UCRW</b>	<b>United Council for Relief and Welfare</b>
<b>UK</b>	<b>United Kingdom</b>
<b>UP</b>	<b>United Provinces</b>
<b>UTC</b>	<b>University Training Corps</b>
<b>VC</b>	<b>Vice-Chancellor</b>
<b>WC</b>	<b>Working Committee</b>

## GLOSSARY OF INDIAN TERMS

<i>Babu</i>	Term of respect used in Bengal and Bihar for members of the intelligentsia; also commonly used for a clerk
<i>Dharma</i>	religion
<i>Gurdwara</i>	Sikh shrine where the sacred Granth Sahib is read to a congregation
<i>Idgah</i>	premises where prayers are offered on the occasion of Id
<i>Jai Hind</i>	Victory to India
<i>Jamiat-i-Ulema</i>	an association of Muslim divines with nationalistic leanings
<i>Jathas</i>	processions
<i>Koran</i>	Muslim religious book
<i>Lathi</i>	long stick used by policemen to disperse crowds
<i>Meos</i>	a farming community inhabiting Gurgaon district of Haryana State and former princely states of Alwar, Jaipur and Bharatpur. They are Rajput converts to Islam.
<i>Mohalla</i>	lane
<i>Mullah</i>	in Muslim countries, a learned man, teacher, doctor of the law; in India, the term is applied to a man who reads the Koran and also to a Muslim teacher who imparts religious education
<i>Muslim League</i>	political party of Muslims .
<i>Panchayat</i>	court of arbitration (properly of five persons) to settle petty disputes
<i>Pandit</i>	title applied to those versed in Hindu scriptures but used commonly to denote a Brahmin
<i>Praja Mandal</i>	States Peoples' Association
<i>Sikh</i>	follower of Guru Nanak (1469-1538), first of the line of ten Gurus (leaders) who formulated a new faith to rid Hinduism of superstition and caste divisions

## INTRODUCTION TO VOLUME IV

**This—the fourth volume in the series—records the most significant source material for the researcher in present-day Indian history. It deals with five main developments. First, the communal holocaust, its chain reaction and the psychological effect it produced on the minds of the chief actors in the drama of transfer of power. Second, the apple of discord the Cabinet Mission Plan became because of the hopes and fears it aroused among the contestants for power. Third, the Mountbatten Plan for the partition of the country into the Dominions of India and Pakistan and the impetus this gave to communal carnage, involving the uprooting of over 10 million people from their homes to seek refuge in the other dominion. Fourth, the acceleration of the process of absorption of Princely States into the Indian Dominion. Fifth, the preservation of law and order in the country as a whole, and more especially in the partitioned provinces of Punjab, Bengal and Assam and the neighbouring areas affected by refugee influx and efflux.**

Of special interest in the context of the emergence of Bangladesh as an independent republic (as a result of India's victory in the 14-day war with Pakistan in December 1971) is the heated controversy over the plan or the plea for a sovereign Bengal as an escape from the proposed partition on a religious basis.

Naturally, Mountbatten, Nehru and Patel dominate the scene of action, each rising to great heights in the way they acted and reacted to the challenge of destiny and the compulsions of the hour.

That they appreciated each other's crucial role and did their best to reinforce it is characteristically brought out in the correspondence. Jawaharlal Nehru, writing to Patel inviting him to join the Cabinet he formed in August 1947 as head of independent India, said: "This writing is somewhat superfluous because you are the strongest pillar of the Cabinet." The Sardar, responding, recalled "our comradeship for an unbroken period of 30 years" and added that "our combination is unbreakable and therein lies our strength."

Mountbatten wrote to Patel on 14 August 1947: "It was, indeed, fortunate that a statesman of your vision and realism

should have been associated with all the discussions on the 3 June plan and with all the subsequent vital and delicate negotiations with the States. . . . future generations will appreciate the full effect of the wise policy you have followed."

The Sardar, replying four days later, reciprocated: "In fact, what you have achieved in the way of friendship and goodwill merely emphasises what the long line of your predecessors have missed as a result of studied aloofness and failure to take into confidence leaders of public opinion."

Happy at the decision of the Labour Government to replace Wavell with Mountbatten, Patel wrote to Sir Stafford Cripps on 28 February 1947 expressing the hope "that the new Viceroy will come with full instructions so that the process of transfer of power may proceed smoothly and rapidly."

Cripps replied on 4 March: "I am sure you will like Mountbatten enormously as I do and respect him. He is very advanced in his views as you will find and you will like him too." Striking a personal note, Cripps added: "You must take care of yourself because you are terribly precious to India just now in these final months."

The desperate effort made by Patel to stop the communal flare-up is revealed in his repeated but unsuccessful pleading with Mountbatten's predecessor to introduce martial law in the affected areas in Bengal and Punjab. Wavell contended: "I do not think martial law, which has many grave disadvantages, is at present necessary." Colville, who acted while Wavell was in London, also held the same view that the wide powers of ordinances were sufficient to meet the requirements of the situation.

Wavell writes to Patel that a cartoon and certain reports from the Punjab appearing in the Hindustan Times of New Delhi contain matter "actionable under the (Press) ordinance." Patel in turn points out how the behaviour of Dawn, mouthpiece of the Muslim League, was much worse. How the Cabinet Mission plan intensified the communal approach is shown in the League's effort to capture the ministries in Punjab, Assam and the NWFP after the British declaration of 20 February.

Patel takes up the matter with Mountbatten, who thought that a joint Gandhi-Jinnah appeal for communal amity would work a miracle. Patel states bluntly that "the signature of Mr. Jinnah on the appeal is a mockery if he does not feel the urge to visit the areas (which had experienced a communal holocaust)

such as Dera Ismail Khan, Rawalpindi and Multan." In contrast, Patel added: "Gandhi is buried in the midst of a self-imposed mission in Bihar calculated to assuage the feelings of Muslims."

Giving "a fairly accurate appreciation of the reactions" to the Mountbatten plan of 3 June the Governor of Punjab, Sir Evan Jenkins wrote to the Viceroy on 16 June 1947: "There is a complete absence of enthusiasm for the partition plan . . . nobody seems pleased and nobody seems to want to get on with the job . . . on the other hand, the political parties here acquiesce in the plan, but (as is usual in matters of this kind in India) for widely different reasons. Muslim Leaguers think it is a masterstroke by Jinnah, who has secured the recognition of Pakistan and will in the end get them all they want. Congressmen think it is a masterstroke by Patel, who, having pushed the Muslims into a corner (or into two corners) will be able to destroy them before very long."

The bitter controversy about the language All India Radio should use—Hindi or Urdu or Hindustani—is reflected in the correspondence as symptomatic of the widening distrust between the two major parties, making them move inexorably towards partition.

An interesting offshoot of the communal flare-up was the division in the ranks of the Bengal leaders on the question whether partition of the province could be avoided by opting for a sovereign united Bengal. Sarat Bose and Kiran Shankar Ray seemed arrayed on the side of a sovereign Bengal while S. P. Mookerjee and K. G. Neogy favoured "partition of Bengal, Pakistan or no Pakistan." Patel, expressing the hope in a letter to Dutt Mazumdar that "there will be no treachery," tells Neogy that "the cry of sovereign independent Bengal is a trap in which even Kiran Shankar Ray may fall with Sarat Babu. The only way to save the Hindus of Bengal is to insist on partition of Bengal and to listen to nothing else."

Sarat's last fling is: "Future generations will, I am afraid, condemn us for conceding division of India and supporting partition of Bengal and Punjab."

Should not India become a Hindu State, now that partition had created Pakistan? Patel firmly ruled this out in a letter to a top industrialist who had made the suggestion and affirmed his faith in a secular India.

The Nawab of Chhatari wrote to Liaquat Ali Khan, Prime Minister of Pakistan, and Ghulam Mohammad, his Finance Minister, drawing attention to Sind Premier Khuhro's remark that "Sind Ministry is Islamic Government" and stating: "If they are going to form a theocratic government in Pakistan, there will be every justification for Hindus to form a Hindu Raj in the rest of India." His plea for a change of heart went unheeded.

The butchery, loot and rape that Bangladesh suffered at the hands of the Pakistan army in 1971 has an echo in the correspondence. The events of 1971 are only a magnified version of what happened in the early months of April 1947 when Punjabi police were drafted to Bengal by Premier Suhrawardy.

A resolution sent to Patel by a Congress district committee in Bengal stated that policemen from Punjab had raped and molested women and added that "the communal ministry of Bengal, by importing these beastly elements into Calcutta's police force, have more than proved that they have no right to remain in charge of the administration as a whole."

Some interesting sidelights from the correspondence are:

Patel to G.B. Pant:

"Some of the Princes, particularly the smaller ones, have begun to feel that power is slipping from the Union Government's hands and thus think now is the opportunity for them to revert to pre-British days. Bharatpur is one of them." (The idea of an independent Jat State of Bharatpur was soon scotched.)

"We cannot allow the (Aligarh) University to be used as a base for recruiting candidates for their (Pakistan) army."

Patel to Finance Minister Shanmukham Chetty:

"Do not pay cash balances", and later : "The Cabinet has decided that no payment should be made (to Pakistan) until the Kashmir question has been settled."

Patel to Liaquat Ali Khan:

"You will perhaps recall that I then said in the Partition Council that the division of the army on communal lines was the surest way of laying the foundation for a civil war."

G. S. Bozman, ICS, to Patel:

"I need not conceal my dislike of the decision to divide India or apprehension of the possible consequences."

**Patel to Lord Ismay (a parting shot):**

“You have yourself seen how that friendship and goodwill burst forth when the psychological opportunity came on 15 August. It is now for your statesmen and your country to capitalise that friendship and that goodwill and not to let these be smothered by old controversies in their new form. . . . You have also witnessed the manner in which the country as a whole and the administration have accustomed themselves to the great and vital change from foreign rule to self-government. It is for you to convince your old chief (Churchill) whether you have had to deal here with men of straw or with men of substance.”

**2 Tolstoy Lane  
New Delhi-1**

**DURGA DAS**





# **SARDAR PATEL'S CORRESPONDENCE**



CHAPTER I  
WAVELL'S ROLE

1

17 York Road  
New Delhi  
6 October 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I hope you have recovered from your indisposition. I would see you today to discuss various matters. I shall try to come about lunch time but I have to go away at 2.30. Perhaps this evening we may have more time. But Aung San<sup>1</sup> is coming here this afternoon or evening.

Meanwhile, I enclose a letter from Bapu [Gandhi] for you and the draft resolution prepared under his guidance for the AICC.

Will you please see the cartoon in Dawn of 1 January? This is particularly offensive.

I saw the Viceroy yesterday and our talk was very revealing about his persistent attitude to push the Muslim League on. We are going to have all manner of additional difficulties. Of this later.

Yours,  
Jawaharlal

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

<sup>1</sup> Revolutionary leader of Burma who became Prime Minister of Burmese Republic in 1946; assassinated on 19 July, 1947

**ENCLOSURE**  
**DRAFT RESOLUTION FOR AICC PREPARED UNDER**  
**GANDHIJI'S GUIDANCE**

The AICC having considered the events that have taken place in the country since its meeting in November last, the statement issued by the British Government on 6 December, 1946, and the statement of the Working Committee of 22 December, 1946, advises Congressmen as follows:

1. The AICC endorses the statement of the Working Committee of 22 December, 1946, and expresses its agreement with the views contained therein.
2. While the Congress has always been agreeable to making a reference to the Federal Court on the question of interpretation in dispute, such a reference has become not only unnecessary but also undesirable owing to the recent announcements made on behalf of the British Government. A reference could only be made on an agreed basis, the parties concerned agreeing to abide by the decision given.
3. The AICC is firmly of opinion that the constitution for a free and independent India should be framed by the people of India on the basis of as wide an agreement as possible. There must be no interference whatsoever by the British power or any other external authority, and no compulsion on any province or part of a province by another province. The AICC realises and appreciates the difficulties placed in the way of some provinces, notably Assam and the NWFP, and the Sikhs in the Punjab, by the British Cabinet's scheme of 16 May, 1946, and more especially by the interpretation put upon it by the British Government in their statement of 6 December, 1946. The Congress cannot be a party to any such compulsion or imposition against the will of the people concerned. The British Government have said as much in this connection in their statement of 6 December.
4. The AICC is anxious that the Constituent Assembly should proceed with the work of framing a constitution for free India with the goodwill of all parties concerned and, with a view to removing the difficulties that have arisen owing to varying interpretations, agrees to advise action in accordance with the interpretation of the British Government in regard to the procedure to be followed in the sections.
5. The consequence of this may be that a province or group might prefer to keep out of the Constituent Assembly or any of its sections in the initial stages. Those who participate in the Constituent Assembly or the sections will

proceed to frame a constitution in terms of the Cabinet Mission's statement, which constitution will be initially binding on them alone.

6. The constitution must be framed for the whole of India with specific provision laying down how those who have not participated in its making may avail themselves of it.

The Viceroy's House  
New Delhi  
6 October 1945

Dear Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel,

My attention has been drawn to the Fortnightly Political Appreciations which are sent out by the Information & Broadcasting Department to each Representative of India abroad, to certain British embassies, and to all Members of the Cabinet here.

2. I have read the last two appreciations, and it does seem to me that they are open to criticism on the ground that they tend to take a party point of view. These appreciations which go out from the Government of India clearly should be made as objective as possible, and though I appreciate the difficulty of doing this, I am sure you will agree in principle.

Yours sincerely,  
Wavell<sup>1</sup>

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

3

:

New Delhi  
6 October 1945

Dear Lord Wavell,

I was not a little surprised to receive your letter of 25 January regarding the Fortnightly Political Appreciations, but it then occurred to me that you were used to war-time standard of objectivity in these Appreciations.

<sup>1</sup> Viceroy and Governor-General of India, 20 October 1943; GOC-in-C, Southern Command, 1938-39; Commander-in-Chief, Middle East, 1939-41; ADC General to the King, 1941

I hope Bapu and you are keeping well.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

4

Poona  
6 October 1945

My dear Jawaharlal,

I received your letter of the 1st instant yesterday afternoon.

Mr. Dharam Yash Dev had written to Bapu and to [Rajkumari] Amrit Kaur<sup>1</sup> about the same matter, and as those letters were referred to me for disposal I had asked him to meet me in Bombay. He met me when we were in Bombay and he impressed me very well indeed. But from his conversation with me I gathered that he has a family and a style of living which is expensive. The ordinary Congress salary will not in my opinion suit him at all. He draws about Rs. 1,250 from Dalmia and his father has left him practically nothing. He has therefore to earn his own living. I learn from Amrit Kaur, who knows him intimately, that his wife is a society girl of expensive habits and she would not like that he should join the Congress office. But she has a very good opinion of him. He has been in correspondence with me, but I am not sure whether his style of propaganda would suit us in the election campaign. I agree with you that he has made ample amends for his past mistake and he has also made up with [Acharya J. B.] Kripalani.<sup>2</sup> At present we are confining ourselves to Central Assembly elections propaganda and for that we need not go in for any elaborate plan. But we shall consider when we meet next whether we should employ him for election propaganda in connection with the provincial assembly elections.

<sup>1</sup> Came to prominence as personal assistant to Mahatma Gandhi; this association earned her membership of Interim Government and health ministership in Nehru Cabinet as representative of Christian community; took keen interest in bringing to fruition Institute of Indian Medicine in New Delhi; helped create National Sports Clubs all over India

<sup>2</sup> One of the oldest living Congress leaders; joined Gandhi in 1917; General Secretary of Congress, 1934-46; its President, 1946-47; Congress member of C.A., 1946-50; resigned from Congress in 1951; MP intermittently since 1952; exponent of Gandhian philosophy

You must have received my letter suggesting that you should draft a small election manifesto for the Central Assembly. We have not much time as these elections are to take place next month.

The unfortunate and unnecessary controversy between you and Sarat Bose distressed us here very much. Bapu has written to Sarat about it. He has probably written to you also. I fail to understand why he chose to make such a public attack against Chiang Kai-shek without having any consultation with you or Bapu. He knows the Congress policy. He must have some purpose behind it. I do not know what grievance he could have against you. I am afraid his re-entry into the Congress will create once again a situation which may not be pleasant for us all. Bapu is going to Bengal in the beginning of next month and he will probably talk to him more freely at that time.

I see that P. C. Joshi<sup>1</sup> has started vigorous propaganda against the Congress for our attitude towards his group. It would have been better if we had expelled them straightaway. Our notice has given him a handle to create propaganda here and abroad against us.

The Maharajkumar of Vizianagram<sup>2</sup> had written a letter to Amrit Kaur expressing a desire to stand for the Central Assembly. She has advised him to see you and Pantji [G. B. Pant] in the matter.

Hope you are doing well.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
Allahabad

5

Allahabad  
9 October 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I have just received your letter of 6 October. I am sorry for the delay in sending the election manifesto for the Central Assembly. I could not possibly find time in Lucknow. I hope to send you something tomorrow.

<sup>1</sup> General Secretary, Communist Party of India, 1934-47

<sup>2</sup> Cricketer and patron of Indian sport; Minister of Justice, UP Government, for some time; elected to UP Upper House, 1952



I quite agree with you as to Dharam Yash Dev.

I am really at a loss to understand why Sarat Bose suddenly launched an attack on me unless this is due to some old grievance which I have forgotten. It seems obvious to me that his anger is directed more against me than Chiang Kai-shek.

You may have seen in the papers the invitation issued by Dr. Soekarno, the President of the provisional Indonesian Republic. Among others, this has been issued to me to visit Java immediately to see things there. The situation there is odd and rather critical. It will have its repercussion elsewhere. I felt that some immediate response was desirable, and so I replied that if there is need for me there in the interest of Indonesian freedom I shall come there, even though this means leaving urgent and important work here. Of course this depends on passport and travelling facilities. By air Batavia can be reached in two days from Allahabad. There is hardly any chance of my getting these facilities from Government here. Nevertheless I am applying for them.

There is just a chance of my going to Calcutta and Midnapore towards the end of this month for four days or so. Someone told me that you might be there then with Bapu.

Yours affectionately,  
Jawaharlal

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

6

Allahabad  
10 October 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

As promised in my yesterday's letter, I am sending you a draft manifesto for the Central Assembly elections. This has turned out longer than I intended and it covers considerable ground, although I have avoided detail and the more controversial topics. I felt that it would be desirable to cover this ground for two reasons: 1. The manifesto is bound to attract attention in foreign countries and the avoidance of all other issues, apart from independence, will create a bad impression. People may say, as they have sometimes said in the past, that the Congress represents big business etc.

2. This will contrast well with any manifesto that the Muslim League will issue, for it is certain that they will avoid mentioning any of the country's problems and will harp on Pakistan. Of course, it is quite possible that they will not issue any manifesto at all.

I would suggest that when you issue the manifesto you might say something to the following effect: "In accordance with the directions contained in Resolution VII of the AICC held in Bombay the Working Committee is issuing this manifesto for the elections to the Central Legislative Assembly. A more detailed manifesto will be issued later, after approval by the AICC at its next meeting, for the provincial elections."

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

PS.

Another copy of this letter and manifesto is being sent express delivery.

#### ENCLOSURE

#### DRAFT MANIFESTO FOR CENTRAL LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

For sixty years the National Congress has laboured for the freedom of India. During this long span of years its history has been the history of the Indian people, straining at the leash that has held them in bondage, ever trying to break the chains of subjection and alien rule. From small beginnings it has progressively grown and spread in this vast country, carrying the message of freedom to the masses of our people in the towns as well as the remotest villages. From these masses it has gained power and strength and developed into a mighty organization, the living and vibrant symbol of India's will to freedom and independence. From generation to generation it has dedicated itself to this sacred cause, and in its name and under its banner innumerable countrymen and countrywomen of ours have laid down their lives and undergone life-long suffering in order to redeem the pledge they had taken. By service and sacrifice it has enshrined itself in the hearts of our people; by its refusal to submit to any dishonour to our nation it has built up a powerful movement of resistance to foreign imperialist rule.

The career of the Congress has been one of both constructive effort for the good of the people and of unceasing struggle to gain freedom. In this struggle it has faced numerous crises and come repeatedly into direct conflict

with the armed might of a great Empire. Following peaceful methods, it has not only survived these conflicts but has gained new strength from them. After the recent three years of an unprecedented mass upheaval and its cruel and ruthless suppression, involving terrible suffering for our people, the Congress has risen again stronger than ever and more loved by the people by whom it has stood through storm and stress.

The Congress has stood for the independence of India and for equal rights and opportunities for every citizen of India. It has stood for the unity of all communities and religious groups in India and for tolerance and goodwill between them. It has stood for the right of the Indian people as a whole to have full opportunities for growth and self-development according to their own wishes and the genius of the nation; it has stood also for the freedom of each group and territorial area within the nation to develop its own life and culture within the larger framework. For those who suffer from social tyranny and injustice, it has stood for the removal of all barriers to equality, and special help from the State and society in order to raise them from their backward and depressed state.

The Congress has envisaged a free, democratic State with the fundamental rights and civil liberties of all its citizens guaranteed in the Constitution. This Constitution, in its view, should be a federal one with a great deal of autonomy for its constituent units, and its legislative organs elected under adult franchise. In regard to the franchise, as other matters, sex should be no bar, and women should take full part as citizens in national activities.

A hundred and fifty years and more of foreign rule have arrested the growth of the country and produced numerous vital problems that demand immediate solution. Intensive exploitation of the country and the people during this period has reduced the masses to the depths of misery, starvation and beggary. The country has not only been politically kept under subjection and humiliated, but has also suffered economic, social, cultural and spiritual degradation. During the years of war, and even now, this process of exploitation by irresponsible authority and complete ignoring of Indian interests and views has reached a new height of incompetence in the administration, leading to terrible famine and widespread misery among our people. There is no way to solve any of these urgent problems except through freedom and independence. But the content of political freedom must be economic and social freedom also.

The most vital and urgent of India's problems is how to remove the curse of poverty and raise the standards of the masses. It is to the well-being and progress of these masses that the Congress has directed its special attention and its constructive activities. It is by their well-being and advancement that it has judged every proposal and every change, and it has declared that anything that comes in the way of the good of the masses

of our country must be removed. Industry and agriculture, the social services and public utilities must be encouraged, modernised and rapidly extended in order to add to the wealth of the country and give it the capacity for self-growth, without dependence on others. But all this must be done with the primary objects and paramount duty of benefiting the masses of our people and raising their economic, cultural and spiritual level, removing unemployment, and adding to the dignity of the individual. For this purpose it will be necessary to plan and co-ordinate social advance in all its many fields, to prevent the concentration of wealth and power in the hands of individuals and groups, to prevent vested interests inimical to society from growing, and to have social control of the mineral resources, means of transport and the principal methods of production and distribution, as well as of banking and exchange. Co-operation should be encouraged in land, industry and in other departments of national activity so that free India may develop into a co-operative commonwealth.

In international affairs the Congress stands for the establishment of a world federation of free nations. Till such time as such a federation takes shape, India must develop friendly relations with all nations and particularly with her neighbours on the east and the west and the north. In the Far East, in South-East Asia and in Western Asia, India has had trade and cultural relations for thousands of years and it is inevitable that with freedom she should renew and develop these relations. Reasons of security and future trends of trade also demand these closer contacts with these regions. India, which has conducted her own struggle for freedom on a non-violent basis, will always throw her weight on the side of world peace and co-operation. She will also champion the freedom of all other subject nations and peoples, for only on the basis of this freedom and the elimination of imperialism everywhere can world peace be established.

On the 8th of August 1942 the All-India Congress Committee passed a resolution, since then famous in India's story, and in this resolution it gave the battle-cry 'Quit India'. By that demand and challenge the Congress stands today and it has reaffirmed the national and international objectives of that August resolution. It is on the basis of this resolution and with this battle-cry that the Congress faces the elections for the Central and Provincial Assemblies.

The Central Legislative Assembly is a body with no power or authority and is practically an advisory body whose advice has been constantly flouted and ignored. It is completely out of date and is based on a very restricted franchise. The electoral registers for it are full of errors and omissions and no opportunities for correcting or adding to them have been given. Large numbers of our countrymen are still in prison and many others who have been released are disqualified from standing for election. Obstructions in the way of holding public meetings still continue in many places. Yet, with

all these and other handicaps and drawbacks, the Congress has decided to contest the elections on the issue of independence and 'Quit India'. In this election petty issues do not count, nor do individuals, nor sectarian cries—only one thing counts: the freedom and independence of our motherland, from which all other freedoms will flow to our people.

So the Congress appeals to the voters for the Central Assembly all over the country to support the Congress candidates in every way at the forthcoming elections, and to stand by the Congress at this critical juncture, which is so pregnant with future possibilities. Many a time the people of India have taken the pledge of independence; that pledge has yet to be redeemed, and the well-beloved cause for which it stands and which has summoned us so often, still beckons to us. But the time is coming when we shall redeem it in full, not by the election but by what comes after it. Meanwhile, this election is a small test for us, a preparation for the greater things to come. Let all those who care and long for freedom and the independence of India meet this test with strength and confidence, and march together to the free India of our dreams.

10 October 1945

Allahabad  
10 October 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I have sent you today a draft manifesto. I think a sentence might be added to this: on page 1, 3rd paragraph—after 'framework' have a comma and add: 'and for this purpose such territorial areas or provinces should be constituted, as far as possible, on a linguistic and cultural basis.'

This meets the demand for linguistic provinces like Andhra, Karnatak, etc. without going into details.

Yours,  
Jawaharlal

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

Poona

12 October 1945

My dear Jawaharlal,

I have received your letters of the 9th and 10th inst.

I had seen in the papers the invitation of Dr. Soekarno, the President of the provisional Indonesian Republic, and your reply to it. Your response was certainly very good and if you get facilities it would indeed be very desirable that you should go there leaving all your important engagements here. It would do a lot of good to the many millions of our countrymen spread over in various parts of East Asia, apart from the good that it would do to the general cause of freedom of all Asian races. But I have no hopes of your getting either the passport or the travelling facilities. I am glad you have applied for them.

I see that you propose to go to Calcutta and Midnapore towards the end of this month. Babu will not be there so soon, but so far as I am concerned I am not in a fit state yet to travel so far. I am anxious to leave this place as soon as possible to get on with the work that has been entrusted to me by the Election Board. Of course I am doing as much as is possible from here but the real work can be done from Bombay only.

Rajen Babu<sup>1</sup> had written to me also about his meeting with Syama Prasad [Mookerjee]<sup>2</sup>. I had also written to him that the Congress cannot think of any settlement with the Hindu Mahasabha.<sup>3</sup> Besides, there is absolutely no need as the Congress will easily secure all the seats in the Central Assembly so far as non-Muslim constituencies are concerned, except perhaps Dr. Syama Prasad's own seat, which by courtesy the Bengal Congress

<sup>1</sup> Dr. Rajendra Prasad; Congress leader from Bihar; close associate of Gandhi; lawyer of Patna High Court when he joined Gandhi's satyagraha against British indigo planters in Champaran district, Bihar, 1917; twice President of Indian National Congress; Minister for Food and Agriculture, Interim Government, and in first Nehru Government after Independence; Chairman, Indian Constituent Assembly; first President of Indian Republic, 1950-62

<sup>2</sup> Educationist-politician; Vice-Chancellor of Calcutta University, 1934-38; Finance Minister, Bengal, 1941-42; member, CA, and Minister in first Nehru Cabinet; President, Hindu Mahasabha, and later founder of Jan Sangh

<sup>3</sup> Communal organisation whose membership was confined to Hindus

Committee may think it proper to allow without contest. There is no other place where we can allow any one else to get in. The other suggestions made by Syama Prasad to Rajen Babu are wholly irrelevant and premature. I have written to him strongly about it.

I thank you very much for the draft manifesto which you have sent to me and for the trouble you have taken to find time for it in the midst of your engagements. I will go through it and show it to Bapu before writing to you further about it.

I understand that you are going to Jaipur during the course of the third week of this month. Mr. Haribhau Upadhyaya<sup>1</sup> writes to me that it would be helpful to him in Ajmer, where we have to put one candidate for the Central Assembly against a rich Marwari<sup>2</sup> who is a sitting member, if you can find a few hours to go there. He has, I understand, written to you also. In case you are not able to go I suggest that you request Mrs. [Sarojini]<sup>3</sup> Naidu to go there for a day. Ajmer has come round and fallen in line with the country in the last struggle and it is possible that with a little encouragement from us it may also come up with the rest of the provinces in future.

Hope you are doing well.

Yours,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
Allahabad

Poona  
15 October 1945

My dear Jawaharlal,

I have received your letter of the 10th instant suggesting a small addition in the draft manifesto which you have been good enough to send and we have incorporated it in the proper place.

<sup>1</sup> Congress worker of Ajmer and writer of Hindi prose; became Chief Minister of Ajmer and later Minister in Rajasthan Cabinet after Independence

<sup>2</sup> Native of Marwar in Rajputana; often settled in other parts of India and followed business of banker, broker or merchant

<sup>3</sup> Poet-politician; most prominent woman member of Congress party; for years its office-bearer, becoming its President in 1925; last office held governorship of UP

I have also received your programme along with your letter.  
Many thanks.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
Allahabad

10

Allahabad  
16 October 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Thank you for your letter of the 12th. I returned yesterday from my visit to Ballia etc. very tired. On the 18th I am off again. I sha'll be visiting Ajmer from Jaipur.

Prafulla Babu<sup>1</sup> has telegraphed to me to postpone my visit to Calcutta. So this is not fixed yet. I still want to go there, especially to Midnapore, if Prafulla Babu agrees. He wants me to go on a longer visit later. But no one knows what will happen later.

The Indonesian struggle is becoming more intense and critical and I feel that we ought to give it greater prominence. It would be a good thing if there were meetings etc. But the most dramatic thing would be for our dock workers and seamen to refuse to load war material for Java, as the Australians did.

Yours,  
Jawaharlal

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

11

Poona  
1 October 1945

My dear Shantilal,

You must have opened the office<sup>2</sup> today in Bombay. I have already announced in the Press about your appointment as office secretary.

<sup>1</sup> Dr. Prafulla Chandra Ghosh: joined Congress, 1921; Chief Minister of West Bengal after independence; member, State Assembly, 1947-62; 1967-68; a constructive worker of Gandhian programme

<sup>2</sup> The office of the Central Election Board was opened in Bombay in pursuance of the decision of the Congress Working Committee to fight the 1945-46 election



I forgot to tell you that Sjt. J. B. Kripalani, the General Secretary, is also to be treated as one of the members of the Election Board and therefore all the circulars that may be issued from the office have to be forwarded to him also. That office has to be kept informed of the activities of our committee from time to time.

You must have already circulated copies of the pledge to be signed by the candidates in all the provinces.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Shantilal Shah  
Office of the Congress Central  
Election Committee  
Congress House  
Bombay

12

Congress House  
Girgaum  
Bombay 4  
1 October 1945

Respected Sardar Sahib,

I saw Mr. [S. K.] Patil<sup>1</sup> at Congress House this morning and he is making the necessary arrangement for setting up the Bombay office. It will be located on the top floor of Congress House.

I enclose herewith copies of my letter to Maulana [Abul Kalam Azad] Sahib<sup>2</sup> and of the circulars sent out today.

Yours respectfully,  
Shantilal H. Shah

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

<sup>1</sup> General Secretary of Bombay PCC for 17 years till 1946, when he became its President; member, Bombay Assembly, 1937-46; member, CA, 1947-48; M.P. 1952-67; Central Minister, 1957-63 and again 1964-67; member of AICC, 1933; Treasurer, 1960-64

<sup>2</sup> Leading Muslim divine; staunch nationalist from Khilafat days; Congress President, 1939-46; member, CA; Education Minister in Nehru Cabinet

**ENCLOSURE**  
**THE INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS**  
**THE CENTRAL ELECTION BOARD**

Congress House  
Girgaum  
Bombay  
1 October 1945

Respected Maulana Sahib,

I quote below a resolution passed by the Central Election Board at its meeting held on 19 September last:

"That a communication be addressed to Government urging that the procedure adopted in Bombay for the preparation of the electoral rolls be adopted uniformly in all the provinces for the Central as well as the provincial elections forthwith."

I have to request you that a communication in pursuance of the said resolution may be addressed to the Government, if not already sent.

Yours respectfully,  
Shantilal Shah

13

Poona  
3 October 1945

My dear Shantilal,

I have received your letter of the 1st instant and I am glad that you have opened the office and started the work. I am sending you copies of some further correspondence from here.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Shantilal Shah  
Congress House  
Bombay

Bombay  
2 October 1945

Respected Sardar Sahib,

I am in receipt of your letter of the 1st instant yesterday. I have written today to Shri Kripalani, sending him copies of the minutes of the two meetings of the Board, also all the circulars issued up to now and of the pledge.

I will keep him informed of the activities of the committee.

The pledge has already been sent to all the provinces.

I have read the announcement about my appointment. I am going to Congress House daily.

The office has not yet been set up, but it will be done before Monday next.

I have engaged a clerk and I am purchasing the necessary stationery. The furniture will be purchased or taken on hire as Mr. Patil advises.

I have today secured two copies of the "Rules and Regulations of the Legislative Assembly and Council of State," one from Mr. B. J. Desai<sup>1</sup>, and another from Mr. K. M. Munshi<sup>2</sup>.

I have written to the Manager of Publications for copies but I am informed that the same have not yet been received by the BPC<sup>3</sup> who asked for them long ago.

I am sending separately, by registered book-post, one copy of the "Rules and Regulations of the Legislative Assembly and Council of State" and I am detaining another copy for my use.

<sup>1</sup> Bhulabhai J. Desai; leader of Congress party in Central Assembly; previously President, BPC; Advocate-General (Bombay); known for Desai-Liaquat Pact, a compromise formula with Muslim League, and also for defence of three officers in INA trial by military court

<sup>2</sup> Started political career as joint editor of Young India, 1915; elected member of Bombay Legislative Assembly, 1927; AICC member, 1930-36; Home Minister (Bombay), 1937-39; member, CA, 1946-50; in between Agent-General, Government of India in Hyderabad, 1948; Central Food Minister; Governor of UP; Founder of Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay

<sup>3</sup> Bombay Pradesh Congress Committee

I am enclosing herewith copy of one more circular which I am sending today, and the letter which I have addressed to Sjt. Kripalani.

Yours sincerely,  
Shantilal H. Shah

Vallabhbhai Patel

15

Poona  
5 October 1945

My dear Shantilal,

I have received your letter of the 2nd instant and also the Gujarati letter of the 3rd.

Yesterday I wrote to [S. K.] Patil to ascertain from the voters' list the number of votes of the various communities roughly and to inform me as soon as possible. I have asked him to inform you about it also. We cannot fix up our choice of candidates without knowing these figures. You may also ascertain whether Sjt. Purushottamdas Tricumjee [Tricumdas]<sup>1</sup> is on the Central Assembly list.

If you are otherwise free you may come here for a day or a few hours with the lists available.

The book "Revised Rules and Regulations of the Legislative Assembly and the Council of State" sent by you has been received.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Shantilal Shah  
Bombay

<sup>1</sup> Secretary to Mahatma Gandhi, 1914; member, Congress Socialist Party, 1940-45; member, Bombay Legislative Assembly, 1949-52; later active member of PSP; member, International Commission of Jurists, 1955

Bombay  
8 October 1945

Respected Sardar Sahib,

I have today sent to Shri Dahyabhai<sup>1</sup> a copy of the electoral rolls for the Central Assembly for Bombay city. I have also sent him two pads for your use.

I have not been able to work up the number of voters of the various communities on the Bombay rolls.

The suggestions for the candidates for the Central Assembly from the various provinces will begin to come in within a few days.

Under the resolution of the Election Board<sup>2</sup> dated the 25th ultimo, in the case of reports containing the name of one candidate only for a constituency and where there is no contest among Congressmen, you have the authority to approve the proposal.

In such a case I propose to forward to you the application, the pledge form and the report and a letter to be signed and sent by you in accompanying form.

In other cases the names are to be circulated with your recommendation among the members of the Board for their remarks. In such cases I will send you 7 copies of the applications, the pledges and reports together with letters in the accompanying form to be signed by you and sent to the members of the Board for their remarks.

I have spoken to Shri Mangaldas Pakvasa<sup>3</sup> that he may again see Mr. Motilal Setalvad<sup>4</sup> if he thinks proper.

I may state that the High Court will be closed for the vacation from 13 to 28 October and I will be able to come to Poona even on the week days if necessary.

<sup>1</sup> Son of Sardar Patel; member of Congress, 1924-56; member, Bombay PCC, 1946-56; first elected to Rajya Sabha, 1958; re-elected, 1964; now Swatantra Party leader in Rajya Sabha; business man by profession

<sup>2</sup> Small ad hoc body of Congress to supervise elections of party candidates and deal with relevant issues

<sup>3</sup> President, Bombay Legislative Council from July 1937 to August 1947; Governor of MP and acting Governor of Bombay, 1954-55

<sup>4</sup> Lawyer; India's delegate at several international conferences; former Attorney-General of India; Chairman, Law Commission, 1955

I enclose herewith copies of the two circulars which are being sent out today.

Yours respectfully,  
Shantilal

PS.

Please return to me the forms of the two types of letters duly approved by you.

On Friday last, the 5th instant, I sent out reminders to all provincial Congress committees, except the North West Frontier Province and Bengal, for sending their proposals for candidates a fortnight before the date for nomination.

I have not written to the NWF Province and Bengal yet as the dates of nomination for them are 10 November and 3 November respectively.

Shantilal H. Shah

17

Poona  
11 October 1945

My dear Shantilal,

I have received your letter of yesterday.

The Kerala report has already been circulated by me amongst the members of the committee as I had also received a report from the secretary of the KPCC<sup>1</sup> direct. I have written to him about the pledges.

Today's papers announce that the High Court has passed orders in favour of Shri Purushottamdas Tricumdas. I am glad that he has been released.

I am told that there is a special constituency known as the Gujarat and Deccan Sardars and Inamdars constituency for the Central Assembly and the Returning Officer for that constituency is the Commissioner of Central Division. Will you ascertain from the secretary whether there is any such constituency and whether the representation is by turn or whether it is one combined constituency? If it is by turn, which part of the province has got a right to send a representative this time? If you find that there is any such constituency, please secure the voters' list from the secretary and send it to me immediately, if

<sup>1</sup> Kerala Pradesh Congress Committee

possible with Mr. Patil who is coming tomorrow, or otherwise with somebody else.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Shantilal H. Shah  
Bombay

18

Bombay  
11 October 1945

Respected Sardar Sahib,

I enclose herewith copies of today's correspondence, received and sent out.

I have today handed over to Shri Dahyabhai the latest edition of the Legislative Assembly Electoral Rules and Regulations, which contains the electoral regulations of all the provinces. The book which I sent you a few days ago contained the electoral regulations relating to Bombay only.

I have also sent to Shri Dahyabhai "Council of State Electoral Rules and Regulations," and the correction slips.

Both these were received today from Delhi.

I have ordered out one more copy of each of these for being kept in the Bombay office.

I enclose herewith a form in which I propose to maintain the record about the Central as well as the provincial elections. If you approve of the form, I shall get the necessary copies made. If the form is to be filled up for all the seats of the Central as well as the Provincial Assembly, about 2,000 forms will have to be printed.

Yours respectfully,  
Shantilal H. Shah

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

Poona  
15 October 1945

My dear Shantilal,

I have received your letters of the 11th and 13th along with the enclosures. I have also received the pledge form signed by Mr. Asaf Ali<sup>1</sup> in respect of his candidature and also the recommendation of the Delhi Provincial Congress Committee.

About the constituency of the Deccan Sardars<sup>2</sup> and Inamdars,<sup>3</sup> I have got the list of voters. A large number of voters are from Maharashtra. Enquiries are being made by the Maharashtra Congress Committee as to the possibility of setting up a candidate from that constituency, but I do not think there is any chance, that constituency being of voters who are all reactionary and conservative.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Shantilal Shah  
Bombay

PS.

I have kept a meeting of the Central Election Board on the 21st and you may come here on that day. We shall then settle the draft form which you have sent to me.

<sup>1</sup> Prominent Congressman of Delhi; Deputy leader, Congress party in Central Legislature, 1945; Minister of Transport in Interim Government; Ambassador to US and Governor of Orissa

<sup>2</sup> Landed aristocracy of Deccan region in Central India

<sup>3</sup> Recipient of grant of land for loyal service



20  
TELEGRAM

Poona  
15 October 1945

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Calcutta

CENTRAL ELECTION BOARD MEETING HERE SUNDAY TWENTYFIRST.  
WILL SEND YOU FINAL REPORT.

VALLABHBHAI

21  
•  
TELEGRAM

Poona  
15 October 1945

- (1) Dr. Pattabhi Sitaramayya  
Masulipatam
- (2) Pandit Govind Ballabh Pant  
Nainital
- (3) Asaf Ali  
Kuchachalan  
Daryaganj  
Delhi
- (4) Dr. Rajendraprasad  
Patna
- (5) Kripalani  
Allahabad

CENTRAL ELECTION BOARD MEETING HERE SUNDAY TWENTYFIRST  
FOR FINAL SELECTION CANDIDATES. PLEASE ATTEND. YOUR ADVICE  
ESSENTIAL.

VALLABHBHAI

Poona

15 October 1945

My dear Maulana Sahib,

The dates for the nomination of the Central Assembly members are drawing near but I have not yet received the proposals from the provinces except from Kerala, which I have circulated amongst the members of the committee. But no reply has yet been received from them. I am afraid the procedure of circulation is cumbrous and lengthy. In many cases there will be more candidates than one for a constituency and there will be disputes. If I have to depend upon the procedure of circulation and wait for the replies from members I am afraid it would not be possible to dispose of the applications in time, nor is it possible to call a meeting where all members can attend in such a short time. Almost all the nomination dates are fixed in the last week of this month. There is therefore hardly any time for a meeting. Under the circumstances I will have no alternative but to give a decision according to my own light in case the replies are not received in time from the members of the committee. I have however kept a meeting here on the 21st and if some members will come, it will be helpful.

There is no change in the state of my health as yet, but I propose to go to Bombay on the 25th for a week for the work which you and the committee have entrusted to me and I hope to finish it largely during the course of that week.

I have heard nothing about the Central Assembly proposals from Bengal except Press reports saying that Sarat Babu is contesting the Central Assembly elections. I do not know what has happened there. Please ask the president of the BPOC to expedite the matter and to send me the proposals.

There is some dispute about Surma Valley, and I had received a complaint from the Assam Provincial Congress Committee. I advised them to obtain your decision in the matter. I have not yet received the proposals from Assam also.

I had received a letter from Dr. Rajendra Prasad suggesting some sort of a settlement proposed by Dr. Syama Prasad Mookerjee. He must have written to you also about it. I have opposed

the proposals very strongly and written to Dr. Rajendra Prasad that the Congress cannot afford to enter into any settlement with the Hindu Mahasabha, which has no chance of winning any seat anywhere in the whole country. It would compromise our position without any compensating advantage. I do not know what advice you have given to him.

Both of us are anxious about your health. Please keep us informed about the state of your health and your activities and also take care of yourself.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Calcutta

23

Calcutta  
21 October 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Thanks for your letter of 15 October. I have already sent a registered letter to you, which might have reached by now.

1. I could not go to Peshawar owing to indisposition, but Bhanju Ram Gandhi<sup>1</sup> and Abdul Qayyum<sup>2</sup>, secretary, PCC, had come to Lahore and had a detailed talk with me. But the condition of the [NW] Frontier is unsatisfactory, and the Leaguers are putting in their full weight there. They are badly in need of money also. They should be helped immediately.

2. The Punjab and Bengal hold the key position in the present election. The result of the election will have far-reaching effects in future. The arrangement of the Punjab has been made to a certain extent and a board has been formed for the Muslim seats in Bengal. Both places need funds, specially Bengal, which will need the greatest amount.

I wanted to begin the election fund on my arrival here, but had to postpone it owing to Puja holidays. However, it will be begun in the first week of November, but the work should not stop on this account. A cheque of Rs. 20,000 was presented to me

<sup>1</sup> Congress MLA (NWFP) 1936; joined Cabinet as Finance Minister, September 1937; resigned in 1939; re-elected to Assembly, 1946

<sup>2</sup> Nationalist Muslim leader of NWFP; for some time Secretary of PCC and MLA; later defected to Muslim League

in Lahore. Though it was given to me, it was meant to be spent for the Punjab election. I wanted to send it to you, but now I have kept it back so that it might be utilised for urgent work here, if no other arrangement is made. Other arrangements would be possible in November only.

I hope Bengal will collect a good amount for election, but it will not be sufficient for the actual demands, and it would be necessary to get help from Bombay. The election campaign has to be carried on during this election in the Muslim majority provinces. We should spend the largest amount chiefly in these provinces.

3. Maula Bakhsh, Maulana Mohammad Sadiq and [R. K.] Sidhwa have written to me about Sind. A Muslim Nationalist Board has been formed there, and the conditions are hopeful, but it is necessary that they should also get monetary help.

4. Rafi [Ahmed] Kidwai<sup>1</sup> is doing the work in the U.P., who will write to you about his requirements in this connection. I do not know what has been done in Bihar by Rajendra Babu, but a fairly strong Nationalist Board has been formed there. It would achieve a good amount of success if it is duly helped.

5. Abdur Rahman is working for Kerala, I know nothing about the CP as yet. All my attention is centered on Muslim majority provinces.

6. Your reply to Rajendra Babu's letter is perfectly correct. All the workers of the U.P., and all the Congressmen of Bengal hold the same view. We should follow this line of action unflinchingly. I have taken full stock of every place—there is no chance for the Hindu Sabha in any province.

Yours sincerely,  
A. K. Azad

24

Poona  
16 October 1945

My dear Maulana Sahib,

The AICC by its resolution No. VII has given directions for preparing an election manifesto to be placed before the next

<sup>1</sup> Joined Congress during non-co-operation movement; member and whip of Swaraj party in Central Assembly, 1926-29; member, CWC, 1936; Minister in U.P., 1936-39 and 1946; member of CA and later Central Minister for Communication and Food

meeting of the AICC. But there is no possibility of the AICC meeting being held in the near future. I had therefore asked Jawaharlal to draft a manifesto for the Central Assembly elections. He has been good enough to prepare and has sent it to me. It has been corrected by Bapu and I have seen it also. I am herewith enclosing a copy of it [See enclosure with No. 6] for your approval which may please be communicated to me by wire. As soon as your reply is received I will get it printed and circulate it amongst the various provinces.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Calcutta

25

TELEGRAM

Calcutta  
26 October 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Congress  
Bombay

RECEIVED ELECTION MANIFESTO TODAY. I AGREE. PLEASE PUBLISH.  
ABULKALAMAZAD

26

Calcutta  
16 October 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I received your letter addressed to the Delhi address when I reached Lahore, but owing to pressure of work and indisposition I could not get time to look into the correspondence. The delay in reply could not be helped, which I hope you would not mind.

The day on which we had to meet in Bombay, I got ill, and diarrhoea began all of a sudden. Dr. Gilder<sup>1</sup> and Dr. Mehta had examined me. Next day I went to Delhi, but the ailment continued and is still persisting. The treatment is going on.

<sup>1</sup> Personal physician of Gandhi, Patel and other Congress leaders; later Health Minister in Bombay

Considering the present condition, the reorientation of the Congress committee in Lahore is quite satisfactory, and it is hoped that work will go on smoothly till the formation of the new committees.

I have sent a letter to Bapu in Hindustani, and have asked him to read out its contents to you also. I hope you have heard it, and would have formed an opinion in this respect.

So far as the candidates of the Punjab Central Assembly are concerned, the candidature of Mr. Brijlal Nehru<sup>1</sup> might be considered for the Lahore constituency. He is prepared to resign from Kashmir service.

Raizada Hansraj<sup>2</sup> was the member from Jullundur, probably he does not want to stand now. Kishori Lal Sethi<sup>3</sup> wants to stand from that constituency. We have, however, to wait for the recommendation of the Punjab Provincial Committee.

So far as the Muslim seats are concerned, the success of the Ahrars<sup>4</sup> and the Unionists<sup>5</sup> seems to be certain. They may get two or three seats on the Congress ticket also. The Ahrars are, however, in great need of help.

Yours sincerely,  
A. K. Azad

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

27

Poona  
23 October 1945

My dear Maulana Sahib,

I have received your letter of the 16th inst. and I see that you are still in very poor health.

Bapu had your Hindustani letter to him read out to me as also his letter to you.

<sup>1</sup> Former Auditor-General of Punjab and cousin of Jawaharlal Nehru; father of B. K. Nehru, Assam Governor

<sup>2</sup> Arya Samaj leader and senior Congressman of Punjab

<sup>3</sup> Forest contractor of Kashmir; host of Gandhi and Nehru on their visits to Srinagar; member, Punjab Legislative Council after independence

<sup>4</sup> Members of militant Muslim political and religious organisation founded in 1928

<sup>5</sup> Members of Unionist Party of Punjab

I wrote to you that Mr. Brijlal Nehru had withdrawn his proposal. Raizada Hansraj does want to stand from Jullundur and his proposal has been recommended by the Punjab Provincial Congress Committee unanimously. I have sent all the proposals of the Punjab to you, but as they were not quite complete and time was very short I had wired to Maulana Daud Ghaznavi to come here, and he is arriving here this evening. I have consulted all the members by circular. I have also consulted Dr. Pattabhi and Shri Shankerrao Deo<sup>1</sup> who attended the meeting. The Punjab proposals will be disposed of tomorrow after consulting the president of the provincial [Congress Committee]. Diwan Chamanlal had also come here the day before yesterday. Tentative decisions have already been taken by the committee.

I am going to Bombay tomorrow and I had to dispose of the proposals so far received before leaving this place as there was pressure from all provinces for early disposal. Forty-three nominations have already been sanctioned and announced except from Bengal and Punjab and two seats from Madras. All others have been disposed of. The largest number of complaints were from Madras, but I had called the president of the Tamil Nad PCC and the secretaries of the Andhra and Kerala PCCs, and after consultation with them the Board unanimously disposed of ten seats. The remaining two will be disposed of on receiving further information which they will wire to me after necessary enquiries.

As for Bengal you and Prafulla [P. C. Ghosh] may dispose of the matter after consulting the local leaders and inform me of the action taken by you.

In the Punjab there are two Sikh seats of which one will be contested by Sardar Kapoor Singh on our behalf. He is a strong candidate and we will win. But the other seat for which Sardar Sardul Singh Caveeshar was proposed will be contested by Sardar Sampuran Singh on behalf of the Akalis<sup>2</sup> and we are sure to lose. The other two candidates proposed are stronger than Sardar Sardul Singh but even then they will not probably succeed. It is now proposed that Sardar Sant Singh<sup>3</sup> who has subsequently applied and is a much stronger candidate and is sure to win should

<sup>1</sup> Congress leader from Maharashtra; joined non-co-operation movement in 1920; member, AICC and Working Committee of Congress; Chairman, Reception Committee, Faizpur Congress, 1936; member of CA from Bombay

<sup>2</sup> Sikh devotees of cult founded by Guru Govind Singh (died 1708); now members of a politico-religious party of Sikhs

<sup>3</sup> Member of Central Assembly from Punjab with pro-Congress leanings

be set up for this constituency. This question will be decided tomorrow after consulting Maulana Ghaznavi.

Re. the three Hindu seats. About the one from Ambala Division in which Babu Thakurdas Bhargava and Lala Shamlal are rival candidates, we will select Babu Thakurdas who is strongly recommended by Mr. Asaf Ali and with which recommendation the Board has agreed. The other seat will be contested by Raizada Hansraj. The third is a difficult one and Maulana Ghaznavi had wired to me to persuade Diwan Chamanlal to stand. In case he declines I should keep the question open. Both Chamanlal and Maulana Ghaznavi will be consulted tomorrow and I will communicate the decision to you. After this consultation they will have to go back straight to Lahore tomorrow because the nomination date is very near.

This will dispose of all the Central Assembly selections, except Bengal.

I am going to Bombay for a week tomorrow afternoon and will write to you after my return from Bombay.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Calcutta

28

Calcutta  
19 October 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

The following matters are to be taken into consideration in connection with the Central Assembly election:

1. Mr. Maula Bakhsh has sent a letter to me from Karachi, in which he wants to draw the attention of the Board to the candidature of Sri Krishna Lulla, who has already sent his application to the local election board. It is, however, not known with what remarks his application would be forwarded by the local board to the Central Board. Maula Bakhsh has sent a copy of Lulla's application to me, which I am sending to you. What I have to say to you in this respect is that Maula Bakhsh is very keen on this gentleman's nomination. He says that he was the right hand of his brother, and Nationalist Muslims of Sind would get ample support from him. He insists on his being adopted as a



Congress candidate. If there be nothing against this man, we should not turn down the request of Maula Bakhsh, as we depend wholly on Maula Bakhsh in Sind, and we have to deal with him during the election and after it.

2. Sham Lal is the sitting member from Karnal-Ambala, but another man wants to contest this seat. I have directed him to put his case before the Punjab Board first. I do not know who has been recommended from the Punjab now. The man who wants to contest the seat of Sham Lal is said to have thrice opposed the Congress candidates and has never taken part in the Congress movement.

3. I had called here the representatives of Assam and Surma Valley. Now all their differences have come to an end, and it has been agreed upon that the work will be done by a single joint board. The nominations of Surma Valley will, however, be made by the Bengal Board, because it is under Bengal's jurisdiction.

4. The Assam and Surma Valley board is demanding funds for the Muslim seats. It is necessary that our arrangements be completed in this respect as soon as possible.

5. A suitable arrangement [for] Muslim seats has already been made in the Punjab. I am doing the same for Bengal also, but as no arrangement has yet been possible for the election fund, all the work is at a standstill and very valuable time is being lost. Please let me know without delay what you have done in this respect. I have not been able to do anything here, as the people have either gone out for the Puja, or they are busy in its celebration.

6. Dr. [P. C.] Ghosh and Kiran Sankar Roy were carrying on conversation with Mr. Sarat Chandra Bose about the election board while I was not here. I also continued it. Now a small board comprising Sarat Chandra Bose, Dr. Ghosh and Ashrafuddin Chowdhry has been formed. A bigger board will be necessary for the provincial elections.

I have not yet recouped my health. It is rest which I need most, but I am not getting it anywhere. Kindly let me know the present state of your health. I hope you would recover complete health through the treatment at Poona.

Yours sincerely,  
A. K. Azad

Calcutta  
23 October 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Thanks for your last letter. I agree with the list of candidates which you have sent to me. Only about Dr. G. V. Deshmukh, who is standing from the Bombay city, I have to make an inquiry. Is he the same Deshmukh who was the sitting member, and who had issued malicious statements against Mahatma Gandhi? Moreover he has been breaking party discipline so often. If he is the same man, I think it will greatly damage Congress prestige to give him the Congress ticket. It has been repeatedly affirmed that only those persons will be allowed to contest the elections this time on behalf of the Congress who have taken part in the Congress movement and have proved their worth at the time of test. I do not know how we shall be able to keep up to our standard if we approve such a man! I do not know what sacrifices he has made for the cause of the country.

It is quite possible that this is some other person. However, please let me know telegraphically about this matter.

I am receiving telegrams from the Punjab that the seat of Sham Lal, the sitting member, is being allotted to Thakurdas. The Central Board will have to intervene if the Punjab board has made such a recommendation. Sham Lal and his family have always suffered for the Congress. It would be unjust to remove such a tried man. Thakurdas has always opposed Congress candidates, and always kept away from imprisonment. There could be no reason to give preference to such a man over Sham Lal. Thakurdas is the brother of Lala Gopichand [Bhargava].<sup>1</sup> It should be brought home to Lala Gopichand that it is not proper for him for his own sake to get his brother's

<sup>1</sup> Congress worker of Punjab since 1919; elected to Punjab Legislative Assembly in 1937 and again in 1946; Prime Minister of Punjab, 1947-51

name adopted by the Congress. People have begun to say that he is using his influence unduly for his brother.

Yours sincerely,  
A. K. Azad

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

Poona

PS.

In the first instance, the Board was formed in Calcutta very late and the other difficulty is that Sarat Babu is in Shillong, from where he will come here on the 28th or 29th. The result is that no names have yet been finally settled. It is hoped that it will be done on 28th or 29th instant. As no time will be left to send them to Poona, I shall accept them on behalf of the Central Board.

Azad

30

TELEGRAM

Calcutta  
25 October 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

Congress House

Bombay

WIRED YESTERDAY POONA ABOUT B. DAS [CUTTACK] APPEAL AGAINST REJECTION HIS NAME. PLEASE RECONSIDER. HE IS QUITE FIT FOR WORK.

ABULKALAMAZAD

31

26 October 1945

Dear Maulana Sahib,

I have received your letter of the 21st instant today. Your telegram about Mr. B. Das of Orissa was received late last night and I have replied as under:

'COMMITTEE REJECTED B. DAS FOR GOOD REASONS. CALLED FOR SUBSTITUTE WHOSE NAME ALREADY SANCTIONED AND COMMUNICATED. IMPOSSIBLE CHANGE NOW.'

Mr. [H. K.] Mahtab<sup>1</sup> had discussed this matter with me while he was at Poona and he himself was opposed to his nomination. Apart from his being physically not quite fit for being sent to the Central Assembly, there were other reasons also. Subsequently, no doubt, his name was recommended as no other candidate probably was available within the short period of the notice for application. But the committee at Poona considered the whole question carefully and wired to Shri Mahtab to send fresh proposals which were eventually received and sanctioned by the committee. The proposal was communicated to Shri Mahtab and also to the candidate. It was therefore impossible at this stage to make any changes as suggested in your telegram. Mr. B. Das was also informed about the decision of the committee.

About the Frontier I am arranging for help immediately.

In the Punjab, the Akalis are on the warpath. They have started violence in public meetings. The two candidates selected by us are fairly strong and the Akalis have lost their temper. Maulana Daud Ghaznavi and Diwan Chāmanlal had both come to me at Poona and we have fixed up the nominations in consultation with them. They have accompanied me to Bombay and both of them left yesterday for Lahore. Dr. Gopichand is here at my instance for securing financial assistance from here for the Punjab. He will be returning back after a couple of days.

The Bengal Central Assembly elections are a little later than in other provinces. I have not yet received the proposals from there. The provincial elections will, I believe, take place in February or March. But no programme has yet been announced. Sarat Babu is still at Kurseong. I believe he has written to you about his talks with the Muslim groups. But unfortunately you are not in a fit state of health. Unless you, Prafulla Babu, Sarat and the Muslim leaders meet and decide as to the whole plan of action in Bengal we cannot see our way clearly. You may also be able to ascertain roughly what should be the amount required for fighting the elections in Bengal. There should be no difficulty in raising the money required from Bengal, but even if there is any difficulty we can meet with it if we have some idea about your requirements there.

It is good that you have kept the cheque for Rs. 20,000 presented to you in the Punjab for the work to be done there at present.

<sup>1</sup> MLA (Bihar and Orissa) in 1924; President, Utkal PCC, 1930 and 1937; member, CWC, 1938-46; Chief Minister, Orissa, 1946-50; Central Government Minister, 1950-52; Governor of Bombay, 1955-56; Chief Minister of Orissa, 1957-60; member of CA from Orissa, 1946-50

Your telegram about selecting Shri Krishna Lulla from Sind for the Central Assembly at the suggestion of Maula Bakhsh was also received very late after the decision of the committee was communicated to the Sind Provincial Congress Committee. I have wired to Jairamdas [Doulatram]<sup>1</sup> if it is possible to readjust the matter in the light of your recommendation. But I fear they would not be able to do so at this late stage.

The Hindu Mahasabha has been creating unnecessary trouble by putting up candidates everywhere. In Bombay they have at the last moment put up two worthless candidates, the principal one of whom was defeated badly in a municipal by-election last year. But for this nominal opposition there would have been no contest in Bombay. But this will involve us expenditure for nothing.

In Gujarat so far as the Central Assembly is concerned, our candidates go unopposed. So in Mahakoshal. But in Maharashtra, the Hindu Mahasabha has been opposing us. Probably they will do so except in Gujarat and Mahakoshal.

I have also received a letter from Rajen Babu asking for financial help, and I am arranging to meet this demand immediately.

Arrangements are also being made for Assam. Prabhu Dayal came here yesterday as I had written to him from Poona. I have seen his requirements and there will be no difficulty about that province at all. I have advised him to contest all the Muslim seats. They had at first decided not to contest a large number of Muslim seats for fear of financial difficulties. But I have advised them as above and they have accepted my advice.

At present I am concentrating on my work here and later on when I am able to leave the clinic at Poona, I will go to some other places where it would be necessary for me to go for finishing my work.

In Delhi also I hear from Mr. Asaf Ali that the Hindu Mahasabha is putting up a candidate against him. I do not know whether this kind of opposition is intended to coerce us to enter into a settlement with them or whether there is any other object behind it.

What does the Hindu Mahasabha propose to do in Bengal about the Central Assembly seats?

<sup>1</sup> Member, AICC, 1917-41; MLC (Bombay), 1927-29; General Secretary, AICC, 1931-34; Member, Congress Working Committee; member, CA, 1946; Bihar Governor, 1947; later Union Food Minister and Governor, Assam

I hear reports that you intend to go according to medical advice for rest somewhere outside Bengal. Please let me know what is the truth about this matter.

Hoping you are doing well,

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai

32

TELEGRAM

Calcutta  
26 October 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Congress  
Bombay

THAKURDAS BHARGAVA'S NOMINATION OBJECTIONABLE. HAS ALWAYS OPPOSED CONGRESS CANDIDATE. NEVER PARTICIPATED MOVEMENTS. NEVERTHELESS GOPICHAND'S BROTHER. I CANNOT AGREE SUCH NOMINATION.

ABULKALAMAZAD

33

TELEGRAM

MAULANA AZAD'S MESSAGE FOR SARDAR PATEL THROUGH API<sup>1</sup>

Calcutta  
28 October 1945

THE SITTING MEMBER FROM AMBALA-HISSAR IS A TRIED AND TRUSTED MAN OF THE CONGRESS. HE HIMSELF, ALONG WITH HIS SON AND DAUGHTER-IN-LAW, HAS CONSTANTLY BEEN GOING TO JAIL, AND HAS NEVER STAYED BACK IN ANY MOVEMENT. THAKURDAS HAS DONE NO SUCH SERVICE. HE OPPOSED CONGRESS CANDIDATES THRICE, AND ALWAYS KEPT HIMSELF ALOOF FROM IMPRISONMENT. NOTHING CAN BE SAID IN HIS FAVOUR FROM THE CONGRESS POINT OF VIEW EXCEPT THAT HE IS THE BROTHER OF LALA GOPICHAND. PERHAPS THESE FACTS WERE NOT BROUGHT TO YOUR NOTICE. KINDLY REVISE THE SELECTION OF THAKURDAS AND ANNOUNCE THE NAME OF SHAMLAL. SHAMLAL HAS APPEALED AGAINST THIS SELECTION. I AM AWAITING YOUR REPLY.

<sup>1</sup> Associated Press of India, domestic news agency owned by Reuter; converted into Press Trust of India after independence.

## TELEGRAM

SARDAR PATEL'S MESSAGE FOR MAULANA AZAD THROUGH API

Bombay  
29 October 1945

YOUR MESSAGE RECEIVED LATE LAST NIGHT. REGRET IMPOSSIBLE REVISE DECISION. FOUR MEMBERS OF CENTRAL BOARD OUT OF SEVEN VOTED FOR THAKURDAS. TWO ACQUIESCED IN THE DECISION. COMMITTEE CONSIDERED QUESTION THOROUGHLY. AWAITED YOUR REPLY TILL 22ND EVENTUALLY DECIDED AND DECISION WAS ANNOUNCED 24TH.

I HAVE NO AUTHORITY UPSET COMMITTEE'S DECISION NOW AS THAT WOULD TANTAMOUNT TO VOTE OF CENSURE AGAINST COMMITTEE AND WOULD RESULT IN SERIOUS REPERCUSSIONS CENTRAL BOARD AS WELL AS LOCAL.

REGARDING YOUR TELEGRAM ABOUT SIND CANDIDATE JAIRAMDAS WIRES—MAN RECOMMENDED BY YOU COMES FOURTH IN ORDER OF MERIT OUT OF FOUR CANDIDATES AND LIKELY TO LOSE SEAT IF ACCEPTED.

Poona  
6 November 1945

My dear Maulana Sahib,

On my return from Bombay on the 3rd evening, I learned from Bapu that you propose to call a meeting of the Working Committee at Calcutta on or about 16 December. A subsequent letter from Prafulla Babu indicates your intention to keep it in the last week of December. As it is, the middle of December will in itself be late, but the end of December will be too late. I expected that you will keep it in the first week of December when Bapu reaches there. You know we have promised a manifesto to the AICC for the provincial elections. The elections in some provinces will be in early January and we must be ready with the manifesto. It all depends upon your health, and if you

are going out for a change then it would not be possible to keep the meeting earlier.

About the Central Election Board, an early meeting is very necessary. We have to decide our attitude regarding the proposals for nominations for the provincial elections. In Sind they have already made some tentative proposals and I expect they will be submitting them to us shortly. These proposals will be of a very controversial nature and it would not be possible to dispose of them by correspondence. I, therefore, propose to call a meeting here on Friday, 16 November, and settle the question of policy to be adopted in the matter of these elections. As far as the Central Assembly was concerned, we had decided that the provinces should send their proposals with their report and the final selection was to be made by us. In several provinces the old committees are out of touch with the present-day atmosphere and they do not enjoy the confidence of the real workers of the Congress. If the selection is to be left to the provinces I am afraid there will be serious trouble and it will be difficult to impose discipline. If the final selections are to be made by the Board, we must send our instructions to the provinces as soon as possible and we must also decide about the procedure to be adopted by our Board in this behalf. Regarding the Central Assembly elections, we had very little time and therefore some of the complaints were received even after the nomination date was over. So far as the provinces are concerned such difficulty is likely to arise if we delay the decision regarding the procedure to be adopted in this matter.

I know that you would not be able to leave Calcutta or perhaps you will be going soon to some place for a change. Hence your coming to any place for the meeting is impossible. But if the rest of the members meet and discuss amongst themselves all the different points involved in the matter of selection of provincial nominations, it would be easy for the Board to make a report for your advice and opinion, and then we can come to some final decision. We may not take any decision in the Board till your approval is obtained, but the informal talks would be very helpful. If you suggest any other procedure I would unhesitatingly adopt it, but at present no other way occurs to me for the solution of this difficulty. I shall expect your reply whether the meeting should be called on the 16th or not by wire so that I can communicate with other members of the Board in time.

I have arranged to send a cheque of Rs. 25,000 from Bombay which you must have received by this time. Yesterday I received



Prafulla Babu's letter giving me an idea of your joint deliberations about the estimate which I had asked for. His letter indicates a huge sum. Indeed, for one province alone it is staggering, but I shall try to do my best and see that our cause does not suffer on that account.

Today Sarat Babu is coming in the afternoon and I propose to send this letter with him.

I am expecting to hear from you where and when you are going for a change and when you expect to return, so that in case of emergency I may possibly communicate with you through a messenger.

I hope you are taking care of your health. I am leaving this place for Bombay on the 19th. Bapu also goes on that day.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Calcutta

36

Calcutta  
6 November 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I have just received a cheque for Rs. 20,000 from Bombay. Please send me another cheque for Rs. 25,000 as soon as you receive this letter. I am leaving for Bindhachal tomorrow. Please send the cheque at the Bindhachal address.

Yours sincerely,  
A. K. Azad

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

37

Poona  
11 November 1945

My dear Maulana Sahib,

I have received your letter of the 6th inst. today and I am issuing instructions for sending you another amount of Rs. 25,000 from Bombay as desired by you in your letter. Evidently you have

not received the letter I sent to you with Sarat Babu, probably because you had left Calcutta before he reached there.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Bindhachal

38

TELEGRAM

Bindhachal  
12 November 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

YOUR LETTER CALLING BOARD'S MEETING UNNECESSARY FOR DECIDING PROCEDURE. WE DECIDED AT POONA THAT CENTRAL BOARD WILL APPROVE PROVINCIAL CANDIDATES AND CONSULT PROVINCIAL REPRESENTATIONS. INTEND CALLING WORKING COMMITTEE AND BOARD'S MEETING BETWEEN TENTH AND FIFTEENTH DECEMBER. PLEASE WIRE.

ABULKALAMAZAD

39

TELEGRAM

Poona  
12 November 1945

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Bindhachal

YOUR WIRE. CERTAIN PROVINCIAL PROPOSALS SPECIALLY HIND UNITED PROVINCES ASSAM BIHAR REQUIRE IMMEDIATE SANCTION. PLEASE AUTHORISE OR SUGGEST ALTERNATIVE METHOD SPEEDY DISPOSAL. WE WOULD PREFER WORKING COMMITTEE [meeting] EARLY DECEMBER AS ALREADY TELEGRAPHED.

VALLABHBHAI

40

TELEGRAM

Poona

12 November 1945

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Bindhachal

IN VIEW URGENCY PREPARATION ELECTION MANIFESTO CONSIDERATION  
OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS SUGGEST WORKING COMMITTEE MEETING  
CALCUTTA FIRST WEEK DECEMBER.

VALLABHBHAI  
JAWAHARLAL

41

TELEGRAM

Bindhachal

13 November 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

YOUR TELEGRAM. PREPARED CALLING BOARD AND CWC MEETINGS  
FIFTH DECEMBER CALCUTTA.

ABULKALAMAZAD

42

TELEGRAM

Poona

14 November 1945

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Bindhachal

YOUR WIRE THANKS. FIX MEETINGS FIFTH DECEMBER BUT SIND  
NOMINATION DATE FIRST DECEMBER. SANCTION ONE CLEAR WEEK  
BEFORE NOMINATION NECESSARY, SUGGEST SOLUTION.

VALLABHBHAI

Bindhachal  
Mirzapore  
13 November 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I have come to Bindhachal, (District Mirzapore, UP), since 8 November. I could not get your letter in Calcutta because Mr. Sarat Chandra Bose reached there on the 9th. It was sent to me here through a messenger, thus there was a delay of three days in the transmission. I have sent to you my opinion by an express telegram immediately on receipt of the letter.

You must remember that we had decided in Poona and Bombay that the names of the candidates for the Provincial Assemblies should also come to the Centre for approval, as this cannot be left to the present committees. We had also decided that the provincial representatives might also be called, if need be, for the consultation of the Central Board before the final approval. It, therefore, seems to be unnecessary to call a meeting of the board to lay down the procedure. The procedure has already been settled, and the provinces are also not unaware of it. The only thing to be done now is that a date be fixed up to which time the recommendations of the provinces might reach the centre.

I agree with you that the meeting of the CWC<sup>1</sup> and the Board be called as soon as possible. I will fix it between 10 and 15 December. It will be held in Calcutta, as Bapu is going there.

Mathra Babu had come here with a letter from Rajendra Babu. Please send him a sum of Rs. 25,000 for the election fund. He is pressing hard for it.

Yours sincerely,  
A. K. Azad

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

<sup>1</sup> Congress Working Committee, chief executive body of Congress formed by the party President after his election and responsible to the AICC; now partly elected and partly nominated

Poona  
13 November 1945

My dear Maulana Sahib,

I have received your letter of the 7th inst. along with the bills for Rs. 7,370/3/- today. I am issuing instructions for doing the needful in the matter.

We have sent you a telegram for fixing the meeting of the Working Committee early in the first week of December. In the meanwhile I received from you a reply to my letter which was sent to you through Sarat Babu. We considered the whole matter again and we came to the conclusion that an early meeting would be advisable. Another telegram was therefore sent to you. I am expecting your reply today. Jawaharlal has returned to Bombay.

This morning I got a telegram from Sarat Babu reading:

"MAULVI WALIUR RAHMAN CANDIDATE PRESIDENCY CUM BURDWAN AND MAULVI MOHIUDDIN KHAN CANDIDATE RAJSHAHI DIVISION MOHAMEDAN CONSTITUENCIES CENTRAL ASSEMBLY INFORMED ME AND PRESIDENT BPCC ON TENTH THAT THEY WOULD STAND ON CONGRESS TICKET AND NO OTHER TICKET. PLEASE APPROVE AND MAKE NECESSARY ANNOUNCEMENT.

SARAT BOSE"

It would be a good thing if we can get two members on the Congress ticket for the Central Assembly. So far we have got none.

Yesterday I had sent you a circular letter about the proposals of the Sind Provincial Congress Committee for the Provincial Assembly. Since then I have received some complaints about some of the proposals which will also be circulated today.

You must have seen from the Press that Mr. [Abdullah] Haroon,<sup>1</sup> the League candidate, is being opposed by Mr. Rashid Ali,<sup>2</sup> who has been expelled from the League. Haroon is a rich man and the contest is going to be very severe. Rashid Ali

<sup>1</sup> Leading merchant of Karachi and Congressman, 1920-28; parted company with Congress to advocate separation of Sind from Bombay Presidency and later supported Muslim League.

<sup>2</sup> Muslim Leaguer and man of letters and journalist, office-bearer of the Moslem Jumait, Karachi

needs financial help. Mr. Vazirani<sup>1</sup> recommends him and he has also written to me promising his support to us after the election. He needs a big amount.

Hope you are doing well and the change is doing you good.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Bindhachal

45

TELEGRAM

Bindhachal  
15 November 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

AS SIND ELECTIONS VERY NEAR HOLDING BOARD'S MEETING 24TH AND WORKING [Committee] 26TH ALLAHABAD. CALCUTTA CLIMATE VERY DETRIMENTAL MY HEALTH. IT WOULD GREATLY HELP IF WITHOUT RETURNING CALCUTTA I COULD DO IT. PLEASE CONSULT BAPU AND WIRE.

ABULKALAMAZAD

46

TELEGRAM

Poona  
15 November 1945

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Bindhachal

THIS MONTH OTHER MEMBERS ENGAGED ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS. DIFFICULT LEAVING THEIR PROVINCES. GANDHIJI'S PROGRAMME FIXED FOR SEVAGRAM TILL END MONTH. SUGGEST YOUR DISPOSING SIND PROPOSALS.

VALLABHBHAI

<sup>1</sup> Advocate of Karachi, Minister in Alla Bux Cabinet in Sind; independent in politics

Poona  
15 November 1945

My dear Maulana Sahib,

I have just received your telegram suggesting our meeting on the 24 at Allahabad. The members of our [Parliamentary] Board are all engaged in the Central Assembly elections in their respective provinces and they are not in a position to break their engagements or to leave their province. I have got letters from both Rajen Babu and Pantji in which the former says that his programme is fixed till 5 December for his tour in the province and the latter also till the end of this month. Shankarrao [Deo] also has his programme fixed till the end of this month in Maharashtra and Nagpur. Dr. Pattabhi also is busy with his election campaign as the Madras city election is on 1 December. It is therefore not possible for them to leave their provinces.

Bapu has fixed his programme for Sevagram where several meetings are kept during the last week of this month. Under the circumstances our meeting is out of the question in the course of this month. But we must dispose of the Sind proposals which are urgent. I had wired to Dr. Choithram [Gidwani] to come to Bombay as soon as possible after the 19th with all the proposals including the applications rejected by them as also the complaints and protests. It is my intention to send all materials with a report to you at Bindhachal.

Whether Dr. Choithram will come or not I do not know. I have wired to him yesterday but there is no reply. I would therefore suggest that you may dispose of the Sind proposals yourself in the manner you like, so that there may be no difficulty if we meet a little later.

The proposals for the Scheduled Castes from the UP have been approved by me in anticipation of sanction as their date of nomination was on the 10th. Most of these seats have been contested and others have filed their nominations.

The Assam Scheduled Castes' proposals have also been received and they are also such as would raise no controversy and the other members of the committee are hardly interested in it.

The Bihar Scheduled Castes' proposals are also expected tomorrow. They may also be disposed of in accordance with the recommendation of the province as they are hardly such as would interest any other member outside the province.

The proposals for the general constituencies from other provinces will probably come in the first week of December or even later. So, if the Sind proposals are disposed of there would be no urgency for our meeting. I have therefore sent the following telegram to you in consultation with Bapu:

THIS MONTH OTHER MEMBERS ENGAGED ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS DIFFICULT LEAVING THEIR PROVINCES. GANDHIJI'S PROGRAMME FIXED FOR SEVAGRAM TILL END MONTH. SUGGEST YOUR DISPOSING SIND PROPOSALS.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Bindhachal

48

TELEGRAM

Poona  
16 November 1945

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Bindhachal

SIND GOVERNMENT REVISED PROGRAMME FIXING 22 DECEMBER FOR NOMINATIONS. CAN FIX MEETING LATER.

VALLABHBHAI

49

24 November 1945

My dear Maulana Sahib,

The Punjab nominations have at last forced your hands and you had to advance the date of the Working Committee meeting. It will interfere with your required rest. The Punjab nominations will probably be very controversial, but I have not yet received the proposals. They are busy with the Central Assembly elections, and they will probably be free today. There are two constituencies about which decision will have to be taken immediately: the one is about the university and the other about commerce. These elections are likely to take place earlier. For the university



seat, I hear that if Sjt. Bhim Sen Sachar<sup>1</sup> is asked to stand, we may get it uncontested, or even if there is a contest, there would be no difficulty about winning it. The present incumbent is Sir Manohar Lal.<sup>2</sup> There is one complication in this affair. Sjt. Bhim Sen's father-in-law has declared his candidature for this seat. He is a title-holder, but is prepared to give up his title and accept the Congress ticket, if given. How far we can trust such people is a question. If Sjt. Bhim Sen is asked to stand, he will withdraw. This is what I have heard but I have got no proposals as yet. About the other seat also, I have not received any proposals. If there is any proposal received here, I will communicate to you by telegram for your advice, if there is urgency. The remaining proposals will be disposed of when we meet at Calcutta.

I hope your stay at Bindhachal has improved your health.

The situation in Calcutta in the meanwhile has greatly deteriorated. There has been firing several times and unfortunately the students have shown great indiscipline. It compromises our position as a national organisation. The local leaders have not been able to control the crowds. This kind of indiscipline is spreading and we must take strong action to improve the situation in future.

Hope you are doing well.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Bindhachal

50

TELEGRAM

Calcutta  
19 December 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Powerfarm  
Bombay

THE MAN IS COMING FROM PUNJAB. PLEASE ARRANGE AS SETTLED.

ABULKALAMAZAD

<sup>1</sup> Joined non-co-operation movement in 1921; Secretary, Punjab PCC, 1921; MLA (Punjab) 1939-45; Finance Minister (Punjab) 1946-49; Chief Minister, 1952-56; Governor of Orissa and Andhra Pradesh; High Commissioner in Ceylon

<sup>2</sup> A prominent member of Unionist Party and Finance Minister of Punjab before partition

21 December 1945

My dear Maulana Sahib,

I received your telegram of the 19th inst. yesterday evening. I have already sent a cheque for Rs. 50,000, but I am afraid we are wasting good money for nothing and Congress reputation will in the end suffer badly. I am enclosing herewith a Press cutting from which you will see what type of candidates are being put up by the Ahrar party in the Punjab for whom they want our help. From this cutting you will see that immediately the League candidates' nominations were declared invalid, the Ahrar candidates, who remained on the scene and whose nominations were declared valid, joined the Muslim League. It is very sad that such candidates are chosen to oppose the League. In any case it is very unwise that we should be mixed up with such a shady transaction. I would still request you to reconsider the whole position and withhold the help. May I again remind you that my information is that the Ahrars will get no place except one or two in the Punjab?

I am afraid we have mishandled the whole Punjab situation. We have to fight the Akalis as there has been no settlement as was expected and we will not get more than 5 or 6 seats after a good deal of expense which could be easily avoided.

Please excuse me for bringing these facts to your notice, but I have done so as I have been considerably oppressed by a feeling of failure in duty at a critical juncture in one of the most important provinces in these elections. I do not wish to blame anybody but I do feel that if we continue to handle affairs in the same fashion, we will suffer a serious defeat in spite of such huge expenditure and a good deal of time and energy being spent over it.

I trust your health has improved.

My attention has just been drawn to a Press report from Nagpur wherein a very mischievous account has appeared about the election of the party leader in the Central Assembly. You must

have noticed it also. It appears in the Hindustan Standard of the 20th inst.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Calcutta

52

TELEGRAM

30 December 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Powerfarm  
Bombay

PLEASE SEND ONE LAKH RUPEES TO SITARAM IMMEDIATELY.

ABULKALAMAZAD

53

TELEGRAM

Bombay  
1 January 1946

Maulana Azad  
Bindhachal

YOUR WIRE. ONE LAKH SENT TO [Sitaram] SEKHSERIA. PROPOSE  
CALLING ASSEMBLY PARTY MEETING EIGHTEENTH ELECTION BOARD  
MEETING SEVENTEENTH. WIRE CONSENT.

VALLABHBHAI

54

1 January 1946

My dear Maulana Sahib,

Your telegram was received yesterday for sending a lakh of rupees to Sitaram Sekhseria, and I have immediately made arrangements by telephone accordingly. In the Press I read yesterday that Shamsuddin Ahmed has resigned from the Krishak Praja

Party and joined the Muslim League. It is difficult to rely upon anybody. This gentleman was meeting me at Calcutta and assuring me about securing a large number of seats if help was given.

In the Punjab, the two parties are still quarrelling with increasing vigour. If Maulana Daud engages his personal secretary for election work from one party, the other party wants him to keep another from their party. These quarrels have been encouraged and it has a very demoralising effect on the general situation. I have got a wire from Maulana Daud today asking me to send Pandit Jawaharlal to the Punjab for nearly two weeks. I do not understand how this can be done and what advantage one could gain by such tours. At present Jawaharlal is going to Sind. His tours create a lot of enthusiasm and huge crowds gather together, but these demonstrations have no value for the purpose of election, as they influence no voters in the Muslim constituencies. The Hindu voters need no encouragement.

I have sent you a telegram this morning suggesting that the Election Board meeting should be kept on the 17th and the Assembly Party meeting should be kept on the 18th. I would have kept it earlier but as some members are not free till the 18th, I have suggested that date.

Apparently you were detained at Calcutta longer than expected. So your stay at Bindhachal will again be interrupted by the Delhi meeting. It is possible that we may not get proposals from all the provinces by that time. The Andhra Provincial Congress Committee has already asked for more time.

Rajaji's affair has been settled, — for the time being, and if both parties abide by it loyally, things may improve.

I hope you will improve by the change.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Bindhachal

Bindhachal  
2 January 1946

My dear Vallabhbbhai,

I had received your last letter in Calcutta. Before my departure I had sent a telegram to you to send money to Sitaram Seksaria. I hope you have arranged the needful.

The affairs of the Punjab are in a muddle for the last several years. The only remedy was to release the Congress from the contending parties and infuse fresh blood in it. But when I went and studied the situation on the spot, I felt that it was difficult to bring forward new men just at that time. Therefore, there was no way out but to keep the old element there, and to let in the new element to a certain extent as a balancing power till fresh elections of the Congress are held. I think that there could be no other method for the time being, and I hold the same opinion even now.

I had advised Dr. Gopichand and Ghaznavi, when I was in Lahore, that if the Akalis left 16 or 17 seats for the Congress, they should not contest the remaining seats, and they could make a settlement with them on that basis. I have been giving them the same advice after my return from Lahore. In Calcutta too we directed them on the same lines; so much so that I told Ghaznavi on his departure from Calcutta to give up a few (2 or 3) more seats if there could be a settlement on that basis. But the fact is that the Akalis were not prepared to make any concession or settlement.

If we totally ignore Congressite Sikhs, the result would be that the Congress will lose all hold among the Sikhs, which is impossible for us to do.

I fully appreciate your opinion about the Ahrars. No one has yet reached here on their behalf. I shall not do anything in this respect unless I am fully satisfied with the situation.

Now I want to say a few words about the Bengal situation. I learnt after your departure from Calcutta that you have constituted a finance committee with full powers to do what they deem fit. Had I known that before, I would not have remained in the dark and would have adopted the necessary attitude.

I tried to devise a method of smooth working in Calcutta, but now I find that nothing would be achieved by this method, and all attempts would produce no appreciable result.

Yours sincerely,  
A. K. Azad

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
68 Marine Drive  
Bombay

56

## TELEGRAM

Bindhachal  
2 January 1946

Sardar Patel  
Powerfarm  
Bombay

ARRANGING ASSEMBLY PARTY MEETING EIGHTEENTH AND ELECTION BOARD NINETEENTH.

ABULKALAMAZAD

57

2 January 1946

My dear Maulana Sahib,

I received your letter of 2nd inst. today.

I am receiving complaints regarding the Punjab nominations even now but we can do nothing in the matter at this stage. You must also be receiving such complaints.

Maulvi Gani has come here from Ludhiana and he wants financial help for the Provincial Assembly elections. He has not been authorised by anybody, but he is a member of the election board. Last week I got letters from Maulana Daud and Dr. Gopichand asking for financial help. Yesterday I got a telephone message from Sjt. Bhim Sen Sachar and he wants me to send Rs. 5 lakhs. Today I have got a telegram saying that they are sending Seth Sudarshan<sup>1</sup> for this purpose. This is a telegram signed

<sup>1</sup> Chief Whip, Congress Legislative Party, Punjab, 1946-56; close associate of Sardar Baldev Singh and Dr. Gopichand Bhargava

by both Sjt. Sachar and Maulana Daud. Even in their demands they are not united and different people make different demands. Their estimates differ violently. In these circumstances to render proper help becomes so difficult that one does not know what to do. However, I am trying my best to help them.

It seems impossible now to make any settlement with the Akalis, and perhaps we must wait till the results are out.

I am surprised to read the last two paragraphs of your letter about the Bengal situation. I have done nothing there without your consultation and approval. I first wrote you a letter from Poona for the framing of a budget in consultation with Prafulla Babu, Sarat Babu and Kiron Babu. You four sat together and sent me the budget. After that I came to Calcutta and we formed the election board, after our work was over, and this was done with your full approval. When I left Calcutta, I gave the secretary of the board Rs. 50,000 and informed him of what I had given to you before. Nothing more was done by me and I know nothing of any finance committee being constituted there by anybody. For the first time I hear about it from you. I only told the board that for the proper spending of the help that I may be called upon to give, I will hold them responsible. That was natural because of our experience in the Central Assembly elections.

I do not know what method you devised for smooth working in Calcutta and you have never told me anything about it.

A Muslim board was formed after I left, of which I was not informed. Shamsuddin, the secretary of this board, joined the League immediately after that. This I have learnt from the newspapers. Another gentleman has been appointed in his place.

My information, according to reports from Bengal, is that we can expect no more than about 8 to 10 Muslim seats. The rest will all go to the League. In my opinion, the proper course all over India would have been to contest the elections on Congress tickets. The independent machinery will give us no more seats in Bengal, but I have subordinated my opinion in these matters both in the Punjab and in Bengal and elsewhere to yours without question. Therefore, I do not know why you suggest that any finance committee has been appointed by me or that you have devised any method and that nothing would be achieved by that method and no appreciable result would be produced.

I wired you for approval to fix meetings in Delhi on the 18th and 19th. In reply your telegram came stating that you are

arranging these meetings on those dates. I have not yet seen anything in the Press about it, nor do I know whether invitations have been issued or not.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Bindhachal

58

TELEGRAM

Bindhachal  
5 January 1946

Sardar Patel  
Bombay

DO YOU AGREE HOLDING PARLIAMENTARY BOARD NINETEENTH?  
PLEASE WIRE BINDHACHAL.

ABUL KALAM AZAD

59

TELEGRAM

6 January 1946

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Bindhachal

YOUR WIRE. AGREE HOLDING MEETING NINETEENTH. HOPE YOU  
ARRANGED CALLING ASSEMBLY PARTY MEETING EIGHTEENTH.

VALLABHBHAI

60

8 January 1946

My dear Maulana Sahib,

I have received a letter from the Frontier where people want you for a couple of days in order to counteract whatever mischief that may have been done by Mr. Jinnah's visit there.



I do not know whether you will be able to respond, particularly because of your health.

Yesterday I got a telegram from you suggesting the holding of the Congress a month later than the announced programme, and also the change of venue from Delhi to Bombay. I am afraid the postponement of the Congress for a month will drive us into the heart of the hot season and would be very inconvenient to all. However, I have consulted the Bombay people and they are willing to undertake the responsibility if it is so decided, but the decision must be taken without delay.

I have received a telegram from Asaf Ali this morning that the Delhi people want to stick to their decision of holding it there.

Seth Sudarshan had come here and so had Gilani.<sup>1</sup> They had a frank talk in my presence. Some sort of understanding between the Akalis and the Congress appears to be possible and they have drafted some tentative proposals which they will take to Maulana Daud and the Akali Party there. If they all agree, settlement may secure us about 16 seats from the Sikh constituencies, and perhaps improve the atmosphere.

Sudarshan has brought a letter for a demand of money which is very large. He wants Rs. 6 lakhs and that demand is now supported by Maulana Daud. The previous day Maulvi Gani had come to me. His demand was that two lakhs would fully meet with their requirements. On that day I had a letter from Dr. Gopichand. He thought that a lakh and a half would be sufficient. These conflicting demands make our work difficult. For the present I have sent them one lakh and have asked them to sit together and send me a joint demand agreed upon by all of them. If they agree, I will try my best to help them, but it is difficult to make them agree.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Bindhachal

<sup>1</sup> B. S. Gilani, private secretary to Sardar Baldev Singh; also a spokesman of Christians

61

TELEGRAM

Bindhachal  
6 January 1946

Sardar Patel  
Bombay

ALL PROVINCES EMPHASISE INABILITY MAKING NEW COMMITTEES UPTO APRIL. JAWAHARLAL AND KRIPALANI FAVOUR REMOVING ANNUAL SESSION ONE MONTH FURTHER. I SUGGEST FIFTEENTH MAY BOMBAY. WIRE YOUR OPINION.

ABULKALAMAZAD

62

TELEGRAM

7 January 1946

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Bindhachal

ARRANGEMENTS BOMBAY POSSIBLE IF IMMEDIATE DECISION. MY VIEW MIDDLE APRIL ADVISABLE. LATER HOT SEASON FULL SWING. COMMITTEES MUST FINISH ELECTIONS ACCORDING PROGRAMME ANNOUNCED.

VALLABHBHAI

63

TELEGRAM

New Delhi  
29 January 1946

Sardar Patel  
Bombay

REACHING KARACHI 31ST.

ABULKALAMAZAD

Bombay  
11 February 1946

My dear Maulana Sahib,

I have not heard from you since you left Karachi. About your proposal to the League, its reaction can be seen from the attached cutting from the League's mouthpiece in Calcutta.

I am keeping the committee meeting from the 16th to consider proposals received from Nagpur, Andhra, Tamil Nad, Kerala and Orissa. It will take more than a week to dispose of these applications. I am afraid it will be a very troublesome job, but there is no other way. I have invited the members of the committee, but I doubt whether anybody except Sjt. Shankarrao will come here. The nomination dates are coming very near and I must finish them.

Please let me know what has been done in Assam.

Haji Moula Bux is coming here tomorrow and if there is anything to report, I will write to you.

When are you going to the Punjab? The elections there will soon be over and you will have to be there in time.

The food situation in the country has been very badly handled by the Government. The position is getting more serious. The Viceroy is recommending cutting down of rations which are already not enough to sustain a normal human being. He has invited Bapu and Jinnah. Bapu is not in a position to go there, because of the strain on his health in Madras. I understand the Viceroy's Secretary will see him at Sevagram. He wants, probably, the public to co-operate in cutting down rations. How can public support a proposal which would result in starving the people?

I forgot to inform you that while I was at Karachi a demand for money came from Calcutta and my son has already remitted a very large portion of the budgeted amount.

Hope you are doing well.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad

## TELEGRAM

Calcutta  
14 February 1946

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

YOUR MESSAGE [See enclosure] AND TELEGRAM. ATTENDING MEETING IF POSSIBLE. BOARD MAY DISPOSE NOMINATIONS REMAINING PROVINCES AFTER WHICH I SHALL DISPOSE APPEALS IF ANY.

ABULKALAMAZAD

## ENCLOSURE .

## SARDAR PATEL'S MESSAGE FOR MAULANA AZAD THROUGH API

YOUR TELEGRAM. THERE CAN BE NO APPEAL AGAINST CENTRAL BOARD'S DECISION. BESIDES, SUCH A COURSE WOULD ENCOURAGE INDISCIPLINE AND ENDLESS DISPUTES. BEST COURSE FOR YOU IS TO FLY TO BOMBAY FROM DELHI. RAJU ALSO WILL BE IN BOMBAY ON THE 18TH AND 19TH. PUNJAB ELECTIONS BEING DELAYED. YOU ARE NOT WANTED THERE TILL 25TH. PLEASE REPLY.

DR. CHOITHRAM<sup>1</sup> AND NICHALDAS ARE MEETING YOU AT DELHI AND CONVEYING ALL INFORMATION INCLUDING MR. [G. M.] SYED'S<sup>2</sup> INTERVIEW WITH GOVERNOR. HE HAS VIOLATED PARLIAMENTARY CONVENTION AND ISSUED PRESS NOTE CONTAINING FALSE STATEMENTS. ALSO ACTED AGAINST THE VICEROY'S PROMISE TO YOU THAT GOVERNORS WILL DEAL FAIRLY AND NOT INTERFERE. PUBLIC MEETING GIVING THREATS IMMEDIATELY AFTER FORMATION OF MINISTRY PRESIDED OVER BY LIAQUAT ENCOURAGES VIOLENCE AND TROUBLE. VICEROY MAY BE INFORMED ACCORDINGLY.

<sup>1</sup> Choithram Gidwani, Congress leader of Sind; president, Sind PCC before independence; chief spokesman of Sind in Congress organization

<sup>2</sup> Prominent leader of Muslims in Sind opposed to Muslim League

## MAULANA AZAD'S MESSAGE TO SARDAR PATEL THROUGH API

Calcutta

14 February 1946

YOUR MESSAGE. OWING TO ILLNESS MY PROGRAMME IS STILL UNSETTLED. THERE IS NO OTHER WAY BUT THAT THE BOARD MAY GIVE ITS FINAL DECISION.

Calcutta

19 February 1946

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I caught fever on my arrival at Shillong, which is still persisting.

The differences between the Assam Valley and the Surma Valley have gone deep in Assam, and now every question is viewed from the same point of view. The rivalry of these groups went on in regard to Ministry also, and as you know, other groups had their demands too. However I have tried that these sentiments may not surge up any further, so that the demands of various groups as well as the efficiency of the Cabinet may not suffer. A great deal of success has, therefore, been achieved, and so far as I could gauge, I have found that the whole province was satisfied and happy over it. Basanto Babu has been taken in the Ministry, Mr. Sarma has been nominated for Speakership from the Assam Valley, who will prove a suitable person for it.

Only one man, Abdul Mutalib, out of the three Nationalist Muslims returned to the legislature, deserved to be included in the Ministry. He has, therefore, been taken. The remaining two seats have been kept vacant. Sir Mohammad Sadullah<sup>1</sup> has been told that if he co-operates with the Congress party to work out its parliamentary programme, then the Congress will gladly include two of his men in the Ministry. I have prepared Sadullah

<sup>1</sup> Muslim League leader of Assam; member of Assam Governor's Executive Council before provincial autonomy; appointed Prime Minister of Assam after resignation of Congress Ministry in 1939

for this, but Jinnah will probably not agree to such a proposal. However, we have clarified our position.

On my arrival here I got a message of the Viceroy that he desired to meet me. If I get fit I shall leave for Delhi on the 15th. After which I may have to go to Peshawar and Lahore. Under the circumstances it is difficult for me to get time to go to Bombay. You have called the Parliamentary Board on the 16th. It should finish the work of the remaining provinces. If any appeal will be filed in this connection, you would send it to me, and I will decide it in consultation with you.

Yours sincerely,  
A. K. Azad

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Congress House  
Bombay

68

Bombay  
23 February 1946

My dear Maulana Sahib,

In continuation of our telephonic conversation yesterday, I feel bound to make it clear that the nomination of Sjt. Pandey is nothing but an unexpected letting down of the Central Board and a total disregard of ordinary courtesy. If you wanted to change the decision, you should have at least consulted us. The analogy of the change in the UP decisions is not at all applicable here. That question was settled at the time when the decisions were taken by the Board and the matter was left open in certain cases; even there it was decided that nothing is to be done without consulting the provincial leaders, including Pantji, one of the members of the Board concerned. In Mahakoshal no such reservation was made. There can be no appeal against the decision of the Board, including you as chairman, and if such authority were vested in you, there should have been a specific provision in the Working Committee's resolution. Besides, if you were the appellate authority, you cannot at the same time be the Chairman of the Board, whose decisions are appealable to you alone. This is a strange procedure and your action has lowered our prestige in the eyes of the people of that province. I enclose herewith a

copy of a letter received from [R. S.] Shuklaji<sup>1</sup>, who was also not consulted by you. I had issued a public statement that the decisions of Mahakoshal are final and are not appealable and hence there will be no change. You referred to certain documents in your telephonic conversation, which were shown to me also. Sjt. Chedilal was here and he had explained to me about it. Sjt. Pandey was also here and I had seen Sjt. Kishorelal Mashruwalla.<sup>2</sup> They were informed that there will be no change. I had not the slightest idea that they will come to you and get a reversal of the Board's decision. If I had known that they were to come to you, I would have written to you about it. I had also seen your Press statement to the effect that these decisions were final. If you had taken all the powers alone and disposed of all the nominations, nobody would have been more glad than myself. The procedure adopted in this case is such that I cannot understand it at all.

From your conversation on the phone, I also understood that my coming to Lahore is likely to embarrass you and that I should rather not go there. But for Maulana Daud's invitation to go there, I would not have agreed to do so, particularly in my present state of health. In Sind also, I went there because a special messenger came to call me simultaneously when another came to Delhi to call you. If you had expressed the slightest desire that I should not go, I would have agreed with the greatest pleasure. But I feel from your conversation that I have lost your confidence and therefore you don't want me to go there. I do not wish to say anything about what has been represented to you and about the group that has given you that impression but the least that I could do in the circumstances is that in view of your attitude I propose to immediately tender my resignation from the Central Board as well as the Working Committee. I should be grateful if you will relieve me without any delay as my continuance in the present state is likely to give me constant mental trouble and anxiety. I regret very much to say that I have to take this action at a very critical juncture but I feel that the

<sup>1</sup> Entered Legislative Council of Central Provinces in 1923 as member of Swaraj Party; Minister of Education, July 1937; Premier, August 1938; Chief Minister of CP after Independence and later of reformed State of Madhya Pradesh until 1956

<sup>2</sup> Exponent of Gandhian philosophy; editor, *Young India*, and *Harijan*; member, Zakir Husain Committee on Basic Education

treatment that has been given to me is, to say the least, unexpected and undeserved and hence no alternative is left to me.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
C/o Frontier PCC  
Peshawar

69

TELEGRAM

Peshawar  
23 February 1946

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

WOULD BE EXTREMELY HELPFUL IF YOU COULD KINDLY COME LAHORE. OTHERWISE DO FLY TO DELHI AT LEAST. STAYING DELHI 25TH 26TH.

ABUL KALAM AZAD

70

TELEGRAM

Bombay  
25 February 1946

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
New Delhi

YOUR WIRE. REGRET CANNOT LEAVE BOMBAY. PLEASE REFER MY LETTER 23RD ADDRESSED PESHAWAR COPY DELHI. JAWAHAR JUST ARRIVED.

VALLABHBHAI

71

Camp Lahore  
28 February 1946

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I have received your letter of 23 February 1946. I am extremely sorry that you were put to so much trouble owing to



this affair. I assure you that I had not viewed this matter in the light you have taken it, nor was I under the slightest impression that I am taking a decision against the decision of the Board. You are aware that I had received appeals of certain candidates for the Central Assembly as well, and there was a case about which I had personal knowledge and I held quite a contrary view about it to that of the decision of the Board. Nevertheless, I did not like to take any action on it, more than that I apprised you of my impressions. And when you replied that a change would be improper, I accepted your view unhesitatingly, and rejected the appeal. Under the circumstances it is obvious that there could be no reason for me to adopt a different attitude in this matter, or would have knowingly desired to undermine the prestige of the Board. You can never expect such a thing from me.

We are working together for the last 26 years in perfect unison. Every morning and evening of our public life has passed in amity and concord. We should not hurry in forming opinions against one another. I always expect a generous attitude from you and other co-workers, and [I] think that I deserve it.

The whole affair is detailed below:

We had made final selections of the candidates of several provinces in Delhi. But at the same time complaints were received from the candidates that the provincial Boards had not published or informed them of their decisions before, and therefore they said that they did not get an opportunity to appeal to the Central Board, if they wanted to do so. We had felt the weight of these complaints and had formed the opinion that we will reconsider on appeal special cases deserving a revision of the Delhi selections. Accordingly 30 appeals were received from UP, and out of them I admitted eleven and changed the previous decision.

Like UP, telegrams and letters of protest began to pour in from Mahakoshal also. Every letter complained that the provincial board had not made its decisions public, and the list was published in the local papers only on 5 February, and therefore they wanted to have a chance for appeal. I did not take any notice of the complaints. But when I returned from Shillong, this new appeal came before me, and I had occasion to go through all the papers and the circumstances. I was totally unaware of the fact that the case had already been considered by you, nor I came across any statement from you about the finality of decisions about Mahakoshal. On considering the appeal I concluded that sheer injustice was done to the appellant.

Even then I did not give my decision immediately. I wired to the provincial board and awaited a reply for five days. As no satisfactory reply was received, I decided the case according to my judgment. Had you informed me in time, I would certainly have not liked to interfere.

I tell you once again that I am really very sorry over this situation, and assure you that whatever was done was due to the fact that I did not know of any announcement of the Board in this respect.

Appeals are still pouring in from Madras and Andhra; but I have given a statement to the Press that the appeals will be heard no more.

Yours sincerely,  
A. K. Azad

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Congress House  
Bombay

72

Bombay  
6 March 1946

My dear Maulana Sahib,

I have received your letter of 28 February today.

I know that you had received appeals of certain candidates for the Central Assembly and in one case you differed from the decision of the Board but at that time also I had made it clear that no appeal can be entertained by you on the decisions of the Board. I do not know how you have got such an idea even now. I may also bring to your notice that in that particular case, the papers were circulated amongst the members of the Board who were not likely to attend and they had sent their opinion. You were also informed that the proposal of Sjt. Bhargava in that case was strongly supported by Sjt. Asaf Ali, who had personal knowledge about the merits of the candidates concerned. You had not sent your opinion in time and the Board finally decided after consulting Maulana Daud in Poona. This decision was announced and also communicated to the candidate concerned. Supporters of the rejected candidate approached you at Calcutta and you insisted on a change till the last moment on the ground of your having received an appeal.

You did not conceal your resentment against the decision of the Board and gave vent to your feelings when we met at Calcutta, in the presence of some of the interested parties, but I thought it better to keep quiet at that time. You are certainly entitled to claim a generous attitude from us and I have done my best to do so, but you must also make allowance for an honest difference of opinion. You cannot insist that your opinion is the only correct one. In the Punjab we honestly held different opinions but you have never recognised that there is scope for such a difference of opinion in that matter and you have missed no opportunity to remind us about it.

You are certainly entitled to a generous attitude from us; personally I have done my best to do so. In the Punjab I have differed strongly from you in the matter of the election campaign on many points, including the question of financial help to be given to the Congress party. I was expected to help them only in the matter of Muslim constituencies. In this they have lost all [along] the line and I knew that they were going to lose. They insisted on financial help being given for non-Muslim constituencies and tried to put pressure on me through you. I have agreed without hesitation to whatever you suggested in this connection. They have avoided all responsibility but as you were all working against heavy odds, I thought it my duty to accept your suggestions without question. In the matter of selection of candidates in the Punjab also, we had differences but we have endorsed everything that you have done without the slightest hesitation.

No doubt there were complaints received by you from various provinces but they were received by us also. I may be permitted to say that these appeals and protests have been encouraged by an impression that has been created all over that the President can hear appeals over the decisions of the Board. Somehow or other, you have held that idea in spite of my protests from the time of the Central Assembly elections.

In the UP the position was different and I have already written to you about it in my last letter. In the case of the CP your decision has been arbitrary and ex parte. I have already sent you a copy of Shuklaji's letter from which you must have seen that he was assured by me several times that the decisions of the Central Board in Mahakoshal were final and not liable to any change. You were a party to the decision and there was no reservation for reconsideration or review, nor was any notice given to us about the proposed change.

Your non-interference in the matter of appeals pouring in from Madras and Andhra referred to in your letter cannot in any manner justify the arbitrary and ex parte decision in Mahakoshal ignoring the Board altogether. I am afraid you have not realised the ridiculous position in which we are placed in the whole of that province.

Perhaps it may be that your approach to these questions is different from mine and therefore it is difficult for me to understand or appreciate it. It would therefore be better to relieve me from this embarrassing position altogether, as early as possible.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
President  
Indian National Congress  
New Delhi

## CHAPTER II

### CONGRESS PROSPECTS

73

Allahabad  
31 October 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I am writing to you about a matter which distresses me somewhat, although there is not much that you can do about it. Everywhere that I go I see tremendous enthusiasm for the Congress and at the same time some kind of a hiatus between the local Congress group in control and the general public. In many places these old committees, which are still functioning, are out of touch with most of the people who functioned during the last three or four years. The recent rules asking them to co-opt members to fill vacancies have, curiously enough, worsened the situation. The people co-opted have often been specially chosen to strengthen the old group. There are cases of persons being co-opted who indirectly opposed the movement or apologised or indulged in black markets and the like. The result is great dissatisfaction with the ruling group.

I am speaking from personal knowledge of my own province which in many ways is better organised than several other provinces. I imagine conditions are much worse in some other provinces.

In the Punjab I am very dissatisfied with recent developments. I know a large number of enthusiastic young people who are simply not allowed to work. For my part I have no time and no inclination to enter into local squabbles.

I have been receiving many telegrams of protest against the nomination of candidates for election from various provinces. No doubt you have received far more and are in a better position to judge. I cannot, however, help feeling disturbed at some of the choices made or proposed in the Punjab, in Bengal and in Sind. I earnestly trust that people who have played us false in the past will not be encouraged now.

Yours,  
Jawaharlal

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

Poona

4 November 1945

My dear Jawaharlal,

I have received your letters of the 30th and 31st ultimo.

There is no doubt considerable mass awakening and tremendous enthusiasm all round, but I am afraid much of it is superficial. Demonstrative enthusiasm will not help us much unless we take proper care to divert it into the right channel. People require proper direction. They are very responsive at present but some of our leaders who are in direct touch with them do not understand their response. I will quote an instance which has given me a shock. You have perhaps heard of a case of dacoity committed by Dr. [Murray Gow] Purdy<sup>1</sup> in Bombay. He is under trial. Twice he attempted to escape from jail by violence but he was rearrested. I was surprised, and so also you will be, to hear that our friends Asoka Mehta<sup>2</sup> and Abid Ali<sup>3</sup> issued a public appeal for funds to defend the case of this scoundrel. He is no political prisoner. To give political colour to such dacoities would rapidly lead the Congress to destruction.

Asoka Mehta met me last week when I did not know these facts. He asked me to help him in securing the assistance of Mr. [H.R.] Pardiwala<sup>4</sup> to whom he wanted to entrust the responsibility of the defence of another case in Dhulia. I called Pardiwala and asked him whether he would go to defend this case. He said that if he was ordered by me he would go, but he was unwilling to do so as in all conscience he did not like

<sup>1</sup> Came to Bombay from South Africa early in World War II and formed revolutionary group known as the Mazdoor Trotskyite Party; together with some of his followers, raided a jeweller's shop in the city; sentenced to imprisonment and later deported.

<sup>2</sup> Founder-member of Congress Socialist Party; member of its Executive Committee, 1934-38; member, Socialist party, 1948-52 and PSP, 1953-63; member, Lok Sabha, 1954-57 and 1957-62; Deputy Chairman, Planning Commission, 1963-67; Union Cabinet Minister, 1966-68

<sup>3</sup> Labour leader of Bombay; member, Legislative Assembly, Bombay, 1949-52; later joined Nehru Government as Deputy Minister for Labour.

<sup>4</sup> Advocate of Bombay High Court with Congress sympathies

to identify himself with such cases. He told me that it was also a case of dacoity.

The selection of candidates for the election is a bad business. I have been flooded with applications, appeals, complaints, protests and recommendations from various quarters. It is a sad thing to see this mad competition for going into the Councils. We must discourage this. Most of these complaints are absolutely false. No selection is possible which would satisfy all. There are complaints from all provinces. You say that you cannot help feeling disturbed at some of the choices made or proposed in the Punjab, Bengal and Sind. I do not know whether you refer to the selections for the Central Assembly or for the provinces. So far as the Central Assembly is concerned no selections were made from Bengal till the date of your letter to me, i.e. the 31st. I got the telegram about the Bengal selections on the 1st. The Bengal selections have all been made by Maulana [Azad] himself. I have no knowledge about these selections. About Sind, there is only one seat in the Central Assembly, and the man selected has been returned unopposed. His was a unanimous recommendation. His rival approached Asaf Ali at Delhi and Maulana at Calcutta and also came here to see me personally. He has never been in the Congress but he is a good lawyer and secured a recommendation from Asaf Ali and Maulana. About the Punjab, there is a complaint about the selection of Dr. Gopichand's brother. He was also recommended by Asaf Ali. You know there are two strong parties in the Punjab. Dr. Satyapal's<sup>1</sup> group sent numerous letters, telegrams and protests against the selection to all members of the Board. The selection was made by the Board after calling Maulana Daud Ghaznavi<sup>2</sup> from the Punjab and in consultation with him by the Board at Poona. About the provincial nominations I have not yet received any proposal.

There were complaints about Dr. [G.V.] Deshmukh's selection also, but we have selected him as there was no other alternative and after consulting Bapu.

Maulana has written to Bapu suggesting 15 December as the date for the meeting of the [Congress] Working Committee at Calcutta. I am afraid [feel strongly] it would be better to meet early in December, if not earlier. We have promised a manifesto for the

<sup>1</sup> A Congress leader of Punjab from Amritsar

<sup>2</sup> Contemporary of Gopichand Bhargava and Satyapal in Punjab; President of POC for several years

provincial elections to be placed before the AICC and in some provinces the elections are in January. It is necessary to meet earlier, but much depends on Maulana's health and convenience. You can, if you agree with me, wire to him or write to him about it.

I have come here after finishing the greater part of my work in Bombay. We propose to stay here till the 19th of this month. That ends the period of my trial here.

I have shown your letter of 30 October to Mr. [J. B.] Kripalani who is also here. I have discussed it with Bapu also and we think no useful purpose will be served by giving details of individual cases to [Arthur] Henderson.<sup>1</sup> His replies are very unsatisfactory and we expect nothing to come out of it. I am enclosing a copy of my letter to [Krishna] Menon,<sup>2</sup> to whom I have written as desired by you.

Many thanks for your good wishes.

It was not appropriate to ask you to come to Bombay interrupting your programme, for all know that you are so overworked and to call you all the way from U.P. to preside over a function which was wholly unnecessary at this stage was quite indiscreet. When I learned about it I rebuked them also. I am glad you did not come.

Yours,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
Allahabad

75

Poona  
7 November 1945

My dear Jawahar,

You must have received my letter on your arrival in Bombay. I understand you will be in Bombay for more than a week.

Would it be possible for you to spare a few hours to meet us? If you can come by plane it will be only half an hour's journey. There are many important matters to be discussed.

<sup>1</sup> Under-Secretary of State for India and Burma in Attlee Government

<sup>2</sup> Secretary, India League, London, 1929-47; Special Representative in London of Indian Government, 1946-47; Indian High Commissioner, 1947-52; last assignment Defence Minister; resigned from Nehru Cabinet in 1962 after Sino-Indian conflict; member, Lok Sabha



Regarding the Central Election Board, I am in a fix. Maulana is not keeping good health and is going out somewhere for a change for about a month or more. He proposes to call a meeting of the Working Committee in Calcutta by the end of December. It will be very late. We have promised an election manifesto for the provincial elections to be placed before the AICC. Asaf Ali is busy with his own election and with the INA Defence Committee work. Rajen Babu and Pantji<sup>1</sup> [G. B. Pant] are touring in their provinces for the Central Assembly elections and other important Congress work. I have no authority to dispose of urgent proposals coming from the provinces where elections take place earlier for the selection of candidates for the depressed classes, labour and other constituencies, and the Board is not meeting. Nobody has authority to dispose of these matters.

In the matter of selections of the Central Assembly Maulana was dissatisfied and expressed his disapproval in some cases after the scrutiny was over.

We are leaving this place on the 19th for good. Bapu goes to Sevagram and I will be in Bombay. If we can hold a meeting of the Working Committee before Maulana's departure for Bindhachal, we can deal with many urgent matters. Can you put yourself in touch with Maulana? I doubt whether it is possible to do so.

The Punjab affairs are in a bad way. In the Central Assembly we have selected two Sikh candidates for the two Sikh constituencies. These selections were unanimous, both here and in the provincial board. There have been no protests. We were told that we will win both these seats without any difficulty. I now find that our people in charge there are not confident. The Akali Dal is putting a stiff fight and all the important forces have gathered behind Master Tara Singh<sup>2</sup> and Baldev Singh<sup>3</sup> to defeat

<sup>1</sup> Elected member, AICC, in 1916; elected to UP Legislative Council, 1923, on Swaraj Party ticket and party's leader in Council for 7 years; member of Congress Working Committee from 1931 onwards; elected to Central Assembly in 1934 and was Deputy Leader of Congress party; elected leader of Congress party in UP Assembly in 1937 and became its first Premier; member, Central Parliamentary Board and Chairman, UP Parliamentary Board. After Independence he was Chief Minister of UP from April 1946 to January 1955. He was Home Minister, Government of India and Deputy Leader, Congress Parliamentary Party from January 10, 1955 until death

<sup>2</sup> Akali Sikh leader of Punjab; Secretary and later President, Shiromani Gurdwara Prabandhak Committee; after World War II resigned from Congress and organised Panthic Akali party which agitated for a state having Sikh majority

<sup>3</sup> Started political career as Akali leader; Development Minister, Punjab, 1942-46; joined Congress and served as Defence Minister, 1946-52

the Congress. A defeat in the Centre will have a very bad repercussion in the province. [B.S.] Gilani had gone to Maulana with a proposal to settle the Sikh question so as to avoid a fight. I am enclosing a copy of this letter for your information. Gopichand has wired to me today saying that the chances for our candidate Sardar Kapoor Singh<sup>1</sup> are 50/50. When his proposal was sanctioned both Maulana Ghaznavi and he were very sanguine of success. Master Tara Singh has sent a man with a letter to me, probably suggesting some proposals for a settlement. This man is detained in Bombay owing to his suddenly being laid up with fever. I had a telephone message from him for an appointment tomorrow. I do not know how to dispose of this matter.

The Punjab question has been tackled by Maulana on the spot. But the developments that have taken place subsequently appear to have changed the situation. You must also have noticed Khizr's<sup>2</sup> [Sir Khizr Hayat Khan] statement in the Press. It is difficult to rely upon such people.

In the Central Assembly elections we have already secured 50 per cent seats uncontested. In the majority of the remaining seats there is hardly any serious contest. The Hindu Mahasabha will be finally finished this time, but the Sikh and the [Muslim] League questions have got to be handled very carefully.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru

76

Bombay  
7 November 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I arrived here this evening and Dahyabhai gave me your letters. I told him to post your letters to Krishna Menon.

I quite agree with you about the Purdy case and am surprised at the attitudes of Asoka Mehta and Abid Ali.

I am uncertain about my programme here. Probably the Planning Committee will carry on till the 11th. If so I should like to come over to Poona to meet you and Bapu on the 12th. But the 12th is a Monday—Bapu's silence day—and that rather

<sup>1</sup> Congress leader among Sikhs; Speaker of Punjab Assembly

<sup>2</sup> Leading figure of Unionist Party of Punjab; Prime Minister of Punjab at the time of partition

is a difficulty. I shall phone or wire to you later. I am anxious to go back to Allahabad as soon as I can.

Yesterday in Delhi I had a deputation from so-called Congress Akalis who talked about avoiding a contest between the Congress and Akalis. Tara Singh had sent them to me though he kept away himself. They talked vaguely and I referred them to you. I understand that they have sent some kind of a messenger to you.

Yours,  
Jawaharlal

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

Poona  
9 November 1945

My dear Jawaharlal,

I received your letter of the 7th today.

After our conversation on the telephone I have arranged with Bapu. He will take his silence at 12 noon on the 11th so that he can have a talk with you after 12 noon the next day when you will be here. I have sent word to Nargis<sup>1</sup> that you will be coming here by the last train which leaves Bombay at night on the 11th so as to arrive here on the morning of the 12th. She will meet you at Shivajinagar, where you have to alight.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
Bombay

Poona  
8 November 1945

My dear Jawaharlal,

I have just received a telegram from Maulana Daud Ghaznavi reading:

"PLEASE ASK PANDIT JAWAHARLAL VISIT PUNJAB HELP CENTRAL ELECTIONS. HIS TOUR ESSENTIAL BOTH SIKH AND GENERAL CONSTITUENCIES."

<sup>1</sup> Nargis Batlivala; granddaughter of Dadabhai Naoroji; one of the pioneers of Indian National Congress; closely associated with Gandhi's constructive work.

Master Tara Singh's man came here just now with a proposal for the settlement of the Sikh question, both for the Centre and the provincial elections. I have replied to him that no settlement is now possible so far as the Centre is concerned. As for provincial elections I have also told him that although the question can be considered if there is goodwill on both sides nothing can be done without reference to our President and the Central Election Board. I have also told him that the Election Board will consider the question only if any proposal for settlement is supported by the local Parliamentary Board as well as the large majority of Sikhs in the Congress.

I understand from the Press that you are there till the 15th. If you can go to the Punjab for a couple of days, it would be good because the Central Assembly result would have repercussions in the provincial one. Please inform Maulana Daud Ghaznavi about your decision directly.

Yours sincerely,  
• Vallabhbhai Patel

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
Bombay

79

Poona  
15 November 1945

My dear Jawaharlal,

Early in the morning yesterday I tried to talk to you on the phone but I could not do so because it took a very long time to get at you and I had to go away for my treatment. Maniben [Sardar's daughter] therefore informed you about Maulana's telegram. Mridulaben [Miss Mridula Sarabhai]<sup>1</sup> also conveyed your message to me.

There is no advantage in holding the meeting of the Central Election Board at Bindhachal. Rajen Babu is not free before the 5th. So also Pantji. Both of them have authorised me to deal with the situation as it arises. Asaf Ali has not written to me about his plans. I am afraid he would also be too busy.

The Sind nominations date fixed by Government is 1 December and in fairness to them we must give sanction to their proposals at least a week before the date of nomination. These

<sup>1</sup> Member of family of textile magnates of Ahmedabad; twice General Secretary of Congress under Nehru's presidency

proposals are very controversial. Numerous telegrams and protests have been received. I have wired to Dr. Choithram [Gidwani] and Jairamdas [Doulattram] to come to Bombay next week. It is impossible to wait till the 5th or even till the 1st of December. Maulana is unwilling to give authority, nor is he prepared to deal with the proposals himself. Under the circumstances I will discuss all the proposals and objections with the Sind leaders and send a man, if possible, to Maulana, or finally dispose of them on my own responsibility.

The Scheduled Castes' proposals have already been sanctioned by me for UP and Assam. The Bihar proposals will have to be disposed of next week.

Therefore it would not be of much use to go to Bindhachal for our meeting. At the time of the meeting of the Working Committee we may also hold our meeting, when there will not be much work for us to do except the question of authorising somebody to deal with the proposals as and when received.

Before you left Poona I had not read the papers of the day. On going through them at night after you had left I was surprised to find an appeal in the name of Sjt. Sarat Bose for a crore of rupees for the relief of the INA. I do not understand this at all. We must settle this working at cross purposes from two different quarters when we meet at Calcutta. Whether we shall be able to do it or not I do not know.

I have got a letter from [Harekrushna] Mahtab<sup>1</sup> saying that the Forward Bloc<sup>2</sup> people are organising themselves to get into the Provincial Assembly. Similar information has been received from other sources. I do not know whether the Bloc people are going to merge themselves into the Congress or keep their separate entity and create centres of interest in all provinces.

In UP, I understand, the Socialist Party is unwilling to drop its identity and intends to work on the same lines as before. I do not know what is your own view about this matter.

Hope you are doing well.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
Allahabad

<sup>1</sup> Member, Congress Working Committee from Orissa; thrice head of Ministry in the State; had a spell of office in Nehru Cabinet

<sup>2</sup> Founded by Subhas Chandra Bose after he broke away from Congress in 1938; its influence is largely restricted to West Bengal.

Bombay  
21 November 1945

My dear Jawaharlal,

Capt. K.D. Pranjape, now in active service in the 14th Punjab Regiment, has approached me today for being selected as a candidate for the Provincial Assembly, CP.<sup>1</sup> He is a resident of Nagpur. His father is a candidate for the Hindu Mahasabha opposing Mr. Salve, the Congress nominee for the Central Assembly election from Nagpur. He has taken four days' leave to go to Nagpur to vote against his father and in favour of the Congress candidate. He has given his resignation from the service as conditions of service have dissatisfied him. He knows Capt. Shah Nawaz<sup>2</sup> [Khan] and his companions as he was once in the same regiment.

His suggestion is that there are officers in the Army who would like to go to the provincial assemblies in the various provinces and it would be better to take such men as it will create a tremendous impression in the Indian Army. He himself proposes to apply for it and wanted to know what would be the Congress policy in this behalf. It was a difficult question for me to answer straightaway, but I have assured him that individual applications will be considered most sympathetically. This question will have to be decided at the time of the next meeting of the Working Committee.

I have sent you the following telegram today:

KINDLY SEND YOUR IMPRESSIONS AND REACTIONS PUNJAB TOUR IMMEDIATELY.

You know that 12 December is the date fixed by the Punjab Government for the Punjab provincial election nomination and therefore the proposals for nominees will have to be disposed of early, i.e. before the date of our meeting at Calcutta. Your impressions about the situation in the province would be very

<sup>1</sup> Central Provinces

<sup>2</sup> Indian Army officer who, as prisoner of war, defected and joined Bose's INA; his trial in Red Fort in 1945 along with two of his comrades, Dhillon and Sehgal, became famous after successful defence organised by top Congress leaders; member of Council of Ministers during Prime Ministership of Nehru and Indira Gandhi

helpful in disposing of these proposals which, I expect, will be received soon. Please let me know about it as early as possible.

I trust the strain of the strenuous tour has not affected your health.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
Allahabad

81

Allahabad  
26 November 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I have just returned from a tour of Meerut Division and received your letter of 21 November.

For some time past I have been definitely of the opinion that we should set up a few demobilised officers from the Army as Congress candidates for elections, provided of course we can find suitable men. I mentioned this fact to the Punjab PCC people and asked them to keep a look-out for such persons—Hindu, Muslim or Sikh. I wrote also to Pantji to the same effect. The Punjab of course is a province most concerned, and partly UP also is concerned. I know as a fact that any such action on our part will have far-reaching and favourable consequences. Some time back the question arose of setting up Shah Nawaz of the INA as a Congress candidate. I asked Shah Nawaz as well as Sehgal<sup>1</sup> and Dhillon<sup>2</sup> if they would be willing. All three were willing. Shah Nawaz's name is on the voters' list but I do not know if the other names are there. The INA people of course stand on a different footing from the regular Army men. I think that both the categories should be considered by us. There is at present a widespread and favourable feeling in the Army towards the Congress. Large numbers of these people are going to be demobilised soon and I feel it would be right for them to be given a place in the Congress and in the legislatures on our behalf.

About my Punjab impressions, I imagine that both the Congress Sikh candidates will win, but it is difficult for me to

<sup>1</sup> Defendant in INA trial

<sup>2</sup> Member of INA tried along with Sehgal and Shah Nawaz Khan

judge from a brief tour. Everywhere I was told that Baldev Singh was using Government officials to bring pressure on voters for the Akali Dal candidates. Indeed, the contest was more against these officials than against the Akali Dal itself. I think the Sikh elections have served a good purpose, whatever the results. It has brought all the progressive Sikh forces together in line with the Congress.

About the Punjab generally, the position seems to me fairly good so far as the public reaction is concerned, but bad so far as the Congress organisation is concerned. The old party spirit is rampant and Congressmen work against each other. Daud Ghaznavi, the president, [Punjab PCC] is, I think, a good man and not connected with any party. Dr. Gopichand, while having a strong position among the Hindus, is especially disliked by the non-Hindu groups as well as by the opposite party in the Congress. In the choice of candidates there is a great deal of party feeling involved. For the present the Congress has done very little in the way of big propaganda.\* They simply cannot function in a big way. They plead lack of funds also. The main contest for the Muslim seats is between the Muslim League and the Unionist Party, both of whom are spending money on a lavish scale. In spite of this I am sure the Congress will do well in the elections provided a strong line is taken, suitable candidates chosen and financed.

I cannot say definitely in regard to the Muslim candidates whether it will be better in the Punjab to set up direct Congress candidates or allow the Muslim Nationalist Board to set them up on its behalf. But my general impression has been, more especially in the U.P., that the direct Congress appeal goes farthest. Especially in the rural areas in the UP the Congress is popular amongst the Muslims. They have hardly heard of the Jamiat or the Muslim Board. The contest Kazmi<sup>1</sup> versus Liaquat Ali<sup>2</sup> is a close one and on the whole there has been very good work done in it. It is possible that Kazmi might win. If he loses, he will do so by a small number of votes. I was told repeatedly that the Muslim League had got thoroughly frightened there and was distributing money to voters. What effect this will have I do not know.

<sup>1</sup> Muslim nationalist of UP

<sup>2</sup> Landlord of UP; member of provincial legislature, 1926-39; MLA (Central), 1941 and Deputy leader of Muslim League; Finance Minister in Interim Government formed by Nehru in 1946; Prime Minister of Pakistan after partition



Whatever the results of these Muslim elections, they have had a very good effect on our workers and on the situation generally. We have discovered that there is a very good response among them to our appeal and we have certainly laid the foundations of a favourable drive for the provincial elections. I do hope that, as you said, we shall contest every Muslim seat.

This election tour has been very exhausting and my throat is on the point of giving way. Indira [Mrs. Gandhi] has been ill here but I only come to Allahabad for a day at a time. I am going to Lucknow tomorrow for a meeting of the Executive Council of the PCC. On 1 December I shall probably be in Delhi. When I was in Delhi last I received a letter from Sir Claude Auchinlek,<sup>1</sup> the Commander-in-Chief. It was a friendly letter saying that he desired to meet me and to have a talk with me. He mentioned various dates. In my reply I said I would be glad to meet him and I chose one of his dates—1 December. Since then I have not heard from him again. But I expect I shall have to go. After that of course I shall go to Calcutta where I hope to reach by 5 December.

Yours affectionately,  
Jawaharlal

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

PS.

I might add that Colonel Loganathan of the INA (now in Delhi fort) is keen on standing on the Congress ticket for this provincial election. I do not know whether his name is on the Madras list or not. He is an excellent and highly competent man. I wish you would ask the Madras Congress people to find out about his name and if it is there, to put him up.

I want to repeat that the recent election work has been a revelation to Congress workers so far as the Muslim areas are concerned. It is astonishing how good the response has been. How we have neglected these areas! We must contest every seat.

<sup>1</sup> Deputy Chief of General Staff, Army Headquarters, India, 1936-38; C-in-C in India, February to July 1941; Supreme Commander of India-Pakistan forces at time of partition

Bombay  
24 November 1945

My dear Jawaharlal,

Thanks for your telegram about your impressions on the Punjab tour. The election is over and I am expecting information about the probable result. Your tour has helped them considerably and I think they will be able to win both the seats. It will clear our way for the provincial elections.

I see that you had to cancel your engagements of the third day because your throat was sorely affected. I hope you are all right now.

Maulana had at last to advance the date of the Working Committee meeting. I had been pressing him all along till you left Poona but he did not yield. At last the Punjab nominations have forced his hands. The date for nominations is 12 December. They are all highly controversial and it may take more than two days for disposal. Perhaps a big crowd will come to Calcutta with complaints.

Unfortunately, the Calcutta situation has been very tense. There has been firing a number of times and several lives have been lost. I do not know whether it will settle down before we reach there. In any case, we are going at a time when the atmosphere will not be helpful for our work. It is unfortunate that the local organisation of the Congress and also the local leadership have not been able to control the students. From all accounts, it appears that the students acted against the advice of the local leaders. This is not a good sign. It does compromise our position and the infection of indiscipline outside is also likely to spread. The students in Bombay tried to follow suit, but the Bombay atmosphere is different and somehow we have managed to settle down.

I do not know where you propose to stay in Calcutta. Bapu will be staying at Sodepore [Ashram]. The Working Committee will probably have to meet at Sodepore, which is at a fairly long distance from Calcutta.

If you can find time, it would be better if you can prepare a draft manifesto for the provincial elections, before the meeting of the Working Committee.

I have not heard from you about your proposals for the formation of the two committees about which you talked to me at Poona.

Hope you are doing well.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

83

Bombay  
24 December 1945

My dear Jawaharlal,

I have not heard from you after you left Calcutta for Assam. Since you left Calcutta an incident has happened which I think I should bring to your notice. Maulana Sahib told me when I met him before leaving Calcutta on the last day that our discussion in the Working Committee about the appointment of the leader of the Central Assembly Party was such that he did not like it. He said that we all took it for granted that in the absence of Sjt. Bhulabhai [Desai] or Shri Rajaji, Sarat Bose would be the leader. He told [us] that we have all not considered the claim of Asaf Ali. He appears to me to be holding a strong view on this point. Since then I notice that the thing has appeared in the Press also. I am afraid if Maulana persists in his attitude and insists on supporting him there will be trouble in the party from its inception. Up to now Asaf Ali had taken it for granted and had never suggested that he himself would be in the run. The party meeting is to be called in the middle of January at Delhi and the Central Election Board also is to meet at that time. The election of the leader would largely depend upon the free choice of the party. Any pressure from above or from outside will probably be resented and may result in trouble.

I have written to Sri Prakasa<sup>1</sup> inquiring from him as to when he would be able to come to Delhi for the INA office

<sup>1</sup> Educationist, journalist and politician; member, AICC, 1918-45; Secretary, UGCC, 1928-34; General Secretary, Congress, 1929-31; MLA (Central), 1934 and 1945; Member, CA, 1946; Indian High Commissioner in Pakistan, 1947-49; Commerce Minister in Nehru Cabinet; Governor of Assam, Madras and Bombay

work. If you agree, I propose to call the first meeting of that committee also about the middle of January at Delhi.

I do not know whether you have moved in the matter of securing a permit for your going to Burma, Malaya, etc. as per our resolution.

Dr. Bidhan<sup>1</sup> [B. C. Roy] has written to the Government of India for the medical mission, but he has not yet got any reply.

What are your impressions about Assam and what is your further programme? Hope you are doing well.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
Allahabad

84

Bombay  
29 December 1945

My dear Jawaharlal,

I am enclosing herewith copy of a letter which I have received from Sri Prakasa, for your information.

Asaf [Ali] met me on his return from Madras. He has not been able to solve the trouble there. On the contrary the atmosphere is more strained. I am trying to put my head to it and see if anything could be done.

About the question of Assembly leadership, I had a talk with Asaf and he has realised the difficulties of the situation and has agreed to stand aside. Therefore, for the present, the difficulty is solved.

Hope you are doing well.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
President  
States Peoples' Conference  
Udaipur

<sup>1</sup> Congress stalwart in Bengal; VC, Calcutta University, 1942-44, and later President; Jadavpur University; Prime Minister and later Chief Minister, West Bengal

## ENCLOSURE

Sevashrama  
Benaras  
Camp: Ahmedabad  
29 December 1945

Dear Sardar Sahib,

I have just received your kind letter of 27 December. You will have received my own letter by now. I came here to see my old friend Shrimati Anasuya Sarabhai<sup>1</sup> who has been ill and I am now going off to Delhi and Benaras. I was arranging to be back in Delhi from Benares by the 15th. I, however, gather from your letter that you are likely to call a meeting of the [Congress] Committee about the 18th. Kindly fix it for that date. I shall surely be there then. I am sorry I cannot come to Bombay. You will please excuse me. I should have been glad if I had a talk with you. I shall be glad to have an extra two days at Benaras as I have to arrange for the election petition that my opponent has filed against me. I wrote to you about the technical point I had made and which was accepted by the Returning Officer. Whether the three judges who will now sit will also accept it, is more than doubtful.

Your kind letter addressed to Benaras re: my appointment as a member of the INA Relief Committee, has also duly reached me here since I wrote my last to you. You will have learnt of the difficulties of the Delhi office. I regret I cannot come to Bombay for I have to hurry on to Benaras now. I am very thankful to you for your kindness and confidence and pray I may prove worthy of the same. Hoping all is well,

With high regards and respects,

Yours sincerely,  
Sri Prakasa

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

<sup>1</sup> Member of Sarabhai family; outstanding woman labour leader and social worker of Ahmedabad who helped Gandhi in organising textile labour

Patna  
30 September 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Since coming here we have had a meeting of the Provincial Working Committee. A board of 5 members has been constituted for selecting candidates for the Assembly elections. The Government have notified that 29 October is the last date for filing nomination to the Central Assembly and 25 November as polling date. We have got six non-Mohamedan seats and 3 Mohamedan seats and one landholders' seat in the Central Assembly. We cannot set up any candidate for the landholders' seat. We are trying to ascertain the position with regard to the general constituency seats. One thing is being said on all sides and that is that the Hindu Mahasabha will contest most of the general constituency seats, if not all. It is said definitely that the Bhagalpur seat, which is now filled by Babu Kailash Bihari Lal who has to be eliminated by us on account of his conduct in the Assembly, is going to be contested by Kumar Ganga Nand Sinha. Whatever may happen in regard to the other seats, there is bound to be a contest for this one. The Board is going to meet on 5 October by which time we hope we shall be in a position to fix up our candidates for the various general constituency seats.

As regards the Muslim seats it is possible to get at least one of the seats out of three, namely, the Tirhut Division seat from where Maulvi Abdul Gani<sup>1</sup> is a sitting member. But it will involve cost. We have not yet been able to fix up any candidate but we have decided to contest seats and we must be prepared for heavy expenditure. Even in the general constituency seats we may have to incur expenditure, if we insist on our active Congressmen who have suffered and sacrificed being our candidates. The general rank of workers would like to have only this class of candidates and they would expect us to find the funds from somewhere. It would be impossible to raise money in the province. I am therefore in a somewhat awkward corner. I do not

<sup>1</sup> Congress leader of Ludhiana and veteran freedom fighter; refused to migrate to Pakistan; member of Parliament after Independence

know what to do. I will write to you again after the 5th when I hope the situation will be clearer.

I mentioned to you the case of employees of the steel factory at Jamshedpur. A list has been sent to Khurshedben<sup>1</sup> for handing over to you in which the names of persons discharged or dismissed are given. I have come to know that many persons were detained or externed. The company has discharged them on account of their being absent from their duty. Most of these people have now been released or the orders of externment have been withdrawn against them. The company refuses to re-employ them. They have been discharged or dismissed for no fault on their part. The Government made it impossible for them to do their duty. You may recollect that there was a strike in August 1942 which lasted for some days. Many of these people were arrested and detained or externed even before the strike took place. So it can't be said that they participated in the strike. Their absence was due entirely to Government's action but even those who were externed or detained or imprisoned after the strike did nothing against the company. There is no reason why any of them should be discharged or dismissed or otherwise penalised because the Government took action against them. One would expect that a concern like the Tatas<sup>2</sup> would treat them as on leave with pay and all the privileges of employees during the period of their detention or incarceration and not deprive them of their livelihood on account of their forced absence from duty. But instead of that they are not prepared to re-employ them, even after the Government have withdrawn the order of detention and externment against them. I think you have to take up the matter with the directors at the headquarters. I may inform you that I wrote to Mr. A. Bryant, the Works Manager, about Mr. G. Sethi who has 25 years' service in the factory. He was externed and had therefore to leave Jamshedpur. After the externment order was withdrawn the company refused to re-employ him. I wrote to Mr. Bryant to consider his case and he replied that Sir Ardeshir Dalal, the then Managing Director, and Sir J. Ghandy, the then General Manager of the Works, had decided that he should not be re-employed and he could not therefore re-employ him but he would refer the matter to Sir J. Ghandy after his return from America. Sir J. Ghandy has returned and Mr. Sethi has taken a

<sup>1</sup> Granddaughter of Dadabhai Naoroji and follower of Gandhi

<sup>2</sup> Premier industrial concern in India and pioneer among entrepreneurs who established steel and other industries in private sector

letter from me for him. I have not yet received any reply, but from the talk I had with Mr. Madan, an employee in a similar position, I gathered in Bombay that Sir J. Ghandy was not inclined to entertain proposals for his re-employment. I asked Mr. Madan to meet you and submit all the details. I do not know if he could see you in Bombay. This Madan is another young man. He is a Parsi. His father was for many years holding one of the highest posts in the company. He came to our movement in the year 1942 and was arrested and detained before the strike in the factory took place. After more than two years' detention he was released but an order of externment was passed and he was prohibited from going to Jamshedpur. He has built a house at Jamshedpur and has got no other house anywhere else. He wrote to the authorities but they did not relax. He disobeyed the externment order and was given one year's imprisonment which he has just served out. There is another Parsi named Dastur who has also similarly disobeyed an externment order which was served after his detention period and was imprisoned. After serving out the sentence he came out and went to Jamshedpur for the second time. They have again convicted him for disobeying the order. There are other cases also in which there has been no disobedience of Government orders but absence from the factory in obedience to Government orders. The company treats all these cases alike and discharges them. I am thinking of going round on a tour and may visit Jamshedpur also. I should like that this matter may be settled before I go there otherwise there may be trouble. The workers there resent this kind of action. There are other grievances also which are becoming acute and if any trouble arises after my visit or during my visit I should be in an awkward position. I would therefore suggest that you might take up the matter there and settle it before I go. I may be going there in the third or fourth week of October. If by any chance Madan has left his address with you please let me have it.

Yours sincerely,  
Rajendra Prasad

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel



Poona  
5 October 1945

My dear Rajen Babu,

I have received your letter of 30 September today.

So far as the Central Assembly elections are concerned it would not be possible to arrange any financial help from the Centre. I am still confined in the clinic and before these elections are over I may not be able to leave this place. It was therefore that I informed everybody who met me in Bombay that for the Central Assembly elections the provinces will have to make their own arrangements.

I do not think there will be any severe contest in this election in any province except in the Muslim constituencies in places where we or the Independent Muslims would contest the [Muslim] League. The nomination dates being very near you must fix up the proposals as early as possible so that the selections may be made in time.

About the factory employees at Jamshedpur, I will make an effort when I get the list from you, but I am afraid we will not be listened to so long as we are in the wilderness. It is too much to expect from the Tatas also that they would treat their labour differently.

I do not know why you are going to Calcutta at present. I hope you are keeping fit.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. Rajendra Prasad

Sadaquat Ashram  
Dighaghat  
Patna  
1 October 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I wrote to you a long letter yesterday about provincial matters. In this I am going to confine myself to Bombay. When I was there I met some friends among whom was Professor K.T. Shah. You know he was the president of a committee appointed by the Congress Government of Bihar of which I was a member. I came in somewhat intimate contact with him then and our relation has been very friendly. I had heard something against him but my contact with him has not disclosed anything which could repel me. On the other hand I have been much impressed by the many qualities in him. I say all this because I want to write something with which he is concerned.

He mentioned to me the name of a Muslim friend whom we could take as a Congress candidate for the Bombay Assembly. He gave me a letter from him which I am enclosing. It speaks for itself. It seems to me that he would be quite a suitable Congress candidate from our point of view. He is cultured and educated. He holds a very high position in the Khoja<sup>1</sup> community. He is well to do and will not only meet his own expenses but might also contribute something towards the election expenses of other candidates. I would, therefore, recommend his case to you. You will of course find out for yourself all about him.

Dr. Deshmukh<sup>2</sup> has not proved a very satisfactory Congress member in the Central Assembly and I imagine you would like to put up somebody else in his place from Bombay. I believe there are two seats and you have to put up one Maharashtrian and one Gujarati for the two seats. Could we take Professor K.T. Shah for one of the seats? For ability and capacity for work he cannot be beaten by any one else. He has not been

<sup>1</sup> Muslim sect found mainly in Gujarat and prominent in trade and industry in Bombay. Mohammed Ali Jinnah, first Governor-General of Pakistan, was a Khoja.

<sup>2</sup> A leading surgeon of Bombay

a jail-going Congressman but he is a strong nationalist and can be depended upon if he signs our pledge to stick to it. I would therefore recommend him also.

There is also another name for the Provincial Assembly of Bombay about which I need not say much. There is the name of Dr. Jivraj Mehta.<sup>1</sup> I have mentioned these names so that you may keep them in mind and consider them when the time comes.

Yours sincerely,  
Rajendra Prasad

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

88

Poona  
6 October 1945

Dear Rajen Babu,

I have received your letter of the 1st instant today which means that it has taken five days for your letter to reach Poona from Patna. The reason for the delay seems to be that the address you have put is wrong as you will see from the enclosure. Instead of Poona you have addressed it to Bombay.

Regarding your suggestions about Prof. Shah and Dr. Jivraj Mehta, we shall consider the same when the proposals are received from the Bombay Congress Committee. There is a suggestion that a Parsi candidate should be selected and that would leave only one seat for Bombay which may go to a Maharashtrian. The Parsis would find no place in the Central Assembly except from Bombay. As Sir Cowasji Jehangir<sup>2</sup> will not probably stand this time no Parsi who is not given a ticket by the Congress stands any chance, but this will depend upon the attitude of the Bombay Congress Committee.

I have received the enclosed letter from Mr. Fazalbhoy sent to you by Prof. Shah. I have read the letter and I find that he

<sup>1</sup> Physician and Congress leader of Baroda; Director-General, Health Services, 1948-49; Minister in Bombay, 1949-60; Chief Minister, Gujarat, 1960-63; Indian High Commissioner in London, 1963-67

<sup>2</sup> Parsi industrialist and baronet of Bombay; member, Executive Council (Bombay), 1921-22 and 1923-28; elected to Central Assembly from Bombay, 1930; President, Liberal Federation, 1936

wants to stand as an independent candidate and not on the Congress ticket. You write in your letter that we could take him as a Congress candidate for the Bombay Assembly and you recommend his case to me for consideration. He does not say that he wants to stand on the Congress ticket. There is some inconsistency which I am unable to explain. Probably Prof. Shah in his letter must have written to you that he is willing to stand on the Congress ticket. If he is standing as an independent candidate we have nothing to do in the matter unless he suggests a way in which we can help him.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. Rajendra Prasad  
Patna

89

P.O. Zeradai (Saran)  
12 October 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I have your letter. I regret that by mistake the address was wrongly put which delayed the letter.

I would write to you finally about the Central Assembly nominations on the 19th from Patna where we have a meeting of the Election Board on that date.

I was under the impression that Shri Khurshedben would have given you the list of Jamshedpur employees as they had sent the list to her and me a copy of it. On my return to Patna I may be able to send it to you. Such cases have arisen with the Steam Navigation Company also whose steamers ply in Bihar rivers. I do not know what we can do to help the people who have thus been thrown out of employment.

As regards Fazalbhoj, Professor K.T. Shah had spoken to me and given me the letter which I had sent you. It may be that I was under a wrong impression. Now we have to await further developments and I will let you know if there is anything further to be done about him.

The inability of the Central Board to help with funds in Central Assembly elections places great difficulty in our way. I will discuss the matter with our people on the 19th and do what

we can. I have sent you a preliminary report about the candidates. As stated above, I will send the final one on the 19th.

I wonder if you require any additional hand in your office. If so, I might suggest the name of Shri R. K. L. Nandkeoleyar who is in the AICC office. He belongs to Gaya, has a British M.A. degree and was a barrister and has been with us since 1921. He was put in charge of the Kerala Provincial Congress Committee in 1940-41 by Bapu and did good work there. He was in jail during the movement. I apprehend that [J.B.] Kripalaniji may not like my writing to you over his head; so if you require his service get him from Kripalaniji and do not let him know that I have made the suggestion.

Yours sincerely,  
Rajendra Prasad

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

90

Poona  
15 October 1945

My dear Rajen Babu,

I have received your letter of the 12th instant.

I have fixed a meeting here on the 21st for the final selection of candidates for the Central Assembly, but you say that you will send your report on the 19th. It will not reach us here on the day of the meeting unless you post it in time. But I would suggest that the proposals should be communicated to me by telegram.

I have received the preliminary report which you had sent. Will you give me some idea of the financial assistance you will require so as to relieve you of your difficulty about the Central Assembly elections? I may make some efforts in that direction when I go to Bombay by the end of this month.

Are there any non-League candidates opposing the Muslim League candidates for the Central Assembly? How many Muslim seats are there from your province in the Centre? Give me some idea of the composition in the constituencies.

I know Nandkeoleyar as I had seen him several times at Wardha when he was there with the Secretary of the AICC. I think I saw him at Simla also. Jawaharal has written to me

about Sjt. Dharam Yash Dev who was also in the Central Office for some time for propaganda work. He met me in Bombay, but I cannot decide this matter till I go to Bombay. I have sent you a wire today about our meeting on the 21st. If you can come it would be very good, but I do not expect that anybody except Dr. Pattabhi [Sitaramayya]<sup>1</sup> and Shankarrao Deo will come here. However, I must do my best.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. Rajendra Prasad

91

Poona  
8 October 1945

My dear Rajen Babu,

I have received your letter of the 5th instant.

I do not think that the Hindu Mahasabha can get any seat except in Bengal. It has no strength in any other province. I do not know anything about Bihar, but after having shown so much strength in the present struggle I do not doubt that Bihar can render a very good account of itself in the elections. My view is that excepting Syama Prasad there is hardly any man whom we can accept and sacrifice our seat instead. It would not be wise to lose both ways. On the side the League is attacking us and we have to rely largely upon our strength in non-Muslim constituencies. We cannot afford to surrender without cause any of the seats which we can easily secure. If in an individual case we find that the Hindu Mahasabha has a 50 per cent chance against the Congress we can settle with them and allow them that seat. But I personally feel that there will be no such case in the whole of India. We may however consider this question when we meet next time.

Gandhiji has received a complaint from one Ramsnehi Singh, Congress worker of Ramnagar Thana in Champaran district, making a vigorous attack against Bepin Vihari Varma's selection for the Assembly, which has been handed to me. It is alleged that he had opposed the movement.

<sup>1</sup> Congress leader of Andhra; joined party in 1916; member, AICC and Congress Working Committee; Congress President, Jaipur session, 1948-50; President, All-India States Peoples' Conference, 1936 and again 1946-48; member, CA, 1946-50; last assignment Governor of MP

When will your Provincial Congress Committee finally send its proposals for the Central Assembly?

You had written to me about Dr. Jivraj and he himself had come to me for that purpose, but it appears that he is not a voter and therefore cannot stand.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. Rajendra Prasad  
Patna

92

Poona  
10 October 1945

My dear Rajen Babu,

In continuation of my last letter I wish to inform you that I showed your letter of the 5th instant to Bapu. He says that we can have no objection to meet Dr. Syama Prasad Mookerjee at any time he desires to do so. But his proposal to have a certain number of representatives in the so-called Constituent Assembly is unthinkable because, if not for anything else, at least for its being too premature. Nobody knows whether the Constituent Assembly is going to come into being from these elections, much less do we know its nature to decide in advance whether we shall be in a position to accept it. These things cannot be considered unless we have a full and clear picture before us. I entirely agree with him in this view.

Today's papers announce that Sarat Babu is going to contest for the Central Assembly from Calcutta. He also told me so when he came here.

I am enclosing herewith a report I have received from the Secretary of the Kerala PCC. There are three candidates for the Central Assembly. The last is not worth considering. Between the first and the second, the first one seems to have a very good record and is also a lawyer. The second one is anxious to secure our support but in comparative merits the first one appears to be superior. Please communicate to me your opinion as early as possible.

KPCC affairs are in a bad way. It was dominated by the Communists. The president who was a Communist has joined the Muslim League and a large majority of the Communists who

formed the bulk of the Congress Committee have been expelled or have resigned. They have all decided to support the Muslim League. Under the circumstances we have to rely on the report of the secretary. There is no other proper person to consult. We will have to depend largely upon Dr. Pattabhi's guidance in this matter.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. Rajendra Prasad

93

Poona  
15 October 1945

My dear Rajen Babu,

Mr. Madan's letter was received by me yesterday and I have written to the chairman of the steel company, Mr. J.R.D. Tata, about the affair. When his reply is received I will communicate to you what he has to say in the matter. I have also spoken to Mr. M. R. Masani<sup>1</sup> about it. He is in their employ and he has agreed to communicate my views to the chairman. I have spoken to him rather strongly about the matter. Personally, I think that these people will have to wait till we get back to power but I will try my best to see whether they could be reinstated now.

The nomination dates for the Central Assembly are drawing near. I have not yet received any recommendations except from Kerala, which has been circulated among the members of the Board. The process of circulation and receiving of replies is a very dilatory one and I am afraid it would be difficult to dispose of these applications in time if I have to wait for the replies from the members. Nor do I see any possibility of the members coming here if I were to call a meeting. Under the circumstances I see no way out but to give my decision according to my own judgment and to inform the members of the committee accordingly. If you suggest any other way I shall be glad to accept it. I am afraid we will get many complaints from many provinces, particularly from the Punjab, Madras, Bengal, Nagpur and Berar.

<sup>1</sup> Founder and joint secretary of Congress Socialist party, 1934-39; Mayor of Bombay, 1943-44; member, Central Assembly, 1945-47; member, CA, 1947-48; Ambassador to Brazil, 1948-49; Founder-member and later President of Swatantra Party



That cannot be helped. I have kept a meeting of our committee on the 21st. If you can come it would be very helpful.

I have received a draft manifesto from Jawaharlal and after it is scrutinised by Bapu I will send it on to you as well as to other members. It is intended for the Central Assembly elections only. I have not yet received the final proposals from your province.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. Rajendra Prasad

94

TELEGRAM

Poona  
17 October 1945

Doctor Rajendraprasad,  
Dighaghat  
Patna

YOUR WIRE. NEED NOT COME IF INCONVENIENT.

VALLABHBHAI

95

Patna  
19 October 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I have sent the following telegram today which I confirm hereby:

Sardar Patel  
Nature Clinic  
Poona

PROVINCIAL ELECTION BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS SATYANARYAN [Sinha] BIPINBIHARI VARMA RAMAYAN PRASAD GOURISHANKAR SARAN SINHA BENARSIPRASAD JIUNJHUNWALA RAMNARAYAN SINHA FOR CENTRAL ASSEMBLY NONMUSLIM CONSTITUENCIES. COMMUNICATING LATER ABOUT MUSLIM SEATS. FINANCIAL HELP NEEDED PARTICULARLY FOR CHHOTA-NAGPUR SEATS AND MUSLIM SEATS. WRITING.

The constituencies for which the names are suggested are given below:

Satyanarayan Sinha	Saran-cum-Darbhanga
Bipinbihari Varma	Champaran-cum-Muzaffarpur
Ramayan Prasad	Shahabad-cum-Patna
Gourishankar Saran	Gaya-cum-Monghyr
Sinha	
Benarasiprasad Jhun-	Bhagalpur-cum-Purnea-cum-
jhunwala	Santhal Parganas
Ramnarayan Sinha	Chhotanagpur Division

After considering all the other names the Board decided to stick to its previous decision which I had communicated to you. As regards Shri Bipinbihari Varma we are assured by the workers there that there is no substance in the complaint of Ramsnehi Singh. As a matter of fact we are told there is no Congress worker of that name in that thana. There is one man of that name who is in service of the Harinagar Sugar Mill as propaganda officer but he is not regarded as a Congress worker there.

I must inform you that in the Chhotanagpur constituency the contest is likely to be serious as we understand that the Raja of Ramgarh is going to stand. He is very rich and owns practically the whole of district of Hazaribagh as his zamindari. Although Babu Ramnarayan Sinha will be a strong candidate, propaganda will be expensive as five districts will have to be covered. The Muslim seats will be particularly expensive as two of them cover two divisions and the third covers two divisions and the province of Orissa, in one constituency. We are trying to ascertain the position regarding the Muslim seats. Even if it is found necessary only to help Muslim candidates set up on behalf of the Board of the Muslim nationalist<sup>1</sup> parties, they will require financial help. I am raising funds for helping sufferers and it will not be possible to raise funds for election also. I have therefore to depend on you and we shall not require less than Rs. 25,000 from you, if there is a contest and if we have to help in Muslim constituencies also.

As regards the landholders' seat the provincial board would nominate Babu Chakreshwar Prasad Jain. You may sanction his nomination but leave it to us to publish it or not as we consider necessary.

Yours sincerely,  
Rajendra Prasad

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

<sup>1</sup> Small committee of nationalist Muslims who helped Muslim candidates in elections on condition they would not join any communal organisation

PS.

With reference to your letter dated 10 October enclosing the report of the Secretary, Kerala Provincial Congress Committee I am of the opinion that Shri A. Kurunakara Menon should be nominated as a Congress candidate for the Central Assembly for the West Coast-cum-Nilgiris constituency.

Rajendra Prasad

96

Poona

22 October 1945

Dear Friend,

Your recommendations of:

- | Name                             | Constituency                           |
|----------------------------------|--|
| (1) Babu Satyanarayan Sinha      | from Darbhanga-Saran                   |
| (2) Babu Bipin Bihari Varma      | from Muzaffarpur-Champaran             |
| (3) Babu Ram Narayan Prasad      | from Patna-Shahabad                    |
| (4) Babu Gourishankar Sinha      | from Gaya-Monghyr                      |
| (5) Babu Benarasidas Jhunjunwala | from Bhagalpur-Purnea-Santhal Parganas |
| (6) Babu Ramnarain Sinha         | from Chhotanagpur Division             |
| (7) Chakreshwar Prasad Jain      | from Landholders                       |

as Congress nominees for the Central Assembly elections have been approved and you may inform the candidates accordingly. We hope the Congress will come out victorious with a thumping majority in all these constituencies.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Secretary  
Bihar Prov. Congress Committee  
Patna

Poona  
23 October 1945

My dear Rajen Babu,

I have received your letter of the 19th instant.

Our Board met here on the 21st and 22nd. Shankarrao Deo and Dr. Pattabhi attended. Others did not come. We have disposed of all the selections except those from Punjab and Bengal. You must have seen the report in the Press. The Punjab proposals will be discussed tomorrow when the president of the provincial committee comes here. I have already circulated his proposals which you must have received. The time being very short, we have to take a decision without waiting for opinions from the other members of the Board.

About the landholders' constituency, your proposal to nominate Babu Chakreshwar Kumar Jain has been sanctioned, but we have not yet published it as desired by you. You may publish it at your convenience and inform me.

As soon as the nominations are filed you will be able to know about the contest and I will expect you to inform me about it.

I should like to know the exact position about the Muslim constituencies, and whether the Congress is going to contest any seat. Are there any independent Muslims contesting? How many Muslim seats are there and who are the League candidates? Please give me a full picture of it all.

I am going tomorrow to Bombay for about a week and will be returning here on or about 1 November.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. Rajendra Prasad

29 December 1945

My dear Rajendra Babu,

Asaf Ali met me on his return from Madras and I had a talk with him about the leadership of the Assembly Party. He has agreed to stand aside, as he has realised the difficulties. So I hope there will be no more trouble.

I am awaiting a report from you about the result of your discussions with the different Muslim groups in your province in connection with the Assembly elections.

Please ask Babu Satyanarayan Sinha to go to Jamshedpur for a day and see Sir Jehangir Ghandy<sup>1</sup> about the matter which was discussed between the two at Calcutta. He had agreed to take back those two people and it seems there has been some difficulty. Mr. J.R.D. Tata<sup>2</sup> is not here but as soon as he returns to Bombay, I will take up the matter with him.

I want to know what is all the trouble about the students in your province.

It seems there has been wire-pulling in all the provinces by the socialist group, who want to canvass support and divide the students on party lines again. This will again create difficulties amongst students.

What are your impressions about Pandit Jawaharlal's tour in your province?

I hope your health has improved.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Babu Rajendra Prasad  
Patna

<sup>1</sup> Director of Tata steel works in Jamshedpur

<sup>2</sup> Head of Tata industrial house

Poona  
5 October 1945

My dear Asaf Ali,

About a week ago I gave a note [for] you to Syt. Biswanath Das<sup>1</sup> of Orissa in connection with the removal of his disqualification. He must have seen you by this time. Have you been able to do anything in that matter?

I am enclosing herewith a copy of a telegram I have received from Sind. This is another case of disqualification. There are several cases of this type in different provinces. Would it be possible for you to approach either the Private Secretary [to the Viceroy] or the Home Secretary and get uniform instructions for the removal of disqualifications issued in all the provinces?

Please let me know briefly what happened at Delhi in the meeting of the various Nationalist Muslim organisations held in Delhi recently when Maulana Sahib was there.

I hope you are keeping fit.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Asaf Ali, Esq  
Delhi

ENCLOSURE  
TELEGRAM

Karachi  
3 October 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

WORKING COMMITTEE URGED GOVT. REMOVAL DISQUALIFICATION OF CONGRESSMEN CONVICTED OVER TWO YEARS. NOW GOVERNOR SIND HAS ACTUALLY REFUSED REMOVAL MY DISQUALIFICATION AS I WAS CONVICTED OVER TWO YEARS IN AUGUST 1942 UNDER 34 AND 38 DEFENCE INDIA RULES THUS ACTING AGAINST FREE

<sup>1</sup> Congress leader of Orissa; elected to provincial legislature, 1920; Premier of Orissa, 1937-39; Congress member of CA; later Governor of UP; Chief Minister of Orissa, 1971-72

FAIR ELECTIONS. KINDLY ISSUE PRESS STATEMENT URGING VICEROY TO INTER-  
VENE. SIND GOVERNORS ATTITUDE ANTI-CONGRESS AND AUTOCRATIC.

MADHAVDAS SHIVALOMAL, PRESIDENT COMMITTEE JACOBABAD, MEMBER PROVINCIAL  
COMMITTEE CARE WISE POLICY.

100

Poona

18 October 1945

My dear Asaf Ali,

I have wired to the Delhi Congress Committee to open your election campaign. My efforts in Bombay, as desired by you, did not succeed and therefore I sent you a wire this morning as under:

"TRIED HARD. SHE DOES NOT AGREE. BASID NOT AVAILABLE. REGRET."

Yesterday I received your telegram about your inability to come on the 21st, but you suggested that you would be able to come on the 22nd. It is possible to hold over the meeting but whether you would be able to come on the 22nd or not it is for you to decide. Your presence will certainly be helpful, but if it is very inconvenient you need not come provided that you have full confidence in my judgment in the matter of selection. The time at our disposal being very short, it is not possible to wait.

I have received your letter along with a copy of the telegram from Sjt. Brijlal Nehru. He had sent a wire to me also and I am awaiting the proposals from the Punjab.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Asaf Ali, Esq  
Delhi

101

Poona

19 October 1945

My dear Asaf Ali,

I have your telegram of today. The Punjab recommendations have not yet been received. I do not know why Brijlal Nehru has withdrawn. I will keep in mind your recommendation about Thakurdas Bhargava.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Congress leader of Punjab; elder brother of Dr. Gopichand Bhargava

About Rajaji [C. Rajagopalachari], I can do nothing unless his mind is known. As far as I know his heart is in the province. The Madras Congress Committee have already sent their proposals. Of course they have not sent Rajaji's name as he has expressed no desire in that direction. It is impossible for me to act on my own initiative in this matter. I would have taken the risk if I had known that he is inclined to go to the Centre.

About Sjt. Bhulabhai [Desai], I have already written to you. The Gujarat constituency from where he was returned last time has sent only one name, i.e. of Mr. [G.V.] Mavalankar.<sup>1</sup> In Bombay there are two seats for which they have recommended Mr. M. R. Masani and Dr. Deshmukh. Hence there is now no other place for him. You don't know the conditions in Bombay. We have got four applications of which the one of Dr. Jivraj is not to be considered because he is not a voter. Another one is a municipal servant or someone who is not worth considering. Therefore, there were only two left and we had no choice. Masani is a fairly good candidate. About Dr. Deshmukh I can say that there is no one who can take his place amongst the large Deccani population in Bombay. They have got the largest number of votes in that constituency and they cannot be ignored. A Deccani [Konkani] candidate has got to be selected and the Bombay Congress Committee tried its utmost to find a better one, but they failed. [S.K.] Patil alone can succeed, but the Bombay Provincial Congress Committee cannot afford to lose him. He is the soul of that committee. Besides, he has got other responsibilities in Bombay. Therefore, as a last resort we had to fall back upon Dr. Deshmukh. In spite of his faults he is a good fighter and is a sport also. This time he will behave all right and so you need not be anxious about him on this score.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Asaf Ali Esq.  
Delhi

<sup>1</sup> General Secretary, 36th Indian National Congress, Ahmedabad, 1921; Speaker, Bombay Assembly; President, Central Assembly, 1946-52; first Speaker of Lok Sabha



To

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Dr. Rajendra Prasad  
Pandit Govind Ballabh Pant  
Mr. Asaf Ali

Poona

20 October 1945

My dear,

I am enclosing herewith the proposals of the Punjab Provincial Congress Committee for the Central Assembly received today. Subsequently in the afternoon I received a telegram from Maulana Daud Ghaznavi, which is also copied below the proposals. There is only a week left now because the final date for nominations is 29th, and I must communicate our decision in time so that there may be no delay.

Regarding the first proposal, Sardar Kapoor Singh is the proper candidate and likely to succeed.

Regarding the second proposal, Sardar Sardul Singh's<sup>1</sup> past makes it difficult for us to accept him. I do not mean his political career but his denial of his own handwriting about the promissory note given by him to [Maharaja of] Nabha.<sup>2</sup> The decree passed against him and the strictures passed by the High Court resulted in his being asked to resign from the Working Committee. How can we now accept him as a member of the Central Assembly?

Regarding the third proposal, Babu Thakurdas Bhargava will be the best candidate. Mr. Asaf Ali has also strongly recommended his name.

Regarding the fourth proposal, Lala Hans Raj cannot be replaced and the committee's recommendation is also unanimous. Sjt. Brijlal Nehru has withdrawn his application.

Regarding the fifth, I am afraid Diwan Chamanlal will have to be persuaded in case we do not want to lose the seat.

I have wired today to Maulana Ghaznavi to come here for consultation before final sanction.

<sup>1</sup> Sikh Congress leader of Punjab; for several years member of CWC

<sup>2</sup> Deposed for alleged sympathy with extremist politicians

Please communicate your suggestions, if any, by telegram. Otherwise, I will have to dispose of the proposals in the best manner possible.

The provinces have been very dilatory in submitting their proposals. The Sind proposals have not yet been received.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

PS.

I am also enclosing herewith two statements:

1. showing the names of candidates approved by me on behalf of the Board.
2. unanimous recommendations received so far.

103

Poona  
10 October 1945

My dear Kripalani,

I am enclosing herewith a report on the proposals for nomination to the Central Assembly from the secretary of the Kerala PCC. There are three candidates for the Central Assembly. The last is not worth considering. Between the first and the second, the first one seems to have a very good record and is also a lawyer. The second one is anxious to secure our support but on comparative merits the first one appears to be superior. Please communicate to me your opinion as early as possible.

KPCC affairs are in a bad way. It was dominated by the Communists. The president who was a Communist has joined the Muslim League and a large majority of the Communists who formed the bulk of the Congress committees have been expelled or have resigned. They have all decided to support the Muslim League. Under the circumstances we have to rely on the report of the secretary. There is no other proper person to consult. We will have to depend largely upon Dr. Pattabhi's guidance in this matter.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Acharya J. B. Kripalani  
Allahabad

All India Congress Committee  
Swaraj Bhawan  
Allahabad  
15 October 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

It is good of you to have asked my opinion about the Kerala candidates for the Central Assembly. But I do not know in what capacity I can give my opinion. I had wanted my position with regard to the important committees formed out of the members of the Working Committee to be made clear. I wanted a decision of the Working Committee on the general question. Till that general question was decided I had declined the kind offer of the President to be on the Central Parliamentary Board. I therefore do not think that I am competent to give my opinion about the merits of the Kerala candidates, as a member of your committee. I hope you will not misunderstand in any way the position I have taken.

Unofficially of course I agree with you that the first candidate, considering the facts as given by the Kerala secretary, deserves preference.

I hope the treatment there is having a desirable effect. Please keep me in touch with your programme.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,  
J. B. Kripalani

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
68 Marine Drive  
Bombay

## EXTRACTS

Nationalist Christian Party  
C/o Anglo Lusitano  
15 Bank Street, Fort  
Bombay  
10 October 1945

Dear Sardar Sahib,

Now that the elections to the Central Assembly are fast approaching, I take the liberty of addressing you this letter.

You are well aware that Christians come in the general constituency for the Central Assembly. In view of their small numbers on the electoral roll, there is absolutely no chance for any Christian to stand independently and get himself elected.

May I, therefore, be permitted to suggest that when the Congress considers applications for the Central Assembly Christians are also put up on the Congress ticket?

Thanking you, and with respectful pranams,

Yours sincerely,  
F. M. Pinto

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

Poona  
12 October 1945

Dear Mr. Pinto,

I have received your letter of the 10th instant.

Mr. B. S. Gilani of the Punjab is in correspondence with me on the subject. It is not easy for any Christian candidate to succeed in any constituency for the Central Assembly even with Congress backing, and we cannot afford to lose a seat in these elections at the present critical juncture. If it is possible in any place without risk of losing the seat to run a Christian candidate, the province where it is possible will certainly do so.

Rajkumari Amrit Kaur is here and she does not desire to stand for the Assembly. Dr. D'Silva has no chance in Jubbulpore. Mr. Gilani does not intend to do so. I do not know the exact position about the other candidates. I will make enquiries.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

F. M. Pinto, Esq.  
Bombay

107

TELEGRAM

Bombay  
11 October 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai  
Poona

GLAD TO INFORM YOU NATIONAL GROUP MEETING RESOLVED QUOTE  
SUPPORTS CANDIDATURE OF MANU SUBEDAR WHO SEEKS REELEC-  
TION UNQUOTE

MANU SUBEDAR

108

Poona  
12 October 1945

My dear Manubhai,

Thanks for your telegram.

I am glad the National Group has taken the correct decision.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Manu Subedar  
Bombay

Belgaum,  
16 October 1945

My dear Sardar,

I am herewith forwarding Sjt Kunte's<sup>1</sup> letter to you for disposal. He desires that a representative from Alibag district should be nominated this time for the Central Assembly. Last time Karnatak had the chance.

While in Bombay I brought the resolution passed by the District Congress Committee of Alibag in this connection to the notice of Sjt. [R.R.] Diwakar.<sup>2</sup> He gave me to understand that the D.Cs of Ratnagiri and Alibag would be consulted before nominations are recommended to you.

After my arrival here I was sorry to learn that the question has assumed a communal turn.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Gangadhar Rao Deshpande

Poona  
18 October 1945

My dear Gangadhar Rao,

I have received your letter of the 16th inst. Karnatak province having a large number of votes in that constituency, it has a right to have its own candidate. Alibag district can have a seat in that constituency if Karnatak province agrees to have one from there, not otherwise. But the decision taken by the KPCC<sup>3</sup> having the support of the MPCC, nothing can be done

<sup>1</sup> Congressman of Bombay; later Speaker of Bombay Assembly

<sup>2</sup> Joined non-co-operation movement in 1921; Secretary and later President of Karnatak PCC; pioneered movement for separate Karnatak State; AICC member for several years; member, CA; Central Minister, 1948-52; Governor of Bihar, 1952-57; President, Gandhi Peace Foundation

<sup>3</sup> Karnatak Pradesh Congress Committee

for the applicant referred to in your letter. Please inform him accordingly.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Gangadhar Rao Deshpande  
Belgaum

## 111

Poona  
17 October 1945

My dear Patil,

I have just received a telegram from one Mr. Madhukar Rajadhyaksha which is quoted below:

SELECTION DOCTOR DESHMUKH STRONGLY RESENTED BY DADAR PUBLIC.  
LETTER FOLLOWS.

You may enquire about it and let me know if the man is of any consequence. If you think it necessary you may communicate this telegram to Dr. Deshmukh also.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri S.K. Patil  
381 Sandhurst Road  
Bombay

## 112

## EXTRACTS

Esplanade House  
Fort  
Bombay  
19 October 1945

My dear Sardarji,

The main criticism, as you are aware, is levelled against Dr. Deshmukh's nomination. He is a great personal friend of mine and we have known each other for five and thirty years. There is no doubt however that he has during the last five or six years often committed the unpardonable blunder of going

directly against the wishes of the Congress leaders, either in the Assembly or outside it.

Regarding Masani, although his selection cannot be criticised as severely as Deshmukh's, I believe a better man should have been chosen. Masani has openly declared the severance of his connection with politics and he has resigned his membership of the Congress Socialist Party.

I remain,  
Yours obediently and  
gratefully,  
Jamnadas Dwarkadas

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

113

Poona  
• 23 October 1945

My dear Jamnadas,

I have received your letter of the 19th inst. I am coming to Bombay tomorrow and shall be staying there for about a week. If you will meet me there some day during the week I shall be able to explain to you that the decision taken by us was inevitable under the circumstances and there is nothing to regret about it.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Jamnadas Dwarkadas  
Bombay

114

Poona  
19 October 1945

My dear Morarji,<sup>1</sup>

Your recommendation for the selection of Sjt. G. V. Mavalankar as Congress candidate for the Central Assembly is hereby

<sup>1</sup> PCS, Bombay, 1918; resigned in 1930 to join civil disobedience movement; Minister, Bombay Government, 1937-39; Home Minister, 1946-52; Chief Minister, 1952-56; thereafter Central Minister of Commerce and Industry and later Finance, resigned under Kamaraj Plan, 1963; Deputy Prime Minister in Mrs. Indira Gandhi's Cabinet, 1967-69



approved and the order may be communicated to him. I hope there will be no contest.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Morarji Desai  
C/o Gujarat Provincial Congress Committee  
Ahmedabad

115

Poona  
19 October 1945

Dear Friend,

Your recommendation for the selection of Sjt. N. V. Gadgil<sup>1</sup> and Sjt. B. S. Hirey<sup>2</sup> as Congress candidates in the Central Division (seven districts) is hereby approved. They are authorised to stand on the Congress ticket for the Central Assembly. Please inform these two candidates accordingly.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Secretary  
Maharashtra Provincial Congress Committee  
Poona

116

Poona  
22 October 1945

Dear Friend,

Your recommendations of:

	Name	Constituency.
1.	Dr. G. V. Deshmukh	from Bombay city
2.	Shri M. R. Masani	„ -do-
3.	Shri Manu Subedar	„ Indian Merchants' Chamber,

<sup>1</sup> Secretary and later President of Congress Committee, Poona, MLA (Central), 1934; party whip in Central Legislative Assembly; joined Nehru Cabinet in August 1947; Governor of Punjab

<sup>2</sup> Congressman of long standing and member, CWC; President, MPCC, 1948-52; Minister in Bombay Government, 1952-56

as Congress candidates for the Central Assembly elections have been approved and you may inform the candidates accordingly. We hope the Congress will come out victorious with a thumping majority in all these constituencies.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Secretary  
Bombay Provincial Congress Committee  
Bombay

117

TELEGRAM

Ahmedabad  
23 October 1945

Sardar Patel  
Poona

VADIBHAI PLEDGE POSTED TO SHANTILAL BOMBAY.

LALAKAKA

118

TELEGRAM

Poona  
24 October 1945

Lalakaka  
Secretary Congress  
Ahmedabad

YOUR WIRE. VADILAL'S NOMINATION APPROVED

VALLABHBHAI

119

7 Altamont Road  
Bombay  
6 November 1945

Dear Sardar Sahib,

Pardiwala<sup>1</sup> tells me that as a result of my talk with you when you were here, I left you with the impression that I thought

<sup>1</sup> Advocate of Bombay High Court with Congress sympathies

I was conferring an obligation on the Congress by accepting nomination for the Assembly and also that I had expected you or the Central Election Board to help financially in the campaign.

I don't know how I could have given you such an impression. I can only assure you that I am by no means insensible of the honour it is to be the standard-bearer of the Congress in this or any other sphere of activity. Indeed, I consider it a privilege.

As regards finance, I was only trying to make the point that in this contest we should place the emphasis on mobilising the idealism and the undoubted strength of sentiment for the Congress and not depend on a larger number of paid workers or a fleet of taxis. A certain amount of expense is of course inevitable and I thought, and still think, that the amount mentioned in the note I left with you on that occasion was quite adequate. There was, therefore, no need, in my view, for any contribution from outside. I can assure you that the interests and prestige of the Congress come first with me also and, so as not to leave any room for the thought in your mind or in any other quarter that the Congress interest may in any the slightest way be adversely affected, I have told [S.K.] Patil this morning that any expenditure the BPCC thinks necessary will be undertaken, even though it may go beyond the limit mentioned by me. I hope this will remove any uneasiness that may be left in your mind.

You will be glad to know that everything is being done jointly and in fullest co-operation between Patil and ourselves. Paradoxically enough, our difficulty in getting a high poll is likely to be caused by the weakness of the other candidate through people not taking the election too seriously! But we shall do everything possible to counter this.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,  
M. R. Masani

120

Poona  
7 November 1945

My dear Masani,

I have received your letter of yesterday.

If matters have been arranged satisfactorily I have nothing to say. You told me definitely that in other countries parties

bear the cost of candidates and when you gave me your nomination you were under the same impression. You also said that you did not know that you will have to spend money for this election and if you had known, perhaps you would have kept out. These statements of yours gave me the impression referred to in your letter and I spoke to Pardiwala and Syt. Purushottam about it. I was in a hurry to return here and I had no time to call you again. So I asked them to speak to you about it. I am glad things have been settled to your satisfaction.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri M.R. Masani  
7 Altamont Road  
Bombay

121

Belgaum  
8 November 1945

My dear Sardar,

Mr. Jagtap<sup>1</sup> saw me again yesterday. He relies more on the assurances of Kambli and others in Dharwar and Bijapur districts. My own calculation is that his chances are very poor. But if Kambli is really serious our friends in Dharwar and Bijapur will have to be more active.

Mr. Jagtap said he would meet you in Poona. You may be knowing that he is the owner of the buildings occupied by Dr. Dinsha of Nature Clinic.

I am not still hopeful about Inamdari constituency. Messrs Latthe<sup>2</sup> and Poddar are doing their best. I am also doing whatever is possible.

Wishing you health,

Yours sincerely,  
Gangadhar Deshpande

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

<sup>1</sup> Title-holder of British days; retired in 1942; wanted to contest elections in 1945 with support of his Maratha followers

<sup>2</sup> Prominent Congress worker of Maharashtra; became Dewan of Kolhapur and later Finance Minister in Kher Ministry in Bombay

Poona  
10 November 1945

My dear Gangadhar Rao,

I have received your letter of the 8th instant. Diwan Bahadur Jagtap has asked for an appointment and he will meet me tomorrow morning. Even if he stands he has no chance. He has also seen Balasaheb Kher<sup>1</sup> in Bombay.

About Mr. Tulpule's<sup>2</sup> constituency you may do your best. I am not so [diffident] about it from the reports received from other parts of the constituency.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Gangadhar Rao Deshpande  
Belgaum

PS.

D. B. Jagtap met me today. He is standing and expects to be supported by the Hindu Sabha and the Lingayat group<sup>3</sup> of Kampli.

<sup>1</sup> B. G. Kher, popularly known as Balasaheb Kher; solicitor; entered politics in 1922; Secretary of Swaraj Party and of Bardoli Satyagraha Inquiry Committee; emerged on national scene in 1937 when Sardar Patel selected him in preference to K. F. Nariman as leader, Bombay Legislature Congress party; became first Premier of Bombay; High Commissioner in London

<sup>2</sup> Member, Bombay Assembly, from Poona

<sup>3</sup> Influential caste in Mysore State

**CHAPTER III**  
**COMPLICATIONS IN PROVINCES**

123

TELEGRAM

Poona  
15 October 1945

Aligulkhan  
President  
Congress Committee  
Peshawar

Mehrchand Khanna  
Care Congress Committee  
Peshawar

NO PROPOSALS ABOUT CENTRAL ASSEMBLY CONGRESS CANDIDATES  
RECEIVED. WIRE IMMEDIATELY.

VALLABHBHAI

124

Poona  
16 October 1945

My dear Kripalani,

Yesterday Bapu showed me your letter about the proposal of the UP Provincial Congress Committee to send you to the Central Assembly. A few hours later your telegram regarding your decision to refuse the offer came. I cannot understand why they should have put up your name without ascertaining your wishes. I have got the proposals from the UP Congress Committee in which your name is placed first from the seven cities. The date for nominations is very near and I had therefore to wire today to Pantji to select another in your place and send the proposal to me. They have not even obtained the pledges from the candidates whose proposals have been sent to me.

I read in the Press that you were down with influenza. I hope you have got over it by this time.

I am enclosing herewith a manifesto drawn up by Jawaharlal and corrected by Bapu. This is intended for the Central Assembly elections. As we are not likely to meet early, a copy of this manifesto has been sent to Maulana also for approval. If you have to suggest anything please write to me immediately as the matter is to be treated as urgent.

What has Sucheta<sup>1</sup> [Kripalani] done about her new appointment? When is she going to take charge and where would you go after her going to Wardha for that purpose?

I am going to Bombay for a week on the 25th for some business and will return here on the 1st. Bapu is going to stay here during my absence. After my return he will decide about his programme for Bengal.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

125

# TELEGRAM

Poona  
17 October 1945

Pandit Govind Ballabh Pant  
Nainital

KRIPALANI DECLINES TO STAND. SELECT ANOTHER FROM SEVEN CITIES  
AND WIRE.

VALLABHBHAI

126

Lucknow  
17 October 1945

My dear Sardar Sahib,

I am in receipt of your telegram. You should have received my reply sent this morning. I am rather perplexed. I was credibly informed that Kripalaniji was prepared to shoulder the

<sup>1</sup> Wife of Acharya Kripalani; lecturer, Banaras Hindu University, 1931-39; member, CA, 1946; member, CWC, 1950-52; Lok Sabha, 1952-62; Chief Minister, UP, October 1963 to March 1967

responsibility. The proposal was made in writing by some of our common friends who were believed to be in close touch with him. The question was in fact directly put by me to some of them and they assured me that they had put up his name after consulting him. I did not personally sound him as I thought that it would not be quite in the fitness of things to do so till we had your approval. Sometimes such inquiry is a little embarrassing and even irritating. We ourselves readily welcomed the suggestion, and the minds of some of us had in fact been running in the same direction even independently. Kripalaniji would have been an immense source of strength to the party in the Assembly. One holding the prominent position he does in public life would have raised the general standard and stamina of the Congress Party in the Central Legislature. However, as he is not willing we have to find a suitable substitute. I do not know if it has appeared in the papers on that side, but it is a fact that steps were taken after we had decided to send up his name by friends closely associated with him to have the initials of his name which were wrongly entered in the electoral register amended and the necessary corrections have been made. It appears that all these measures had been taken without any reference to him in the hope that he would not decline the offer when made. This hope however has not matured. I cannot send you fresh proposals forthwith. I am calling an emergency meeting of the Provincial Election Board on the 20th as I have already informed you, and hope to be able to wire the final selections some time on the 20th. Only I am afraid the proposals may leak out prematurely in consequence of such telegraphic communication. We had not formally consulted even other nominees. As indicated above, we thought it would not be quite appropriate to do so before you had placed your seal of approval on them. I am now trying to get in touch with others also and before we send you any final list we will now take care to ascertain the wishes of the persons whom we recommend. This instance will serve as a lesson and we will be more careful hereafter.

I annex my tour programme for the next fortnight. Letters and telegrams bearing my name will, I expect, reach me wherever I happen to be.

Trust this finds you well.

Yours sincerely,  
G. B. Pant

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel



Poona  
18 October 1945

My dear Pantji,

As desired by you in your letter, I authorise you to publish the names of the candidates submitted by you for the Central Assembly except that of Mr. Kripalani who has declined to accept the offer. I do not know why he was not consulted before his name was proposed.

Mr. Padampat Singhanian<sup>1</sup> writes to me today that in the province of UP there being no reservation for representation of commerce and industry they have to look to the Congress for such representation, and he wants me to look into the matter and advise the provincial parliamentary board to provide at least one representative of commerce and industry in these elections for the Central Assembly. I have written to him that his letter is too late and that the names proposed by the UPPCC have already been sanctioned, and that there is no time left for making any changes at this late stage.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbbhai Patel

Pandit Govind Ballabh Pant  
Nainital

Poona  
22 October 1945

Dear Friend,

Your recommendations of:

- | Name                         | Constituency                      |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1. Shri Balkishan Sharma     | from Seven Cities                 |
| 2. Shri Kishenchandra Sharma | from Meerut Division              |
| 3. Krishna Dutt Paliwal      | from Agra Division                |
| 4. Shri Damodar Swarup Seth  | from Rohilkhand & Kumaon Division |

<sup>1</sup> Industrialist of Kanpur, MLA, 1937-38; member, CA, 1949-52

5. Pandit Govind Malaviya from Allahabad & Jhansi Division
6. Babu Sri Prakasa from Benares & Gorakhpur Division
7. Shri Mohanlal Saxena from Lucknow Division
8. Sardar Jogendra Singh from Fyzabad Division

as Congress candidates for the Central Assembly elections have been approved and you may inform the candidates accordingly. We hope the Congress will come out victorious with a thumping majority in all these constituencies.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Secretary  
UP Prov. Congress Committee  
Lucknow

129

Poona  
23 October 1945

My dear Pantji,

I have received your letter of the 17th instant.

The Board met on the 21st and 22nd and disposed of selections of 43 constituencies of different provinces. The report about it has appeared in the Press already. Except for the Punjab and Bengal we have practically finished the whole of India. From Bengal I have not yet got any information, but as Maulana [Azad] is there he will be able to deal with it. As far as the Punjab is concerned the president of the Punjab Provincial Committee is coming here today and we shall dispose of it tomorrow.

I am going to Bombay tomorrow afternoon for a week and will return here on or about the 1st.

I published the nominations of your province because people were pestering me with all sorts of recommendations and proposals. It was necessary to stop all that. From Benares Sri Prakasa wired to me recommending the inclusion of Ram Ratan Gupta<sup>1</sup>. Mr. Padampat Singhania wrote to me for providing one seat for commerce and industry.

I am surprised about Kripalani's attitude in this matter. I do not understand him. How can a common friend give his proposal in writing if he had not got some inkling about it?

<sup>1</sup> Industrialist of Kanpur, close associate of R. A. Kidwai; Mayor of Kanpur; was twice member of Central Legislature

I have been sending all the proposals from our committee to him for opinion, but his replies are very queer.

He seems to be dissatisfied and sends remarks which suggest that his opinion is unnecessary.

What is going to happen about the Muslim seats of the Central Assembly in your province? Is there going to be no Congress candidate? Are there any independent Muslims contesting the League candidates? Please give me a full picture of the situation in this connection.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Pandit Govind Ballabh Pant  
Jaunpur

130

TELEGRAM

Calcutta  
23 October 1945

Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

YOUR TELEGRAM TWENTY-FIRST. BENGAL ELECTION BOARD PROPOSE ARUNCHANDRA ONLY CANDIDATE FOR SURMA VALLEY CONSTITUENCY. WIRE DECISION.

PRAFULLA GHOSH, SECRETARY, BENGAL BOARD

131

Poona  
23 October 1945

My dear Prafulla Babu,

Thanks for your telegram this morning about the Bengal recommendation for the Surma Valley constituency. I have wired in reply as under:

ARUNCHANDRA'S SELECTION SURMA VALLEY CONSTITUENCY APPROVED.

I have received a telegram from the BPCC secretary, Sjt. Kalipada Mukherji, that the Bengal proposals for the Central Assembly candidates will not be submitted before the 29th. The

nomination date is 3 November. The date fixed for the selection of candidates is very late and leaves very little margin. Of course, you and Maulana being there, I have nothing much to see in these proposals. Maulana would be able to give his final approval, but as soon as the proposals are ready they should be communicated to me for our office here.

I have no idea as to the position of the Congress in this matter. There are, I believe, six non-Mohammedan seats. Sarat Babu is going to contest one. Who are the other five likely to be selected? Would it be possible to include one Christian or Harijan? Informally, have you thought of any names? What about the Muslim seats? How many Muslim seats have you got? I think about 8 or 9. How many Congress candidates are to be set up for these seats and what is your estimate about the chances of success? Are there any independent Muslims contesting any of these seats? Please send me all the information as soon as possible.

I am going to Bombay tomorrow for a week and if you write anything during this interval, do so by air mail at my Bombay address.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. P.C. Ghosh  
Calcutta

132

Bombay  
26 October 1945

My dear Prafulla Babu,

I have received your letter of the 23rd instant. You say in your letter that the Bengal Election Board was formed on the 19th. But the chairman is at Kurseong and out of the three members, including the chairman, Mahamadi Ashrafuddin, is in jail, and therefore you are the sole member in charge of the elections in Bengal. It is not a very satisfactory arrangement. Independently you will be able to do nothing without obtaining the consent of the chairman who is not there.

The Central Assembly nominations are to be given on the 3rd and yet no proposals can be formulated till the 2nd when you expect Sarat Babu to return. Surely this would create great

difficulties in our work. What is the Hindu Mahasabha going to do? How many seats are they going to contest? Who are the probable candidates to be selected on the Congress ticket? Please give me some rough idea of these things.

What is going to happen about the provincial elections? Will the responsibility of selections and running the elections devolve on the small committee of these three persons? Or will you form another board? I hope that all efforts will be made to present a united front in these elections against all opposition to the Congress, either from the Hindu Mahasabha or the Muslim League or any other anti-Congress group. This is a question of now or never. Bengal has suffered tremendously in the past five years and it is for us to make or mar the future of Bengal. The Congress must present a united front and sink all its personal differences. There should be no desire on the part of anybody to take advantage of the present situation and work on party lines or to work for strengthening one's party organisation.

I had a letter from Maulana Sahib today in which he says that so far as Bengal is concerned the expenses required will be raised by Bengal largely but some help will be required from the Centre also. Please discuss this question in all its aspects very carefully with Maulana Sahib as well as with your own reliable friends and let me have some rough idea as to the amount which will be required and what part of it will be raised in the province and what will be required by way of help from the Centre. I wish to ascertain my own responsibility so that I may be able to arrange in time to meet all our requirements. I am sending this letter by air mail and expect you also to reply by air mail. I am leaving Bombay for Poona on 1 November.

Hoping you are doing well,

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. Prafulla Chandra Ghosh  
Calcutta

PS.

I hear that Sarat Babu is coming here on the 5th for a day.

133  
TELEGRAM

Calcutta  
10 November 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

ARRIVED HERE YESTERDAY. MAULANA LEFT FOR BINDHAGHAI SEVENTH. YOUR LETTER AND DETAILS OF OUR CONVERSATION REGARDING CENTRAL PARLIAMENTARY BOARD MEETING AND SIKH QUESTION BEING SENT HIM TODAY BY SPECIAL MESSENGER.

SARATBOSE

134

Poona  
11 November 1945

My dear Sarat,

Thanks for your telegram.

I was very sorry to hear that you missed the train by ten minutes. It must have put you to a lot of inconvenience.

I am thankful to you for sending a special messenger to Maulana as the letter and the instructions I have given for him were urgent.

The scrutiny is over and the election campaign must have commenced in full swing. Please keep me informed of the nature and strength of opposition in all the constituencies, both Muslim and non-Muslim.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Sarat Chandra Bose  
1 Woodburn Park  
Calcutta

135

TELEGRAM

Kurseong  
12 November 1945

Sardar Patel  
Poona

MAULVI WALIUR RAHMAN CANDIDATE PRESIDENCY-CUM-BURDWAN AND MAULVI MOHIUDDIN KHAN CANDIDATE RAJSHAHI DIVISION MOHAMEDAN CONSTITUENCIES CENTRAL ASSEMBLY INFORMED ME AND PRESIDENT BPCC ON TENTH THAT THEY WOULD STAND ON CONGRESS TICKET AND NO OTHER TICKET. PLEASE APPROVE AND MAKE NECESSARY ANNOUNCEMENT.

SARATBOSE

136

TELEGRAM

Poona  
13 November 1945

President  
Bengal Provincial Congress Committee  
Calcutta

ACCORDING SARATBOSE'S TELEGRAM MAULVI WALIUR RAHMAN'S CANDIDATURE PRESIDENCY-CUM-BURDWAN DIVISION AND MAULVI MOHIUDDIN'S CANDIDATURE RAJSHAHI DIVISION MOHAMEDAN CONSTITUENCIES CENTRAL ASSEMBLY APPROVED. PLEASE INFORM CANDIDATES CONCERNED.

VALLABHBHAI

137

TELEGRAM

Calcutta

15 November 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

YOUR TELEGRAM TO PRESIDENT BPCG. I AS SECRETARY ELECTION BOARD WAS NOT INFORMED ANYTHING ABOUT CONGRESS CANDIDATURE OF WALIUR RAHMAN AND MOHIUDDIN KHAN BY SARATBABU.  
PRAFULLA GHOSH

138

TELEGRAM

Calcutta

24 November 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

REQUEST YOU ADDRESS NUMBER OF ELECTION MEETINGS CALCUTTA SUBURBS CONSTITUENCY WHERE SYAMAPRASAD MOOKERJEE CONTESTING CONGRESS NOMINEE NAGENDRANATH MUKHOPADHYAY. POLLING ON ELEVENTH DECEMBER. WIRE YOUR CONSENT GIVING ARRIVAL DATE FOR WORKING COMMITTEE.

KIRON SHANKAR ROY<sup>1</sup>

139

TELEGRAM

Bombay

25 November 1945

Kiron Shankar Roy  
Congress  
Calcutta

YOUR WIRE. HEALTH DELICATE. REGRET CAN'T FIX PROGRAMME BEFORE REACHING CALCUTTA.

VALLABHBHAI

<sup>1</sup> Leader of Congress party in Bengal Legislative Assembly



Dear Sardarji,

Sjt. Kiron Shankar Roy has telegraphed to you requesting you to address a number of meetings in the Calcutta suburban constituency (Central Legislative Assembly election) where Dr. Syama Prasad Mookerjee is contesting Sj. Nagendranath Mukhopadhyay, the Congress nominee. The contest in this constituency will be fought on the ideological plane but personal equation may creep in. Although Sj. Nagendranath Mukhopadhyay, B.L., is a veteran Congressman who non-co-operated in 1921 and never resumed practice, having been incarcerated several times, Dr. Syama Prasad Mookerjee in communal-ridden Bengal where the Congress Nationalist Party did a good deal of mischief will try, to mislead the electors whose number is limited and confined to the upper class Hindus. The constituency comprises the urban areas of three districts, viz. Hooghly, Howrah and 24 Parganas but the Congress organisation in the latter district being not very strong, the electors there will understand the Congress view re: self-determination and Pakistan if it comes from you and I am sure if you address a number of meetings victory of the Congress candidate will be assured.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Atulya Ghosh  
Officer-in-Charge  
Congress Election Office

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

141

29 November 1945

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter asking me to address a number of meetings in the Calcutta suburban constituency for the Central Assembly election. Sjt. Kiron Shankar Roy had sent me a telegram for the same purpose but my health is very delicate and I am going out for the first time after a long time. Whether my health will bear the strain of this journey and the normal work of the two committees for which I am coming to Calcutta is a question. I do not think I will be able to address any meetings nor do I think that Dr. B. C. Roy will allow me to undertake any such programme. However, you can see me when I am there.

Yours sincerely,  
• Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Atulya Ghosh  
Calcutta

142

TELEGRAM

29 November 1945

Sarat Bose  
Calcutta

YOUR WIRE. WILL AGREE IF HEALTH PERMITS AFTER ARRIVAL.

VALLABHBHAI

143

Raipur  
31 October 1945

My dear Sardar Sahib,

I am sure we shall be able to give you a strong and united team from our province. Till the elections are over nobody now is in a position to dictate to us anything and we are not at the mercy of any group. The province as a whole stands by us and

127

we shall be able to give a good account of ourselves. For the Central Assembly all our three nominees have been returned unopposed. In the landholders' constituency Mr. Ganpat Rao Dani has also been returned unopposed as you know already. . . .

I am surprised that of all persons my son Bhagwati should have written a long letter of bitter complaint to Babu against Seth Shivdas Daga. I shall be obliged if you kindly send me a copy of that letter. Bhagwati is a sentimental boy and I feel he has played into the hands of interested persons. . . .

Seth Govind Das<sup>1</sup> seems to be drifting away from his moorings; but I hope he will soon discover his mistake and realise that it will not pay him to work in the manner he has started. We are not obstructing him in any way nor do we propose to create any trouble which will again give a bad name to our province. It was surprising that Seth Govind Das's son applied to you directly for a ticket for the Central Assembly ignoring UPCC. I am not sure whether it was done without the knowledge of Seth Govind Das. His withdrawal subsequently has closed the episode. It is a pointer for the future and we shall have to act cautiously. . . .

With best wishes,

I am,  
Yours sincerely,  
R. S. Shukla

144

Poona  
7 November 1945

My dear Ravishankar,

I have your two letters of 31 October which reached me only yesterday. I have destroyed your son's long letter as I thought it was not worth preserving. It was a long tirade against Mr. Shivdas Daga containing charges of black-marketing and other misdeeds. It was alleged in this letter that his release was arranged through his man who paid money for the war fund. I do not think this is a matter worth worrying about.

Mr. [H. V.] Kamath<sup>2</sup> met me in Bombay at my place. He is anxious to know what he should do in your province. If you want

<sup>1</sup> Oldest living parliamentarian in India; became MLA in 1923; AICC member since 1920; prolific Hindi writer

<sup>2</sup> Joined ICS in London 1929; resigned 1938 to join freedom movement; later joined Forward Bloc; member, Central Assembly, 1940; elected to Lok Sabha on PSP ticket in 1955 and 1962; member, Administrative Reforms Commission

him he is willing to go to the Provincial Assembly. If you do not want him there he would rather not go. I think he had some talk about it with your colleague, Mr. Mehta. I should like to know your opinion in this matter as also Mr. Asaf Ali's.

I congratulate you for the unopposed return of all the three candidates from your province for the Central Assembly. It is the best record so far and I hope the same will be the result in the provincial elections also. I also hope that you will be able to secure some Muslim seats against the League in the Provincial Assembly.

I have already guessed about the attitude of Seth Govind Das. His son's proposal for nomination gave me a clue.

I am here till the 19th and then we go to Bombay. As your Central Assembly elections are over, if you can come here, preferably both of you, it would be good. I want to discuss certain important matter in connection with the three provinces grouped in your governmental province. It is difficult to discuss all matters by correspondence. Please inform me by wire. Your letter reached me six days after.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Pandit Ravishankar Shukla  
Raipur

145

44 King's Way  
Nagpur  
6 November 1945

My dear Chief,

In continuation of my letter to you from Bombay I have to state that I am now in a position to report about the position of the two labour seats in this province (C.P. & Berar).

The seat at Nagpur is from the Trade Union constituency. Only two trade unions in this constituency have been certified by the Governor. Of these two trade unions the Nagpur Textile Labour Union is entitled to vote for nearly 6,000 members. Mr. R. S. Ruiker<sup>1</sup> is the president of this union. The other trade union, known as the Press Employees Association, has about 250 members on the electoral rolls. Of the 6,000 members belonging

<sup>1</sup> Leading labour leader of Bombay

to the Textile Labour Union over 2,000 represent the Mahar community, while 600 represent the Mussalman community. The rest are caste Hindus. I understand that the Depressed Class Federation are thinking of setting up a candidate who is entitled to vote in the Trade Union constituency. But they have not so far been able to find a suitable candidate who can defeat Mr. Ruiker.

The other Labour seat is from Jubbulpore representing the unorganised factory labour within the municipal limits of Jubbulpore, Katni and Kymore. I am the sitting member of this constituency. The Communists wanted to set up candidates both in Trade Union constituency of Nagpur and the factory labour Constituency of Jubbulpore. But I am afraid that they cannot set up any non-worker member of the Communist Party. Should they set up a worker, he will have very little support and he is sure to be defeated. Except for purposes of nuisance value, the Communists cannot have any advantage in setting up a worker either at Nagpur or at Jubbulpore.

The labour seat of the Jubbulpore Unorganised Labour constituency can, therefore, be successfully contested by a Congress candidate.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,  
V. R. Kalappa<sup>1</sup>

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

146

Poona  
9 November 1945

Dear Mr. Kalappa,

I have received your letter of the 6th instant.

I have not written to you anything about Mr. Salve's selection for the Central Assembly. You know that he is the only Christian candidate selected from all over India and our great national organisation cannot afford to neglect the claims of important minorities. Your claim therefore had to be overlooked, but that need not disappoint you. After all, in whatever place you are, you will be able to serve the cause which is dear to your heart.

<sup>1</sup> Andhra leader elected to Constituent Assembly from CP

Even if Mr. Salve had not been selected, Punamchandji's claim would have come in the way of your selection, because the Nagpur committee gave him priority over all.

Yet we had to pass over all in consideration of the minority's claim.

You have not written to me anything about the state of things in Nagpur. How is Salve's election [campaign] going on? I trust there will be no difficulty.

I have noted the information that you have supplied regarding the labour constituency, for which I thank you.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri V. R. Kalappa  
Nagpur

147

4 Kondi Chetty Street  
George Town  
Madras  
8 November 1945

Dear Sardarji,

I am in receipt of your letter dated the 26th ultimo with enclosures. I am sorry I have not been able to acknowledge the same till now since I had been touring in the mofussil. I have recently visited the Tanjore constituency. Our candidate, Shri T. V. Satagopachari, will surely have a walk-over. I have no doubt that we shall have a cent per cent victory in the present elections.

I have already written to you about Sri Masilamani Pillai's matter.

Yours sincerely,  
K. Kamaraj<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Joined freedom struggle at a young age; President of Tamilnad PCC, 1940-1954; member, CA; Chief Minister of Madras, 1954-63; Congress President, 1964-67; member, Lok Sabha, since 1969

Madras  
21 November 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Your letter of the 18th from Poona arrived duly as also one from Bapu. I see that Dr. [P] Subbarayan<sup>1</sup> who was there for cricket had worried you a lot over his and my grievances and on top of it my letter reached you. I see you are thoroughly upset.

I know the difficulties you point out. I thought it best to let you know what I am feeling in reply to your letter of the 6th and let it remain in your mind so that you may see from time to time what could possibly be done.

I wish to explain to you one thing. There was no offer to me to accept a place in the Central Legislature. You never wrote or wired to me. I should have thought several times before rejecting a definite proposal or desire on your part. I was not enthusiastic enough to seize [?] a casual mention of it by others. In fact it was only when I asked Kamaraj Nadar generally what happened when he met you and whether you advised him to do anything, he laughed and said in a most casual way that you suggested I might stand for the Madras seat of the Central Legislature. In the meantime other names were being canvassed. I had no notion that any serious thought was entertained about my accepting it. I write all this because Dr. Subbarayan told me that you had thought this was a way out. Otherwise it is irrelevant. If Kamaraj told or wired to you that I refused to accept a definite proposal he was wrong.

I hope your health is better as a net result of your long stay in Poona. I am in the same unsatisfactory condition yet.

Yours sincerely,  
C. Rajagopalachari

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

<sup>1</sup> Landlord of Kumaramangalam; MLA (Madras) for several years from 1920; member, AICC, 1937-49; Law Minister (Madras), 1937-39 and 1947-48; member, CA; Chief Minister of Madras; Indian Ambassador to Indonesia, 1949-51; MP (Rajya Sabha); Union Minister 1954-57; Governor of Bombay

23 November 1945

My dear Rajaji,

I have received your letter of the 21st inst. Dr. Subbarayan met me at Poona twice and I have explained to him about my suggestion for your going to the Central Assembly. Sjt. Kamaraj Nadar told me that he had already made such a proposal to you through Sjt Gopala Reddy<sup>1</sup> of Andhra but you declined to accept it. As I found that both the Andhra and Tamil leaders were willing to send you to the Centre, I handed over the Madras city proposal and sent Sjt. Kamaraj back to Madras with instructions that he should first see you and make you this offer. In case you accepted this proposal, he was instructed to communicate your acceptance to me by wire. In the event of your refusal, he was to find out a Christian candidate, if possible. The third alternative was of Mrs. Swaminathan. I am enclosing herewith a copy of the letter received by me from Sjt. Kamaraj on 26 Oct. I do not know why you declined to accept that offer. If I thought that your way in the province was easy, I would not have suggested that you should go to the Centre. As I sensed many difficulties in your way for the provincial leadership, I made this suggestion, which could have cleared your way for the future, but I thought that perhaps you did not agree with my reading of the situation and you may be able to smoothen the affairs of the province and so you rejected this offer.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. C. Rajagopalachari  
Madras

<sup>1</sup> Member, Andhra Pradesh Parliamentary Board; Minister in Rajaji Cabinet in Madras, 1937-39; later Union Minister; presently Governor of Uttar Pradesh



Madras  
27 November 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I have your kind letter of the 23rd. I am surprised to read the last paragraph of Sri Kamaraj's letter to you dated 26 October. Kamaraj did not convey to me any serious proposal that I should stand for the Central Assembly. The suggestion was never lifted above the level of casual and indirect reference. It is a tragedy. I wish you had written or wired to me direct. If you had done so, the position would have been wholly different.

Yours sincerely,  
C. Rajagopalachari

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

TELEGRAM

Madras  
22 November 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

JUST SEEN YOUR LETTER. MYSELF WILL RUN UP SOON AND REMOVE DOUBTS AND TROUBLES AS ADVISED. REST ASSURED THAT WE COME OUT SUCCESSFULLY WITH THUMPING MAJORITY. SEE LETTER.

<sup>1</sup> Congress leader known as Lion of Andhra; member, CA; Premier of Madras after elections in 1946; first Chief Minister of Andhra State

Warris Road  
Lahore  
1 November 1945

My dear Sardar Sahib,

I returned from Calcutta yesterday. There I had a talk with Maulana Sahib on the same lines as with you; but as decisions had been taken with regard to the Centre, the only possibility—if there was only hope still—related to provincial seats. In this regard, on my return here, I find matter further deteriorated; except that Master Tara Singh seems to have at last made up his mind to send a representative to you which is a ray of hope. This individual should reach there soon after this letter. While you can depend on my doing my best, I still think that the chances of the success of our candidates without a settlement with the Sikhs are meagre. Moreover, any fight in elections will result in giving advantage to the Communists in more seats than half a dozen and this will be most unfortunate. But if this were all, perhaps we would not worry. As it happens, the present position as between ourselves and the Sikhs is having its repercussion on wider fields. This rift has emboldened the Pakistanists and pro-Leaguers even in friendly quarters among Muslims—as you might have noticed in the recent Press statements in the Punjab. All this happened while I have been away; and naturally one feels lost for the moment. I cannot say more. Nor can I open my mind to anyone except you. I still wish to cling to my belief that the little work done here to ensure the defeat of Pakistanist groups will prove true. I assure you we shall throw our whole energy to do your bidding. But our own organisation is weak here and for that simple reason, it seems in my humble judgment necessary—perhaps imperative—that friendly alliances with the Sikhs and others should be made possible.

I hope it will be possible for you to come here. That will of course make all the difference.

Yours sincerely,  
B. S. Gilani

Poona

9 November 1945

My dear Gilani,

I received your letter of 1 November on the 7th. In the evening I got a phone from Sardar Basant Singhji from Bombay. He was laid up with fever and therefore he came here yesterday with Master Tara Singh's letter. I have explained to him the whole situation. At this late stage it is impossible to disturb or cancel the arrangement made by the Election Board for the Central Assembly elections. We cannot withdraw from the contest in either constituency at this stage. It is not open to me to do so as the selections have been made by the Board and nominations filed and even the scrutiny is over.

Regarding the provincial elections, of course, if there is goodwill on both sides a settlement can still be reached. The difficulty was created by the resolution [passed] by the Siromani Akali Dal Conference. Otherwise, I was all along for a settlement between the Sikhs and the Congress. The first attack came from the Akalis.

I have never concealed from you my apprehension about the Unionist Party's attitude. Their leader has at last come out with a statement which has not surprised me. It is sad that such a statement should have been made at this stage. What trust can be placed if the best amongst them can't stand on their own ground?

At this stage nothing can be done without reference to Maulana and if reasonable proposals for a settlement for the provincial elections can come from there I would try my best to persuade him to come to an understanding, but I have received no encouragement from the Sikhs, and therefore I am sorry to say that I am helpless in the matter till they change their attitude.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

B. S. Gilani  
Lahore

Lajpatrai Bhawan  
Lahore  
2 November 1945

Dear Sardar,

I reached back on the 30th morning. It was the day of scrutiny. Dr. [Gokul Chand] Narang<sup>1</sup> met me there and said that he might give up the contest, provided the position regarding parity and Pakistan was cleared up. Pandit Nanakchand,<sup>2</sup> Bar-at-law, helped us a great deal in persuading Dr. Narang to withdraw. It was 5 minutes to three on the 31st that Dr. [Narang] withdrew his candidature. Pandit Nanakchand wrote a letter to me and I sent a letter in reply to Dr. Narang as well as to Pandit Nanakchand. Copies of both the letters are enclosed herewith. The letter is only a declaration and not an undertaking. We have nowhere asked him to withdraw. He withdrew after consultation with his friends. We declared nothing more than what has been decided by the WC or the AICC.

Lala Shamlal,<sup>3</sup> Kidar Nath<sup>4</sup> and Shanno Devi<sup>5</sup> went to Calcutta about brother's seat. You perhaps wrote to Maulana that Mr. Asaf Ali supported his candidature. Deshbandhuji<sup>6</sup> [Gupta] informs that Maulana has written to Mr. Asaf Ali that he should not have supported him. There is one Manoharlal Gupta who has not withdrawn his application. He is Lala Shamlal's and Lala Dunichand Ambalvi's man. Lala Dunichand<sup>7</sup> has now adopted

<sup>1</sup> Leading barrister of Lahore; Minister, Local Self-Government (Punjab); relinquished knighthood in 1945 in protest against Government's attitude to legitimate rights of Hindus; later President, Hindu Mahasabha; pioneered industrial development in Punjab

<sup>2</sup> Leading member of Punjab Council under Montford Reforms

<sup>3</sup> Leading advocate of Hissar

<sup>4</sup> Revolutionary of Punjab, popularly known as Siyahposh Jernail, meaning general in black robes; took vow not to give up this dress until freedom was won

<sup>5</sup> Educationist who joined Congress party and was elected to Punjab Legislative Assembly and rose to be its Speaker.

<sup>6</sup> Disciple of Swami Shraddhanand; Director of Urdu daily Tej; leading Congressman of Delhi; member of AICC and Lok Sabha

<sup>7</sup> Leading Congressman of Ambala; MLA (Punjab)

an attitude which is favourable to Bhai Parmanand<sup>1</sup> and the Hindu Sabha. Though both the general seats are being contested, yet our success is sure.

As for the Sikh seats, the contest is very keen. Sardar Partap Singh [Kairon]<sup>2</sup> is of the opinion that as we have not been able to begin work in East Punjab (S. Kapur Singh's constituency) our position is weak. In S. Sant Singh's<sup>3</sup> constituency it is reported that our position is strong. We begin work in right earnest in a day or two. Our Congress sympathisers, barring some Congressmen, are of the opinion that we should settle with the Akalis. Congress Sikh friends met yesterday at Amritsar and discussed matters with pro-Congress Akalis. Sardar Partap Singh was there. He is of the opinion, and others agree with him, that it is not possible for us to settle about the Centre now. We do not want to sacrifice S. Kapur Singh and now we cannot even sacrifice S. Sant Singh. Sardar Kapur Singh comes here tomorrow or the day after. I will wire you his position. The Sikh friends who met at Amritsar agree with each other that adjustments can be made about provincial seats. Communists we have to oppose at any cost. Two of the Congress Sikhs and two Akalis will discuss the chances of respective candidates with me and Maulana Daud. We will try to agree and adjust almost all seats. If there are any left on which we cannot agree we will fight but that contest will be a friendly contest. Bitterness will disappear. But we shall settle positively about seats which are being contested by the Communists. The weaker will give up and allow the stronger to fight the Communists.

Sardar Basant Singh, who is a representative of Akalis, is meeting you. He will discuss with you about the Centre. I have described above that opinions and advice differ about settlement at the Centre. Please decide as you think best. Chances I will wire in a day or two and you will probably get the telegram before the letter reaches you. There is another suggestion also, that both our and their all four candidates give their letters of

<sup>1</sup> Publicist and professor; sentenced to transportation for life to Andamans for nationalist activities; broke with Congress and became permanent President of Hindu Mahasabha

<sup>2</sup> Educated in US where he joined Ghaddar party, which was working for India's liberation; joined Congress in 1930; MLA (Punjab), 1936; re-elected in 1946; member, CA, 1946; General Secretary, PCC, 1939-46; President, 1950-52; member, CWC, 1946-53; Minister, 1947-49; 1952-56; Chief Minister of Punjab, 1956-61; assassinated in February 1965

<sup>3</sup> Lawyer from Lyallpur in Punjab; member, Central Assembly with pro-Congress leanings; ended career as Ambassador to Ethiopia

resignation and after the result has been declared the resignation of successful candidates be tendered if it is thought desirable that any one or both of the successful candidates are to be withdrawn in case the Akalis and the Congress come to an agreement. Suppose it is agreed that one seat ought to go to Akalis and one to the Congress, and suppose both Congressmen succeed, we will ask one of our nominees to resign. The Akalis will then nominate a person who is a desirable one. If we get one, then no resignations are to be filed. If both Akalis succeed, one will resign and vacate the seat for the Congress. I do not know how it will be liked by you, Congressmen and Akalis.

Please discuss with him about provincial seats even if there is no settlement about Central seats. The agreement which I have described above may be accepted if you approve of it. Master Tara Singh, who did not listen to any talk of compromise, is ready for agreement now. There will be no harm if such a settlement about provincial seats is arrived at.

I could not discuss it with Maulana Daud owing to pressure of time. I will discuss tomorrow and wire if he agrees with me. Please discuss matters with him and settle them as well. Please send your instructions with S. Basant Singhji. I am sending this letter with him under a sealed cover.

We shall be able to prepare the budget in a few days and submit it. The meeting of our WC is being called for the 7th to decide about the Parliamentary Board. The other group is bent upon creating mischief. The Muslim workers of their group met yesterday and adopted an insulting attitude towards Maulana Daud.

With best regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Gopichand Bhargava

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

Poona  
8 November 1945

My dear Dr. Gopichand,

I have received your letter of 2 November just now through Sardar Basant Singhji. I shall confine myself to the Sikh question

only in this letter as he is in a hurry to return back by the next available train. Regarding other matters referred to in your letter I will write to you later.

So far as the Central Assembly seats are concerned it is impossible for us now to reconsider the situation. We must fight out the elections in both these constituencies as the decision has been taken by the Board, publicly announced and nominations filed and scrutinised also. Of course we should fight out the elections with due restraint and perfect dignity. There should be no bitterness as both the organisations stand for the independence of India and there is much common ground between them.

As for the provincial elections, the question is worth considering if there is willingness on both sides. But I cannot do anything without reference to Maulana [Azad] Sahib and my Board at this stage. If you and Maulana Daud Ghaznavi along with the Congress Sikhs are of one mind, you may write to me and I will get in touch with Maulana Sahib at Calcutta. There is plenty of time for this purpose.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. Gopichand Bhargava  
Lahore

156

TELEGRAM

Lahore  
3 November 1945

Sardar Patel  
Poona

PLEASE ASK SARDAR JOGINDERSINGH<sup>1</sup> [of] UP TOUR PUNJAB HELP ELECTION.

DAUD GHAZNAVI, GOPICHAND

<sup>1</sup> Member, Central Legislature for over 20 years; presently Governor of Orissa

157  
**TELEGRAM**

Poona  
4 November 1945

Sardar Joginder Singh  
Care Congress  
Lucknow

**PUNJAB CONGRESS WANTS YOU TOUR THERE HELP ELECTION CAMPAIGN. ADVISE PROCEED IMMEDIATELY.**

VALLABHBHAI

158

Poona  
5 November 1945

My dear Dr. Gopichand,

I have read in the Press a statement issued by Sir Gokalchand Narang about his withdrawal from the contest on account of a settlement between you and him. I have also seen the resolution condemning your action in this behalf by the Nationalist Muslim workers' conference. You have not written to me anything about this. Please let me know exactly what has happened.

I had received your telegram about Sardar Joginder Singh and I have wired to him to proceed at once to Lahore. He is not a speaker and I do not think his presence would be of much help to you even if he comes there.

Please write to me about the prospects of the Central Assembly elections in the remaining four constituencies and also about the Muslim constituencies.

I hope the agitation about selections has subsided and things have settled down so that the election campaign may proceed smoothly.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. Gopichand Bhargava  
Lahore



159  
TELEGRAM

Lahore  
5 November 1945

Sardar Patel  
Poona

KAPURSINGH [chances] FIFTY FIFTY. MAULANA EXPRESSES NO  
OPINION.

GOPICHAND

160  
TELEGRAM

Poona  
7 November 1945

Maulana Daud Ghaznavi  
Care Congress  
Lahore

Diwan Chamanlal  
Care Congress  
Lahore

Sardar Partap Singh  
Care Congress  
Lahore

Doctor Gopichand Bhargava  
Lajpatrai Bhawan  
Lahore

WIRE YOUR ESTIMATE CHANCES EACH CANDIDATE REMAINING FOUR  
CONSTITUENCIES CENTRAL ASSEMBLY.

VALLABHBHAI

Poona  
8 November 1945

My dear Maulana Sahib,

I have just received your wire suggesting that I should ask Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru to visit Punjab for the Central Assembly election campaign. He is in Bombay at present and I am writing him today. His programme is fixed up for Bombay till the 15th. If he can come at all he can do so after the 15th. But he will inform you direct.

Yesterday I sent you a wire inquiring about your estimate regarding the remaining four Central Assembly seats. About the two Hindu seats I have hardly any doubt about the result, but about the two Sikh seats I am anxious and I want to know the exact position. Sardar Kapur Singh is a strong candidate and Sardar Sant Singh's position was supposed to be strong. I have heard nothing from you since you left Bombay and your telegram asking for Jawaharlal makes me anxious. Please write to me in detail.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Daud Ghaznavi  
President  
Punjab PCC  
Lahore

Poona  
9 November 1945

My dear Maulana Sahib,

I had received your wire asking me to send Pandit Nehru to Punjab. I phoned to him yesterday at Bombay and he has agreed to come there after his Bombay programme is over, i.e. after the 15th. He is meeting me here on the 12th and I will wire to you about his visit to the Punjab.

Your joint telegram about the prospects of the Sikh seats has been received this morning. We must marshal our forces

and carry on a vigorous campaign to win these two seats. No effort should be spared and with that hope I have been able to persuade Pandit Nehru to come there again. I trust his visit will put the final seal to all doubts about our success there.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Daud Ghazanvi  
Lahore

163

TELEGRAM

Poona  
9 November 1945

Maulana Daud Ghaznavi  
Care Congress  
Lahore

HAVE PERSUADED JAWAHARLAL VISIT PUNJAB AFTER FIFTEENTH.  
HE WILL WIRE DIRECT.

VALLABHBHAI

164

Poona  
9 November 1945

My dear Dr. Gopichand,

I have sent you a short letter with Sardar Basant Singhji. This morning I have received your and Maulana Ghaznavi's wire in reply to mine. It is not possible now to withdraw from the contest in any of the two constituencies. We must fight it out and we must also win. You must marshal all your forces and see that we don't lose.

Yesterday I had received a telegram from Maulana Ghaznavi asking me to send Pandit Nehru for a tour in the Punjab for the election campaign. I spoke to Jawaharlal on the phone at Bombay and he has been good enough to agree. He will come after his Bombay programme is over, that is after the 15th. He will inform Maulana Ghaznavi directly. I trust that this will be of great help to you.

I did not know that the three friends from the Punjab had gone to Calcutta about your brother's seat. Maulana wired to me even after you left Bombay and I had to explain to him that several members, including Mr. Asaf Ali, had supported his candidature. Of course he did not like it and wrote a letter to Asaf Ali, from whom I learned about it.

I have read the copies of the correspondence you had sent to me. About parity you have quoted correctly from the Working Committee's instructions. But about the other matter, you should also have quoted the Working Committee's resolution passed at Poona instead of putting any interpretation on it or saying anything about it. Your doing so has given the excuse for your opponents in the Congress to condemn your action. However, that is past and it is over.

I am going to Bombay on the 19th and after the Central Assembly election campaign is over you can come and meet me in Bombay by appointment for the provincial election affairs. I should like to know when the provincial elections take place in the Punjab.

What is the position of the Unionist Party now? Is it in any way affected? Why has Khizr [Hayat Khan] come out with that statement? What does that statement mean?

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. Gopichand Bhargava  
Lahore

165

Poona  
9 November 1945

My dear Chamanlal,

Thanks for your telegram. We must not lose either of the two Sikh seats. You must combine all your forces and marshal all your strength together to succeed in this campaign. I have persuaded Jawaharlal to come there for a few days. He will come there after the 15th which will be the real time for a vigorous campaign. I trust no effort will be spared to secure victory in these two important constituencies.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Diwan Chamanlal  
C/o Punjab PCC  
Lahore

## TELEGRAM

Bindhachal  
14 November 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

IF AKALIS APPROACH YOU PLEASE REFER THEM TO ME. PUNJAB  
SITUATION MUCH COMPLICATED. WE SHOULD FORM OPINION VERY  
CAREFULLY.

ABULKALAMAZAD

Poona  
14 November 1945

My dear Maulana Sahib,

I have just received your telegram of today reading:

IF AKALIS APPROACH YOU PLEASE REFER THEM TO ME. PUNJAB SITUATION  
MUCH COMPLICATED. WE SHOULD FORM OPINION VERY CAREFULLY.

In this connection I am sending you a copy of a letter which I received from Master Tara Singh dated 4 November through Sardar Basant Singhji who came here for that purpose. I am also enclosing herewith relevant extracts of Dr. Gopichand's letter which was brought along with Master Tara Singh's letter suggesting a compromise over the Sikh question. My reply to these approaches will be seen from the copies of my letters to Master Tara Singh and Dr. Gopichand. They are also enclosed herewith, from which you will see that I was not going to do anything without consulting you.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Bindhachal

Lahore  
15 November 1945

My dear Sardar,

I got two letters from you and one from office. As I had sent a letter through S. Basant Singhji explaining my letter to Dr. [G.C.] Narang, I did not write to you. Sardar Basant Singh left late by 2-3 days.

My friends here did not object to the Pakistan part of the letter but to the parity part. As [for] Pakistan, we had written undefined Pakistan as envisaged by its supporters, but Dr. Narang said that these words be removed to save his face. We were not prepared to mention self-determination nor he wanted it to be done. They have created trouble for Maulana Daud.

Maulana Azad wrote to Abdul Ghani that as there was very little time for nominations for the Central Assembly, their nominees could not be supported. But now for provincial elections their party shall get 50 per cent of the seats for their nominees. He has received another letter, the contents of which I will know tomorrow or the day after.

Pandit Jawaharlalji is also said to have assured them at least 50 per cent of the seats, but I doubt this statement because Panditji is not on the Board. So far Maulana Daud is not with them.

We have discussed Muslim seats. At present we can nominate five on the Congress ticket and two [of] the labour seats on the Congress ticket and seven on the Muslim Board ticket. We will need money for it; nay we need it immediately.

We will need money for the Sikh seats and for a few Hindu seats. Amongst Sikhs our important people who have been to jail will need money. So amongst Hindus. Some of the Hindu seats we expect to get unopposed provided our choice is correct.

We will require Rs. 5,50,000 as under:-

Muslims.....	2,50,000
Sikhs .....	1,50,000
Scheduled Caste.....	50,000
Hindus .....	1,00,000

This estimate is prepared in consultation with Maulana Daud. I would suggest that the money be placed in the hands of Maulana Daud. He will spend it in consultation with me. Maulana proposes to keep a few Muslim preachers for three months. Their allowance and pay shall cost us something between 20,000 and 30,000. It is worth while doing so. We will not be able to collect much because all are going to become busy in their elections.

Diwan Chamanlal has filed his [papers] for a provincial seat. I do not think he wrote to you. In the beginning he grew keen to stick to the Centre. It may be a party move now.

Sardar Basant Singh has arrived. He gave me your letter last night. Talks have begun with them. I am enclosing a draft for your perusal. It is proposed to issue this statement; with this the position of Nationalist Sikhs who are in the Akali party will become awkward and they may come over to us. Even if they do not come over, bitterness may disappear. Talks are still going on. Adjustment of seats may be done on a majority of seats. If you approve of this, ask the bearer to send a telegram saying 'approved'. If not, please wire your instructions.

Primary elections for the Scheduled Caste have begun.

Our nomination papers are to be filed on 12 December. Therefore you ought to decide about nominations at the latest before the 5th or 7th. It is proposed to send seven copies of our recommendations to you to reach you before 30 November. If you desire, one copy can be sent to each member. I will go to Bombay with those papers.

Recommendations for special constituencies will be sent on the 22nd instant. In most cases it is expected the decision will be unanimous—where we differ, Maulana Daud is expected to be with me.

Rs. 10,000 or so might have been sent to your office by Maulana Azad. When I was in Bombay I had met Mr. Motwani of Chicago Radio about supply of radio sets. He quoted Rs. 1,750 per set for us. Please instruct the office people to buy two sets out of that amount and send them per bearer. They are urgently required.

Please send some money immediately. We had about Rs. 18,000 with us. We propose to give Rs. 7,000 to a Muslim candidate for the Central Assembly who is expected to defeat a Muslim Leaguer. He has given it in writing that he will join Nationalist Muslims and not join the Muslim League if elected. His chances are favourable.

I forgot to suggest above that the charge of money be given to Maulana [Daud] and me and not to the Board, because other friends will bring pressure to misspend it and also announce that the Congress is helping the Muslims.

Pandit Nehru reaches the Punjab on the morning of the 18th and reaches Delhi on the morning of the 21st or the night of the 20th.

The chances of success are growing bright. We are trying our level best.

Rai Bahadur Mukand Lal Puri<sup>1</sup> (father-in-law of Shri Bhim Sen Sachar) is contesting Sir Manohar Lal<sup>2</sup>, the sitting member in the University constituency. Rai Bahadur [M.L. Puri] approached us and said that he was prepared to renounce his title and apply for the Congress ticket provided we would accept him. He also says that if we do not accept him as such, he is prepared to give an undertaking that he would join us after the elections. But his past conduct shows that he is unreliable. No other candidate than Shri Bhim Sen Sachar can win this seat if the fight is triangular. The probability is that Rai Bahadur will withdraw if Sachar stands. But Sachar says that he would rather not stand at all than contest [against] his father-in-law. It is he only who can win this seat for University. If we leave the seat uncontested we will get a bad name. If we nominate any other person we lose. We can offer him two seats—one in the general constituency and one this, so that if he loses in the University, he goes to the Assembly in his general seat. If he succeeds he can resign his general seat which we will get in by-election.

With best regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Gopichand Bhargava

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

<sup>1</sup> Leading advocate of Lahore in undivided Punjab and member of provincial council, 1934-37; also connected with Punjab University and several educational institutions

<sup>2</sup> Prominent economist of Punjab and working trustee of the Tribune Trust; Minister of Education in Punjab under Montford Reforms



Poona  
18 November 1945

My dear Dr. Gopichand,

Your letter of the 15th has just been delivered to me. I do not know how any promise of 50 per cent seats can be made to any party or group, unless there was any such understanding between the Maulana [Azad] and the parties when the settlement was brought about at the time of his last visit. I do not believe that Jawaharlal would be a party to any such understanding or assurance nor would he himself encourage such a thing.

Your budget seems to throw the whole responsibility on the Centre. It is unfair and we must be able to raise a substantial sum from the Punjab. I should think it would be easy enough to raise a good sum from Lahore itself. There are also other cities in the Punjab which can contribute for this purpose.

I am enclosing herewith a letter from Dr. Gokul Chand Narang which was received yesterday. I have not been able to give a reply, but I will do so after I reach Bombay tomorrow. I do not know why he has written this letter to me at this late stage. He has written a similar letter to Bapu also.

About the University constituency, I have written a letter to Maulana Daud Ghaznavi asking him to send his reactions to Prof. Abdul Majid Khan's application for this constituency. He has also sent me a copy of the application made to the provincial board. If it is possible to send him, it would indeed be very good, but I do not know the views of the local people.

I have received no account of money from the President, Maulana Azad. If he had sent me the money I would have written you long ago. He simply wrote to me that he has got Rs. 20,000 from the Punjab which he wanted to send me. But he has kept the amount for being used in Bengal as it is needed there.

I will enquire about the radio sets after going to Bombay tomorrow and will also send you some money from there in

<sup>1</sup> Nationalist Muslim of Punjab; then professor at Forman Christian College, Lahore

advance. We can settle the exact share of responsibility between the Centre and the province after our meeting in Bombay, which I expect will be arranged immediately after the Central Assembly elections. Of course, it must be understood that we must not lose any single seat for want of finance.

I thought that you must have raised a good sum by this time locally but from your letter and from Maulana Daud's letter it appears that nothing has been done as yet.

Regarding the draft statement proposed to be published, a copy of which is sent by you, I can only say that I cannot be a party to it or give my approval without reference to the Working Committee or to the [Congress] President. It would create unnecessary complications. Individual members are not free to make a declaration of policy in the name of the Congress. They can only express their own individual opinion. I have made my own position clear so often that there can be no misunderstanding so far as my position is concerned. But if you want me to give my approval to the statement to be issued regarding the position of the Congress on this question, that can only be done by the Working Committee. If any individual member were to do so, it would be misunderstood.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

170

Poona  
17 November 1945

My dear Maulana,

Your telegram about Diwan Chamanlal was duly received but I forgot to mention about it in my previous letter. When my telegram was sent to him at Lahore he was in Bombay. He came to see me here after your telegram was received and he has assured me that the Congress will have no difficulty in capturing that seat and hence he need not go to the Central Assembly from there. But if he finds that there is any danger of losing the seat he will certainly stand. I think his mind is in the province. Therefore, I have left it to him to decide as he likes.

The following resolution was placed before the Working Committee:

In view of the approaching elections, the Working Committee draw special attention to the necessity for making special arrangements for voting for the men and women in the armed forces and associated organisations. Full facilities should be provided not only for those who are in India but also for those who are stationed abroad.

In the event of any names of persons in the armed forces, who are entitled to vote, not appearing in the electoral rolls, opportunity should be given for their inclusion.

And the Working Committee simply decided that it should be referred to the Central Election Board for consideration. If you think it necessary you may write to the Viceroy. I do not think he will do anything in the matter.

Yesterday I sent you a draft manifesto for your approval as it has to be issued in the name of the Working Committee. I would suggest that you show it to Prafulla Babu also. I have sent a copy to Mr. Krjpalani. Pantji has, I believe, seen it from Jawaharlal. I will try to send a copy to the remaining members.

I do not know whether you have been able to look into the affairs of the Bengal Provincial Congress Committee. Nor do I know what has been done about Sarat Babu and the Forward Bloc. I understand he is going to the Centre. Has he consulted you and obtained your approval? I have received no proposals from Bengal as yet.

Please let us know about the state of your health.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Calcutta

Poona  
18 November 1945

My dear Maulana Sahib,

Your letter of the 12th inst. was received yesterday. Two other letters of the 13th and 15th inst. have also been received today.

You will please excuse me for my writing to you in English, but as I have very little time to write myself in Hindustani I am dictating this letter to economise time.

You may continue to write in Urdu without any hesitation. I am going to Bombay tomorrow and from there I will arrange, if possible, to give you copies [of replies] to your letters hereafter in Urdu which may be more convenient for you to understand.

Regarding your request to Mahatmaji for sending Dr. Gopichand to the Provincial Assembly, I showed your letter to him. He says that Dr. Gopichand knows his views and that he should be able to decide what he should do in this matter. My own view is that if Dr. Gopichand feels that in the interests of the province he should go to the Provincial Assembly it would be his duty to do so. He must be able to take the decision on his own responsibility. Gandhiji takes no interest in these matters. It is unnecessary to enter into the reasons as to why he has kept out of these elections. He has done so in the past also. He is therefore not inclined to give advice to anybody and his permission should not be necessary.

When we met last in Bombay I had spoken to Dr. Gopichand, and perhaps to you also, that a budget of the required expenditure for the purposes of these elections in your province should be framed as early as possible and also the portion that the Centre is expected to contribute as well as the amount expected to be raised in the province and that this should be communicated to me as early as possible. I have got it now from you as also from Dr. Gopichand. But I see from Dr. Gopichand's letter that he has shifted the whole burden on me. You will agree that it is not fair. And if all the provinces were to throw the burden in its entirety on the Centre, it would be very difficult to meet such a demand. Reasonable efforts should therefore be made locally and the Centre would undoubtedly help whatever help is needed.

About the radio sets, I will make enquiries as soon as I reach Bombay.

There may be a number of candidates who will be returned unopposed and they should be able to contribute to the local fund for election purposes. They should be asked to do so. Lahore is a fairly rich city and there are other cities also which can contribute. We will settle this matter when Dr. Gopichand comes to Bombay after the Central Assembly elections are over. In the meanwhile I will send you a substantial amount for immediate help as soon as I reach Bombay. It must be understood between us that no seats should be lost for want of money.

About the University seat, there was an application from Prof. Abdul Majid Khan about which I had written to you last week asking [for] your reactions to the same.

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru's visit will be over by the time this reaches you and I will be anxiously awaiting your report about the results of his tour. I trust it will clear the way for our success in the two Sikh constituencies for the Central Assembly as also raise the general tempo in favour of the Congress.

If you think that Dr. Gopichand's going to the Assembly is necessary you should be able to persuade him to do so.

Hope you are doing well.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Daud  
Lahore

172

#### EXTRACTS

Berhampur (Ganjam)  
6 November 1945

Revered Sardar,

I think it my duty to bring to your notice a very unpleasant situation that has arisen in the districts of Ganjam and Koraput of Orissa in connection with the election to the Central Assembly. These two districts are technically in Madras for the purpose of the Central Assembly election, for it is held under the 1919 Act and Orissa was not then made a separate province. The Ganjam-cum-Vizag constituency lies in Orissa and Madras, and for that both the provinces are interested in the selection of a candidate for the same constituency. In 1935, when candidates were selected by the Congress for the general election to the Central Legislature, Sri Bhulabhai Desai, who was then organising the election, came down to Berhampur, consulted the provincial Congress committee of Orissa as well as the Andhra PCC and with the agreement of both Mr. V. V. Giri<sup>1</sup> of Ganjam was

<sup>1</sup> President of India since August 1969; gained prominence as President, All-India Railwaymen's Federation and All-India Trade Union Congress; member, Central Assembly, 1934-36; Minister of Labour, Industries, Co-operation and Commerce, Madras, 1937; first High Commissioner to Ceylon, 1947-51; Union Minister of Labour, 1952-54; resigned because of policy difference with Nehru; became successively Governor of UP, Kerala and Mysore, 1957-67; elected Vice-President in 1969; elected President in the vacancy caused by the sudden death of Dr. Zakir Husain

selected. He resigned in 1937 to come to the provincial legislature. Since then two by-elections have been held and two gentlemen of Vizagapatam district have been returned. In the present general election, the Orissa Provincial Congress Committee selected Sri Biswanath Das for that constituency in consultation and agreement with most of the Andhra Congress leaders. But the Andhra PCC had not the courtesy to approve the selection of Sri Biswanath Das in a resolution and send the recommendation to the Central Election Board. Had the ban on Sri Biswanath Das been lifted, Andhra and Orissa would probably have fought on that issue. But the ban was not lifted.

Then the Andhra PCC selected Mr. Narayanamurthy but did not consult nor even communicated the news to the Orissa PCC. This itself would not have so much offended many of us here had not the Andhra PCC thought it proper to start rival Congress committees in Orissa on the plea that some Telugu-speaking areas have been wrongly included in Orissa. The North Vizag District Congress Committee of Andhra has set up a town Congress Committee at Berhampur. This has complicated the Congress matters to a great extent. The non-Congress sections are taking advantage of this complication and depicting the Congress as an organisation inimical to the provincial interest. This is an old complaint against the Congress by a section of the people and this is taken recourse to, specially at the time of election. Recently Dr. Pattabhi and myself issued a joint statement appealing to both the Andhras and the Oriyas to keep their activities within proper limits. That statement brought upon me some accusation which are sought to be strengthened by citing the way in which the selection of the candidate for the Central Assembly has been made and the starting of the rival Congress committees in Orissa by the Andhra people.

These factors may have a direct effect on the coming provincial elections.

Hope you are doing well.

Yours sincerely,  
H. K. Mahtab

173

TELEGRAM

Poona  
14 November 1945

Harekrushna Mahtab  
Cuttack

YOUR LETTER SIXTH RECEIVED TODAY. PRESS STATEMENT ISSUED.

VALLABHBHAI

174

Poona  
14 November 1945

My dear Mahtab,

I got your letter of the 6th instant this morning. It means that it takes full one week for your letters to reach here. Urgent matters should therefore be dealt with by telephone.

What have you done about your selections of Scheduled Caste candidates? I have not received your proposals as yet.

It is unfortunate that your province is involved in a futile controversy which could have been avoided if it had been brought to my notice at an early stage. It was only from your letter received today that I understood the situation properly. Straightaway I have given a statement to the Press [See enclosure] which will clear much of the ground, but mischievous people are bound to take advantage of the situation. I trust this will be of help to you.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Harekrushna Mahtab  
Cuttack

## ENCLOSURE

Poona

14 November 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel has issued the following statement to the Press:

Some misunderstanding has arisen resulting in considerable dissatisfaction in the districts of Ganjam and Koraput of Orissa in connection with the selections for the Central Assembly. The Central Election Board had decided to select Sjt. Biswanath Das as the Congress nominee for this constituency, subject to his disqualification being removed by the Government of India. But as the orders for the non-removal of his disqualification were received at a very late stage and as there was no time, the Central Election Board had to sanction the recommendation made by the Andhra Provincial Congress Committee. It was unfortunate that the Andhra committee made its proposal without reference to the Orissa Congress Committee. If the Central Election Board had time and had known about this before, it would have taken steps to consult the Orissa committee before making its decision. The rights of the Orissa committee are in no way affected or prejudiced by this selection and I wish to assure the Orissa committee that if any such occasion arises in future, steps will be taken to fully safeguard its rights and privileges. Sjt. Biswanath Das' disqualification not being removed and no other suitable Oriya candidate from the Board's point of view being available for consideration, the decision was taken when there was no time left for further reference.

It is not possible for any individual MLA<sup>1</sup> to do anything on his own initiative with regard to the boundaries of provinces without obtaining sanction from his party, and therefore any claim made on behalf of any such candidate would be of no avail. I trust therefore that this will clear any misunderstanding that may have arisen on account of the attitude of the Andhra Provincial Congress Committee or the decision of the Central Election Board.

From the accounts of Orissa Congressmen I understand that the North Vizag district committee of Andhra has set up a town Congress committee at Berhampur. This, if true, is an unnecessary complication created at this stage and steps will be taken to rectify the matter by the Working Committee as soon as possible.

<sup>1</sup> Member, Legislative Assembly



Under the circumstances I appeal to Orissa Congressmen to stop this controversy and support the Central Board's nominee wholeheartedly in the election. We are passing through a period of serious crisis in the history of our country and Congressmen can ill afford to raise controversies over minor issues. They must present a united front and try to secure an overwhelming majority in the provincial elections which are of vital importance to the country as a whole. It was Orissa which gave the first signal of victory in the last elections. It has made tremendous sacrifices and gone through unparalleled suffering through the past three years. People on the whole are wholeheartedly for the Congress and it is for the Congress to reap the benefit of mass awakening.

175

Kudla

8 November 1945

My dear Sardarji,

Allow me to thank you for your very kind and consoling letter. Even the Congressmen of Orissa are awfully annoyed with the treatment meted out to the Utkal PCC by the Andhra in nominating one for the Vizag, Ganjam and Koraput districts. This area includes Vizag, and Andhra district with about 60% voters while it has Ganjam and Koraput with about 40% voters and also having a fairly good number of Oriya voters in the Vizag district. No Oriya has been returned from this constituency ever since its inception in 1920. The non-acceptance of my nomination even before the rejection by the Governor-General of my petition has been resented by Congressmen and taken up by the Oriya reactionaries as a platform to rake up Oriya and Andhra feelings....

District by-elections are going on in Orissa. In Balasore district all Congress candidates are returned uncontested. In the districts of Cuttack out of 32 seats, 23 are already uncontested. In Sambalpur district 8 seats are uncontested. In the districts Puri and Ganjam there is contest with the Communists and also with the reactionaries. Results are awaited and will be intimated in due course.

With pranams,

Yours sincerely,  
Biswanath Das

Poona  
16 November 1945

My dear Biswanath Das,

I have received your letter of the 8th instant. I am sorry to find that Congressmen in Orissa have been upset by the decision of the Central Election Board regarding the Central Assembly nominee of Ganjam district. The Central Board's position has been made clear by me in my statement to the Press published yesterday which you must have read and which I trust must have given satisfaction to all concerned. If I had known this before I could easily have taken steps to redress their legitimate grievances. Whatever the Andhra committee may have done, the selection made by the Central Board was only after the rejection of your application by the Governor-General.

The District Board elections have shown splendid results. The general feeling of the people is indicated by these elections, but Congress workers are not disciplined properly and some of them are always on the lookout for an opportunity to create trouble to serve their own ends.

In the matter of selections of candidates for the Provincial Assembly, it is open to you and Mr. Mahtab to recommend only those whom you consider to be loyal and faithful to the Congress. The atmosphere of united action should not be allowed to be disturbed by people working on party lines.

You must have noticed that some people in Utkal have formed an association at Jamshedpur. This association has passed a resolution which contains a vulgar attack on Mr. Mahtab. They have sent me a copy. I do not know who these people are, but evidently attempts are being made in your province by disgruntled groups to create trouble.

I do not know exactly when the period of your disqualification is to expire but there must be some candidate selected for the Provincial Assembly who would be willing to make way by vacating his seat for you whenever he is asked to do so. We would have escaped much of these difficulties if your disqualification had not come in the way and your services had been available

to us for legislative work. I know that you will do your best even from outside, but your position as the leader of the Assembly Party would have spared us all this trouble.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Biswanath Das  
Berhampur

177

TELEGRAM

Cuttack  
10 November 1945

Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

DIFFICULTY SUPPORTING NARAYANAMURTHY. INTIMATE YOUR OFFICE  
LETTER DATED EIGHTH.

SECRETARY UTKAL PCC

178

TELEGRAM

Poona  
9 November 1945

Harekrushna Mahtab  
Secretary  
Provincial Congress  
Cuttack

COMPLAINTS RECEIVED UTKAL PROVINCIAL CONGRESS COMMITTEE  
NOT YET OFFICIALLY SUPPORTING NARAYANAMURTHY CENTRAL  
ASSEMBLY. PLEASE TAKE IMMEDIATE STEPS HIS RECOGNITION AND  
SUPPORT. WIRE REPLY.

VALLABHBHAI

179

**TELEGRAM**

Cuttack

12 November 1945

Sardar Patel  
Poona

YOUR WIRE. PLEASE REFER MY LETTER DATED 6TH. WE SUPPORT  
NARAYANAMURTHY.

MAHTAB

180

Poona

• 13 November 1945

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter of the 9th. I have already written to Mr. [Harekrushna] Mahtab about it and he wires to me that they have decided to support Mr. Narayanamurthy. So there will be no difficulty.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

B.V.N. Satyanarayana  
Secretary  
Berhampur Andhra Town Congress Committee

181

Poona

11 November 1945

My dear Mahtab,

I get no reports from you or from anybody in your province. What is this quarrel about Mr. Narayanamurthy's nomination for the Central Assembly from the Ganjam constituency? It seems some people from your province are not accepting the decision loyally. The time for election is very close and you must settle this controversy and ask the local Congress committee in your

161

province to support his candidature. The Congress must not lose this seat.

Your report about the Provincial Assembly proposals is awaited. I hope you are contesting all the seats, including the Muslim and special constituencies.

What have you done about the Depressed Classes seats? The panel elections<sup>1</sup> must be very near. Their proposals have not yet been received.

One of the seats for the Central Assembly has been secured unopposed. I have heard nothing about the other. Who is opposing our candidate? Is there any difficulty or is the contest serious? Please send me a full report immediately.

You must keep on writing to me at intervals, giving me information of your province at least till the elections are over.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Harekrushna Mahtab  
Cuttack

182

Cuttack  
21 November 1945

Revered Sardarji,

Received your letters of the 11th and 14th instant. I replied to you by wire that the last date for the publication of the final electoral roll is 20 January and the dates for nomination etc. have not yet been announced. The Governor recently said in a speech that in this province the elections may be held a little later than in other provinces because of the delay in the preparation of the electoral roll. So there is no urgency in making our recommendations.

I am really sorry I could not write to you regularly as I ought to have done. A week or two after the lifting of the ban on the Congress committees Government ordered elections of district boards of all the districts and we had to be very busy in that connection. Now in four districts out of five D.B. elections are over and in the fifth, the elections will be held on 19 December. In four districts, the Congress has come out with flying colours defeating the Radical Democratic Party in one

<sup>1</sup> Primary elections to choose a panel of candidates

district and the Communists in another. In the district of Balasore, all the seats we won uncontested. In Puri, Communists in a party contested the Congress and only yesterday the result was out. All the Communist candidates have been badly defeated and some of them have forfeited their deposit money. In Cuttack the Radical Democratic Party set up some candidates and they too have been defeated. The election in Ganjam will take place on the 19th, and Biswanath Babu is busy in that connection. There too we will have to encounter the Communist menace.

As regards the Central Assembly election, there are two contests, one entirely our own and the other jointly with the Andhra PCC. As regards the Cuttack-Puri constituency, there is no doubt that Bhagirathi Mahapatra, our candidate, will sweep the polls and our aim is to have the opponent's deposit money forfeited. The opponent is the head of the Radical Democratic Party here and I think it is only here that the Radicals have set up a candidate for the Central Assembly. He has brought some professional women volunteers from Calcutta and this has gone against him all the more.

As regards the Ganjam-Vizag constituency, Narayanamurthy will surely succeed. Your statement has fully cleared the atmosphere and the provincialists are now silenced.

I think I ought to keep you informed of a move that we have adopted here. Here generally the anti-Congress parties are formed at the instance of the big landholders. This time I have somehow brought round some of these Rajas at least to remain neutral. It is for this reason that Nilkantha and the so-called Forward Bloc people are unable to form parties for elections. I think I shall see that no organised party remains to contest the Congress in the coming provincial elections. Of course we will contest all the seats including the special ones—Scheduled Castes, Muslims, landholder, commerce, labour—all the seats. I shall let you know the whole thing when we meet. I must meet you and discuss with you everything at least a month before the election.

With regard to Singbhum in Bihar, we have some claim there and I am in correspondence with Rajendra Babu over the matter. I hope the matter will be settled without reference to you.

Hope you are doing well.

Yours sincerely,  
H. Mahtab

Poona

14 November 1945

My dear Dr. Pattabhi & My dear Pṛakasam,

You must have noticed that a good deal of discontent has arisen in the Gaṇjam and Koraput districts of Orissa in connection with the Central Assembly nomination. It would have been better if your committee had consulted the Orissa committee. Mischievous people have taken advantage of this and started parties against the Congress in Orissa. The district committee of North Vizag has created a further difficulty by setting up a town Congress committee at Berhampur. Such complications should have been avoided during elections. Orissa province has suffered much on account of factions during the last three years. Some of those dissatisfied in the matter of selections are naturally taking advantage of this situation. Please do something to help the Orissa people in their difficulty. If necessary, run up to the place and settle the affairs by satisfying them in their legitimate grievance.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

## EXTRACT

Chandni Chauk  
Cuttack

28 December 1945

My dear Vallabhbhaiji,

I was very much touched with your friendly letter of 3 November 1945 and it went a long way to soothe my disturbed state of mind at the time. Your friendly words were like a breath of zephyr bringing humane touch which I had missed in the way things were handled by local gents here.

It is true I have been suffering from blood pressure these four years and with the inhuman sufferings of the Congress and

the country I was no doubt in a bad condition in 1944-45. It is also equally true that my health improved very much as soon as Mahatmaji and most of you Congress leaders were released. Somehow my health was the barometer of the great national depression but it is quite untrue that I ever had a stroke in the Central Assembly Hall or elsewhere. . . .

With the release of Congressmen last September, many a cock and bull story went about and I mention here just one or two. It was given out that those, who did not go to jail in the 1942 movement, are traitors and should not be allowed any office and they talked of the secret circular of the High Command. About me it was talked that Sardar Patel had noted B. Das' non-attendance in the Assembly and did not want him to continue. Most cruelly and unfortunately such scandalous news came through young Congress workers and it did hurt me at the time. I wrote a letter to Bhulabhaji (copies of the letter of 4 October to Bhulabhaji and his reply of 12 October are enclosed). In a previous letter (of 23 September) I wrote to Bhulabhaji as follows:

"I enclose herewith a copy of my application to Acharya Kripalani for selection as Congress candidate for the Central Assembly from the Cuttack-cum-Puri district non-Mohamadan constituency of Orissa province for your valued recommendation to Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel, who now is placed by the Working Committee in charge of the election sub-committee work. There is a spirit of intolerance and impatience amongst the younger section of Congress workers that old-stagers need not be even Congress Parliamentarians, but I always thought our long memory and long range of thought and action entitle us to be the best counsellors to the Congress and the country. I can scent a similar spirit of impatience all over India, but this is a phase that has to be experienced through. That is why I ask you not only to recommend my name in writing to Vallabhbhaiji but also to speak to him personally."

You may verify through Sri Prakasaji, who was secretary of the Congress Assembly Party, if the story that I had a stroke had any truth in it. The Statesman of 17 November, 1945, in its Orissa Newsletter gave another version:

"Mr. B. Das' application has been rejected because of his ill-health. It is said that Mr. Das could not actually attend



the voting once during the last session of the Assembly due to high blood pressure from which he is suffering."

It was strange, very strange, that the local Parliamentary Board at no time told me of your intentions, not even when they accepted my application and recommended my name unanimously to you. The secretary wrote to me on 13 October 1945:

"... the Utkal Selection Board has recommended favourably to the Central Election Board and you can now proceed in your election campaign as you think best."

Your wire rejecting my candidature was conveyed to me at 7 p.m. on 20 October. I put some query to the secretary of the Utkal Provincial Congress Committee on the 22nd (copy of letter enclosed) to which I had no reply. Simultaneously I wrote a letter to Mr. Mahtab taking him then as a member of the Working Committee. Copy of the letter and his reply enclosed.

I have obeyed your orders. You will see from my letter of 4 October to Bhulabhaiji how much I hold you in high esteem. Let me tell you, now that politics is out of my head and I do not worry over things, that I am very much better. I apologise that I showed impatience and sent you and others so many wires over my rejection as a parliamentary candidate. I must confess I felt floored at the time. Now I am thankful to God and to you that I am having a respite from big political worries; perhaps because I was a keen political student I used to feel too much.

But I seek redress over my personal honour with the Congress High Command. If I was and I am a trusted Congressman and a true patriot I again acknowledge with gratitude your very friendly appreciation of my past services to the Congress and the country. The local selection board, which knew your mind before, ought to have told me of it than my suddenly knowing of it from an extract of your telegram on the evening of 20 October 1945. Incidentally, it explains the psychology of my frantic telegrams and letters. Surely I remain a patriot and national worker even now. I feel I was not treated by the local selection board or those who were in close negotiations with you with the courtesy, consideration and respect due to a party man and an elderly statesman.

What I ask for is an honourable appreciation of the situation. Any enquiry will reveal that an old loyal Congressman and patriot has been inflicted with deep humiliation and rough usage that

his past services to the nation did not warrant nor his social position did justify such offhand dealings. I seek an honourable appreciation of that position.

With my warm respects,

Yours sincerely,  
B. Das

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel .  
Congress House  
Girgaon  
Bombay

185

Bombay  
6 January 1946

My dear B. Das,

I have received your letter of 28 December and I am glad that my previous letter of 3 November has, to some extent, soothed your feelings. I wish to assure you once again that the only reason for the non-acceptance of your candidature was the consideration of your health. There is nothing else against you. I was grieved to find that your feelings were ruffled and that you felt hurt that you were omitted. The Congress organisation is growing much stronger and, God willing, there will be many more openings for Congressmen to serve the country in more responsible positions in various spheres in the near future. The Congress will need the services of experienced people like you, as it has been suffering from want of good and able men who can shoulder the responsibility of administration. You must get well as soon as possible and be ready when the time comes for such a call.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. B. Das  
Cuttack

CHAPTER IV  
MADRAS—COCKPIT OF FACTIONS

186

Madras  
28 September 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I was too late when I tried to get at you. You had left for Poona. I hope you are maintaining at least the same level of health.

The enclosed is a milder version of the Central story in the Andhra Patrika for which Dr. P.'s friends are responsible. The impression sought to be created is that Dr. P. has been pitched upon by you as leader, and that it is an integral part of the big plan!

Yours affectionately,  
C. Rajagopalachari

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

187

Madras  
1 December 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

This is to confirm my telegram. I am sending you a copy of the resolution adopted by 143 prominent Congressmen of the province, PCC members and others. They are sending a deputation consisting of Dr. Rajan, A. Vaidyanatha Iyer of Madura, A. Vedarathnam (Salt Satyagraha, Vedaranyam), C. Perumal-swami Reddiar, President, North Arcot District Congress Committee, and M. C. Veerbahu Pillai, AICC member for Tinnevely. They are all very eager to meet you and explain matters. Kindly give them a full hearing at some convenient time.

Yours sincerely,  
C. Rajagopalachari

## ENCLOSURE

## EXTRACTS

### COPY OF RESOLUTION

As members of the Tamil Nad Provincial Congress Committee and men who have given of their best to the Congress for over two decades, we feel much concerned over the state of Congress affairs in our province. We have read Sri Annamalai Pillai's letter dated 16 November 1945 to the President, Indian National Congress, and we entirely agree with him in what he has stated therein. In spite of our wholehearted acceptance at face value of the Tamil Nadu Congress President's assurance in his introductory statement at the meeting of the provincial Congress Committee held at Madura on 31 October last, the vacancies in the Provincial Congress Committee, about thirty in number, were filled by co-option in a partisan spirit and our suspicions were strengthened by the determination shown by the group now in power in the provincial Congress committee to exclude Rajaji from the provincial Congress committee.

We are therefore of opinion and strongly urge on the Congress Working Committee that a representative and strong parliamentary provincial board should be set up for Tamil Nad and the selections and recommendations should not be left to the sole discretion of the present working committee of the province.

It is our considered view that parliamentary work in this province requires Rajaji's leadership.

188

The Cricket Club of India Ltd.  
Brabourne Stadium  
Bombay  
8 November 1945

My dear Sardarji,

I hope to reach Poona some time on the morning of the 14th (Wednesday) and would very much like to see you. I shall be grateful if you will be so good as to let me know when I could come to the clinic and meet you. I do not want to take up much of your time than would be necessary, but what I wish to get

your advice upon might take from 30 minutes to an hour. I hope very much that you will be so good as to afford me this opportunity. I have always looked upon you as an adviser in time of stress and my visits to you in Bombay in the old days offered me great relief and joy.

I would like to see Bapu as well but that will only be for about a quarter of an hour mainly to have darshan of him. That gives me peace. I will, if I am allowed, see him after I have seen you.

I trust you have been well, and the treatment at the nature clinic has improved you, and your pain has abated. Please remember me to Smt. Maniben.

With pranams to you and Bapu.

Yours very sincerely,  
P. Subbarayan

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

189

Poona  
9 November 1945

My dear Dr. Subbarayan,

I have received your letter of the 8th inst. I shall certainly be delighted to see you here on the 14th any time after 2 p.m.

The rejection of Mrs. Subbarayan's candidature ought not to make the slightest difference between our friendship and you can always look to me for any advice you may want at any time. No rumours or stories about her rejection should be given credence to by you or by her. My opinion about both of you remains the same. I have spoken to Bapu about your coming on the 14th and he will certainly see you for a few minutes.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. P. Subbarayan  
The Cricket Club of India Ltd.  
Brabourne Stadium  
Bombay

4 Kondi Chetty Street  
George Town  
Madras  
12 November 1945

Dear Sardarji,

Reports reach me that you have not been able to exactly make out the situation in Tamil Nad in relation to the happenings at the Tripura Nukundram Tamil Nad Congress Committee meeting.

The issue before Tamil Nad is simple and clear. Rajaji wants to become the premier again. Tamil Nad does not want him and has no confidence in his leadership. Every other thing spoken or written about is only a smokescreen thrown up to confuse the people outside. 177 out of 194 members of the TNCC attended the meeting. The Rajaji group brought into action all Tammany Hall methods possible to secure support. Several thousands of rupees were spent, members were sought to be bribed, cajoled, coerced or talked into. The net result was that they could not get a majority in the TNCC for a resolution in favour of Rajaji. Finding an overwhelming majority against Rajaji's leadership, the leaders of the Rajaji group agreed to withdraw their resolutions. At the very last minute they did their best and ultimately accepted defeat. Only one decisive issue arose for voting, namely, the co-option of members to the TNCC. There the Working Committee's supporters secured 36 out of the 37 places. The one was Sri A. Vaidyanatha Iyer who has since resigned.

After this crushing defeat, propaganda was set afoot as if some compromise had been arrived at behind the scenes. You must have read the interview by Sri K. Kamaraj Nadar, President of the TNCC to the API categorically denying it. Sri Kamaraj only made a statement at the opening of the TNCC meeting welcoming Rajaji back into the Congress and seeking his co-operation.

As for the operative resolutions passed at the TNCC meeting there are two. One confirmed the action of the TNCC invalidating the election of C. Rajagopalachari to PCC from Trichengode. The other one vested complete powers in the Tamil

Nad Congress Working Committee to do all things for the Provincial Assembly elections.

You will thus note that we, for our part, have still no confidence in Rajaji's leadership, and are not prepared to entrust the affairs of the province in his hands, in view of his past as well as his present attitude.

Yours sincerely,  
C. N. Muthuranga Mudaliar

191

Poona  
16 November 1945

My dear Muthuranga Mudaliar,

I have received your letter of 12th instant which gives me the full official point of view of the Tamil Nad Congress Committee. From the papers it was difficult to obtain any coherent idea of the situation. The general impression created outside from the Press reports was that there was some sort of understanding arrived at between Rajaji and the president of the Tamil Nad Congress Committee. I saw the contradiction by Sjt. Kamaraj Nadar subsequently, but it does not seem to be consistent with his previous speech at the opening of the Tamil Nad Congress Committee. However, if in fact there is nothing in it, so much misunderstanding should not have been allowed to be created for such a long time.

I trust that the election campaign is going on smoothly and efficiently.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri C. N. Muthuranga Mudaliar  
4 Kondi Chetty Street  
G.T., Madras

192

Madras  
15 November 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I hope this will reach you in Poona. Thank you for your kind note of the 6th.

You will read my letter to Bapu. Kamaraj is, I am afraid, playing a deceitful game. With friends who talk to him about me, he pleads for time to extricate himself from his erstwhile companions. Though he is obviously deceiving, I am giving him the time, taking all he says at face value.

He signed and sent me a draft which he said he would read at the Madura meeting of the PCC. But he did not fulfil his promise. He omitted vital matters and acted contrary to his proposed intentions. He now explains his default as due to want of sufficient boldness to break off suddenly from his friends.

I had asked those who gathered there and who were wanting to do many things on my behalf to drop everything. As many as 75 members out of a total of 175 members present had actually signed and given a requisition for resolutions supporting me and opposing the campaign against me. But my friends on my advice accepted Kamaraj's plea for peace and withdrew all their propositions. But on his part he immediately failed to keep up and filled the PCC with 30 or 35 co-opted members, all opposed to me, and ever since is repeating his double game. He has done nothing to execute his promise to end the conflict.

I fear the province will go into the hands of criminals. This may appear to be strong language but I tell you it is just that and nothing else. Intimidation and corruption will be ruling. They do not want one who will not yield to these things and who has public support of his own.

You say you are pained to note the developments here and wish to know how you can help. I know you can help if you make up your mind. They are still carrying on a whispering propaganda campaign that you are behind the move to destroy me!

You will ruin this fair province if you allow the present Tamil Nad Working Committee to manage the selections. The Andhras will manage their own province all right, but they will not do the right thing nor can they, in regard to the Tamil districts. If you select and set up a sound parliamentary board for this province, the atmosphere will be clarified. If you desire my assistance in this, I can advise you.

Anyway, love.

Raja  
[Rajagopalachari]

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel



27 November 1945

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter of the 24th inst. I am surprised that such stories are circulated in your province and is believed by other people. Shri Rajagopalachari is one of my dearest friends and life-long colleague. It is a black lie to say that I am not willing to allow him into the legislature and that I have expressed such opinion to Sjt. V. V. Giri. You can write to Sjt. Giri about it and I am sure he will flatly contradict it, because I have never to him or to anybody expressed such an opinion. I would any day welcome Rajaji's coming back to the legislature, because I know that he is head and shoulders above all Congressmen in the province in ability, integrity and sincerity. All I can say is that I am distressed to find that such stupid rumours are circulated about responsible people and credence is given by Congressmen to such stories.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. K. Sivagurusami  
8/12 Somasundra Road  
Coimbatore

22 December 1945

The President  
Tamil Nad Congress Committee

Dear Friend,

I have been asked by a Christian friend to consider the following suggestions for Christian candidates from Tamil Nad for the Madras Legislative Assembly:

Tamil Nad: For Madras: Dr. Ramchandra Rao. He is an old Congressman. Though a Protestant, he will get active help from my Catholic friends. He is well liked and am assured, will be well supported. Catholic votes are about 60 per cent in this

constituency. He is well to do and will not need any financial help; he assures me he will stand only if he is adopted as a Congress candidate.

He is eligible for the Upper House as well. But here perhaps one Mr. Jerome Saldanha of Mangalore (present sitting member) will fight and win. If you nominate Dr. Ramchandra Rao for the Lower House from Madras, I will try and get Jerome Saldanha to sign our pledge for the Upper House seat.

For Tuticorin seat: Mr. Victoria Roche (present sitting member). He should come in again, is well to do and rather ambitious, I hear: Good man, and will win.

For women's seat: Mrs. Masilamani Pillay (present sitting member)—old and tried Congresswoman—will win. Local people may wish to displace this lady; but I would request you to kindly check this tendency. In the South, where Catholics predominate, we should select Catholics as a rule, because they have no chance in any other province.

For the other Christian seats in Tamil Nad, I will be sending you details soon. So far there are some differences among my Congress friends.

On the West Coast: Mangalore etc.: Mr. L. C. Pias, of Court Road, Mangalore. A well-to-do lawyer and Congressman of standing; been in local municipality for years and resigned in 1942 at our bidding with 30 other Congressmen. He will be opposed by one Mr. O. L. Lobo, whom we hope to defeat, though the fight will be stiff.

From here, Mr. Felix Albuquerque will also apply for the Congress ticket. He is a good young man too and wealthy, but he owns a liquor distillery and is not so very popular—specially in Br. Cochin where vote is heavy.

Mr. Albuquerque may be preferred by the local committee, but I would urge the case of Pias as more acceptable to the constituency.

Please let me know your opinion about these suggestions.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

20 December 1945

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter of the 4th instant on my return from Calcutta. I am surprised that you take exception to my having expressed my honest opinion about the mischievous and false rumours that were being spread in your province about my attitude towards Rajaji's leadership, in reply to a letter that was written to me by some Congressman from South India, whom I did not know. He was entitled to have a reply and I have given it to him as it was due from one Congressman to another. Your interpretation of that letter is wholly unfounded. There is no desire on my part of forcing him in your midst as you suggest in your letter, but you cannot expect me to suppress my opinion if it is asked for, especially when I was told that my name was being exploited for making propaganda against Rajaji's return to the Congress.

I do not believe for a moment that the Congress should close its doors against people who have taken no part in the last struggle. All our sacrifices would be in vain if we would not absorb talents at our disposal and decided to close its doors against people who have rendered services in the past and made sacrifices more than we have done during our time, simply because on one occasion they differed from us.

My opinion about C. R. given in that letter was not intended for publication. It was written in reply to a letter received from a Congressman. But I stand by every word of what I have said in that letter. You talk of hatred for his leadership in your letter, which will perhaps not allow you to appreciate or understand my viewpoint. We have worked together practically for a lifetime and we know each other's worth. We have differed occasionally on vital matters but difference of opinion, however strong and unpleasant, had not affected nor will it affect in the future our regards and affection as well as our understanding of each other. You cannot expect me to suppress my opinion about his worth but the question of leadership does not rest with me.

It rests entirely with the province and nobody from outside wants to impose him if he is not wanted.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

D. R. Arunachalam, Esq.  
Devakottai  
S. India .

196

20 December 1945

My dear Dr. Subbarayan,

I had your letter of the 3rd inst. at Calcutta.

I hope Mr. Asaf Ali's efforts will straighten the affairs in Tamil Nad. The atmosphere there, as far as I can judge from letters that are being received, is not suitable yet for any good and useful work, for which a peaceful atmosphere is required. I feel that the political water is very muddy and some people are bent upon stirring this. We have done our best to help in settling it down. We are awaiting with anxiety and interest the results of Mr. Asaf Ali's efforts. We do not desire to see the province of Tamil Nad being a cockpit of parties and factions. If all of you can contribute in that direction and help our nominee, who is there, it would be a good beginning made.

Hope you both are doing well.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. P. Subbarayan  
Tiruchengodu  
Salem Dist.

197

Madras  
23 December 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Many thanks for your kind letter.  
Asaf is going to you by air.  
He will tell you what he has done.

Unless you help him with your practical wisdom and firmness, I wonder what the poor man will do. He has given some decisions and reserved some.

Here is a copy of a telegram I have [sent] to Maulana Sahib on account of difficulties created by his decision on the Tiruchengodu election.

I am writing in haste.

Yours affectionately,  
C. Rajagopalachari

ENCLOSURE  
TELEGRAM

Madras  
23 December 1945

Maulana Azad  
19A Ballygunj Circular Road  
Calcutta

ASAFALI DECLARED TIRUCHENGODU ELECTION VALID UNTIL SET ASIDE BY DULY CONSTITUTED ELECTION TRIBUNAL. PROVINCIAL EXECUTIVE HAS ISSUED PRESS STATEMENT SAYING IT NOW INTENDS APPOINTING TRIBUNAL THIS LATE STAGE. ASAFALI FULLY AND CAREFULLY WENT THROUGH ALL MATERIALS. I FEEL HE IS IN POSITION TO STATE THERE IS NO REASONABLE GROUND WHATEVER FOR FURTHER ENQUIRY BY TRIBUNAL THIS LATE STAGE. PRAY OBTAIN HIS REMARKS AND IMMEDIATELY ORDER NO TRIBUNAL PERMISSIBLE BECAUSE EXECUTIVE THAT RAISED OBJECTION SUOMOTO FAILED APPOINT TRIBUNAL IN TIME. PROLONGATION CONTROVERSY MOST DETRIMENTAL AND WHOLLY WANTING BONAFIDES. NO OBJECTION LODGED BY ANY CONGRESS MEMBER IN CONSTITUENCY WITHIN SEVEN DAYS OF ELECTION AS PER CONGRESS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE ELEVEN.

RAJAGOPALACHARI

## EXTRACT

Trichinopoly  
24 December 1945

Dear Vallabhbhai,

I am leaving Madras for Trichinopoly today and write as from there. There is an impression that Sri Kamaraj Nadar has been saying that if a composite parliamentary board is formed, he would have no objection provided Rajaji is not in it. Any board, even though otherwise composite, will not in my opinion be satisfactory. It will only create fresh difficulties. If he is in it the difficulties will be reduced to the minimum.

A small board consisting of Rajaji with two others, say, Annamalai Pillai, PCC Secretary, and C.P. Subbiah, member, PCC Working Committee, on the one side, and Kamaraj with any two of his choice [on the other] will be quite an efficient committee. If desired we can increase by one member on each side. . . .

Yours sincerely,  
T.S.S. Rajan<sup>1</sup>

Sjt Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

26 December 1945

My dear Dr. Rajan,

I have just received your letter of the 24th instant. Mr. Asaf Ali has returned and reported to me in detail as to what happened at Madras during his visit. It is unfortunate that he has not been able to settle the differences and no clear way has been found out. This morning I received a telegram from Sri. Kamaraj Nadar which is hardly helpful and I am sure things

<sup>1</sup> Elected to Central Assembly, 1935; Health Minister in Rajaji Ministry in Madras, 1937; resigned from Congress, 1938

are getting worse. However, Mr. Asaf Ali will have to go and report the matter to the President.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. T.S.S. Rajan  
Trichinopoly

200

TELEGRAM

Madras  
24 December 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

WE FEEL ANY PARLIAMENTARY BOARD WITHOUT RAJAJI EVEN IF MADE OTHERWISE COMPOSITE WILL NOT WORK AND WILL INCREASE DIFFICULTIES DOCTOR RAJAN PERUMALSAMI REDDIAR VEERABAHU VEDARATNAM MEMBERS TAMILNAD DEPUTATION ANNAMALAI PILLAI PROVINCIAL COMMITTEE SECRETARY SUBBIAH PROVINCIAL WORKING COMMITTEE MEMBER.

201

4 Molony Road  
Thyagarayanagar  
Madras  
26 December 1945

Dear Sardarji,

I am herewith enclosing a copy of the memorandum presented by me to Sri. Asaf Ali, member, WC<sup>1</sup>, deputed to enquire into Tamil Nadu affairs. I hope you will go through the memorandum carefully and see that justice is done to the official Congress in Tamil Nadu.

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,  
C. N. Muthuranga

## ENCLOSURE

### EXTRACTS FROM C. N. MUTHURANGA MUDALIAR'S LETTER TO ASAF ALI

Rajaji's differences with the Congress High Command began at the AICC meeting held in May 1942 at Allahabad. Rajaji thereafter threatened the High Command that if his advice was not taken Tamil Nadu would not be with the Congress. He announced that he would carry on propaganda for his views. On being informed that so long as he was a member of the Working Committee and the AICC he could not flout the decision of the AICC, he resigned his membership of the WC and indulged in a raging and tearing propaganda for Pakistan in Tamil Nadu. Sri S. Satyamurthi, the then secretary of the TNCC, wrote to the President of the Congress that Rajaji was carrying on propaganda against the Congress and that disciplinary action might be taken against him.

Rajaji, however, continued to do propaganda against the August resolution and criticised the Congress attitude towards the Cripps proposals and advocated office acceptance for what it was worth even though power was not given in the Centre.

There seems to be an impression that if Rajaji goes Tamil Nadu will become leaderless. Tamil Nadu's position will not be like that of a calf which has lost its mother-cow and it does not suffer from dearth of leaders.

I hope that Tamil Nadu alone will not be treated as the Cinderella of the Congress and made to do things that are unconstitutional and undemocratic. It will make Tamil Nadu workers, who are the backbone of the Congress movement and who have made enormous sacrifice in the cause of freedom, very sore and disgusted.

26 December 1945

My dear Muthuranga Mudaliar,

I have received your letter without date today enclosing a copy of the memorandum given by you to Mr. Asaf Ali who was deputed to enquire into Tamil Nadu affairs by the Working Committee. I am surprised that a man of your position and experience should have made references in the memorandum which, to say the least, have no bearing on the subject. There is no



question of imposing leadership from above. This point has been made clear so often by Mr. Asaf Ali as well as by those to whom the matter was referred on various occasions from South India. The question of giving a panel of names for the executive council from amongst leaders of the Congress was entirely a different matter and your reference to it is out of place. It was a confidential affair which cannot be openly discussed with you in correspondence. I cannot say anything about its accuracy because it would lead me into disclosures of a most confidential nature. I can only say that your reference is most inappropriate and irrelevant.

I do not know what matters were referred to me about some minor differences in the Andhra PCC<sup>1</sup> to which you have made a reference in your memorandum. No different treatment has been given to you and your complaint is absolutely unjustified. The principle of provinces being autonomous is generally accepted, but the central control for the general good, efficient and just administration is inherent in the constitution and such powers vest in the Working Committee which functions during the absence of the AICC for that body.

If there is an impression that if Rajaji goes Tamil Nad will be leaderless, that impression has not been created by us from above. If that impression exists it must be because the facts must be unchallengeable. The general practice of setting up candidates for the purpose of elections is well known but the fact that numerous complaints are being received from your province about the desirability of certain persons being included or at least not being excluded on technical grounds cannot be ignored.

The analogy of the expulsion of Communists also does not hold good. We would have taken all the Communists into our fold in the Congress without any hesitation if they had agreed to abide by the decisions and the discipline of the Congress in the future. We were prepared to forget the past. Your memorandum discloses an unfortunate suspicion against the Congress High Command and an unnecessary prejudice against those who want to return to the Congress.

You are one of the oldest Congress leaders of Tamil Nad.

You know Rajaji as much as I do. Nobody regrets his attitude during the last three/four years more than myself. But the question of his return to the Congress must be considered without bitterness, without suspicion and purely from the point of

<sup>1</sup> Pradesh Congress Committee

the interest of the province alone. If there is a volume of opinion in favour of his return to the Congress it would be foolish and unwise to prevent him from coming back on technical grounds. Why can't your Congress committee decide the issue on merits instead of taking shelter on technical grounds? On the very first day when this matter was brought to my notice by Mr. Bhaktavatsalam and his company who saw me in Bombay soon after my return from Simla I told him that the question of imposition of leadership does not arise at all. It is for the province to decide, but the issue must be decided purely on merits and not on technical grounds. I find that all along your committee is trying to prevent Rajaji's coming into the provincial Congress committee merely on technical grounds. Surely he has served the Congress for a very long period with considerable sacrifices, loyalty, integrity and ability. We all cannot forget his past altogether. If he had not differed from us the question would not have arisen at all. But his differences or his actions during the last three years cannot be a permanent bar to his return to the Congress if he is prepared to abide by the discipline and decisions of the Congress in the future. As he did so, he was taken as a representative of the Congress in the Viceregal conference at Simla along with other Congress leaders. I can only advise you with an unbiased mind and for a single purpose, namely, the good of the province. I have not met a single man from your province who has up to now questioned his ability, integrity, honesty and sincerity in spite of the differences with him. I am sure if you will consider this matter without prejudice you will agree with me that his loss to the Congress will not be compensated by any efforts to somehow fill the gap. All the anxiety of doing justice to those who have differed from us during the past three years must not be meant or interpreted as an undue interference or as an act of partiality towards Rajaji.

I have received a telegram from Sri Kamaraj Nadar this morning containing a veiled threat. This telegram also must have been sent with your approval or consent. I am afraid if you are all acting under a terrible fear complex as disclosed in that telegram, Tamil Nad will have a very bad future.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

C.N. Muthuranga Mudaliar  
Madras

## TELEGRAM

Madras

26 December 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

STRONG RUMOURS CURRENT HERE REGARDING NATURE OF RECOMMENDATION TO BE MADE BY ASAFALI TO HIGH COMMAND. HOPE HIGH COMMAND WILL TAKE NO DECISIONS THEREON WITHOUT CONSULTING ME AND MY COMMITTEE. MY WORKING COMMITTEE EMPHASISES THAT MATTERS ON WHICH ASAFALI MIGHT REPORT ARE EXCLUSIVELY WITHIN PROVINCE OF PROVINCIAL CONGRESS COMMITTEE. ANY DECISIONS IMPOSED ON THEM CONTRARY TO STATEMENTS MADE BY YOU AND ASAFALI HIMSELF WHEN HERE ARE I AM BOUND TO INFORM YOU LIKELY TO HAVE UNFORTUNATE REPERCUSSIONS.

KAMARAJ NADAR

26 December 1945

Dear Mr. Kamaraj,

I received your telegram this morning which came to me as a surprise. The Working Committee naturally is anxious to see that the atmosphere in the province is settled before the elections. But it is unfortunate that your attitude is not helpful at all. Your telegram contains a veiled threat of indiscipline if not of revolt. There is no question of imposing any decisions from above but you and your colleagues must realise that the Working Committee has also a responsibility and it cannot abdicate its functions if it has been approached by as many as about one-third of the members of your provincial Congress committee. It is but natural that we should like to see the formation of a Parliamentary Board for your province so constituted as to include all groups and interests as far as possible leaving no ground for any reasonable complaint. Mr. Asaf Ali was sent to your province to bring about an amicable settlement. Instead of being helpful

to him, some of you have thought it proper to threaten indiscipline and to refuse to listen to any advice given purely in the interests of the province. You are aware that the decisions in the matter of selection of candidates rests with the Central Parliamentary Board. If the local selection Board does not represent all important claims and interests, it would naturally throw a greater burden on the centre and create difficulties for smooth working. Will you point out any instance or action on [our] part from which you can suggest the slightest inference for a desire to impose decision on your committee. All I can say is that your telegram was wholly unexpected and uncalled for.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Mr. Kamaraj Nadar  
Madras

205

TELEGRAM

Madras  
27 December 1945

Asaf Ali  
Faiz Bazar  
Delhi

SORRY TO HAVE TO INFORM YOU KAMARAJ WHO PROMISED IN YOUR ROOM TO MEET ME NEXT DAY HAS NOT YET DONE SO NOR SENT WORD. SEEMS NO INTENTION MEETING. MEANWHILE WITHOUT WAITING YOUR DECISION HE HAS CALLED FOR APPLICATIONS FROM CANDIDATES.

RAJAGOPALACHARI

206

27 December 1945

My dear Maulana Sahib,

Asaf Ali has returned from Madras but he has not been able to solve the problem. Perhaps it has become more difficult. Some of our Congress people there created an atmosphere of hostility and gave him letters of protest containing veiled threats.

On his return to Bombay he explained to me the position, but soon after his arrival I got a telegram from Kamaraj Nadar and a letter from Muthuranga Mudaliar, copies of which are enclosed herewith together with copies of my replies to both of them, for your information. It will give you a clear idea of the situation.

I got a telegram from Sarat Babu which is also interesting and I am enclosing a copy thereof. 'One Mr. Ramnath Goenka, who is the proprietor of the Madras Express and who is a bitter enemy of Rājaji, had sent this telegram to Sarat. It seems that they are all afraid of Rājaji's coming back to the Congress. Asaf Ali will also report to you the whole matter. It is difficult to decide what further action is now to be taken in the matter.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Calcutta

207

TELEGRAM

29 December 1945

Maulana Azad  
Congress President  
Calcutta

GLAD INFORM YOU HAVE SUCCEEDED IN BRINGING ABOUT AMICABLE SETTLEMENT TAMILNAD DISPUTE. SEE PRESS STATEMENT.

VALLABHBHAI PATEL

208

TELEGRAM

Madras  
29 December 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Marine Drive  
Bombay

MOST GRATEFUL TO YOU FOR YOUR KIND ADVICE. ACTING ACCORDINGLY. MEANWHILE HAVE TELEGRAPHED ASAFALI AS FOLLOWS

QUOTE YOURS THANKS. RAJAJI PROMISED IN YOUR PRESENCE TO COMMUNICATE TO ME THE TIME SUITABLE TO HIM FOR MY MEETING HIM THE NEXT DAY THROUGH DOCTOR SUBBARAYAN. WHILE WAITING FOR APPOINTMENT READ A PRESS STATEMENT ISSUED TO THE API ONLY A FEW HOURS AFTER YOUR DEPARTURE BY DOCTOR RAJAN AND OTHERS. THE STATEMENT BEGINS: THE PUBLIC ALREADY KNOW THAT WE WENT ON A DEPUTATION TO THE CONGRESS PRESIDENT<sup>1</sup> AND THE WORKING COMMITTEE TO REPRESENT CERTAIN MATTERS REGARDING THE TAMILNAD PROVINCIAL CONGRESS COMMITTEE AND ABOUT THE FORMATION OF A PARLIAMENTARY BOARD. MR ASAFALI WHO CAME HERE ON BEHALF OF THE WORKING COMMITTEE TO MAKE ENQUIRIES ON THE SPOT HAS GIVEN HIS DECISION ON CERTAIN POINTS AND HAS RESERVED SOME FOR FURTHER CONSULTATIONS WITH HIS COLLEAGUES. WE MAY EXPECT TO BE INFORMED OF THEIR CONCLUSIONS IN A FEW DAYS UNQUOTE.

I REGRETFULLY CONCLUDED AS IS OBVIOUS FROM THE STATEMENT THAT RAJAJI DID NOT WANT TO MEET ME BUT WAS EXPECTING AN ANNOUNCEMENT FROM THE HIGH COMMAND REGARDING RECONSTITUTION OF THE PARLIAMENTARY BOARD. THE PUBLICATION OF THAT STATEMENT CREATED AN ATMOSPHERE IN WHICH IF I MET RAJAJI THE PUBLIC WOULD HAVE FORMED THE IMPRESSION THAT I WAS DOING SO UNDER DURESS. SURELY THAT WAS NOT THE ATMOSPHERE IN WHICH THERE COULD BE A FRUITFUL TALK. DOCTOR SUBBARAYAN WHO WAS TO HAVE ARRANGED THE TIME FOR THE MEETING TELEPHONED TO ME ON THE TWENTY-FIFTH MORNING BUT WHEN I WENT TO HIS HOUSE THAT NOON HE WAS AWAY. I HEARD NOTHING FROM HIM ON THE TWENTY-SIXTH. ON THE TWENTYSEVENTH I WENT TO DOCTOR SUBBARAYAN'S HOUSE AT HIS REQUEST AND TOLD HIM THAT I WAS AGREEABLE TO TAKE RAJAJI'S MEN IN THE PARLIAMENTARY SUBCOMMITTEE. ACCORDING TO ME NOT ADVISABLE TO TAKE RAJAJI HIMSELF IN THE SUBCOMMITTEE. I TOLD SUBBARAYAN THAT IF WHAT I NOW SAY WOULD BE SATISFACTORY TO RAJAJI I SHALL CERTAINLY GO TO HIM AND COME TO AN ARRANGEMENT ON THIS BASIS. AT ALL EVENTS I THOUGHT IT WOULD BE BETTER TO ALLOW TWO OR THREE DAYS SO THAT THE ATMOSPHERE CREATED BY RAJAN'S STATEMENT MIGHT CLEAR UP. IT WAS WHEN I HAD MADE UP MY MIND TO SEE RAJAJI MYSELF THAT THE PRESS RECEIVED YOUR TELEGRAM FROM DELHI. PUBLICATION OF THAT TELEGRAM AGAIN CREATED THE IMPRESSION THAT I AM ACTING UNDER DURESS AND I FELT COMPELLED TO GIVE UP THE IDEA OF MEETING RAJAJI. BUT FORTUNATELY THE ATMOSPHERE CREATED BY YOUR

TELEGRAM HAS BEEN NEUTRALISED BY A LETTER THAT I JUST RECEIVED FROM RAJAJI IN WHICH HE HAS ASKED ME TO GO AND SEE HIM AT TWO P M TODAY. I AM DOING SO.

KAMARAJ NADAR

209

TELEGRAM

Madras  
29 December 1945

Sardar Patel  
Bombay

I HAVE IN CONSULTATION WITH RAJAJI SETTLED AS FOLLOWS. ELECTION BOARD FOR PROVINCE WILL CONSIST OF MYSELF MUTHURANGA RAMASAMIREDDY AVANASHILANGAM MRS LAKSHMIPATHI SUBBIAH MUNISWAMI PILLAI AND ANNAMALAIPILLAI. I SHALL CONSULT RAJAJI IN WORK OF SELECTION AT ALL STAGES. I REQUEST THIS ARRANGEMENT MAY BE ACCEPTED.

KAMARAJ NADAR

210

TELEGRAM

Madras  
29 December 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

FOR YOUR INFORMATION ONLY. EARLIER TELEGRAM WAS DRAFTED BY RAJAJI AND COPIES SENT TO MAHATMAJI MAULANA AND ASAF-ALI. I ACCEPTED ALL NAMES SUGGESTED BY RAJAJI WHICH MEANS OUT OF EIGHT HIS GROUP GETS THREE. ALTHOUGH OTHER GROUP INADEQUATELY REPRESENTED STILL IN VIEW OF [the] MESSAGE THROUGH SRINIVASAN I TAKE IT I HAVE CARRIED OUT YOUR ADVICE, DEPEND UPON YOU FOR HELP IN FUTURE WORK. REGARDS.

KAMARAJ NADAR

30 December 1945

My dear Kamaraj,

I got three telegrams from you yesterday, the first one being about your reference to my advice and your telegram to Sjt. Asaf Ali, the second containing information about the settlement you made with Rajaji on the formation of the provincial election board; the third one was for my information only. I am extremely glad that at last you have all agreed on a joint formula. We are passing through a very critical period. You know that we have to fight internally and externally; internally with the Muslim League and the local bureaucracy and externally with the mighty British Empire, which is sitting upon our chest. We cannot afford to quarrel simultaneously on all fronts. You also know that a deputation is coming to visit this country from England. We would make a sorry exhibition of our strength and solidarity if we were to allow our dirty linen to be washed in the public at the time of their visit. Rightly or wrongly, Rajaji happens to be an international figure. Outsiders coming to visit this country would without fail meet him when they would come to Madras. It would be unwise to antagonise him altogether and throw him away. We must use him and his talents for the good of the country, as far as possible, without compromising our principles. You also know that Gandhiji is coming to Madras in the beginning of February. He, who has raised us and the country to such a high level, would be extremely distressed if he were to find that we are not capable of dealing with our own problems with goodwill, tolerance and affection amongst ourselves, in spite of our differences. Under the circumstances, I congratulate you all, particularly you, for the settlement that you have made and I sincerely trust that you all will try and succeed in maintaining peace and harmony in the province, so that we can march together in comradeship for the freedom of our land, for which we have been making and calling upon our people to make such tremendous sacrifices.

Sjt. Nagaraj must have conveyed to you my message about Mr. Pillai, the Christian candidate, whose application we rejected on the ground of leprosy. He has written to me a bitter



letter making a vigorous attack on you and also on me, threatening publication of correspondence and charging you with communal bias, which influenced you in recommending the rejection of the application. He maintains that he has been free from any signs of leprosy since a long time and that the information given to me by you was false. He belongs to a minority community and therefore suffers evidently from communal complex. However, we must be patient with him and try to satisfy him. You must therefore gather all the information that you can get by way of evidence to prove that he was suffering from leprosy at the time when you recommended the rejection of his candidature.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Kamaraj Nadar  
Madras

PS.

I am enclosing herewith copy of letter dated 3 December as well as my reply thereto of 24 December for your information.

212

30 December 1945

My dear Dr. Subbarayan,

I have received your letter of the 26th inst. I am so glad that we have been able to reach a settlement which will make joint working possible. I trust that this settlement, in spite of its imperfections, will be welcomed by all and you all will contribute your best to the restoration of peace and harmony, which alone can create favourable conditions for good work. I have tried my best to give all possible help. Let us hope that once the joint work begins, the past will be forgotten and mutual tolerance and goodwill will begin to take the place of suspicion, distrust and ill-feeling amongst comrades who worked together for many years in the past, until the unfortunate world war intervened here.

Hope you are both doing well.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. P. Subbarayan  
White's Garden  
Royapettah  
Madras

213

TELEGRAM

Madras  
3 January 1946

Sardar Patel  
Bombay

WORKING COMMITTEE FORMED PARLIAMENTARY BOARD WITH EIGHT  
NAMES PREVIOUSLY DECIDED. SHALL WRITE SIXTH.

KAMARAJ

214

3 January 1946

Dear Mr. Kamaraj,

I have received your wire of today regarding the adoption of the Parliamentary Board as proposed by you by your Working Committee. I am glad that the controversy has ended and there is no more trouble about this matter. Let us hope that your action will be helpful on the whole in improving the situation.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. K. Kamaraj Nadar  
Madras

215

3 January 1946

My dear Rajaji,

Since I wrote to you this morning, I received a letter from Sjt. Ramnath Goenka, a copy of which I am enclosing.

Prakasam met me today and he is meeting me again tomorrow, as we had hardly much time to talk today. He is naturally not pleased with the settlement but when I told him about Goenka's interference, he was taken aback and so he did not

say anything further. Evidently he knew nothing about this before. He had no idea of what took place here and probably came here to know how the settlement was brought about.

I have received a telegram from Kamaraj as under:

WORKING COMMITTEE FORMED PARLIAMENTARY BOARD WITH EIGHT NAMES  
PREVIOUSLY DECIDED. SHALL WRITE SIXTH.

and I think it will be all right now.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. C. Rajagopalachari  
Madras

216

6 January 1946

My Dear Rajaji,

I am enclosing herewith copy of a letter which I have written today to Sjt. Kamaraj Nadar. It was unfortunate that you called him and made a settlement, which appear to be at variance with what I had proposed. You must have received my letter in which I have stated the terms of my advice which was accepted by him when it was communicated to him by telephone by Sjt. Nagaraj, but as you called him, evidently not knowing that he had already got my advice, he readily accepted the terms you offered which were perhaps more suitable to him than those contained in my advice, but as he had accepted my terms, I am insisting on its observance by him. Yesterday I learnt that they are trying to wriggle out of the principal term, viz., to treat you as a member in all respects except your being formally taken as a member. Now what they propose to do is to send Sjt. Kamaraj simply to consult you after their discussions are over in their meeting and decisions are taken. Taking advantage of the understanding arrived at between you and him, they probably want to ignore my advice but I am insisting on its observance in toto.

In spite of all this, I am not sure that things will be easy for you. However, I am awaiting to hear from you about the reactions of all these there. For the present, the open controversy and wranglings appear to have ceased. That probably is the

only advantage. The concrete result can be seen when the board begins to function.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. C. Rajagopalachari  
Madras

### ENCLOSURE

6 January 1946

Dear Mr. Kamaraj,

Sjt. Srinivasan met me yesterday after his return from Madras. He was present at the time when Sjt. Nagaraj sought my advice. It was given on the express understanding that it will be accepted there by you and others concerned. On this assurance, I gave the advice which was communicated to you by them through telephone. The terms of my advice were clear and unambiguous. I now understand from Sjt. Srinivasan that an attempt is being made to put a different interpretation to one of the most important terms, viz., that Rajaji was to be consulted at every stage in the matter of selection of candidates and he was to be treated in all respects as a member of the election board, although formally he was not to be taken as a member. You have accepted my advice and I have communicated the terms of my advice which you have accepted to Maulana Azad and Sjt. Asaf Ali. If any effort is now made to do anything which is inconsistent with these terms by putting a different interpretation, you will put yourself unnecessarily in trouble. Sjt. Srinivasan reports to me that some of your colleagues advise you to consider it enough to consult Rajaji but not to allow him to be present in the meeting of the Election Board. This would be a direct violation of the important term. I had not invited anybody to seek my advice but if after the acceptance of the terms anything is done which would result in the breach of the understanding, it will have serious consequences. Whether the report given to me is correct or not, I do not know but I expect that you will not invite trouble to yourself by putting yourself morally in the wrong. Sjt. Srinivasan is also quite clear in his mind that there was no scope for any misunderstanding about the interpretation of that term. He bears me out fully and he must have written to you also about it.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Kamaraj Nadar  
Madras

10 January 1946

My dear Rajaji,

I am enclosing herewith a copy of a letter from Mr. Kamaraj which I have received here today. I am also enclosing a copy of my reply just for your information, as he himself finds difficulties to carry his crowd with you. It would be wise to appreciate his own viewpoint and not allow his followers to harass him.

I am going to Ahmedabad on the 12th and from there to Delhi on the 16th.

I hope you are keeping fit.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri C. Rajagopalachari

#### ENCLOSURE I

Madras  
8 January 1946

Dear Sardarji,

I have received your letter of 30 December as also the one of 3 January. Shri Nagarajan also informed me of the talks he had had with you.

I returned from tour yesterday and hence the delay in reply.

I entirely agree with the analysis made by you in the letter of the 30th. My own opinion has always been the same as yours with regard to Sri Rajagopalachari. We must use him and his talents for the good of the country, as far as possible, without compromising our principles.

But my difficulty has always been to make him see the atmosphere he has created for himself. As a matter of fact he creates his own difficulties continuously. Months ago when I met him for the first time after my release I told him that the best thing for him was to keep a little quiet for some time. I felt things would smoothen by lapse of time. He certainly would not do so. Even a few days ago he spoke against the 'Jai Hind' slogan. That has raised a flutter. That kind of a thing might be good from the point of

view of front-page notice. At the same time it also embitters Congressmen all over. However let us hope Tamil Nad affairs would go on smoothly.

I will gather information about Sri M. Pillai.

I appreciate your frank letter and would be always glad to receive your advice.

Yours sincerely,  
K. Kamaraj

## ENCLOSURE II

10 January 1946

Dear Mr. Kamaraj,

I have received your letter of the 8th instant. Evidently you had not received my letter of the 6th instant on the date on which you wrote this letter. I am therefore expecting a reply to that letter tomorrow.

I am prepared to help you if any difficulties are created by Rajaji as mentioned in your letter, but you must bring such facts to my notice when you find that his attitude is not helpful. This is the first time I have received your suggestion.

If you will not create difficulties at that end, I will see that you two will be able to pull on together, and working together you will be able to understand and influence each other better. Mutual confidence will also be created by common working. If you find anything to complain of, you should not hesitate to write to me or to see him. Let there be no distrust or distance between you two. When two groups or individuals travel in contrary directions for a fairly long period, their outlook on things is likely to be different even when they meet for the first time, because they carry with them their own viewpoint and their own prejudices which would not be thrown off all at once.

I am going to Ahmedabad on the 12th and from there to Delhi on the 16th.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri K. Kamaraj  
Madras

218

TELEGRAM

Madras  
13 January 1946

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Care Congress  
Bombay

JUST RETURNING FROM TOUR SAW YOUR LETTER. MET RAJAJI SINCE.  
WRITING TO YOU.

KAMARAJ

219

4 Kondi Chetty St.  
G. T. Madras  
23 January 1946

Dear Sardarji,

Since wiring to you with reference to your letter about the terms of the settlement, I have been in consultation with Rajaji. I have not heard yet from Sjt. K. Srinivasan of Bombay. When Rajaji and I fixed up the terms, neither of us understood the clause about consultation as you interpret in your letter. I have however been acting in full consultation with him and I am quite confident that he himself will have no occasion to complain to you in the matter.

Yours sincerely,  
K. Kamaraj

220

New Delhi  
27 January 1946

Dear Mr. Kamaraj,

I have not been able to deal with your letter of the 23rd instant as well as the previous one. I am surprised that you profess

ignorance about the terms of settlement that were taken from me by Syt. Nagarajan who on your behalf met me in Bombay [along] with Srinivasan. The terms were communicated to you by a telephonic message and after the approval given by you they again met me and informed me of your acceptance. If Nagarajan now pretends ignorance about these terms which were given in detail he will have to answer for it. You may have your quarrels with Rajaji in which I will not interfere. But I will not be fooled in this manner. If you want any explanation in this matter, you may see me in Bombay when I am there.

As I am overworked at present, I have not been able to deal with your letters. Nagarajan has written to me a short letter which has also surprised me.

I will have to say nothing if you have been acting in full consultation with Rajaji and if he will have no occasion to complain as you assure me in your letter. But if he has anything to complain regarding the settlement made with me, I will see that it is enforced in full. It would, therefore, still be wise for you to act up to it without creating any trouble.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri K. Kamaraj  
Madras

221

Madras  
24 January 1946

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Kamaraj has sent me a copy of his letter to you dated 23 January. He consulted me in making the recommendations but that is all.

I recommend the following modifications:

(1) RANIPET

The election board has recommended P. V. Ponnuswamy. I would recommend Sri P. M. Velayudapani who stood as an independent candidate for the same constituency in the previous election and came second. He has now applied for the Congress ticket and would be a strong candidate if he is put up by the Congress. He is a councillor in the Corporation of Madras and



lost the mayoralty by a narrow vote against Sivaraj. He stood for the mayoralty supported by the Congress vote. He now applies for the Congress ticket for the Ranipet constituency and I think we should give it to him. Ranipet is near enough to Madras and Sri Velayudapani is a good organiser of Harijan organisations and associations.

(2) **ARIYALUR**

This has been reserved for further communication by Sri Kamaraj. I would recommend A. K. V. Vembadi Mooppanar who is a Panchayat Board president in a neighbouring union, which means he commands the confidence of other communities besides his own in that area. A young man from a different district has no chance even though he may have some English education. I do not recommend such a choice even though it is contemplated by some of the members of the board.

(3) **KOVILPATTI**

There seems to be a mistake in the name but probably it is a clerical error. The name should be verified. He is the son of Kali Kudumban of Sankarankoil taluk. If that is the name recommended I agree. In other respects I support the recommendations made by the board.

Yours sincerely,  
C. Rajagopalachari

222

28 January 1946

My dear Rajaji,

I have received your letter of the 24th here today on my return. From Delhi yesterday I gave my sanction to the provincial recommendations for the Scheduled Castes nominations, subject to the alteration that you had suggested about Ranipet and I sent you copy of my telegram to Sjt. Kamaraj about it. Your letter suggests some other changes but it is too late now to make alterations.

We have not met for a long time but I should like to know the effect of Bapu's visit to your province.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. C. Rajagopalachari  
Madras

223

TELEGRAM

New Delhi  
27 January 1946

Kamaraj  
Madras

ALL PROVINCIAL RECOMMENDATIONS SCHEDULED CASTE CANDIDATES CONTAINED IN COMMITTEE'S LETTER TWENTYTHIRD APPROVED EXCEPT RANIPET WHERE VELAYUDAPANI'S NOMINATION IS SANCTIONED INSTEAD P. V. PONNUSWAMY. KAKKAN'S NOMINATION FROM ARIYALUR RECOMMENDED IN YOUR TELEGRAM OF TWENTYSIXTH ALSO APPROVED.

VALLABHBHAI

224

TELEGRAM

Madras  
29 January 1946

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

YOUR TELEGRAM REFERENCE HARIJAN CANDIDATES. ARRANGING FILING NOMINATIONS AS APPROVED. REFERENCE RANIPET REGRET GOOD CONGRESSMAN PONNUSWAMY OUR UNANIMOUS RECOMMENDATION REJECTED IN FAVOUR VELAYUDAPANI CONGRESS MEMBER RECENTLY JOINED WITH UNSOUND POLITICAL ANTECEDENTS. LETTER FOLLOWS.

KAMARAJ

Tiruchengodu  
Salem District  
South India  
6 February 1946

My dear Sardarji,

I hope you had my telegram with regard to Sind. I have been following the developments in this province with anxiety. In spite of all that you did at Karachi to get together [G. M.] Syed, Maula Bux and our Congress Party together and form it into a well-knit coalition, the question of ministry-making still seems to hang in the balance. Maulana Azad's attempt to have a Congress-League ministry seems to have met with shipwreck on Mr. Jinnah's idea that no non-League Muslim should be in the ministry. In spite of all that happened, I am hoping and praying that Mr. Syed will eventually be called upon by the Governor to form the ministry. From the figures I have seen in the newspapers I am sure this could give a stable ministry in Sind as I feel certain that some of the League members will join the coalition. I do hope your great efforts will bear fruit.

I hope our affairs will be properly settled when the local Parliamentary Board finally meets and you would not be troubled with our problem.

Bapu's visit to Madura and to the South was a real royal progress. I have not seen such crowds as attended his prayer meetings. In Madura, whose population is about two and a half lakhs, there were actually five lakhs of people on the race course where the prayer meeting was held. I am afraid the huge crowd in their anxiety to get darshan<sup>1</sup> of Bapu were not silent and it was 9 o'clock before Bapu went back to Sivaganga House where he stayed. The next morning at the [Meenakshi] temple also, in spite of all restrictions a small crowd surged round Bapu when he offered his prayers. I am glad everything went off well though Bapu was very tired because of the crowds. In Palni where I did not accompany him in spite of huge crowds Bapu managed to offer his prayers undisturbed on the top of the hill.

<sup>1</sup> Audience with person held in reverence

I hope you had my last letter. I may be in Bombay on the 15th of this month when I will see you. Please remember me to Maniben and Dahyabhai.

With pranam,

Yours sincerely,  
P. Subbarayan

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

226

9 February 1946

My dear Dr. Subbarayan,

I have your letter of the 6th inst. I had also received the previous one.

In Karachi, as expected, the Governor [Sir Francis Mudie] is using his hand. He is reputed to be pro-League and anti-Congress. He was responsible, according to reliable reports, for the Simla breakdown. However, in spite of what he may do, the coalition that has been made by me is not going to be disturbed and the League Ministry will not last long. If the Governor had kept neutral, we would have been able to form a good and stable ministry.

It would indeed be delightful if the affairs in Tamil Nad are settled satisfactorily when the local Parliamentary Board meets there. The Tamil Nad problem has been a constant source of trouble and anxiety to me. I am doing my best to be helpful but there are elements which seem to be irreconcilable and although there is apparent calm over the surface, I sense there is a good deal of disturbance underground. I am longing for the day when this would disappear.

I have read thrilling reports about Bapu's tour in the South and about his prayer meetings. I do not know how far it has inspired our political workers in Tamil Nad to have a look within.

I had been to the Communist headquarters in Bombay on my return from Delhi and [Mohan] Kumaramangalam was there. His sister evidently was not there. They had a trouble some time on account of a planned attack on their headquarters, on the day of the procession and firing, by some anti-Communist group. They are trying to trace them. Probably some Trotskyites are behind this unfortunate incident. I am glad that they have had

no physical injury to any of them. Their press has suffered but they have escaped scotfree.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. P. Subbarayan  
Tiruchengodu  
Salem Dist.  
S. India

227

6 February 1946

Dear Friend,

I have received a copy of your letter to Mahatma Gandhi dated 25th ult. [See enclosure.] You are not doing any service to the organisation to which you belong by writing such letters to Mahatmaji. You insult his intelligence by doing so. You are a secretary of the University Graduates Association and as such you must understand your responsibility. Your intolerance of difference of opinion is unpardonable but your advice to Gandhiji is nothing but impudence. If you cannot learn anything from his life, you must not try to teach him, as you have much to learn and if you begin life like this, you will never learn.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. V. Bapraju  
Secretary  
University Graduates Association  
Vizagapatam

ENCLOSURE

EXTRACT

Vizagapatam  
25 January 1946

Dear Mahatmaji,

We have just read in the Hindu of the 24th instant your speech at the prayer meeting at the Hindi Prachar Sabha. We were surprised at your reference to Sri Rajagopalachari, on such an occasion as that, particularly in

view of the present context of politics in Madras province where Sri Rajagopalachari is trying to use everybody, including yourself, on every occasion to advance his claims to leadership which he forfeited by his reactionary conduct while a premier and his anti-Congress actions since 1942 till recently. Even today his position is that the Muslim League demand of Pakistan should be conceded because the League won all the seats in the Central Assembly and secondly the British are willing to part with powers but that we are not agreed between ourselves. He enunciated these propositions in two public meetings after Janab Asaf Ali's visit. These propositions are in direct conflict with the spirit and substance of the recent resolution of the AICC and the Working Committee reaffirming the "Quit India" resolution.

V. Bapraju

228

6 February 1946

Dear Mr. Kamaraj,

I have received your letter of the 29th ult. today on account of my being absent from headquarters. You should have accepted my decision re: Ranipet without question. Confusion has been created by your persistence in trying to give an impression that there is an appeal pending in this matter. Sjt. Obeidullah has come here to press this matter in the hope that the question can be reopened. All this confusion has been created by your creating an impression that such decisions can be revoked.

Sjt. Velayudapani's nomination having been sanctioned by the Central Board, his opponent should not have been allowed to file his nomination. Such a procedure is likely to create confusion and difficulties, besides raising unnecessary hopes amongst interested people.

I am surprised that you still seem to disregard my instructions. You say that you had consulted Rajaji both before and after the meeting, when this question was considered. I have asked you to carry out your undertaking with me that Rajaji is to be treated as a member in all respects except his being a formal member of the Board. Consultation before or after has no meaning. He has to hear the arguments and the discussions in the committee and must have an opportunity to influence the members of the committee by his own presence as well as by his persuasive ability. You cannot treat him as an untouchable and not allow him to sit near you. At any rate, this is not the

spirit in which the settlement was to be executed. If you will not carry out the terms of the agreement, I will have to take strong measures in order to see that it is fully enforced. All these difficulties have been created in this case because of your not having invited Rajaji for the meeting.

I am awaiting your proposals for the provincial nominations and you should send them quickly. Give me your reply by wire as to when I should expect your nominations.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. K. Kamaraj Nadar  
Madras

PS.

You must have received my message through the API in response to your enquiry about Sjt. Velayudapani, which is quoted below:

PLEASE INFORM KAMARAJ NADAR AND BHAKTAVATSALAM THAT PONNU-SWAMY SHOULD BE ASKED TO WITHDRAW HIS NOMINATION IMMEDIATELY. THE CENTRAL BOARD'S DECISION SANCTIONING VELAYUDAPANI STANDS.

and action must have been taken accordingly.

229

6 February 1946

My dear Rajaji,

I returned last night from Karachi where I was busy and hence I could not write to you. I am enclosing herewith copy of a letter dated 29th ult. from Sjt Kamaraj and my reply of today's date.

Bapu has left Madras. I do not know what impression he has created there, but it seems whatever spiritual atmosphere he may have created, the political atmosphere appears to be as disturbed and dirty as ever. I should like to know your impressions about it.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Madras  
12 February 1946

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I got your letter of 6 February and enclosures as well as that of 9 February. I am very grateful.

Kamaraj came to me on 10th inst. and asked me to attend the Election Board meeting on Monday the 11th. At the meeting he came and said he was upset by Gandhiji's statement and adjourned the meeting to today (12th). Today he did not come but sent a statement and an authorisation for Mrs. Lakshmi-pathy to preside and carry on. You will have seen his Press statement and judged the source of inspiration. His colleagues are carrying on as a party all right though he has kept out. We went through the selections for the Council of State (a) Madras Upper Chamber (b) today altogether seven seats. The selections were discussed and recommendations will be sent up tomorrow to you. The nomination for the Council of State by-election has to be filed on 21st inst. and the nominations for the Madras Upper Chamber will have to be filed before 22nd inst. So these were taken up first. The assembly selections will come up after these are over.

They want to keep out Dr. Rajan who has applied for a seat in the Madras Upper Chamber for Trichanapally district. The matter will be further discussed tomorrow. I am afraid they will persist in rejecting him.

It is very bad what Kamaraj has done. He is badly advised by desperate people.

Yours sincerely,  
C. Rajagopalachari

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel



231

TELEGRAM

8 February 1946

President  
Congress  
Madras

CENTRAL BOARD CANNOT MEET TILL PROPOSAL RECEIVES. INEXCUSABLE DELAY ON YOUR PART HOLDS UP WORK. EXPEDITE COMMITTEE MEETING. SEND PROPOSALS IMMEDIATELY.

VALLABHBHAI

232

9 February 1946

My Dear Rajaji,

I have your letter of the 7th inst. and I am glad that Bapu's presence, in spite of his silence, has created a healthy atmosphere. I have tried my best to improve the situation but I have an apprehension that below the calm surface there is still a good deal of trouble, as there are some very irreconcilable elements who are continuously trying to disturb from below.

I did not know that [J.B.] Kripalani was in the South. He has been dissatisfied with many things since Simla and is not in touch with me since a long time. He also feels that I am not helpful in his difficulties, which are of a different nature. He is not pulling on well with the President [Jawaharlal Nehru] nor is he at home with the UP Congress bosses. In the Working Committee, he has a feeling that he has no confidence of his old colleagues. Most of his grievances are imaginary. His attitude towards you is well known but I hope he will not be indiscreet there.

Hope you are doing well.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Rajagopalachari  
Madras

## TELEGRAM

Madras  
12 February 1946

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

ON ACCOUNT GANDHIJI'S REFERENCE TAMILNAD CONGRESS IN HIS ARTICLE [Gandhi's article entitled "Curious" is reproduced in the enclosure] ON RAJAJI I HAVE RESIGNED MEMBERSHIP PARLIAMENTARY BOARD. BOARD CONTINUES CONSIDERING APPLICATIONS RAJAJI ATTENDING. WILL TRANSMIT RECOMMENDATIONS FOURTEENTH. REPLIES YOUR LETTERS REGARDING MASILAMANI PILLAI AND RAIPET FOLLOW.

KAMARAJ

## ENCLOSURE

## CURIOUS

*By M. K. Gandhi*

On my return journey from Palni some one gave me at one of the halts a letter reviling Shri Rajaji and Shri Gopalaswami and informing me that they would not allow any one against them to come near me. Now I know to the contrary. No one who wanted to say anything worthwhile could be prevented from seeing me or writing to me. The delivery of the very letter disproves the allegation. Shri Kamaraj Nadar was with me on the same special. He was with me in the temple on the Palni Hill. But there is no doubt that both Rajaji and Gopalaswami were closest to me during the journey. They had arranged it. Rajaji is one of my oldest friends and was known to be the best exponent in word and deed of all I stand for. That in 1942 he differed from me I know. All honour for the boldness with which he publicly avowed the difference. He is a great social reformer, never afraid to act according to his belief. His political wisdom and integrity are beyond question. I was, therefore, pained to find a clique against him. It is a clique that evidently counts in the official Congress in Madras. But the masses are devoted to Rajaji. I am neither vain nor foolish enough to feel that I could have had the huge public demonstrations all along the route of

the pilgrimage if he had no influence with the masses in Tamil Nad. Congressmen in the South will act as they think best. But I would be less than loyal to the organisation if I did not warn them against losing the valuable services which no one can shoulder as Rajaji can at the present moment.

En route to Wardha, 5-2-1946

The foregoing was written before Bezwada was reached at 5-30 in the morning. At Bezwada a note in Hindustani from Shri A. Subrahmanyam was delivered, the gist of which is given below:

"There is a belief spread in Andhradesh that you have come to Madras with a view to make Rajaji Premier. Several Congressmen have been carrying on such propaganda. Taking up the theme, some Telugu newspapers have been writing even against Hindustani. Please give your opinion on this."

I felt bound, therefore, to give my reply. I said that my journey was purely for the purpose of celebrating the silver jubilee of the Dakshin Bharat Hindi Prachar Sabha—now Hindustani Prachar Sabha—and incidentally for Madura and Palni temples. The visit was conceived soon after my premature discharge [from prison] and before the Congress resumption of parliamentary work was even conceived. My visit has nothing to do with Rajaji being the Premier. My life had no secrecy about it. If I wanted to give an opinion, I was in the habit of giving it openly. But I had rejected the advances of friends to guide them for I was not interested in elections and offices. But since I was challenged I had no hesitation in saying that Rajaji was by far the best man for the purpose in the Southern Presidency and if I had the disposal in my hands I would call Rajaji to office, if I did not give it to myself. But the disposal was with the Provincial Congress Committee and finally with the Working Committee. My opinion was only that of an individual, to be taken for what it was worth.

After Bezwada

En route to Wardha, 5-2-1946

Harijan, Sunday, February 10, 1946

Dear Mr. Kamaraj,

13 February 1946

I have your wire of yesterday stating that you have resigned membership of the Parliamentary Board on account of Gandhiji's article referring to the Tamil Nad Congress. *It has surprised* me nor do I get any clear idea as to why you have resigned from the Parliamentary Board. You are the head of the Provincial Congress Committee and your protest by way of resignation from the Parliamentary Board against Gandhiji's statement is more than I can understand. However, as you have not waited even for consulting me or taking my advice, I can say nothing more than that this is not the proper way of discharging one's responsibility. I expected you here with your proposals this week but got your telegraphic resignation instead for something which Gandhiji has written. I do not know whether Gandhiji's article refers to your conduct as a member of the Parliamentary Board. Perhaps you know more of Gandhiji's mind and so you have taken this step. This will certainly lead to more public controversy and will not help the province just on the eve of elections.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Kamaraj Nadar  
Madras  
C. C. to Rajaji

235

TELEGRAM

Madras  
17 February 1946

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

YOUR LETTER DATED THIRTEENTH. NEVER PROPOSED SHIRKING RESPONSIBILITY. STATEMENT CLEARLY STATED MY ACCEPTANCE CARRYING OUT NECESSARY WORK. SHALL MEET YOU AFTER SELECTIONS ARE OVER.

KAMARAJ

209

Madras  
14 February 1946

My dear Vallabhbhai,

The work of selections for recommendation to the Central Board is going on from Monday. The provincial board is sitting continuously from day to day. The Delhi Council of State vacancy has been considered and Mrs. Subbarayan has been selected. The nomination has to be filed on the 21st. All Madras second chamber constituencies have been selected for. I agreed to these selections except in the case of Trichinopoly for which I recommend Dr. T.S.S. Rajan. The board were against him 4 against 3 in support. When I challenged why they objected to such a person and whether it was only because he was my friend, they gave a reason which is false and unfit to be put on paper. They suggested that they had heard of private immorality. I know this is as false and baseless as it is silly and I told them so and informed them I would protest against the selection and recommend Dr. Rajan. We are proceeding with Madras Assembly constituencies. Three districts have been finished so far. For Tinnevely and Tenkasi Rural I have accepted T.S. Chokkalingam Pillai. But I should bring to your notice that he has been writing violently in his Tamil paper Dināsari attacking the Central Parliamentary Board for overriding Kamaraj Nadar. He is doing this in very immoderate language and it may be deemed an offence against discipline because one who continuously agitates against the decisions made on behalf of the Central Board is hardly a good candidate.

I considered, however, that no one should be prejudiced by what has been said or done in the heat of the present controversy. I felt also I would be guilty of partisanship if I used my influence and objected to any one on the the ground merely of his being opposed to me if he is otherwise satisfactory and is a sitting member.

Yours sincerely,  
C. Rajagopalachari

60 Bazulullah Road  
Thyagarayanagar  
Madras  
15 February 1946

My dear Vallabhbhai,

We sat today and completed all the constituencies. Very probably Mr. Bhaktavatsalam will take the complete list to you personally as the list is too long for telegraphing. He may perhaps try to go by air.

I have already intimated to you my request that the board's majority decision in favour of K. Vasudevan for the Trichy constituency in the Upper Chamber may be changed to Dr. Rajan. In the Legislative Assembly, the board by majority have decided against Mr. V. Nadimuthu Pillai being selected for Tanjore Rural and have adopted the name of one Tyagarajasholigar. I strongly object to this and request you to restore the sitting member, Mr. Nadimuthu. He is a man of considerable position in the whole district and has been the District Board president which office he holds even now. He lost considerable property in Cochin-China during this war. Still he is one of the most influential men in Tanjore district. He has successfully resisted the Justice Party in Tanjore district. He was with us in prison in 1940-41. That a man of his position and habits should have served one full year in prison along with us shows his attachment to the Congress. He was a member of the Provincial Congress Working Committee and Treasurer. He is very keen and I press his case strongly. The man now put is quite an unimportant person. I may state that this opposition to Nadimuthu Pillai is a result only of recent disputes. He is supposed to be my friend.

I wrote to you yesterday that over four seats in North Arcot district there was a difference of opinion and the committee was divided by 4 against 3. The matter was reopened at my instance today so that we might arrive at an agreed settlement. Mrs. Lakshmipathi, who presided, insisted that the matter should not be reopened and she would rule it out. But all the members of the board excepting her were unanimous and came to an agreed settlement. These seats have also been therefore settled.

I have therefore to see you only about two cases, Dr. Rajan and Mr. Nadimuthu Pillai. I believe you can decide these cases on what I have stated and your own knowledge of affairs here. If you desire my presence I shall go there by air. For the city of Madras, General seats (four), we have suggested four names, one of which is Mr. Prakasam. This recommendation of the board is unanimous. It may be remembered that we have given an Indian Christian Madras seat to Dr. Ramachandra Rao who is an Andhra. Mr. Varadachari is practically an Andhra. We have given the Madras Council seat to Dr. Rama Rao who is of Karnatak. The other seat in the Council for Madras city is already held by an Andhra, Mr. K. Vankataswamy Naidu. In these circumstances we have recommended Mr. K. Bhashyam for the seat held formerly by Mr. Gadde Rangiah Naidu in the city. I hope this arrangement will be ratified by you. It is absolutely necessary that Mr. Sivagnana Gramani's nomination for North Madras should be ratified.

There has been no joint board duly formed. There is no procedure for counting of votes in the joint consultations. Mr. Kamaraj's resignation has intervened and there was little time. Hence all questions about overlapping constituencies had better be decided by you. I understand that Sami Venkatachalam Chetty has a reasonable chance in the Indian Chamber of Commerce constituency if the Congress put him up. No other Congress candidate is likely to have much of a chance.

I have given way in a large number of cases for the sake of peace and co-operation reserving the question of these two essentially important cases. I have given them notice that I was going to ask you to uphold my recommendation in these cases. Dr. Rajan and Mr. Nadimuthu Pillai were supported by three as against four members of the board. The majority decision is perverse and not based on the merits. On the merits Dr. Rajan and Mr. Nadimuthu Pillai should be clearly preferred to the respective candidates pitched against them.

Yours sincerely,  
C. Rajagopalachari

238

TELEGRAM

Madras  
14 February 1946

- (1) Bhaktavatsalam  
Madras  
(2) Rajagopalachari  
Madras

RADHABAI SUBBARAYAN'S NOMINATION COUNCIL STATE BYELECTION APPROVED. ALSO NOMINATION UPPER CHAMBER MADRAS DR RAMARAO; COIMBATORE RAMAKRISHNA NAIDU; TANJORE MARIATHUMA DESIKAR; RAMNAD JAYARAM REDDY; MADURA RAMACHANDRA REDDI APPROVED. INFORM ALL CONCERNED TRICHY CONSTITUENCY UNDER CONSIDERATION.

• VALLABHBHAI

239

TELEGRAM

Madras  
14 February 1946

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

COUNCIL OF STATE BYELECTION NOMINATION 21st. FOR INDIAN CHRISTIAN SEAT ELECTION UPPER CHAMBER PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS ROCHE VICTORIA. RAJAJI AGREES NOMINATION 22ND. PLEASE WIRE APPROVAL

BHAKTAVATSALAM



## TELEGRAM

Bombay  
15 February 1946

- (1) Bhaktavatsalam  
Madras
- (2) Rajagopalachari  
Madras

ROCHE VICTORIA'S NOMINATION CHRISTIAN SEAT UPPER CHAMBER  
APPROVED

VALLABHBHAI

15 February 1946

Dear Mr. Kamaraj,

I am in receipt of your letter of the 13th inst. I shall await your report regarding the complaint from Sjt. Manikkam about the Harijan election in Ranipet.

Your report that there are other applicants for the Indian Christian seats in the Legislative Assembly is noted and I shall await reports of the Parliamentary Board.

As Sjt. Masilamani Pillai is insisting that your report regarding his being affected by leprosy is maliciously false and as he insists on an enquiry, it is better to have an independent enquiry before any further action is taken in the matter. I shall therefore await receipt of the statement which you propose to send in this connection. I appreciate the difficulty of gathering evidence on such a delicate matter but as the gentleman concerned persists that the charge is false, it becomes our duty to deal with the matter publicly, for which the responsibility is his and not ours. Evidently he is angry with you and therefore he has not seen you intentionally. It is possible that if I see him, I may be of the same opinion as you and therefore I have declined to believe his statement that your report is false. You need not be under a wrong impression that I have any doubt in my mind about the accuracy of your statement. In a matter of this character,

however, if the person concerned insists on an enquiry, it would be impossible to avoid it.

About Sjt. Ponnuswami's withdrawal, there seems to be no alternative now but to ask him to withdraw at the time of the general election, as the panel election is over. All this muddle has taken place because the proposals were received very late for sanction. I had immediately communicated my decision by telegram.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. K. Kamaraj Nadar  
Madras

242

Women's Indian Association  
Sylvan Lodge  
Luz, Mylapore  
Madras  
12 February 1946

Dear Sardar,

The above Association at a meeting hold yesterday the 11th inst. passed the following resolution:

"This meeting of women urges on the Congress Parliamentary Board to nominate women as candidates to contest the general seats in the legislatures."

We are forwarding it to you for your favourable action. Our grounds for complaint are twofold. The All India Women's Conference stands for equality between men and women (in rights as well as duties) so there is no need for separate seats for women. Secondly, if there must be separate seats, they are not enough in number.

I hope you are well and will pay a visit to Tamil Nad one of these days. Please remember me to Maniben.

Yours sincerely,  
Sita Devi

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

16 February 1946

Dear Sister,

I have received your letter of the 12th inst. Unfortunately your letter was too late, as it has been received when almost all the proposals from the various provinces have been disposed of by the Central Board. The Central Board would have been glad to give effect to it as far as possible if it had been received earlier.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Smt. Sita Devi, Bar-at-Law  
Women's Indian Association  
Madras

Lahore  
15 February 1946

Dear and respected Sardarji,

I am returning to Lahore from a short visit to Madras where I had the privilege of spending half an hour with Rajaji. I was glad to find that there was a strong Congress sentiment amongst Christians and I am confident that some at least of the Christian candidates on the Congress ticket will be elected. I wish all the Christian seats could go to the Congress.

From the Madras city seat I found that Mr. D.S. Ramachandra Rao, the veteran Nationalist (Congress) Christian, was the most desired candidate. Rajaji told me that he will be selected, unless he (Rajaji) is overruled. I am also taking the liberty of writing to you to request you kindly to give him your support. There may be a tough fight with the non-Congress ticket. Normally we would desire that the claims of any candidate put forward by the local Indian Christian Association should be considered by the Congress. I am sorry to say, however, that the Madras ICA, to our great disappointment, is anti-Congress. Under the circumstances Mr. Ramachandra Rao, who

has been President of the All-India Conference of Indian Christians, is the most suitable person to represent Indian Christians in the Legislature. Our future must be with the Congress.

Hoping that this matter will receive your earnest attention,

I am,

Yours respectfully,

B. L. Rallia Ram

Hon. General Secretary

All India Council of Indian Christians

245

21 February 1946

Dear Mr. Rallia Ram,

I have received your letter of the 15th inst. You will be glad to learn that Dr. Ramachandra Rao has been selected as a Congress candidate for the Madras Legislative Assembly. There is no doubt that national consciousness is rapidly growing in the Indian Christian community and it will not be long before they all come into the Congress fold. The future of the Indian Christian community lies with the Congress. In the meanwhile, if there is anything that I can do for them you can always write to me without any hesitation.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt B.L. Rallia Ram  
Lahore

246

TELEGRAM

Madras  
17 February 1946

Sardar Patel  
Bombay

DOCTORS STRONGLY ADVISE AGAINST UNDERTAKING JOURNEY. BAD TYPE COUGH. PRAY CONSIDER AND TELL YOUR BOARD MY BEHALF I URGE MOST EARNESTLY SELECTION RAJAN AND NADIMUTHU PILLAI. OPPOSITION ONLY INTENDED AS PUBLIC SCORE AGAINST ME.

I HAVE SHOWN MAXIMUM TOLERATION ALLOWED WELL OVER FIFTY PERCENT ALL THEIR NOMINATIONS THESE TWO CASES ROCK-BOTTOM. REGARDING MADURA IF SUBSTITUTED BY L KRISHNASWAMI YOU MAY DROP VAIDYANATHIER BUT OTHER NAMES MOST OBJECTIONABLE. IF MY SUGGESTIONS ACCEPTED HOPE RECONSTRUCT UNITY PROVINCE AND GOOD ATMOSPHERE.

RAJAGOPALACHARI

247

TELEGRAM

Madras  
19 February 1946

Sardar Patel  
Bombay

UNDERSTAND SUBBARAMAN HAS ASKED YOU DROP HIS NAME. IF THIS TRUE I SUGGEST MRS AMRITARAMA SUBRAMANIAM LADY WITH UNIVERSITY QUALIFICATION WIFE OF LEADING DOCTOR FOR MADURA CITY. FOR MADURA RURAL IF KRISHNASAMI NOT ACCEPTED FOR ANY REASON I SUGGEST RAMASWAMI AMBALAM OF KAVANUR MELUR TALUKA LOCAL KALIAR COMMUNITY LEADER SUBSTANTIAL MAN.

RAJAGOPALACHARI

248

22 February 1946

My dear Rajaji,

All your letters and telegrams were received duly. Also I had a telephone conversation with you. You thought that I was the sole authority in this matter but it was not so. We were three altogether and I was one of them. None of the remaining four attended. I tried my level best to give effect to your suggestions. In some I have not succeeded but in most I got through, in spite of opposition. The lady member of your board was fanatically opposed to most of your proposals. I have talked to her after the selections were over and I hope she will change her attitude to some extent. What grieves me more is the shocking news just received when I am dictating this letter that you have published a letter addressed to Gandhiji in which

you ask his permission to withdraw from the scene altogether. This is what I was afraid of all the while. You do not realise how unfair and unjust you are to others. After all this trouble, you want now to let us down! How can anybody support you if you were to act like this? You do not even consult us, but that has always been your way of life. I cannot understand you. I have not seen that letter yet, but I can guess.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. C. Rajagopalachari  
Madras

249

Madras  
21 February 1946

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Kindly forgive me for the step I have taken.

Here is a copy of a letter I have sent Bapu.

Yours sincerely,  
C. Rajagopalachari

ENCLOSURE

Madras  
21 February 1946

My dear Bapu,

What you said about me publicly has, like the churning of the ocean, brought up all the poison and you have to swallow it like Rudra! I know you can. But it has made my old longing for peace too strong to resist. I haven't the strength to stand it any longer. I bore much all these days. I struggled hard to work without minding the calumniators but I give it up now. I have often asked myself the question, is it worthwhile? I feel it is not. I must yield to the longing of my heart not to be misunderstood. Why should I be thought to be seeking 'power' when it is not the case at all? I must prove it, although the proof is one that leaves no good behind but the mere proof.

Now that the urgent job of selections for the legislature is all over, let me leave the scene.

For my place (the University seat) there is Sambamurti available. He has not been given any seat nor did he apply. The position he has occupied in Andhra's public life for over a quarter of a century, the unrivalled manner in which he fulfilled his duties as Speaker and his drive and energy are well known and it would be wrong to leave him out. He can easily take my place in the nomination for the University.

I beg of you to approve of my action and pass it on at once to Sardar so that he may do the needful. Nominations must be officially filed before 1 March.

Love,  
C. R.

PS.

I slept over this last night and am posting it this morning.

C. R.

250

24 February 1946

My dear Rajaji,

Your letter of the 21st inst. enclosing a copy of your letter to Bapu has been received. I have already written to you about my reaction to your proposal.

You have also left everybody in suspense and it is difficult to decide what should be done, as there is very little time. Your proposal about Sambamurthi is surprising. If you withdraw, you have no voice in suggesting a substitute. The proposal must come from the province and your suggesting a name to us or to Bapu is hardly fair. Bapu can do nothing in this matter. We cannot make any direct selections and even if such a proposal comes from the province, the Central Board may find it difficult to accept it. He has himself made a public statement that he does not desire to stand. I cannot therefore understand why you have made that suggestion.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. C. Rajagopalachari  
Madras

251

TELEGRAM

Madras  
23 February 1946

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

LEFT URGENTLY. UNABLE MEET YOU CONSEQUENT BOMBAY TRANSPORT ETC SITUATION. ARRIVED JUST NOW. ENROUTE READ NEWSPAPER REPORT RAJAJI WITHDRAWAL. LATER ARRIVING MADRAS FURTHER NEWSPAPER REPORT VAIDYANATHIER WIRING YOU. SHALL CARRY ON WORK AND [ensure] CONGRESS COMPLETE SUCCESS. INSTRUCT HOW FILL SEATS LIKE VAIDYANATHIER IF ARISING. SHORT TIME PRECLUDES FORMAL PROCEDURE. SHALL WIRE ANY DEVELOPMENTS.

KAMARAJ

252

TELEGRAM

25 February 1946

Kamaraj  
Madras

YOUR WIRE. IN CASE RAJAJI AND VAIDYANATHIER HAVE WITHDRAWN WIRE YOUR PROPOSALS SUBSTITUTES.

VALLABHBHAI

253

TELEGRAM

Bombay  
27 February 1946

C. Rajagopalachari  
Madras

ACCEPT YOUR PROPOSAL WITHDRAWING FROM UNIVERSITY CONSTITUENCY WITH REGRET.

VALLABHBHAI



White's Garden  
Royapettah  
Madras  
23 February 1946

My dear Sardarji,

When I met you in Bombay I had not the idea that my application will meet with the fate it has, but even if I had a hint I can assure you I had no intention of saying anything about it, as I had left my fate entirely in your hands. . . . As you have known me all these years, I felt of all you would fight [my] battle whatever may be the views of other members. I feel that you did fight it, but failed. The candidate who has been put up comes from outside, but I am sure whatever your decision, the constituency will be loyal to the Congress. I sent you the telegram yesterday consulting Rajaji as I did not want him to interfere after his letter to Bapu, and I felt I must fight my own battle. . . .

With pranams,

Yours affectionately,  
P. Subbarayan

26 February 1946

My dear Dr. Subbarayan,

I had your letter of the 23rd inst., as also your telegram was received. Your proposal was strongly opposed by my colleagues on the Board as well as by the representative of the provincial board. I regret to say that I find myself helpless. Rajaji's telegram had nothing to do with the rejection of your proposal. Unfortunately, I now find that Rajaji also withdraws himself. I am sorry for what has happened, but let us hope that this unfortunate chapter will soon end and Tamil Nad after some time will again recover itself and work in unity and in a peaceful atmosphere.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. P. Subbarayan  
Madras

27 February 1946

Dear Mr. Kamaraj,

I am sending you herewith copy of a resolution which I have received from K. Pandian, secretary, Usilampatti Town Congress Committee. The resolution speaks for itself. I would advise you in your own interest and in the interest of the province to stop this kind of propaganda which will not do any good to anybody. Gandhiji is too big to be affected by such things, but it will reflect very badly on our organisation. However, I will leave it to you to do what you like. This is my advice.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Kamaraj Nadar  
Madras

## ENCLOSURE

THE USILAMPATTI TOWN CONGRESS COMMITTEE, USILAMPATTI

To  
Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

The following resolution was carried out at the meeting of the executive committee of the above Congress committee presided over by Mr. R. Ramachandra Rao.

This meeting strongly condemns the article by Gandhiji which appeared on 10-2-46 in Harijan regarding Congress affairs in Tamil Nad, and demands the immediate withdrawal of the article in whole.

For Town Congress Committee  
K. Pandian  
Secretary

18-2-1946

4 March 1946

Dear Mr. Bhaktavatsalam,

I have received your letter of the 1st inst. along with the Press cutting (See enclosure.). As Sjt. Roche Victoria stands on the Congress ticket, he should not have issued a separate manifesto. The Congress manifesto gives enough scope to him to work for the interests of his community, but the Congress is equally interested in the welfare of his community as himself and to claim votes on communal lines is against the declared policy of the Congress. He should have relied on the Congress manifesto for securing votes from his community. You may take exception to this and inform him that this is not the Congress way of fighting elections.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. M. Bhaktavatsalam  
Secretary,  
Tamil Nad Congress Committee  
Madras

#### ENCLOSURE

#### EXTRACT

Although I am nominated by the Congress, my first and foremost duty is to safeguard and promote the interests of the Indian Christian community.

....

I hereby assure the voters that if at any time the interests of our community clash with the policy of the Congress, I shall always stand by our community.

I therefore request your votes that I may be returned to the Legislative Council.

J.L.P. Roche-Victoria

The Hindu, Madras, 1 March 1946

**EXTRACT FROM A LETTER OF MRS. RADHABAI SUBBARAYAN  
DATED 7 MARCH 1946 TO SARDAR PATEL**

Tiruchungodu

The silence of the provincial Congress leaders about my candidature has given rise to many rumours and there is now an impression that certain prominent Congress personalities are anxious that I should suffer a defeat to prove that Rajaji's friends have no support in the Press.

I am writing to you frankly as I really do not know what to do.

12 March 1946

My dear Mrs. Subbarayan,

I have received your letter of the 7th inst. Immediately after receiving your letter, a telegram was sent to the president of the Tamil Nad Congress Committee asking him to take necessary steps in the matter. I have again sent another telegram and written to him also.

I appreciate your difficulties in the adverse circumstances in which you are asked to fight your election but I will do my best from here to help you. Once your selection is made, it would be criminal for those in charge of the organisation to be passive or to do anything which would contribute to your failure. I do not know the date of your election, but I have asked Sjt Kamaraj to let me have the date and also to take all possible steps to secure success for the Congress in this by-election.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Mrs. Radhabai Subbarayan  
White's Garden  
Royapettah  
Madras

12 March 1946

Dear Mr. Kamaraj,

Mrs. Radhabai Subbarayan's nomination for by-election to the Council of State was sanctioned on the recommendation of your provincial board. Nothing appears to have been done by the provincial board since then, except asking Mrs. Subbarayan to file her nomination. Why has her nomination not been announced as yet? In this connection I have to invite your attention to the telegram that was sent to you [to] which also I have received no reply. I should like to know when is the date fixed for this by-election. Everything possible should be done to see that the Congress does not suffer a defeat here. Please write to me what is being done in this case by the provincial organisation to see that the Congress does not suffer a defeat.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Kamaraj Nadar  
Madras

261

## TELEGRAM

Madras  
15 April 1946

Sardar Patel  
Birla House  
New Delhi

MYSELF POLLED 2427 OPPONENT 133. GLAD CONGRESS WON BY-ELECTION COUNCIL OF STATE.

RADHABAI

262

TELEGRAM

17 April 1946

Mrs. Subbarayan  
Care Congress  
Madras

YOUR TELEGRAM. CONGRATULATIONS SPLENDID SUCCESS.

VALLABHBHAI

263

New Delhi  
14 April 1946

Dear Friend,

Your letter dated 26 March addressed to Mahatma Gandhi (See enclosure) has been forwarded to me for disposal. You are making serious allegations against Shri Venkatachalam Pillai who was selected in preference to you as a candidate for the Madras Assembly by the Central Board but you have marked your complaint as confidential. As a Congressman, it is improper for you to make complaints of such a nature confidentially. No enquiry can be made of confidential complaints. You must be prepared to substantiate the charges that you propose to make against another Congressman. Unless you are prepared to take responsibility for your complaint, no action can be taken. You must remember that you are trying to take away the character of a man and if the allegations that you are making are found to be false, you would be liable to be expelled from the Congress. If you will make open charges against Shri Pillai, we will make proper enquiries.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Ganpathi Reddiar  
Perambur  
Trichy district

## ENCLOSURE

### EXTRACT FROM A LETTER OF SHRI GANPATHI REDDIAR, DATED 26 MARCH 1946 TO MAHATMA GANDHI

I beg to introduce myself to you that I was one of the applicants to the Tamil Nad Congress Committee as a candidate for the Madras Legislative Assembly election for Ariyalur division, Trichy district. Myself together with another applicant met the TNCC representatives when they came down to Trichinopoly to select a suitable candidate for representing the Congress. It is regrettable that they decided to select Mr. B. Venkatachalam Pillai wholly with a one-sided view and did not at all lend ears to our representations. It is high time to inform you that this Venkatachalam Pillai is the same traitor who was arrested during the 1942 disturbances but evaded the prison bars with the help of the then ICS Collector, Mr. S. K. Chettur.

264

Malathi  
4 Giri Road  
T'Nagar  
Madras  
21 Decembar 1945

My dear Chief,

Your statement on railway retrenchment had a magical effect. While most of the Agents agreed with the Railway Board that they would defer the matter and if possible get over it by many alternative methods suggested by the federation, the S.I. Ry. Agent insisted on going on with the retrenchment of about two thousand and that would have led to complications immediately. Anyway, after your timely intervention he also agreed to fall in line and I hope there will be no question of retrenchment till 31 March and it may be that the question may be dropped altogether. The retrenchment of eight thousand referred to [is] merely to be taken by the Railway Administration in respect of manufacturing war material and as a purely temporary affair.

We are trying our best to see that we secure all the labour seats in Madras province. Hope we will have success in our attempt.

With heartiest regards and best wishes for your restoration to normal health.

Yours sincerely,  
V. V. Giri

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

• 265

27 December 1945

My dear Giri,

I have received your letter of the 21st inst. I am glad that my statement has been helpful and the idea of retrenchment has been abandoned for the time being. I trust you will succeed in securing all the labour seats in the Provincial Assembly. In Bombay, I am told, our position is not secure. In the railway labour seats, out of two we might get one, according to the report.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Mr. V.V. Giri, Bar-at-Law  
MLA  
Madras

266

Madras  
21 January 1946

My dear Chief,

I hope you know that Mr. V. R. Kalappa is now in England in connection with the work of an international committee on behalf of the All-India Trade Union Congress.

I am anxious he should be set up as a Congress candidate either for a labour seat or for a general seat. His presence in the Provincial C.P. Assembly will be an asset to us.

I am just writing this so that you may have him in view. I hope in the C.P. the Parliamentary Board has set him up as a candidate.



With kindest regards,

Yours very sincerely,  
V. V. Giri

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

267 .

30 January 1946

My dear Giri,

I have received your letter of the 21st inst. I have accommodated Sjt. Kalappa in the constituency from which he was returned last time. There were difficulties as there were other claimants who were connected with that constituency and as Sjt. Kalappa had not kept in touch with it. However, we have given our decision in his favour and it is to be hoped that it will give no ground for complaint.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. V. V. Giri  
Madras

268

12 February 1946

My dear Giri,

I have received your letter of the 8th inst. I have not yet received the proposals from Andhra. The provincial board meets on the 15th, after which date they will send their proposals immediately. As soon as they are received, the Central Board will proceed to dispose them of. Your objection about the change of your constituency will be considered at that time.

Your personal letter of the same date has also been received. That matter will also be looked into at that time.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. V. V. Giri  
21, Curzon Road  
New Delhi

Madras  
21 February 1946

My dear Chief,

I see from today's papers that I have to contest 'Sarvasidhi'. As I have stated to you, I do not mind so long as it is your decision. Before leaving for my constituency, I am trying to organize forces to fight Communists who are standing in the labour constituencies. Time permitting I shall also pay flying visits if I possibly could.

I am glad you selected Mr. V. Raghaviah for Nellore. I would never have intervened in that matter but for the fact that I felt his selection was just and useful.

With kindest regards,

•Yours very sincerely,  
V. V. Giri

PS.

The committee on 'Forced Labour' has been indefinitely postponed, presumably on account of protests from States. This is for your information.

27 February 1946

My dear Giri,

I have your letter of the 21st inst. about your change of constituency. Both Dr. Pattabhi and Mr. Prakasam assured me that there will be no contest and that you will be returned unopposed from this constituency. Therefore, I did not press the matter further.

About Mr. V. Raghaviah, who was himself present, the committee accepted my advice and I am glad he has been selected.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri V. V. Giri  
Madras

271

TELEGRAM

Narasapatnam  
1 March 1946

Sardar Patel  
Bombay

UNCONTESTED. VISITING LABOUR CONSTITUENCIES.

GIRI

272

2 March 1946

My dear Giri,

I have received your telegram and I congratulate you for your uncontested election. It was expected, as you must have seen from my last letter to you. I trust that in the labour constituencies also, the Congress will win. It seems in your province the Communists have established a strong foothold. In this election, it must be demolished and the Congress position vindicated.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. V. V. Giri  
Madras

273

Camp Vizagapattam  
2 March 1946

My dear Chief,

I am just now in the Vizagapattam labour constituency where a Communist is vehemently opposing our candidate. I hope to be here for two days before I visit other constituencies in the province. I hope you received my yesterday's wire from my constituency.

232

I have wired you today about Mr. D. V. Ramaswami. I must have also mentioned a fact that he is my uncle (my dear mother's first cousin). But I need not assure you that in making a representation on his behalf I am only actuated by the country's interest and not of a personal kind.

The whole board unanimously selected him and Mr. V. J. Gupta does not carry the high reputation which Mr. Ramaswami carries with respect to character, integrity and sacrifice. Our leaders should have suggested to you that Mr. V. J. Gupta should have been nominated as an alternative to Ramaswami as has been done in other cases. I am glad Dr. Pattabhi has kindly intervened and justly too.

Anyway, I am sure whatever your decision is will be accepted by every one.

Your justly changing some of the decisions of the local parliamentary board has rehabilitated Congress prestige very highly. Your acts are appreciated.

With kind regards,

•  
Yours very sincerely,  
V. V. Giri

274

4 March 1946

My dear Giri,

I have just received your letter of the 2nd inst. regarding Sjt. D. V. Ramaswami. I have made the necessary alteration and sent telegrams to Sjt. Prakasam and Sjt. V. J. Gupta about the change. Sjt. Gupta has been asked to withdraw his nomination.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. V. V. Giri  
Madras

7 March 1946

My dear Giri,

I have your letter of the 2nd inst.

I have heard from Sjt. Gupta today that he has withdrawn his nomination. The Congress nominee, Sjt. Ramaswami, has been elected unopposed and I am glad that my decision has met with general approval there.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. V. V. Giri  
Madras

21 March 1946

My dear Giri,

I have received your letters of the 17th, 18th and 19th inst. There has been some bungling with regard to the selection of labour candidates but we have to rely upon provincial advice as we have here little knowledge of local conditions. Anyway you have to do your best under the circumstances. All over India the Communists have lost except the railway constituency of the G.I.P. and B.B.&C.I., where our people mismanaged things so much that we lost both the seats without contest. Sjt. Khedgikar's nomination was rejected on technical grounds. He is now filing an election petition.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. V. V. Giri  
Madras

25 March 1946

My dear Giri,

I have got your telegram regarding the labour seat in Madras and I congratulate you all for the result. I trust this is the forerunner regarding elections in Madras Presidency.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. V. V. Giri  
Madras

8 Raja Street  
Madras  
24 December 1945

Dear Sardar Patel, Maulana Azad,

From the newspapers I find that the Central Parliamentary Board is going to meet at Delhi on 15-1-'46 and will consider selection of candidates for the Madras Legislature also.

In this connection, I wish to inform you that even the final electoral rolls are not yet ready in this province. The revising authorities who will have to sit to consider claims and objections will begin work only on 3-1-'46. In the result, the final list will be ready about 20-1-'46. That date also is not yet announced. The Government notified that they can only fix the programme of elections after the final rolls are available. As applicants will have to enter their electoral numbers on the application forms and make sure of their entry, difficulties will arise in adjudging them. But by the time the revising authorities will sit, we will have the decisions ready. Much wind was taken out of the sails when the Madras Government published that they would not disqualify detenus for want of "residence."

In these circumstances we called for applications. We will begin considering them as from 5-1-'46. Our committee has decided to visit each district before fixing up names. So we will

be unable to send up the names before 15-1-'46. However, we will try to send one batch by then. As for the rest you will have to wait till the last week of January or the end of January.

I request you to let me know the latest date by which you would expect our trial list.

Thanking you.

Yours sincerely,  
T. Prakasam  
[President, Andhra Pradesh Congress  
Committee]

279

27 December 1945

My dear Prakasam,

I have received your letter of the 24th inst. There are many provinces in which applications have been invited before the end of the first week of January and their proposals are expected by the 10th. The committee meets on about 15 January to discuss all these proposals which will be received before that date. It would be better if we can get your proposals for your province also by that time. Otherwise we will have to meet again somewhere to consider your proposals. If it is possible you may try to expedite.

I saw a statement sent by you and Mr. Muthuranga Mudaliar regarding Mr. Asaf Ali's work there. It would have been better if you had not issued such a statement. Nobody wants to impose decisions from above nor is there any desire to interfere with the legitimate rights of the province. But the centre is bound to give due weight to representations made by a very large number of members of the provincial Congress committee and if the committee is almost equally divided or if there is a substantial difference of opinion, it becomes the duty of the Working Committee to see that justice is being done to differing groups. The majority must not be impatient or intolerant with the minority.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sri T. Prakasam  
Madras

29 January 1946

My dear Prakasam,

I have received your letter containing the list of candidates recommended by your board today. As the date for nomination was also today, your proposals came very late to be of any use for us to consider. However, I have already wired my sanction to these proposals which were telegraphed to me by you.

I am expecting your proposals for the general and other special constituencies as soon as possible, because it has been already late and if you do not send them in time, the committee will not give you sanction without scrutinising them. You must give the committee time to meet and consider the proposals along with the complaints and appeals received. I therefore suggest that you must send them now without delay.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. T. Prakasam  
Bezwada

281

TELEGRAM

New Delhi  
27 January 1946

T. Prakasam  
Andhra Congress  
Madras

YOUR WIRE TWENTYFOURTH RECEIVED TODAY. YOUR SCHEDULED CASTE  
RECOMMENDATIONS APPROVED.

VALLABHBHAI



282

TELEGRAM

Nellore

27 January 1946

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

MALABAR MOPLAH FRIENDS MET YESTERDAY AT MADRAS AND  
REQUESTED TO WIRE AND WRITE TO YOU FOR HELP. SEE LETTER  
REPLY ELLORE TWENTYNINTH COCONADA THIRTIETH

PRAKASAM

283

TELEGRAM

68 Marine Drive

8 February 1946

Prakasam  
Congress  
Bezwada

YOUR WIRE TWENTYSEVENTH AND MADRAS LETTER EIGHTEENTH  
BEING UNSIGNED COULD NOT UNDERSTAND. MALABAR MOPLAH  
FRIENDS MUST ACT THROUGH KERALA PRESIDENT, TAMILNAD THROUGH  
KAMARAJ.

VALLABHBHAI

284

EXTRACTS

13-C Ferozeshah Road,  
New Delhi

8 February 1946

My dear Sardarji,

As I had feared, the expected has happened in Andhra.

The Andhra Provincial Parliamentary Board has rejected the  
applications of everyone of our kisan<sup>1</sup> Congressites who have had

<sup>1</sup> Peasant

as distinguished a record of service as Congressmen for seats in the Madras Assembly.

Although there are more than 40 seats for our Andhra districts, our kisan Congressites have thought of applying for only 12 seats. But since the leaders of those Congressmen who had been in office in Congress Committee since 1940 had been openly declaring that they would ignore the kisan Congressites and since they alone got representation of the Parliamentary Board, three of our friends did not even venture to apply.

I appeal against this injustice.

Yours affectionately,  
N. G. Ranga<sup>1</sup>

285

13 February 1946

My dear Ranga,

I have received your letter of the 8 inst. regarding the exclusion of kisan Congressites from the provincial recommendations to the Central Board for seats in the Madras Legislative Assembly. The points raised by you will be considered by the Central Board, which meets on the 17th and thereafter for consideration of these applications and if there is anything that could be done in the matter, the Central Board will certainly do so.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Prof. N. G. Ranga  
13-C Ferozeshah Road  
New Delhi

<sup>1</sup> Started career as professor of economics in Madras; President, All-India Kisan Sabha; President, Andhra PCC; member, Congress Parliamentary party at Centre; founder-President, Swatantra Party

## EXTRACT

Masulipatam  
1 March 1946

To  
Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
President, Central Parliamentary Board  
Bombay

Sir,

Let me offer my sincerest thanks to you and to the members of the Parliamentary Board for having selected me as a candidate on the Congress ticket for the West Godavari-cum-Krishna Mohamedan rural constituency of the Madras Legislative Assembly. I filed my nomination paper on 28-2-46 before the Collector of Krishna and the scrutiny will take place on 4-2-46. We got arranged a conference of all the Shias of Andhra Desa on 27-2-46 at Masulipatam, wherein resolutions were passed that all the Shias, now in the Muslim League should secede from the League and join the Indian National Congress.

As it is our first serious attempt in these parts to rally the Musalmans of Andhra under the banner of the Congress, I earnestly request you to bless our attempt and to extend your best help in all such directions as you think desirable.

Yours sincerely,  
Mirza Ghulam Hasan Khan

4 March 1946

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter of the 1st inst. I wish success in your efforts to bring round the Muslims of Andhra under the banner of the Congress and I congratulate you for the bold stand you have taken. It is difficult for any outside Congress Muslim leader to come there. Sjt. Asaf Ali is engaged in the Central

Assembly, but if you suggest any other name, I will write to him.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Mirza Ghulam Hasan Khan, Esq.  
Grandson of the late Nawab of Masulipatam  
Masulipatam

\*288

Bezwada  
3 March 1946

Revered Sardarji,

Polling for the elections to the Madras Legislative Assembly will come off in Andhra between 18-3-46 and 22-3-46 for all the general and special seats. You might be aware that the Communists have set up nearly 28 candidates for the general and special seats in certain areas. They are putting up stiff opposition by means of funds got from somewhere. In order to meet their propaganda and opposition people in various constituencies are demanding of the candidates and the Congress committees speeches from all-India leaders of eminence. We know that it would be a great strain upon your valuable time, especially after your provincial elections, to come and be with us for a few days. But, none the less, in view of our local situation we are constrained to make this demand and request that you will be pleased to spare as many days as you can from now on till 18 March. Kindly inform us the exact date from which you can spare and the number of days you will be available in our province. As soon as we hear from you we shall wire the exact programme and arrange for your tour and reception. I hope that you will not refuse this request of ours.

Thanking you,

Your sincerely,  
Kala Venkata Rao  
General Secretary  
Andhra PCC

Sadar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

12 March 1946

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter of 3 March. We have a meeting of the Working Committee here from today and as soon as the meeting is over, we will have to arrange for going to Delhi in connection with the coming visit of the [British Cabinet] Delegation. It is not possible for me to come to Andhra before the elections, as my engagements here are not such as can be put off.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Kala Venkata Rao  
Bezwada

#### SARDAR PATEL'S MESSAGE TO THE VOTERS OF SOUTH INDIA

13 March 1946

In all the provinces in which the result of elections is declared, it appears that the Communist candidates have all been defeated. None of their candidates have so far succeeded anywhere. Next to the Punjab perhaps, in Andhra Communist candidates are more numerous. The election date is drawing near in South India and I earnestly appeal to all the voters in Andhra, Tamil Nad and Kerala to return the Congress nominees with an overwhelming majority. In the last elections, polling was first held in the Madras Presidency and the voters had given a lead to the country by returning the Congress candidates in very great majority. I have no doubt that in spite of all controversies which have now been finally settled, the South Indian voters will maintain their high reputation of being strongly Congress-minded and declare unhesitatingly in favour of Independence.

The women candidates who are Congress nominees will, I trust, score heavily against their opponents.

27 March 1946

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter of the 24th inst. I am glad that you have succeeded in defeating the Communists everywhere in your province, which undoubtedly was their stronghold and particularly as Com. [Comrade] Joshi had made Bezwada his headquarters. I must wait till the official results are out, but pending the results I congratulate you all for the good work you have done during the short period at your disposal. I do not know why Dr. Pattabhi recommended candidates who were not strong and made it difficult for you to fight the Communists. It was well known that in all these constituencies there will be a very stiff contest. Why he did not come to help you in the election campaign in these constituencies, I do not know. However, all is well that ends well.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. A. Kaleswara Rao  
Bezwada

21 February 1946

My dear Prakasam,

I am enclosing herewith copy of a letter which I have received from Bezwada dated 15th inst. Ordinarily I would have ignored such a letter but there is a specific allegation in this letter which I believe requires immediate contradiction. It is about your having collected Rs. 50,000. I do not know whether there is any truth in this matter but if you have collected such an amount, it must have been credited to the Congress account in your province. This kind of scandal should not be allowed to be spread and therefore I would suggest that you may take such steps either by public contradiction or by such other method as you may deem appropriate to prevent the spread of such scandals.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. T. Prakasam

Camp Sardar Griha  
Bombay  
22 February 1946

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Thanks for the letter that was delivered to me yesterday when I was with you in your C.P. Board meeting.

Some kind friends have been after me scandal-mongering. It is all due primarily to ignorance from out of which envy, jealousy, ill-will, cruelty spring. Such misguided men demand the heads of innocent men. Sometimes those in power fall victim to the tricks and machinations of such misguided men. I am glad you have proved an exception and advised me to issue a contradiction to a particular scandal. If one should engage oneself in contradicting 'scandals, even one's full length of 125 years' life would not be sufficient for that purpose alone.

Therefore I don't adopt your advice about contradicting. I am thinking of adopting another method. The conspiracy of a group to pull down one in their effort to pull up another, is growing day after day. As soon as I go to Madras, I shall hand over all the papers to my lawyers and seek their opinion as to the nature of action that I should take. I shall let you know after I get the legal opinion. I am not fond of law courts, yet, if one is compelled he will have to do it.

Thank you for the enclosure you were good enough to send me with your letter yesterday. That supplies the missing link, even though it might be a false letter by a false person.

Now that you are anxious about my reputation and that of the Congress, I enclose herein a memo that I have taken today from Rajya Lakshmamma which will give you an idea of the nature of the fund presented to me and the nature of the disposal of such fund by me.

Shri Rajya Lakshmamma is the lady who argued her own appeal before you on the 19th inst. in BPCC buildings in my presence. When she came to me this morning, I took the enclosed note from her with a view to send it to you and Mahatmaji.

I have taken your letter and the enclosure as a confirmation of Mahatmaji's correspondence with me recently. I request you therefore to pass on to Mahatmaji your letter of yesterday and this reply of mine for his information.

Yours sincerely,  
T. Prakasam

293

25 February 1946

My dear Prakasam,

I have received your letter of the 22nd inst. Since then I have seen Bapu's article in the Harijan entitled "Purses for Public Men." Evidently he has also received some complaints about this matter. You want me to pass on our correspondence to Bapu and therefore I am doing so, but I am afraid neither your reply nor the enclosure which you have sent along with it explains the matter properly.

I assumed when I wrote to you that the purses alleged to have been collected by you to the extent of Rs. 50,000 must have been sent by you to the Provincial Congress Committee and therefore I suggested that you should contradict the report that you had used this amount for personal purpose. The enclosure that you have sent me confirms rather than contradicts the allegation. If the amount of Rs. 2,000 were collected from villagers and presented to you on their behalf along with an address for your services, it would be improper to use them for personal purpose, even if they so desired. A Congress leader doing so would be charged with exploiting the poor, ignorant villagers and if such examples were set by a Congressman holding a high position, it would be a dangerous precedent. If you have therefore collected Rs. 50,000 in this manner, I would strongly advise you to credit that amount to the PCC. In this matter no legal advice is needed and I do not think that any lawyer will advise you to go to a court of law for redress. The enclosure is a piece of evidence more against you than in your favour and if you will ever go to the court in this matter, the man against whom you will file a complaint will have the upper hand against you. I would strongly suggest to you to reconsider your position and refund whatever



amount you have collected by way of public purses to the PCC so that there may be no more scandal about it.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. T. Prakasam  
Camp Sardar Griha  
Bombay

294 ,

8 Raja Street  
Thyagarayanagar  
26 February 1946

My dear Vallabhbhai,

With your letter dated 21st inst. you sent an enclosure. The original of that letter purports to have been signed by one V. Srinivasa Iyer. Please let me know whether the original of that letter dated 15th inst. was received by you through post or through any messenger. If through messenger, can you kindly let me have the name and address of the person? I would like to know the whereabouts of that V. Srinivasa Iyer in Bezwada.

I am inclined to think that the name V. Srinivasa Iyer is a fictitious name. That is why I am requesting you to give me the above information about that person. While I am troubling you for this information, I am also endeavouring to send you more proofs similar to that of Rajya Lakshamma, which I sent you with my last letter.

I hope to send them to you in two or three days.

Yours sincerely,  
T. Prakasam

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

295

1 March 1946

My dear Prakasam,

I have received your letter of the 26th ult. The original letter was received by post and not through messenger.

Whether the name of V. Srinivasa Iyer is genuine or fictitious, you need not attach any importance to it. What matters

is the contents of the letter. If you think that the facts stated therein are false, you need not worry, but reports about these purses have appeared in the Andhra Press and as lot of rumours are spread about it, it is better for you to issue a public statement instead of collecting evidence from individual donors. If it is a fact that you have got purses to the extent of Rs. 50,000 from people and if there are reports about it in the Press, it is your duty to make a public statement that these purses have been presented to you for your private use and so you are not accountable for it to anybody. The general impression amongst the public and particularly amongst Congressmen would be that the purses are presented to you for public purposes but if you can justify its private use, you must prevent this misunderstanding that is growing. Some of the people that came here from Andhra also talked to me about it. You know Bapu has written in the Harijan about this question without referring to you and he will perhaps be receiving letters from Andhra in this connection, as it has now become a public controversy.

• Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. T. Prakasam  
Madras

296

Madras  
2 March 1946

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I have sent copies of proofs covering over nearly Rs. 26,000 today by air mail. Rest will follow within a very short time.

The originals of the proofs have been sent to Mahatmaji today by air mail.

Yours sincerely,  
T. Prakasam

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

5 March 1946

My dear Prakasam,

I have received your letter of the 2nd inst. sending me copies of proofs covering about Rs. 26,000 of the purse fund. You need not take the trouble of sending all these things to me because I needed no proof of this kind. I had asked you to consider the question of propriety of taking public purses for private use. Evidently these collections have been made from numerous poor people and undoubtedly the money has been given to you in appreciation of your services and sacrifices, but you have to consider whether it is proper for a public leader of your status to accept such purses for personal use. Therefore, all your efforts to collect evidence is unnecessary and you need not waste your time and energy after it.

I have received your telegram about financial help required for Muslim and other candidates from your province. So far as the Muslim candidates are concerned, I understand there are only three, of whom Sjt. Safi Mohamed needs no assistance. For the non-Muslim candidates, the province must make its own arrangements. It is true that there has been cyclone trouble but it is also true that people, in spite of that, are willing to contribute money and you have only to make efforts for that purpose. However, I am doing what I can to meet with your reasonable requirements and writing to Sjt. Kala Venkata Rao about it.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. T. Prakasam  
Madras

Camp Ongole  
9 March 1946

My dear Vallabhbhai,

In continuation of my last letter to you I am sending further proofs and statements of friends to enable you to understand the circumstances fully. You will please read the statements of Sri T. Viswanadham, Dr. Nageswara Rao, Sri M. Bapineedu, and Sri Gollapudi Sitaramasastry of Vinayasramam and other friends.

Although you have asked me not to send further proofs or evidence I am sending you because it is necessary that the real truth about the nature of the purse has to be understood by you and Mahatmaji. I am trying to clear the ground first by placing all the proofs. It is a very serious matter for anybody to fling a charge against any one recklessly. Nobody can be safe in the world if unfounded scandals should be allowed to go unchecked. A mere contradiction from me cannot remove the doubts of prejudiced minds.

You have no idea of my struggles. If you have not known it hitherto I have every hope that you will know it before long. You might testify, at least this much, that I have not been in the habit of carrying stories against others or in favour of myself to any authorities. When you gave me your letter in Bombay, I sent you a reply. I had not the opportunity of talking to you at length. There will be a few more proofs yet to reach you. The Press contradiction which you advised will be published in due course.

With best wishes,

Yours sincerely,  
T. Prakasam

18 March 1946

My dear Prakasam,

I have your letter of the 9th inst. with its enclosures. I have repeatedly told you that this is all a useless waste of time and energy. What I wanted you to do was to consider the question of principle with an unbiased mind whether it is advisable for a Congressman to take such public purses for private use. When I asked you to issue a contradiction, I presumed that whatever purses you may have received must have been credited to the Congress account, but when it is admitted that it is not so, the question of contradiction does not arise at all. The collection of proofs that you have been making and forwarding to me is wholly unnecessary.

I have already sent another remittance of Rs. 10,000 to Sjt. Kala Venkata Rao on the 14th inst.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. T. Prakasam

#### MAHATMA GANDHI'S STATEMENT ON COLLECTION OF PURSES BY T. PRAKASAM

#### MY ADVICE

New Delhi  
15 April 1946

Shri Prakasam I have known as a worker for many years. He has somehow developed a philosophy that a public worker is entitled to receive purses from the public for his expenses. In pursuance of that philosophy he has received a considerable sum in the shape of purses. Those who collected them have wired or written to me that they saw nothing wrong in so doing or in Shri Prakasam receiving them. Some have gone so far as to say that Shri Prakasam should even become a member of the Madras ministry, if not the Prime Minister.

With the greatest reluctance I must dissent from the whole view. I know that Shri Prakasam says that he has changed his mind. Sudden conversions

are not unknown. But they are cast in a different mould. A ministership cannot be the end of genuine repentance and conversion. My advice to Shri Prakasam is that he should retire even from the Legislative Assembly and brood over the bad example he has set. There is ample field open to everyone for silent service which is often much greater than service done in the limelight.

M. K. G.

**CHAPTER V**  
**SECTARIAN RIVALRY**

300

Belgaum  
2 October 1945

Respected Sir,

Kindly excuse me for writing directly to you in connection with the ensuing elections to the various legislatures. I would have been failing in my duty if I had not expressed my views with regard to the selection of candidates for the same. I request you to give full consideration to what I have said in the accompanying note.

Kindly excuse me for the trouble.

Yours obediently,  
P.B. Ugargol  
Chairman  
District Central  
Co-operative Bank Ltd.  
Belgaum

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

**ENCLOSURE**

**EXTRACTS**

**SUGGESTIONS TO THE CONGRESS PARLIAMENTARY BOARD**

It will all the more be welcome if the candidate so selected happens to be a veteran co-operator.

If the so-selected Congress candidate happens to be conversant with laws in addition to his being a co-operator.

Another essential criterion to be borne in mind in respect of Karnatak in the selection of candidate from that province, is the unification of Karnatak.

P. B. Ugargol

Poona  
5 October 1945

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter of the 2nd inst.

The coming elections are to be fought, not on petty small issues which would give petty advantages to the people, but on the bigger issue of independence. What is the use of the experience of a veteran co-operator who, during the struggle when people were faced with a severe trial could not come forward to bear the heat of the movement. But it is for your provincial organisation to find out proper men for the legislatures and if you will send your suggestions to the provincial Congress committee, they will be able to test them.

Regarding the unification of Karnatak, no Congressman has to learn anything new. The Congress stands for provinces based on language and the Congress has already accepted that principle in its own organisation.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri P.B. Ugargol  
Chairman  
District Central Co-operative Bank Ltd.  
Belgaum

## EXTRACTS

279 Shivajinagar  
Poona  
4 October 1945

Dear Sardar Patel,

I had been a member of the non-Brahmin party in Bombay province since its inception in 1917. I continued to be its member and adherent till the end of September 1937 when I joined the Congress.



I joined the Congress as, I have stated above, in September 1937 yielding to the persuasion and pressure of Messrs. Shankarrao Deo and Gadgil.

In 1940 I enrolled myself as a satyagrahi and was in jail from November 1940 to November 1941. I disagreed with the 'Quit India' resolution when it was first passed by the Working Committee on 14 July at Wardha. I informed Mr. Shankarrao Deo about the fact of disagreement and made it quite clear that I would abstain from participating in the movement. But, in spite of this disagreement, I was arrested on 9 August 1942 and detained in the Yeravda Central Prison.

I decided to write to Government informing them frankly of my disagreement about the 'Quit India' resolution. I wrote to Government accordingly and was released by the end of July 1943. Since my return I am exclusively devoting myself to my profession in order to mend my shattered financial position.

I still firmly believe that the non-Brahmin movement, in its essence, was a movement for the many-sided betterment of the masses.

In Maharashtra and Karnatak the non-Brahmins have done their bit for the country's cause. But in spite of their great sacrifices the non-Brahmins are grieved to find that they are not getting an effective share in the Congress organisation and in the other spheres of Congress activities.

Even before I joined the Congress (i.e. in 1937) some of the Congress leaders had held out very tempting offers to persuade me to contest the elections as one of the Congress candidates. I did successfully withstand that temptation. The demands of my profession and my present financial position do not permit me to even dream of going after fleeting parliamentary fortunes.

Yours,  
S. S. More<sup>1</sup>

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

<sup>1</sup> Leader of workers and peasants in Maharashtra who was elected to Lok Sabha and unsuccessfully contested Speakership in 1952

Poona  
5 October 1945

Dear Shri More,

Thanks for your letter of yesterday delivered to me through a special messenger.

Much of what you have written is not unknown to me. But it is all past history and you must realise that India is now on the eve of reaching its goal. The non-Brahmins must knock off their fear complex and their inferiority complex. I cannot understand why 97% of non-Brahmins should have any fear of domination from the 3% Brahmins. Whatever might have happened during the period of foreign rule, such things cannot continue any longer. When foreign rule is about to disappear the Congress can and must be managed and controlled by the non-Brahmins who form the bulk of the population. It is a pity that you could not stand the test during the crisis and wrote that letter of apology from jail. Thousands of non-Brahmins have made sacrifices during this struggle and the Maharashtra Congress has gained considerable strength through their sacrifices. It is not possible for the Brahmins to exploit them. You must forget the past and try to educate the non-Brahmins along the right lines. Let them learn self-reliance and let them be strong and they will get all possible help from the top.

I see that you have started your practice again. It is not possible for all to make continued sacrifices and I sympathise with you. But you must not leave the Congress. You must try again to come in and serve as an humble worker and regain your position when the time comes. The non-Brahmins have few able men and they cannot afford to lose men like you who have ability and experience. You must forget the past and try to come up with the present.

I thank you for your suggestions.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri S.S. More  
279 Shivajinagar  
Poona

## EXTRACT

Lahore  
24 December 1945

My dear Sardar Patel,

I am writing to request you kindly not to contest the Indian Christian Poona-Ahmednagar seat for the Bombay Assembly on behalf of the Congress, since Mr. A.B. Tribhuvan, pleader, Ahmednagar, is not only a party to that resolution but is also desirous of fully co-operating with the Congress in the Council. I have no doubt that you will find him a steadfast nationalist. I, therefore, venture to hope that there will be no official Congress candidate to oppose him.

Yours sincerely,  
B.L. Rallia Ram<sup>1</sup>

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

27 December 1945

My dear Rallia Ram,

I have received your letter of the 24th instant with its enclosures. The Maharashtra Provincial Congress Committee has already invited applications and I am not sure whether they have not received applications from Christian candidates for being selected on the Congress ticket. I am however making enquiries from the provincial committee about the matter.

Thank you for your kind enquiry about my health. I am much better than I was when you saw me.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Mr. B.L. Rallia Ram  
Lahore

<sup>1</sup> Indian Christian leader who settled in West Pakistan

30 December 1945

My dear Diwakar,

I am enclosing herewith copy of a letter received from Mr. F.M. Pinto, Bombay, who is a nationalist Christian. If you think that his suggestion is feasible, you may do so, but if it is not, you may report to me stating the reasons for the rejection of the suggestion, so that I may be able to give him a reply. If it is possible to accommodate this minority community by giving one seat to a nationalist Christian on the Congress ticket, it would be wise to do so.

I have received your letter of the 27th instant with its enclosures.

Yours sincerely,  
• Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. R.R. Diwakar  
President, KPCC  
Hubli

# ENCLOSURE

## EXTRACT

15 Bank Street  
Fort, Bombay  
28 December 1945

Dear Sardar Sahib,

Though I feel the demand for four seats by the local Christians is too much of a thing to ask, and again a demand on communal lines is wrong in principle, under the present situation and communal atmosphere, a seat to Christians, if given, will go a long way to win them over.

Yours sincerely,  
F. M. Pinto

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

30 December 1945

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter of the 28th instant. Mr. A.J. Pinto had written to me when I was at Poona asking me to give the Christians four seats from a district in Karnatak in the coming Legislative Assembly and I thought that this gentleman was following the path of the Muslim League. If such demands are not accepted, it is because it is not in our power to do so. The absurdity of the demand is self-evident. Such people would not be satisfied by legitimate concessions. On the contrary, they will be encouraged to make further demands if any concession is given.

I have forwarded your letter to the Karnatak Provincial Congress Committee for disposal.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

F. M. Pinto, Esq.  
Nationalist Christian Party  
Bombay

Congress Bhavan  
Poona  
24 December 1945

Dear Sardar Sahib,

There is a university seat in Bombay province. According to the Central Board's circular, this seat will have to be fixed by the joint meeting of five provinces (Sind, Gujarat, Bombay, Maharashtra and Karnatak). This will be a cumbrous process. Will you advise us what to do in the matter? We were informally discussing the names and the trend of opinion in our province is that Sjt. B. G. Kher will be the most suitable candidate. Will you let me know how to proceed in the matter?

Yours sincerely,  
T. R. Deogirikar

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

26 December 1945

Dear Mr. Deogirikar,

I have received your letter of the 24th instant. If Bala Sahab Kher proposes to stand from the University constituency there will be no difficulty and all provinces will gladly support his proposal. But as a matter of courtesy, I would advise your committee to consult all the Congress provinces within the Bombay Presidency by circulating your proposal before sending it to the Central Board.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Mr. T. R. Deogirikar  
Congress Bhavan  
Poona

24 December 1945

My dear Diwakar,

Complaints have been received from your province that your election board is composed of a large number of members who are themselves candidates. There is substance in the complaint if it is so. It would be advisable to form a board of people who are not interested in the election personally. It would not be difficult to form a board of three or five members who may not be candidates and such a composition would give satisfaction and nullify the cause of the complaint.

I have received a copy of the questionnaire issued by the Karnatak Provincial Parliamentary Board. Whether it is right to issue such a questionnaire to intending candidates or not is a question. This information can be obtained without putting questions to the candidates themselves. Some candidates may consider it offensive. However, it is for you to decide.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri R. R. Diwakar  
C/o. Provincial Congress Committee  
Hubli  
Karnatak

25 December 1945

My dear Diwakar,

Mr. Sambrani met me here yesterday and represented his case to me [See enclosure]. I talked to you about his complaint and you agreed that there was substance in it. It would be unwise on our part to ignore his claim. He has been a loyal Congressman throughout and he was chosen on the last occasion also. The other man may be more clever, but he has never been in the Congress and is not prepared even now to accept the Congress ticket. It would be unfair to Mr. Sambrani to drop him simply because his educational qualifications are not equal to that of his rival. You may look into the matter and see that justice is done to him.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri R. R. Diwakar  
Hubli  
Karnatak

# ENCLOSURE

## EXTRACTS

Dharwar  
17 December 1945

Sir,

In reply to my first formal application for the Congress ticket I was informed by the chairman of the Karnatak Provincial Congress Parliamentary Board that they would consider the question after Government nominations were made.

I submit that the decision of the provincial board is unjust in view of the fact that I was the only applicant for the Congress ticket. In view of my long and faithful record as a Congressman and also in view of my long service in the cause of Harijan uplift I submit that there was no reason for the board to postpone consideration of the question until after the Government nominations. I appeal to the All India Board against this decision of the

provincial board and pray for my nomination as a Congress candidate for the constituency.

Yours faithfully,  
D. Y. Sambrani

The Chairman  
All India Central Congress  
Parliamentary Board  
Bombay

312

Hubli  
29 December 1945

My dear Sardarji,

Re. : Shri Sambrani

In continuation of my letter dated 28th instant, I am giving below some available information.

The Belgaum North non-Mohammedan constituency has a total of 87,904 voters. Out of them about 17,000 are Harijan voters. Thus about one-fifth are Harijan voters, the bulk of them being Mahars.

This time in the primary election, Shri Varale (sitting Harijan—Mahar member), Shri Maney (Harijan—Mahar) and Shri Sambrani (Harijan—Mochi, from Dharwar)—these three have come off successful.

The total number of votes polled in the last election in 1937 were as follows:

Belgaum North:

x 36,232	Shri A. B. Latthe	} Congress
x 35,358	Shri N. G. Joshi	
x 31,475	Shri M. P. Patil	
26,516	Shri S. N. Angadi.	
x 21,322	Shri Varale—Harijan-Mahar	
13,177	Shri Karale	
4,169	Shri Sambrani—(Harijan-Mochi)	Congress
2,091	Shri Papanna—(Harijan)	
725	Shri Rava	
216	Shri Channappa Savanur (Harijan)	
1,71,281	Total votes cast.	

Number of voters who actually voted are 42,820.



The mark 'x' denotes those who were elected.

These figures are instructive and we will have to consider all these things before coming to a final conclusion.

Re. : Rail Road Scheme

I have some good news to convey to you. The Valuation Committee visited Bagalkot on the 27th and 28th. But I am glad to inform you that not a single operator submitted his vehicles. If the operators work in this spirit I am sure the scheme will not progress.

Yours sincerely,  
R. R. Diwakar

313

1 January 1946

My dear Diwakar,

I have received your letter of 29 December and I have seen the figures of votes of the last election. According to the figures we have to be careful lest we may not lose the seat, but the difference this time is that the number of voters must have increased and the Congress can easily expect to get a much larger number of votes. However, you are the best judge of the situation.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri R.R. Diwakar  
Hubli

314

TELEGRAM

Dharwar  
5 February 1946

Vallabhbhai Patel  
Chairman Congress  
Parliamentary Board  
Bombay

PLEASE READ MY APPEAL ALREADY EXPLAINED YOU PERSONALLY.  
PLEASE SELECT ME FOR BELGAUM NORTH HARIJAN SEAT.

SAMBRANI

6 February 1946

Dear Mr. Sambrani,

I have received your telegram of the 5th instant. The decision has been taken finally by the Central Board and, therefore, there is no appeal against that decision. In order to compensate you for your loss which you may feel, Mrs. Sambrani has already been given a ticket instead and, therefore, you should now be satisfied. We have done our best to help you.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. S.Y. Sambrani  
Market Road  
Dharwar

## EXTRACT

Hubli  
4 January 1946

My dear Sardar Sahib,

This is to introduce to you Sjt. Mane, B.A., Bar-at-Law of Nipani in the district. He is standing as an independent candidate to the coming provincial elections. Dr. Ambedkar has put up Sjt. Varale as his party's nominee. Varale was a member of the last Assembly. You may be aware that one seat is reserved for the Scheduled Castes in this district.

So far as Sjt. Mane is concerned, I am in a position to say that he is a nationalist and opposed to Dr. Ambedkar's policy. He wants to explain to you personally why he is not standing on the Congress ticket.

Kindly give him a hearing.

Yours sincerely,  
Gangadhar B. Deshpande

9 January 1946

My dear Gangadharrao,

Mr. Mane saw me this morning with your letter. He had also brought another letter from Mr. Latthe. It appears from what he says and what you have written that he should be allowed to contest as an independent candidate, but after election he will sign the Congress pledge and join the party. As you are all of the same opinion and as a large majority of voters are not likely to support him if he takes the Congress ticket, he may be allowed to do so. The other man, Sambrani, will have a sense of grievance and we will have to satisfy him by providing for him somewhere else, either in the municipality or in the local board. After all he is also a loyal Congressman. But I agree on the whole with you that Mr. Mane, who is a Mahar and who commands more influence in that community, should be allowed to stand as an independent candidate.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Gangadharrao Deshpande  
Hubli  
Belgaum

90 Thalakhwadi  
Belgaum  
14 January 1946

Dear Sir,

I hereby appeal to you and the Central Parliamentary Board to consider my application for selection as a candidate for election to the Bombay Assembly. The KPP Board have not yet to my knowledge declared its recommendations, but from all I have come to know, it seems they are determined to drop me out.

As I hope you will remember, I requested for permission to resign my seat in the Assembly in 1940-41 as soon as I found

that I could not conscientiously declare that I would fight all war non-violently. You did not permit when again I found that in my humble view the 1942 movement was not likely to be advantageous, I again applied to the leader of the Congress Assembly Party, Mr. [B.G.] Kher, to permit me to resign in your absence under detention. For these reasons I had no mind to seek the Congress ticket or contest the coming election. But my constituency pressed me, and the Chikodi Taluka Congress workers resolved that I should be a candidate. Then again at a meeting of the principal Congress workers in the district, a committee consisting of Mr. Gangadharrao Deshpande and two others were appointed to select candidates from this district and it was agreed by all the persons present, including the president and secretary of the DCC, that every one should obey the committee's mandate. The committee asked me to apply. It was thus that I was obliged to apply.

There is a degree of opposition to me from those who think that, if elected, I would stand in their way to ministership. A section of Brahmin friends also oppose because they think that I am not as good a Kannadaman as they want. Their two groups, headed by some Lingayat [a caste among Hindus in Karnatak] leaders and Mr. Diwakar, are trying to have my application rejected.

I have nothing to say if you or your Board think that I am unfit to be selected on account of the opinions I hold.

But if you hold that these views do not come in the way of my candidature, I hope the Board will give their best consideration to my application. You know the communal and factious conditions in Karnatak and unaffected by them, I hope the Board will give justice to me.

I must add that even though I held the views stated above I did nothing by way of propaganda or public denunciation against the majority decisions of the AICC and so far as Government was concerned, I maintained complete non-co-operation. I was requested to join two official committees on rural development and food supply. In both cases, I declined. When I was released from detention after about two weeks, all kinds of false rumours spread out. But though they were false and I had not even asked for release, I desisted from defending myself, simply because I knew I would be finding fault with the activities of my Congress friends in defending myself. I think even today that in circumstances like these, this was the best I could do in

justice to my own views and my duty to the organisation of which I was an humble member.

I again repeat that I have no desire to be selected by your Board if it is held that the views I held disqualify me. I only wish the Board not to allow themselves to be influenced by the communal motives or factiousness in this province.

I believe an attempt is being made to create an impression that I am wanting public support. I have stated above why there is this attempt. I would only add that if you permit me to fight the election even against those who are making this attempt, I would show that I shall succeed in winning my seat. I know I am pledged not to fight the election if you do not select me. I won't unless you permit. That is why I would request the Board to permit me to do so to test the correctness of those who are bolstering up an opposition to me. I can prove to any impartial person that the numerous telegrams and letters sent to the KPP Board were got up by a few individuals here and I am willing to accept their challenge, if you permit me to do so.

Yours sincerely,  
A. B. Latthe

319

30 January 1946

My dear Latthe,

I had received your letter of the 14th instant but I was busy at Delhi and therefore I could not send you a reply. You know that the Central Board has referred back the proposals from Belgaum and asked for fresh applications with the extension of time. What the committee will do it is difficult to say but I have already communicated my opinion to Gangadharraoji previously.

There is no question of your not succeeding in the election, but the Congress cannot permit any one to stand independently where it is possible for the Congress to secure success on its own ticket. I appreciate your feelings in the matter but it is difficult to reconcile opposition where prejudices are created on account of peculiar circumstances.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. A.B. Latthe  
90 Thalakwadi  
Belgaum

19 January 1946

Dear Mr. Shah,

I am very glad to learn that you have been released after all. I have been making enquiries about you from Seth Lalchand and Ratanchand often. I hope you have been able to maintain your health and, what is more important, your spirit during these two years.

I note what you write about your application for a seat in the Bombay Assembly and I am sending your letter on to my father at Delhi. I believe it is too late and the decision would perhaps have been taken before I finish writing this. The Karnatak situation is well known to Sardar and Sjt. Shankarrao Deo and N. V. Gadgil are there to advise him also, but my fear is that it is already too late. Your disqualification will have to be removed. I do not know whether you have made any application to Government in the matter. I understand that Mr. Chetty of Construction Co. as he spoke to me two days ago has already wired to you in the matter and as advised by him I hope you have sent a telegram to Delhi.

With kindest regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Dahyabhai Patel

Sjt. M. H. Shah  
Bijapur

8 February 1946

My dear Shah,

I had seen your letter of 17-1-46 addressed to Dahyabhai after your release from detention. Your nomination for the Provincial Assembly on the Congress ticket has been finally approved and in spite of all agitation to the contrary there will be no change in that decision. I hope you will find no difficulty in being returned with a very good majority. When you come

to this side, you must meet me, if I am in Bombay, but there is no hurry. As you are going to be in the Legislative Assembly, you will have many occasions to come to Bombay.

I trust you are doing well.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. M. H. Shah  
Bijapur

322

TELEGRAM

Hubli  
1 February 1946

Vallabhbhai Patel  
Care Congress  
Karachi

DIWAKAR AND MALLYA ASSAULTED AND MANHANDLED IN PARLIAMENTARY BOARD MEETING TODAY BY SOME CONGRESS COMMUNAL-MINDED FANATICS ALLEGING DIWAKAR RESPONSIBLE FOR CHANGING CONGRESS CANDIDATES BY CENTRAL PARLIAMENTARY BOARD. PUBLIC FEEL DEEPLY DISTRESSED.

DR. HARDIKAR

323

6 February 1946

My dear Dr. Hardikar,

I have received your telegram and I am enclosing herewith a copy of my letter to the President of the Karnatak Provincial Congress Committee in the matter. The incident is disgraceful and distressing and I hope the committee will take proper action.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. N. S. Hardikar  
Hubli

## ENCLOSURE

8 February 1946

Dear Friend,

I had read reports about Sjt. Diwakar being assaulted and attacked in the meeting of the Provincial Parliamentary Board held at Hubli on 1 February. On my return from Karachi, I have received the official report of the proceedings of the meeting of which I am enclosing herewith a copy. If such disgraceful incidents are allowed to go unnoticed, public life would be impossible and therefore it is necessary to take serious notice of the conduct of the persons concerned. Perhaps your committee may have already insisted upon action in the matter, but I would be surprised if nothing is done about it. I would advise you to issue notices against all those people asking them to explain their conduct and if the allegations made are proved to be true, they should be expelled from the Congress organisation. Please inform me about the action taken.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

President  
Karnatak PCC  
Hubli

### INCIDENT AT THE MEETING OF THE PARLIAMENTARY BOARD ON 1-2-1946

"Today (1-2-1946) at about 10 a.m. when the Karnatak Provincial Congress Parliamentary Board was conducting its business (in the KPCC office in Hubli), Shris Dundappa Saunshudhi, L. S. Patil, Shantinath Ingale, Basawanappa Sanikopp Itagi and Shri Yajaman Shantarudrappa [of] Bellary, altogether about 20 to 25 persons, effected their entrance into the meeting hall without obtaining the permission of the president of the meeting.

2. The Board had met to discuss some important matters. Some of these people asked the president to give them some time to make representations. The president told them that the previous day sufficient time had been given to them to do so, but yet as the Board was engaged in serious deliberations, they could see them some time in the afternoon. In reply to that they said that they wanted to represent certain more important matters and persisted that they should be given an opportunity to do so then and there.



The president said that their attitude was quite inconsistent with the decorum and discipline of the meeting, still they insisted on their demand. The president also said that under the circumstances he would have to adjourn the meeting, to which they said that they would not allow him to do so. Then the president said that if they did not go out he would be obliged to leave the place. While the president stood up and was about to leave the place some one of the persons forcibly tried to hold him down. Some other person took the office files in his hands and was about to go out. One Shantarudrappa Yajaman of Bellary gave a blow on the head of Shri Diwakar with his umbrella. On learning about this, persons who were in the hall came in and restored order by asking them to go out.

3. As these unpleasant things happened while the meeting was going on it was thought necessary to record the same in the proceedings book."

324

Congress Bhavan

Poona

5 February 1946

Dear Sardar Sahib,

We recommend Mr. Amiralli for the Kolaba district Muslim seat on the Congress ticket. The district Congress workers are agreeable to the proposal. Please sanction his nomination at the earliest and oblige.

Yours sincerely,  
T. R. Deogirikar

325

7 February 1946

My dear Deogirikar,

I have received your letter of the 5th instant.

Your recommendation of Mr. Amiralli for the Kolaba district Muslim seat as Congress nominee has been approved. You may inform the candidate accordingly.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. T. R. Deogirikar  
Maharashtra Provincial Congress Committee  
Poona

Bombay Provincial Congress Committee  
Bombay

9 February 1946

My dear Sardar Sahib,

The Provincial Election Board of the BPCC has unanimously made the following recommendations in regard to the three constituencies which were left out.

(1) Mr. M. Y. Nurie for the textile labour constituency of Bombay.

(2) For the Indian Christian constituency of Bombay, the Board is of opinion that the Congress should not officially nominate a candidate of its own. Dr. Jos Alban D'Souza is standing as an independent candidate in this constituency. During the past several years Dr. D'Souza has maintained very friendly relations with the Congress in Bombay. He was elected Mayor of Bombay last year purely on Congress vote. He has amply justified his election. There would be nothing wrong in recommending him for support to the nationalist elements in the Christian constituency. Our board is of the opinion that if necessary and if it helps the election of Dr. D'Souza, the Congress should publicly advise the Nationalist Christians to vote for Dr. D'Souza although he is running on the independent ticket.

(3) As regards the millowners' constituency, we are told that Sir Sorab Saklatvala is being favoured by the Committee of the Millowners' Association. It is not possible to run a Congress candidate in this constituency. The board, therefore, does not wish to make any recommendation as regards this constituency.

(4) The Board examined the position of the two seats in the railways constituency. Looking to the election of delegates, which took place only yesterday, the board is of opinion that only one Congress candidate can be returned from this constituency. The board, therefore, recommends that no other candidate should be run in this constituency.

Yours sincerely,  
S. K. Patil  
General Secretary

327

11 February 1946

Dear Friend,

Your letter of the 9th instant has been received.

(1) Your recommendation of Sjt. M.Y. Nurie for the textile labour constituency has been approved.

(2) Your proposal not to put any Congress candidate officially for the Indian Christian constituency of Bombay and to allow Dr. Jos Alban D'Souza as an independent candidate in this constituency has also been accepted.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Secretary  
Bombay Provincial Congress Committee  
Bombay

328

Congress Bhavan  
Poona  
10 February 1946

Dear Sardar Sahib,

We are recommending Sjt. I. N. Hakim as a candidate to contest the reserved seat for Mohamedans in Satara district on behalf of the Congress. Kindly send your sanction.

Yours sincerely,  
T. R. Deogirakar

329

11 February 1946

My dear Deogirakar,

I have received your letter of the 10th instant. Your recommendation of Sjt. I.N. Hakim to be nominated as Congress

candidate for the Mohamedan constituency in Satara district has been approved and the candidate may be informed accordingly.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. T. R. Deogirikar  
Congress Bhavan  
Poona

330

Belgaum  
10 February 1946

My dear Sardar Sahib,

As I said in my telegram yesterday, I am very grateful to you for selecting me and putting me in the Northern Division of this district.

My first thought on hearing of my being put in the Southern Division was that it did not matter much, though I knew N. D. was easier. During the last two days, however, I have changed my view. I know now that some of our own workers have gone the length of instigating anti-Congress Lingayats to put up an independent candidate in S. D. and make my task very difficult. This is sad, but new experience has made me wish to avoid the serious risks of contesting in the South.

I hope by now you have come to know how far malice has gone in Karnataka and you will not disbelieve me when I tell you that in the predominantly Lingayat South Belgaum, there would be much malicious opposition to me, and possibly some treachery as well.

One word more. For long, I did not wish to contest this election. But the decision of Gangadhar Rao Samiti, proposed by my opponents themselves, put me under a moral obligation and I had to apply. I need not recount what followed. I feel sincerely grateful for what you did, which saved me from a very annoying situation. That is why I am so grateful.

Yours sincerely,  
A. B. Latthe

13 February 1946

My dear Latthe,

Thanks for your telegram and also your letter of the 10th instant. Karnatak is a difficult province and people there are easily moved by passion. There is very little tolerance amongst public workers. In the disposal of the election of candidates, the little province of Karnatak has given us more trouble than the biggest one in India.

Gangadhar Raoji was more anxious for your going to the legislature and he has worked hard for it against many odds. Perhaps your inclination to stand aside would have given you more peace than your going in. Let us hope that you will be more helpful to straighten affairs in Karnatak or at least keep the Karnatak Assembly Party in order. It is a crowd of irreconcilables and people of incompatible temperament. It is difficult to work with such people.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbbhai Patel

Sjt. A. B. Latthe  
Belgaum

11 February 1946

Dear Friend,

In continuation of my letter of the 29th ultimo, I have to inform you that in consultation with the Bombay Provincial Congress Committee, the Central Board has decided to allow you to stand as an independent candidate from the Indian Christians constituency of Bombay, and not to put any official candidate of the Congress there, in view of the fact that there is a possibility of some embarrassment being [caused] to you, in case of our insistence on your accepting the Congress ticket. You have always in the past stood by the Congress in the Corporation, particularly since your being elected as Mayor and in view of

your general pro-Congress' attitude, it has been decided to make this concession in your favour in this constituency.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. Jos Alban D'Souza  
Mayor of Bombay  
Bombay

• 333

TELEGRAM

Ycetmal  
13 February 1946

Sardar Vallabhbhai  
Congress House  
Bombay

AGRICULTURISTS OF BERAR MOST DISAPPOINTED AT REJECTION DOCTOR PANJABRAO DESHMUKH'S NAME ELLICHPUR DARYAPUR CONSTITUENCY. VITAL OBJECTIONS GOVINDRAO'S NOMINATION. EARN-ESTLY PRAY RECONSIDERATION AND REINSTATEMENT DOCTOR DESH-MUKH'S NAME. DEPUTATION PRAYS TIME WAITING ON YOU BEFORE DECIDING. REVISIONS FILED. PLEASE REPLY.

NARAYAN BALAJI PATIL  
YEOTMAL

CHAPTER VI  
PUNJAB—FACTIONS GALORE

334 .  
TELEGRAM

Lahore  
2 November 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Poona

LETTER SENT THROUGH GHAZNAVI. NO ANSWER. DESIRE STAND  
PUNJAB ASSEMBLY LAHORE SEAT. YOUR SUPPORT ESSENTIAL. CAN  
COME PERSONALLY IF INTERVIEW NECESSARY. WIRE.

MRS. ZUTSHI

335  
TELEGRAM

Poona  
4 November 1945

Mrs. Zutshi  
Care Congress  
Lahore

YOUR LETTER THROUGH MAULANA GHAZNAVI RECEIVED. CAN  
GIVE NO PROMISE IN ADVANCE. SELECTION LARGELY DEPENDS  
UPON PROVINCIAL RECOMMENDATION.

VALLABHBHAI

## EXTRACT

6-B Kapurthala House  
Lake Road  
Lahore  
5 November 1945

Dear Sardar Patelji,

I have duly put in my application for the Congress ticket for the Punjab University constituency from which I was returned to the Senate, as a Congressman in March 1940, as well as in March 1945. I had the unique distinction of having Gandhiji's blessings both in 1940 and 1945. The University constituency is a joint constituency and out of 1,600 voters, Muslims are less than 300.

It is difficult to anticipate precisely the decision of the Punjab Provincial Congress Committee regarding my application. But what I have gathered so far is that the PPCC will not recommend my name for the University constituency; the reasons that will influence their decision are as follows:

(1) After the volte-face of Mr. Abdul Qayum and the somersault of Mian Iftikhar-ud-Din, the sincerity of almost every nationalist Muslim in this part of the country is being questioned and his bona fides being impugned.

(2) In case the Congress ticket is given to a Nationalist Muslim for a mixed constituency like the University, the Mahasabhaitees and others may kick up a row and their propaganda may spoil the chances of some of the Congress candidates for the general constituencies.

(3) There being two distinct Congress groups in the Punjab, a neutral person like the writer of these lines will be altogether ignored, when a bargain is to be made between the two rival sections, about the distribution of seats.

Yours sincerely,  
(Prof.) Abdul Majid Khan



Poona  
12 November 1945

My dear Prof. Abdul Majid,

Your letter of the 5th instant regarding your proposal for Congress ticket for the Provincial Assembly has been duly received. If I had my choice I would certainly, without a moment's hesitation, sanction your proposal straightaway.

About your fitness for selection there can be no two opinions. You have been a staunch Congressman all through your career and have always stood for unadulterated nationalism. As far as my own views in the matter of this selection are concerned my weight will be entirely in your favour, but I am not in a position to say what view my Board will take at this stage. The proposals from the provinces will be first scrutinised by the provincial selection board and will be submitted here with their recommendations. The Central Board will then meet and decide finally. There may be a number of considerations which may influence the judgment of the Board, but if they feel that your selection is likely to result in the losing of a seat in that constituency they may prefer another, in which case I have no doubt you will accept the decision of the Board willingly as a loyal Congressman and support whoever is selected as the candidate. More than this I cannot say at this stage.

You perhaps remember that I was also present when you last met Bapu at Simla.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Prof. Abdul Majid Khan  
Lahore

Poona

11 November 1945

My dear Gopichand,

Prof. Abdul Majid Khan has applied for the Congress ticket for the Punjab University constituency from which he has been returned to the Senate as a Congressman in March 1940 as well as in 1945. This is a joint constituency where out of 1,600 votes there are less than 300 Muslim votes. It would indeed be a great gesture to the minority community and vindication of the principle of joint electorate if the Congress would return a Muslim Congressman from this constituency where the Hindu voters are in a very large majority.

I do not know what would be the reaction of your committee in this matter, but I should like to know your own view about this case. His proposal is still under consideration by your committee, but he has sent me a copy in advance.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. Gopichand Bhargava  
Lahore

PS.

You can also consult Maulana Daud Ghaznavi and let me know what his own reaction in the matter is.

17 Jail Road

Lahore

13 December 1945

My dear Sardarji,

I am so much obliged to you for the honour you have conferred upon me by nominating me to contest the Lahore City (General) constituency of the Punjab Legislative Assembly. I hope, by the grace of God, I shall continue to enjoy your confidence. As it happens, there has been no suitable opportunity for

my coming in closer contact with you. I shall consider it my privilege to be afforded greater opportunities of personal contact with you in so far as they may be considered to be in the interest of the public life of my province.

With best regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Bhim Sen Sachar

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Birla Park  
Calcutta

340

25 December 1945

My dear Bhim Sen,

I have received your letter of the 13th inst. We would indeed be very glad to be of any help to your province and to have greater opportunities' of personal contact with you so that you may be able to understand us better and also be able to render greater service to your province. Unfortunately, the Punjab has been divided into groups and factions of a very bitter type and hardly two men trust each other. All sacrifices of the people of the Punjab end in nothing on account of the disunity, distrust and consequent demoralisation. The two provinces that have suffered from these maladies are the Punjab and Bengal. I have noticed that you have been trying to steer clear of parties, but that is not enough. It may give you peace of mind but individual peace is of no consequence when we see all round our work being undone by our colleagues and comrades. I do not mean to attach any blame to you for your keeping aloof from parties; that is the least that is expected from good Congressmen. But unless there is someone who can assert and enforce his will without caring for the displeasure of those who are in the wrong, no progress can be made.

I am distressed to find that even good Congressmen are not united in the Punjab. It is said here that no settlement has been reached on any question affecting the elections in the Punjab. Instead of this muddle at this critical juncture if we could secure a big group in the new Assembly which cannot be ignored it would be something; otherwise all the sacrifices of the past three years, the enthusiasm of the people and the awakening of the masses

will result in nothing, and the energy and the expenses of all of you will in the end be wasted. Punjab is a key province. Can nothing be done to make Congress workers realise their sense of responsibility at this critical period?

Is there any danger about the University seat from where Dr. Gopichand has agreed to stand or shall we get it without much difficulty?

What about the commerce constituency? Will our candidate win or is there any danger?

I notice that the Akalis are on the war path and no settlement has been reached. Shall we be able to secure all the non-Muslim seats for which we have given Congress tickets? What is the position and strength according to your calculation of the Unionist Party and what is your estimate about the strength of the League? How many Independent Muslims will be returned and how many Congress Muslims will be returned in the elections? Please send me a full report on all these questions.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sri Bhim Sen Sachar  
Lahore

341

30 December 1945

My dear Bhim Sen,

I have received your letter of 24 December. I understand from Sjt. Sarat Chandra Bose that he is coming to your province for a few days, and I hope that his visit will be helpful in creating an atmosphere in favour of the Congress so far as the elections are concerned. It would be unfortunate if his visit results in accentuating party differences. I am afraid that some people there may try to exploit this occasion for party purposes.

The Congress there would be greatly discredited if we are to lose in the non-Muslim General constituencies anywhere, and particularly if we lose in the Commerce and University constituencies. The labour seat, where you have put your own president as a candidate, must also be won.

The Punjab is a prosperous province and a key province of Pakistan. It should not be difficult for the Congress to raise

any amount of money for this all-important issue, which affects the Punjab most, but if in spite of this you and your colleagues feel that some help from outside is necessary, I should do my best to help you.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Bhim Sen Sachar  
Lahore

342

Lahore  
2 January 1946

My dear Sardarji,

Many thanks for your letters of 25 and 30 December 1945. I am sorry for the delay in replying to your letter of the 25th ultimo earlier. I have wired you today explaining that the delay has been due to my absence from station in connection with the Assembly elections.

I do not want to add to your distress by joining my observations with yours on the state of things prevailing in the Punjab. As a Punjabi I am thoroughly ashamed of it. All that I can say is that my humble services are unreservedly at your disposal and I shall consider it my great privilege to be able to comply with your instructions which, I request, you should be good enough to give to me from time to time. I shall make every endeavour to live up to them.

No doubt some nominations of Congress candidates are being very adversely commented upon by the public, but to my mind this is not the time to go into their merits or demerits. At present our only concern is to secure the largest Congress successes. My appreciation of the situation is that if we have sufficient funds at our disposal we may be able to annex extra six or seven seats. As it is, given proper transport facilities, for which again funds are necessary, we expect to capture the following seats:

(a) General seats . . . 33

The total number of general seats is 43. The losses represent Scheduled Caste seats and Hindu seats from Ambala Division and Kangra district.

(b) The estimate of Sikh seats varies from six to seven. It is urged that if properly financed two or three extra seats can be won.

(c) The Congress may hardly get two Muslim seats.

(d) From the special seats we expect to secure the University and the Commerce seats. We are experiencing sufficient difficulties in these seats, but I expect we shall ultimately succeed by the grace of God.

(e) Nothing can as yet be said with certainty about the Labour seats. The chances are not without hope.

Some think we may capture one of the Landlord seats also, although Dr. Gopichand takes the opposite view.

Some think the Muslim League may capture even 50 seats but the general opinion is that the Muslim League's strength may be somewhere in the neighbourhood of 40 seats. I am given to understand that if Ahrars are properly financed they may secure 2 or 3 Muslim seats. This will, therefore, not leave more than about 40 Muslim seats for the Unionists.

Although, as I have written to you before, we are trying to raise funds locally; it appears to me and to other friends that financial aid [from] your end is absolutely unavoidable. The transport arrangements cost a lot. You may, therefore, kindly convey to the provincial president the amount that you would be good enough to place at the disposal of the Provincial Congress Committee with full instructions as to the manner in which the money should be utilised. We are racing against time. If there were sufficient time at our disposal and a proper election campaign could have been organised, we should not have stood in need of your help to the extent that we do now. It is expected that the visit of Sjt. Sarat Chandra Bose will be utilised for raising some funds for the elections.

With respects,

Yours sincerely,  
Bhim Sen Sachar

343

8 January 1946

My dear Bhim Sen,

I have your letter this morning. I had a long talk with Seth Sudarshan before I got your letter. You will learn everything from him about what I have to say, and therefore I will not write anything in this letter as I am hard-pressed for time also. It would be a misfortune if you, Dr. Gopichand and Maulana Daud would

fail to act with one mind and one voice at this critical period in the history of our country. The Punjab holds the key to the future of India and you three have to play a most important role in that stage at this hour. May I expect you all to forget personal prejudices and have a frank and open talk so that you can come to one common plan of action in order that we may be able to [play] our cards well? If you make a joint demand endorsed by all the three, I will not question your judgment or hesitate to help you. I shall only expect you to consider that I have to meet with the requirements of the whole country. Therefore, don't ask me to give you anything which may not be required and see that the money is spent carefully and with proper economy.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Bhim Sen Sachar  
Lahore

344

11 January 1946

My dear Bhim Sen,

I am awaiting a reply to my letter sent through Seth Sudarshan. I trust you three have discussed my suggestion and come to some reasonable solution. It would be a pity if in this crisis you three would not agree and leave me without any proper guidance. I am anxious to help you all to the best of my ability and I see no difficulty in doing so, if you all agree. From the 16th onwards, for more than a week, I will be in Delhi.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Bhim Sen Sachar  
Lahore

345

27 November 1945

My dear Duni Chand,

I have received your letter of the 24th inst. Maulana Mohd. Yasin met me in Bombay at the time of the AICC meeting and we had a long talk about the Punjab situation. He was president

of the Punjab Provincial Congress Workers' Assembly and must therefore be having considerable influence, but his selection as a candidate for the Provincial Assembly and his choice for a constituency can be made by the Central Board and by himself respectively. It is not open for me to do so. You are aware that the meeting of the Central Board has been fixed by the President at Calcutta on the 4th, when the Punjab selections are to be considered. It would be improper for me to suggest anything for which I may not be able to secure approval.

In the past attempts have been made by fanatic Muslims and other interested persons on my life, but I have escaped by the grace of Providence, while, instead of me, some other persons have been killed. To take the life of any person is not in the hands of an individual or a group of persons. It rests with God only. If my work is finished in this world and am wanted elsewhere my life will end but it will be only in the manner in which it is destined to end and in no other way. However, I must thank you for your caution.

I have got your letter to Sjt. Asaf Ali, who has forwarded it to me. It will be placed before the Board.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Lala Duni Chand  
Advocate  
Kripa Nivas Cottage  
Ambala City

346

TELEGRAM

Calcutta  
20 December 1945

Sardar Patel  
Care Congress  
Bombay

**DISTRESSED TO LEARN SARDAR SARDUL SINGH DID NOT RECEIVE CONGRESS NOMINATION. SEEK YOUR INTERVENTION.**

**SARATBOSE**



347

TELEGRAM

22 December 1945

Sarat Bose  
Woodburn Park  
Calcutta

YOUR WIRE. BOARD GAVE FULL AUTHORITY TO MAULANA DAUD FOR  
SIKH NOMINATIONS. HAVE WIRED ACCOMMODATE IF POSSIBLE.

VALLABHBHAI

348

TELEGRAM

Calcutta  
23 December 1945

Sardar Patel  
Care Congress  
Bombay

FAILED TO GET YOU PHONE LAST NIGHT THIS MORNING. SHALL TRY  
AGAIN TONIGHT. UNDERSTAND SARDAR INDER SINGH MILLOWNER  
CAWNPORE [now Kanpur] WITH NO RECORD PUBLIC WORK PRE-  
FERRED BY PUNJAB CONGRESS TO SARDUL SINGH. KINDLY RECTIFY  
WRONG DONE TO SARDUL SINGH.

SARATBOSE

349

Calcutta  
23 December 1945

My dear Sardar,

I am enclosing herewith the relevant portion of Shri Ramrup  
Sharma's letter to me dated the 18th inst. which was to hand  
yesterday.

I could never anticipate that Sardar Sardul Singh would  
be refused Congress nomination and that a gentleman who has

never lived in the Punjab' and had no record of public work to his credit, would be preferred by the Punjab Congress. If you can do anything to rectify the wrong done to Sardar Sardul Singh, I shall feel grateful.

Your telephone call yesterday came at a time when I was out. I tried to get you on the phone last night and also this morning but did not succeed. Fortunately, Nathalal got me on the phone last night and I told him that I would request Sjt. Suresh Chandra Majumdar [proprietor of Hindusthan Standard and Ananda Bazar Patrika, Calcutta] not to publish in his papers messages regarding the so-called contest for leadership of the Opposition of the Central Assembly. I spoke to Suresh Babu last night and he told me that he would give my message to the editors of his papers.

I hope you are improving steadily.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Sarat Chandra Bose

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

#### ENCLOSURE

1 Mission Road  
Lahore  
18 December 1945

My dear Sarat Babu Sahib,

I regret to inform you that this time again [Sardul Singh] Caveeshar has been refused the Congress ticket and one S. Inder Singh, millowner, Cawnpur, who has never lived in the Punjab and is a UP man, has been given the Congress ticket. This gentleman has no public work to his credit. In the first instance he wrote to Master Tara Singh seeking the Panthic<sup>1</sup> ticket but when this was refused he applied for the Congress ticket. For the Central Assembly seat S. Sant Singh was given preference over Caveesharji and nominated Congress candidate. S. Sant Singh was never a Congressman. He also applied for the Panthic ticket and when refused he applied for the Congress ticket which was given to him and the Congress lost the seat.

If tried Congressmen are put up against Panthic nominees one can understand but when millowners and millionaires are allowed to put on the

<sup>1</sup> One devoted to cause of Sikh faith

Congress label, as has been done in the case of S. Inder Singh, Sikhs naturally feel that the Congress is putting up a fight not because they have better candidates but because they want to create friction amongst the Sikhs. This feeling is getting stronger and naturally Congress prestige suffers.

I know you are busy with your Bengal affairs but situated as we are, we naturally look up to you for help and guidance.

I have sent a telegram to Caveeshar Sahib intimating to him about the rejection of his application. He is alone in a small jail in the hills. You can imagine his feelings.

Yours sincerely,  
Ramrup Sharma

350

## TELEGRAM

Lyallpur  
22 December 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Congress Parliamentary Board  
Bombay

DISINTEGRATION AMONGST AKALIS STARTED. THEY STAND THOROUGHLY EXPOSED. PLEASE DECLINE ADJUSTMENT. LETTER FOLLOWS.

SANTSINGH

351

26 December 1945

My dear Sarat,

I have received your letter of the 23rd inst. I am enclosing herewith a copy of a reply received by me from Maulana Daud Ghaznavi who was requested to accommodate [Sardul Singh] Caveeshar for the provincial seat. I tried my best but as I had expected I did not succeed. I am expecting a progress report from you since I left regarding the Provincial Assembly elections. I trust that things are going on well.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Sarat Chandra Bose  
Calcutta

23 December 1945

My dear Maulana Sahib,

You know that the negotiations between the Congress and the Akalis have failed. Sardar Baldev Singh has issued a statement vigorously attacking the Punjab Congress organisation for the failure and for some of the nominations made by them. I am enclosing herewith a Press cutting for your perusal.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

### ENCLOSURE

#### EXTRACT

Defining the attitude of the Sikhs, he said that the Sikhs would not tolerate the representative character of anyone regarding the Sikh seats unless we were satisfied that Sikh interest would be protected with the same zeal as the larger national interests. "The Sikhs have repeatedly made it clear that they stand for the freedom and integrity of India; they stood with the Congress in the past and will continue to do so. Who in his senses doubts this prevailing and unanimous Sikh sentiment?"

353

#### TELEGRAM

Lahore  
30 December 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Powerfarm  
Bombay

UNIONISTS AKALIS LEAGUERS COMMUNISTS VEHEMENTLY OPPOSING  
CONGRESS CANDIDATES. REQUEST YOU ASK PANDIT JAWAHARLAL  
TOUR PUNJAB SECOND OR THIRD WEEK JANUARY. PLEASE WIRE.

M. DAUDGHAZNAVI

2 January 1946

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter of 30 December. We have no knowledge of any talk of compromise with the Akalis to which you refer in your letter. You say that you will be able to defeat Sardar Baldev Singh, but you do not say anything about how many Sikh seats will be secured by the Congress. That really would be a test of Congress strength amongst the Sikhs. Defeat of a single individual would not solve our problem nor should it be regarded as a great victory. We have nothing personal against any individual. We are fighting for a cause for which we want to win all sections of people on our side.

I regret I am not yet strong enough to come there. I would advise Congressmen in the Punjab to forget petty differences and face big issues with large mindedness and stout heart. There should be no quarrels or parties or factions amongst Congressmen in the Punjab, which is the key province and which holds the future of India in the balance. We must all unite and fight the forces of reaction and disintegration.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Dila Ram  
Secretary, Tehsil Congress Committee  
Rupar

## EXTRACTS

Bradlaugh Hall  
Lahore  
4 January 1946

My dear Sardar Sahib,

Both the Unionists and the Akalis are struggling to make use of the Congress in order to achieve power for themselves. Their main interest is not, and never has been, to assist the

Congress to down the Muslim League, but to achieve power even at the expense of the Congress.

I as the custodian of the honour and prestige of the Congress in this province cannot and will not allow the Congress to be made a pawn in this game of power politics.

I now proceed to deal with the matters mentioned in your letter detail by detail. It is the first time through your letter that I learnt that Baldev Singh offered us half the seats. Whenever Baldev Singh spoke to me either in your presence or in the presence of Dr. Gopichand or whenever any message was given to me on his behalf either by his secretary [B.S.] Gilani or by Dr. Gopichand, at no time was an offer of half the Sikh seats made to us. Baldev Singh has always said only this: "Let us see who have the best chance and choose accordingly." But whenever the question of our best candidates cropped up, none of them, strangely enough, in his opinion had a chance.

You will recall that in Calcutta we were given a mandate by you to settle with the Akalis on the basis that they would not put up their candidates against us in 16 or 17 seats. When I and Dr. Gopichand on our return met Baldev Singh on two or three occasions, after difficult arguments, he agreed to this proposal which fell short of your mandate but in the interest of unity we accepted it. The proposal was:

- (i) that we would not be opposed by the Akalis in ten constituencies;
- (ii) that the Congress would not oppose the Akalis in ten constituencies;
- (iii) that we would have a contest in five seats between Congress and Akalis;
- (iv) that in 7 seats the Congress would not oppose the Akalis because they thought that the Congress had no strong candidates to put up in these seats.

We were ready to accept even this but when the negotiations were nearing completion, in the presence of Dr. Gopichand, Sohan Singh, Jalal-Usman a lieutenant of Master Tara Singh, got up and opposed this and Baldev Singh was unable to carry it through with the Akalis.

Subsequently we came to the following agreement with Baldev Singh. Some 16 or 17 Communists are contesting Sikh seats, out of which four are serious candidates. We agreed that the Akalis should contest two seats against the Communists and we would

put up no candidates and that we should contest the two other Communist seats and that the Akalis would not put up their candidates for these two seats. This agreement was accepted by the Akalis and was brought into action.

We have now declared 23 Sikh candidates and 3 more names will be announced, if favourable. Again there is a move by the Akalis offering six or seven seats only in which they will withdraw their candidates stating that in return we should withdraw all our candidates from all our seats. These six or seven include those two seats in which we are fighting the Communists. This is no settlement at all. Sikh Nationalist Congressmen are adamant and declare that nowadays there can be no compromise. Their honour is at stake. Four weeks remain for the polling and at this stage to talk of letting our nationalist friends down is to commit suicide.

In regard to the Hindu seats, the total is 35. Out of this 11 rural seats belong to Ambala, four rural to Kangra and two rural to Hoshiarpur which are known as Jat or Rajput seats. The candidates nominated to these seats are those whose nomination was agreed to by Dr. Gopichand as also by all other parties except in one case. It is here that we have our main contest with the Unionists.

Finally there are eight scheduled caste seats. By a resolution of the election board Dr. Gopichand was given the sole authority to make nominations to these seats and although the elections are far advanced he has made announcements in respect of only four persons so far, one seat having been lost to the Unionists already by carelessness. We have pending applications from the other three Harijan seats and I am sure if funds are provided we can win all these Harijan seats.

Originally we had 15 Muslim candidates and we dropped four as we did not want to press weak candidates. Out of the remaining 11, eight are fairly strong and I have a strong feeling that six of our men must win provided we can give them adequate funds.

Let me here make it clear that the advice given by Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru was to the effect that we must put up candidates everywhere in order that we should be able to create a favourable atmosphere for our propaganda.

It is not by adjusting a seat here with the Akalis or a seat there with the Unionists that we could carry the flag of the Congress to victory in the province.

You will agree with me that it is wrong that any responsible worker should send misleading reports and not even inform me of what he is doing.

Yours sincerely,  
M. Daud Ghaznavi  
President  
PP Congress Committee, Lahore

356

8 January 1946

My dear Maulana Sahib,

I have received your letter of the 4th instant through Seth Sudarshan. You are under a misapprehension in believing that my last letter to you was based upon information supplied to me by Dr. Gopichand. The fact is that I have received several letters from various sources in the Punjab, both Congress and non-Congress. My letter contained the substance of all this information and the undercurrent of all these sources was practically uniform.

I have explained my position fully to Seth Sudarshan. Mr. Gilani came here at the time when Sudarshan was here and so they had also a frank talk in my presence.

I had a letter from Mr. Bhim Sen Sachar and his opinion about the Punjab situation did not materially differ from that given by Dr. Gopichand. Maulvi Gani also met me here three days ago and he also practically supported the same view. He asked for financial help and he said that all that was wanted was Rs. 2 lakhs and if that was given we would get 51 seats. He did not know that I had sent Rs. 1 lakh previously. When Mr. Bhim Sen phoned to me, it was for the first time that I learnt about a much bigger demand. It was further improved upon in the budget which Seth Sudarshan brought to me. Dr. Gopichand's estimate almost tallied with Maulvi Gani's demand. It is difficult for me to act under these conflicting demands, but if you, Mr. Bhim Sen and Dr. Gopichand sit together and frame a common demand in agreement endorsed by all the three, I will have no hesitation in meeting your requirements.

If the proposals for a settlement with the Akalis, which is being discussed by Seth Sudarshan and Mr. Gilani, result in some agreement, it may result in a substantial reduction of your estimate.



It must be clearly understood that I will do my best to help you provided you help me in setting matters straight there. As things have been explained to Seth Sudarshan in detail, I will not write all that I have to say in this letter, but if things go wrong there, the responsibility will not be mine but will have to be shared fully by all of you there.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana M. Daud Ghaznavi  
President  
Punjab PCC

357

5 January 1946

My dear Maulana Sahib,

I invite your attention to a complaint received from Sardar Ajit Singh of Amritsar, of which a copy is enclosed herewith. Similar complaints have been received against the selection of Sardar Inder Singh signed by various people, including the United Provinces Sikh Association.

Maulvi Gani of Ludhiana saw me twice during the last two-three days. He wants me to give financial help for the Punjab and I have received your telegram today intimating that Seth Sudarshan is coming for that purpose. You must have received my letter sent through a messenger and I am awaiting your reply.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Daud Ghaznavi  
Lahore

## ENCLOSURE

Bagh Rama Nand  
Amritsar  
29 December 1945

Dear Sardar Patel,

Before coming to the point I wish to introduce myself to you. I am an old worker of the Congress from my boyhood when I was only 16 years old. I have been to jail for the Congress cause during my teens and am still a true soldier of the Congress. I am a voter of the Punjab Legislative Assembly and have a large following of voters.

The constituency to which I belong has been offered by the Punjab Congress to S. Inder Singh of Cawnpore, a capitalist and millowner. So far as I know he has not moved his little finger for the cause of the Congress or the country and one fails to understand why such a man has been given the Congress ticket ignoring the claims of men like Sardul Singh Caveshar and S. Bhag Singh who have dedicated their lives to the service of the country and have glorious records of sacrifices and sufferings to their credit. We voters who are pledged to the Congress cause feel rather reluctant to cast our votes for this Congress candidate.

Similar is the case of Dr. Saif-ud-Din Kitchlew, the well-known Congress worker in the Punjab, whose claims have been ignored by the Congress President and who himself has taken the ticket for the seat originally promised to Dr. Kitchlew. The whole Congress organisation of the Punjab has become totally rotten due to unbridgeable party friction amongst the office-bearers and requires immediate weeding out. We voters are now in a fix, specially in the case of S. Inder Singh, Congress candidate, while on the other hand Congress discipline demands from us the unconditional support to the Congress candidate. Similar may be the case of voters in most of the constituencies of the Punjab. Truly speaking the Punjab Congress is a blot on the fair name of the grand organisation of the All India Congress.

We seek your valuable advice in the matter and hope you will not withhold the same from us and oblige us at your earliest convenience.

It is being openly talked in the bazar that Congress tickets are being sold to capitalists in the Punjab.

Yours truly,  
Ajit Singh

5 January 1946

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter of 29 December today. The selection of Sikh candidates was entrusted to the Punjab Congress president, Maulana Daud, and we know nothing about the selection of Sardar Inder Singh from there. You must approach the Punjab election board for this purpose, as it is too late for us to interfere in this matter at this late stage.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sardar Ajit Singh  
Amritsar

Bindhachal  
6 January 1946

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I had not seen the statement of Sardar Baldev Singh which you have sent to me. We had directed Ghaznavi in Calcutta that he along with Dr. Gopichand and Pratap Singh [Kairon] should negotiate with the Akalis. He has informed me that no acceptable settlement is possible. However, if you consider that it would be better to reach a settlement, it can be possible even now. This can be done by withdrawing the Congress Sikh candidates against whom they may have an objection, and thus end the mutual opposition against the remaining candidates. I am sending a letter to Lahore and if Baldev Singh is in communication with you, you may tell him what you consider best. I will fully agree with your view.

Yours sincerely,  
A.K. Azad

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

Bombay  
10 January 1946

My dear Maulana Sahib,

I have received your letter of the 6th instant. In my previous letter I had informed you that there is a possibility of the Sikh question in the Punjab being solved by an amicable settlement if the parties there agree on the tentative arrangement settled between Seth Sudarshan and Mr. Gilani here. I am awaiting the result on their report. Perhaps you will hear about it at Delhi.

Mr. Gilani had brought a letter from Baldev Singh to me, but as Seth Sudarshan was present, I asked him to discuss the whole question with Gilani and come to some understanding, if possible. He has done so, and so I had to make no suggestion.

The most important question, so far as the Punjab is concerned, is the determination of our attitude towards the Unionist Party, because soon after the elections are over there will be no time left to settle this matter. The Congress in the Punjab is not united and as there is no responsible men there who can settle this matter, we must do so at Delhi when we meet.

I have received your telegram today fixing the 16th as the date of the board's meeting and I am reaching Delhi on that day at about 11-30 a.m. by air from Ahmedabad. I am staying with the Birlas there.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Bindhachal

8 January 1946

My dear Gopichand,

You know that Seth Sudarshan had come here with a letter from Maulana Daud particularly with the object of securing financial help. The budget that he has sent is about six lakhs of rupees. You must have known about it by this time. Mr. Bhim Sen Sachar had telephoned to me before Sudarshan was sent, suggesting that they wanted five lakhs of rupees. I had told him then that his demand had come as a surprise to me. I had also told him that I had written a letter to you and to Maulana Daud. It was also suggested by me that you three should meet together and come to some adjustment and make a common demand, so that I may be able to help.

Gilani has come here also. He and Sudarshan had a discussion in my presence. They have, after a good deal of discussion, come to a tentative agreement about the Sikh constituencies, and if this agreement is adopted there, perhaps much of the trouble would disappear. I have written to Sardar Baldev Singh, and I am enclosing herewith copies of my letters to Maulana Daud and to Sardar Baldev Singh and Bhim Sen Sachar for your information. If your attitude would be helpful and all of you think that this is a good workable arrangement, it should be allowed to go through.

I am distressed to find that you are being isolated, i.e. you are losing your friends one after another and you are not frank with them, nor are they frank with you. Seth Sudarshan was your man. I find that there is a distance between him and you. You cannot afford to lose your men like this. However, these are matters on which we can talk when we meet.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. Gopichand Bhargava  
Lahore

1 Bromhead Road  
Lahore  
5 January 1946

My dear Sardar Sahib, .

I have written to you a separate letter today giving some details of the activities of local Congressmen which have created a most unfortunate situation in this province. Here I would like to make a few observations on matters which Malik Khizr Hyat and I have discussed:

1. Owing to growing complications within the local Congress ranks—complications which have prevented any possibility of mutual confidence between Malik Sahib and local Congress leaders—it has not been possible to arrive at any arrangement for post-election co-operation as between the Congress Party and Malik Sahib's party. This is most unfortunate even for election purposes. The position today is that, contrary to the understanding given by Maulana Azad, the local Congress is fighting the elections without any consideration for either the Unionist candidates or Sikh candidates.

2. Presuming that at some near date or immediately after the elections, the Congress does agree to either support Malik Sahib's party in the Legislature or joins the Ministry on some agreed political programme, the position today is that if it is the anti-Gopichand group which predominates in the Congress Party, then Malik Sahib will find it almost impossible to co-operate with the Congress Party. The collaboration will be most shaky and entirely without mutual confidence. You will appreciate that any legislative programme or ministerial combine will be unsafe both for the province and for its stability.

If some collaboration with Malik Sahib is still contemplated, if only to keep the Muslim League out, it is most essential now not to encourage anti-Gopichand party men. Please pardon my presumption, but I make bold to say, as explained in the accompanying letter, that candidates put up by the local Congress are in fact not Congressmen at all. It is therefore easy to get rid of them at this stage.

Malik Sahib has made it plain that if the Congress do want his collaboration, he should not be made to accept men on whom he cannot rely. He is quite prepared to have the collaboration of Dr. Gopichand and of such Congressmen on whom Malik Sahib and Gopichand can agree.

3. Regarding the Sikh position, I am constrained to say that precisely for reasons explained above, and unless the rot in Congress ranks can be arrested, a group may arise which will tend to work against the Congress group within the Legislature. What its implications might be I can not foresee at this stage. All I will say is that it has not been an easy task for me to weaken the anti-Congress elements among the Sikhs. These elements may raise their heads again, if there is the least fear—as I have explained in the other letter,—of some Congressmen seeking political alliance with the League. The anti-Congress Sikhs will, in such a case, do likewise and break up Sikh nationalist solidarity, built up with so much labour.

4. I would earnestly beg you to give due weight to my observations. You may discuss the matter with your colleagues, for the situation is grave enough in the province, but I would not like you at this stage to disclose the source of this information. The position of Malik Sahib is most delicate.

Yours sincerely,  
Baldev Singh

363

8 January 1946

My dear Sardar Baldev Singh,

I have received your letter of the 5th instant through Mr. Gilani yesterday. It is unfortunate that Punjab affairs have become more complicated. If you had been able to come to Calcutta when a message was sent, perhaps things would have taken a turn for the better. Yesterday both Seth Sudarshan and Mr. Gilani met at my place. They had frank and free discussions and as a result of this they have agreed upon some proposals for the settlement of the Congress-Akali dispute regarding the elections. They are both coming there and if these proposals are accepted by you and the local Congress, this unfortunate chapter may end and so far as the Congress and the Akalis are concerned, it may also clear the way for a better understanding

between the Congress and the Unionist Party. As these two friends have agreed on a common formula with concrete proposals, it will be, I hope, easy for both parties to accept it. If you will contribute your own share in the settlement in the proper spirit, I think that the affairs will be straightened there.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

364

30 January 1946

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter of the 26th inst. The election results are not surprising. In the communal electorates, no better result can be expected when communal feelings are worked up on communal slogans. It is not possible to win the goodwill of the Muslim majority so long as these constitutional barriers are not removed. The remedy you suggest is not likely to solve the problem. We have a Muslim president in the Congress since five years and it has not affected the result, but this is a question of high politics which cannot be discussed in correspondence or in public. It is for the Working Committee to give a proper lead to the Congress at the proper time. No individual member can do so without breach of etiquette or propriety.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Amrit Lal Malhotra  
C/o Hitkari Bros.  
Jhelum

365

Warris Road  
Lahore  
10 February 1946

My dear Sardar Sahib,

Many thanks for your kind letter received through my man and also for your generous help to Dr. Gopichand.

Affairs in Sind have taken an adverse turn. Maulana Sahib's offer of coalition to the League came as a great surprise after



what you had already settled. I am afraid this has not been without its repercussions here. Somehow these offers come at a time when people begin to see the end of communalism and then the same old fears start afresh again. Anti-Muslim League Muslims cannot understand these things, nor can the rest of us. Coming at this time, the news was upsetting, as you will doubtless appreciate.

You must have heard the good news that Dr. Gopichand has defeated his opponent. He worked against heavy odds and opposition came from wholly unexpected quarters. His victory is the one relieving feature in so many difficulties with which the province is faced.

The aftermath of no settlement with the Sikhs is disconcerting, to say the least. Bitterness is going deep and is spreading. Already there are the old whispers of a pro-League drift all over again, which I must not conceal from you. All this along with what I have said above makes a sorry picture. And yet we struggle on with faith. My hope is that somehow you will yet find it possible to give this province your helping hand. By this time next week, the result of the current elections will be clearer than they are today. I will, if possible, speak to you on the phone if you are in Bombay.

Yours sincerely,  
B.S. Gilani

366

13 February 1946

My dear Gilani,

I have received your letter of the 10th inst.

In Sind the Governor, who is reputed to be pro-League, is trying his hand at the game and he has unduly favoured the League leader in calling him. His act was thoroughly unconstitutional, but I hope that this false show will not last long.

Maulana's offer is his own and is not likely to affect much. He went to Assam and made a similar offer which is still pending. Nothing is going to come out of it.

Dr. Gopichand's success was certain but I hear that R. B. [Rai Bahadur] Mukandlal Puri worked for him wholeheartedly. What were the odds against him, I have not yet learnt from anybody.

The Sikh question is giving us trouble and so long as Master Tara Singh is the leader of the Akali party, there is hardly any chance of easing the situation. He makes bitter attacks on the Congress and flirts with the League and the Government alternatively. In the Frontier, where he should support the Congress, he has been fighting unnecessarily.

I do not know what is the strength of the Unionists at this stage. If the Unionists maintain their position vis-a-vis the League, as was expected, everything will be all right but if it is weakened, it would be bad for the Congress. Other difficulties will be straightened if the Unionist front against the League is not weakened.

For the next fortnight I am busy here as I have to make final selections of candidates of Nagpur, Andhra, Tamil Nad, Kerala and Orissa. I have also to look to the Bombay provincial elections.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. B.S. Gilani  
Lahore

367

Lahore  
18 February 1946

My dear Sardar Sahib,

Many thanks for your kind letter of the 13th. received yesterday. Dr. Gopichand will have seen you by the time this reaches your hands and you will have all the information from him. The havoc caused by Maulana [Azad] Sahib's offer of coalition to the League is becoming more apparent as Punjab election results are being announced. Most of us doubt today if the Unionists get more than 25 Muslim seats. If however they succeed in forming the Ministry, this number might well exceed 45.

You'll have to tackle the Sikh problem with sympathy. For the moment I am having to listen to all manner of "abuse"—an unpleasant situation for the moment. The fact is that there is little prospect of any change in leadership—more so on account of the bitterness of the fight pitted against Sardar Baldev Singh. If as I hope you'll come here soon, I would request you to stay with S. Baldev Singh. He has already invited you. This gesture will make a lot of difference.

A word about Indian Christian candidates for Madras. I hope you will very kindly accept my suggestions. I hear Dr. Ram Chandra Rao is being offered a seat in the Upper House. His place is in the Assembly and he is a certain win, in a Catholic city—though himself a Protestant. The Catholic candidate has little support. Kindly also keep in mind Mr. Pias from Mangalore, Mr. Roche Victoria from Tuticorin and both Mr. and Mrs Massilamani Pillai of the same place. Dr. John of Madras will have little support. In Madura, Thomas Daniel was recommended for the Centre; he will be a good man to win in that area.

With respects,

Yours sincerely,  
B.S. Gilani

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

368

Bombay  
24 February 1946

My dear Gilani,

It seems it is Providence's will that prevents my coming to the Punjab; every time something or other happens. This time I had fixed my programme and informed all concerned, booked my passage by air also, but at the last moment I had to abandon the visit on account of the very grave situation that developed here and it was not possible for me to leave Bombay in such a condition. About 250 people have been shot dead and about 1,000 have been wounded. Never before such a thing has happened in the history of Bombay. The Defence Forces except the Army in Bombay are all on strike and the naval ratings had a pitched battle with the military guards, resulting in a general strike in the city as a demonstration of sympathy towards them. This involved the city into considerable trouble; continuous conflicts between the goondas<sup>1</sup> and the military resulted in heavy casualties of many innocent people. I cannot leave the city in this condition and so Punjabis must settle their problems amongst themselves with the help of Maulana. I trust there will be no quarrel as he also wants to settle with the Unionists and Akalis.

<sup>1</sup> Hoodlums

The result of the elections is very disappointing. The Unionists' strength is considerably crippled and their existence almost precarious. With the acceptance of office in coalition with the Congress and the Akalis, they may be able to increase their strength after some time, but for the present they have been floored. The Akalis will be guided by Master Tara Singh and his colleagues, who are a difficult lot to deal with. They distrust everybody and their policy is uncertain. Let us hope things will be all right.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. B.S. Gilani  
Lahore

369

20 February 1946

My dear Bhim Sen,

I have received your letter of the 14th inst. Dr. Gopichand has also come here to acquaint me with the situation. I had requested Maulana [Azad] Sahib to proceed to Lahore as soon as possible. Unfortunately he was not well but I telephoned to him in the morning and he is coming there on the 25th or 26th latest. He will be in Peshawar on the 23rd and 24th. Perhaps his presence may not be needed before the 25th, as all the election results will not be out before that.

It is unfortunate that the Unionist Party has suffered a setback. Its strength has been greatly reduced. The League has scored better than expected. It is not good for the province nor for the country. We have therefore not much to enthuse over our strength. I see that there is no possibility of our getting any seat from the Muslim constituencies nor have the independent Muslims any chance. It means that all our efforts and resources there have been wasted and all hopes given were false and the calculations and expectations were wrong.

There is a lot of misapprehension prevailing in the Punjab about our attitude. I do not know why it should be so. We can have no truck with the League. Our position regarding coalition with the Unionists and the Akalis stands unaffected and with the combination of three forces, the League will have no chance. Of course, it will give more trouble because of its strength at the

polls, but if we can handle the situation with a spirit of sacrifice and with unity, we will still be able to brush aside all difficulties. I do wish that the Congress ranks will realise how delicate the situation is and will resist the temptation of running after offices and entering into competition for getting a due share of posts.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Bhim Sen Sachar  
Lahore

370

Bombay  
13 February 1946

My dear Gopichand,

I have received your wire of the 12th inst. reading:

"WIRE WHEN CAN I MEET YOU. POLLING FINISHES FIFTEENTH RESULTS  
LATEST TWENTYTHIRD. CONVENIENTLY START 17TH."

and my reply has also been telegraphed to you today as follows:

"YOUR WIRE. CAN MEET NINETEENTH."

If you start on the 17th according to your wire, you can reach here on the 19th. I am here during that week or probably during the whole of the second fortnight and hence you can come whenever you like.

I see from Press reports that there were troubles in some Muslim constituencies and the election results will be delayed.

Reports received here from independent sources convey an impression that the League is getting stronger and the Unionists' strength is crippling. I do not know what is the real position. In any case, it seems clear that neither the Congress nor the independents will get any Muslim seats except one or two. The Sikh position is not very clear, but evidently they seem to be angry with the Congress. More can be known when you come here.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. Gopichand Bhargava, MLA  
Lahore

Bombay  
13 February 1946

My dear Seth Sudarshan,

I have received your telegram of the 11th inst. regarding Una General Rural Rajput constituency in which the Unionist candidate, Rai Sahib Harichand, withdrew in the middle of polling and hence the Congress candidate, Pandit Mohanlal, wins. This evidently means that you have taken one of the Hindu seats from the Unionists. It is not a great consolation. What we want in Punjab is to cripple the League's strength or to gain a large number of seats from the Akalis. Evidently there is no sign of the Congress getting any strength at the cost of the League or the Akalis. From all reports received through independent sources, it appears that the Unionist party is being crippled and the League is getting stronger. If that is true, all our other successes will be of less value comparatively from the political point of view. I am therefore more interested in knowing the position of the Unionists vis-a-vis the League, and am still more interested to know how many seats the Congress or the independent Muslims will get from the Muslim constituencies. I also want to know how many Sikh seats will be gained by the Congress.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Seth Sudarshan  
C/o The Punjab Provincial Congress Committee  
Bradlaugh Hall  
Lahore

372  
TELEGRAM

23 February 1946

- (1) Doctor Gopichand  
Lahore
- (2) Maulana Daud  
Lahore

REGRET VERY GRAVE SITUATION HERE NECESSITATES CANCELLATION  
LAHORE VISIT.

VALLABHBHAI

CHAPTER VII  
SIND POLITICS

373

Poona  
6 November 1945

My dear Dr. Choithram,<sup>1</sup>

I get reports from Sind from various sources which are disturbing. Sind Congressmen appear to be still agitated about the inclusion of Mr. Nihchaldas Vazirani. However unpleasant the decision may be, in the interest of the future work of the Congress in the province, Congressmen should accept it in good spirit and proceed further unanimously and with one voice.

I hear you intend to drop Mr. [Bhai] Pratap. I am afraid it will create difficulties of a serious nature. He has been helping you all in all your activities and his omission will be resented by his friends who are very influential in the Congress. I am told he would be very helpful in the Assembly under the present conditions and if he is given the Congress ticket his seat is secure and perhaps there will be no contest. His omission may result in [our] losing the seat. I would advise you to reconsider the whole situation before sending your proposals to the Centre.

I also hear another more disturbing report, which is about the possibility of Mr. Sidhwa's omission. I hope your committee will not make such a grievous mistake. Its repercussions will not only be provincial but will have disturbing consequences on a wider sphere.

When should I expect your proposals and what is the date fixed for nomination?

I am glad the Central Assembly election has been won without any opposition. What about the Muslim seat in the Centre? Is the position of the League serious and has the opponent any

<sup>1</sup> Congress leader of Sind; President, Sind PCC before Independence; chief spokesman of Sind in Congress organisation

chance of success? What is the Congress attitude in this matter? Please reply by air mail.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. Choithram Gidwani  
C/o The Hindu  
Karachi

• 374

TELEGRAM

Karachi  
8 November 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai  
Poona

RECEIVED. EVIDENTLY INCORRECT REPORTS REACHING YOU. SIDHWA ALREADY SELECTED. REGARDING BHAI PRATAP BOARD UNANIMOUSLY FELT IMPOSSIBLE OVERRIDE CLAIMS VISHNU SHARMA STAUNCH CONGRESSMAN THIRTY YEARS STANDING. BOARD REPRESENTS VARIOUS GROUPS. ALL DECISIONS FINALLY UNANIMOUS. EXPECT GOOD NUMBER UNCONTESTED SEATS.

CHOITHRAM

375

Poona  
10 November 1945

My dear Choithram,

I have just received your telegram. Bhai Pratap has already sent his appeal. He has written to Kripalani also. A number of Amils from 27 Amil Colony have sent a representation which, among other things, states that any other candidate will be certainly opposed by a Hindu Mahasabha candidate or other influential Bhaibandh, which will certainly endanger the sure Congress seat and that if Bhai Pratap is selected this seat would go uncontested. It is also alleged that his omission has aroused feelings which have travelled in two neighbouring constituencies and endangered two more seats. But it is for you all to consider. I must just keep you informed of what is being received from there.



I read in the Press today that the Muslim League has taken action against Haroon's opponent. What are his chances? Is he likely to defeat Haroon? Notice has also been issued against Syed. But probably this is a domestic quarrel and in the end they would all make up, perhaps after the elections.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Choithram Gidwani  
Karachi

376

EXTRACTS

Trade Unions' Office  
Kutchery Road  
Karachi  
14 November 1945

My dear Sardar Vallabhbhai,

You know indefatigable Dr. Papatlal very well. He has been our representative in the Sind Legislative Assembly for the last seven years and on account of his integrity, political acumen, and identifying himself with the cause of the poorer classes of society, coupled with persistent hard work both inside and outside the Legislature, has won the confidence and affection of the people of his constituency and, I daresay, of the Congress Party in the Assembly as well as of the Congress for his sense of discipline and loyalty. In my opinion he has been an acquisition to the Congress Party in the Assembly.

It is therefore difficult to imagine why he has been displaced. When the Sind Congress Parliamentary Board have adopted not only a non-Congressman but who was actively engaged in aiding the war effort and, according to the Karachi Daily edited by Dr. Tarachand J. Lalwani, an erstwhile Congressman, is addicted to liquor.

Yours sincerely,  
Narayandas

25 November 1945

My dear Narayandas,

I was extremely delighted to see your letter of the 14th inst. after a very long time. I know Dr. Popatlal myself. He has rendered very useful service in the Sind Legislative Assembly during his term of office, but his omission must not be construed to mean that he is in any way inferior to the one who is selected in his place or that any reflection is meant by the omission. It is difficult to make a choice between two good Congressmen, who are both Gujaratis and who have served the Congress well in the past. Why can't they settle the question amongst themselves? The local committee may have some good reasons for their decision. After all, they are all honest, sincere Congress leaders of the province. It is difficult to override their recommendation. Besides, I am one of the seven members of the Central Board, who will have to decide this question. No single member has any authority to hear appeals over the provincial recommendations. The general policy of the Board is to approve the recommendation of the local committee, if it is unanimous. In this case, there is considerable feeling for Dr. Popatlal, but both Dr. Popatlal and Seth Haridas are good friends and you should advise them to settle this question amongst themselves. I cannot say what the decision of the Board will be.

Hope you are doing well.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Narayandas Bechar  
Trade Unions' Office  
Kutchery Road  
Karachi

26 November 1945

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter of the 20th inst. You must be aware that Sjt. Kripalani had written to Dr. Choithram and Sjt. Jairamdas to persuade Maharaj Vishnu Sharma to make room for you. He had wired to me also, making a similar suggestion and I had communicated that suggestion by telegram to Dr. Choithram. I do not know the result as I have received no reply, but I understand that both of them are coming to Bombay on Wednesday, the 28th, when it will be possible to know the final decision. Whatever happens, I am sure you will take the decision cheerfully and will not be disturbed with any feeling of disappointment.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Pratap Dialdas  
Karachi

Mirpurkhas  
Sind

26 November 1945

Dear Sardarji,

I am a member of the selection committee as appointed by the SPCC, to select candidates for the Congress ticket for the ensuing general Assembly elections. The committee went round each constituency to study the situation on the spot, and elicited views of all shades of people and Congress workers, and after an exchange of views and due deliberations have submitted its unanimous recommendations. As natural, some of the applicants were disappointed and I am told that some of the disappointed persons have appealed to the Central Parliamentary Board.

It is the inherent right of such persons, and the Central Parliamentary Board is equally competent to uphold the appeals and set aside the recommendations of the selection board. It is

rather curious, when it is being freely suggested, that the Central Parliamentary Board intends to upset some decisions, specially the Hyderabad urban constituency, from where Maharaj Vishnu Sharma has been recommended. Such a statement from a candidate of Bhai Pratap's calibre, who claims to be a very disciplined Congressman, is certainly deplorable.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Vatanmal

380

1 December 1945

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter of 26 November. I am surprised to read your letter, especially because you happen to be a member of the selection committee of your province. It appears you have not understood your responsibility. The decisions of the Sind Provincial Congress Committee are mere recommendations, and they have no authority to make any final choice. They have only to report on applications and therefore there is no question of appeal. Decisions have to be taken now by the Central Board and they are not bound to accept any recommendation.

Your insinuation that the selection committee was not allowed to function without interference from the authority of the Central Board is wholly unfounded. There has been no interference at all with your committee's work because there was no need for it, as the final authority rests with the Central Board. You assume too much when you think that there was interference within your duty. You have got an exaggerated idea of your authority and therefore you think that any advice given is interference. All applicants have a right to come to the Central Board to put their case and it is open to the Central Board to reject every one of your recommendations. You may therefore think again in terms of your own responsibility and authority.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. Vatanmal G. Gidwani, C.M. & S.  
Gulabsing Dispensary  
Mirpurkhas  
Sind

2 December 1945

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter entitled "My appeal to the Congress High Command to resolve the Sind Congress muddle." [See enclosure]. I do not understand why you suggest that the Congress claims that its voice should be considered as the voice of Allah [God]. Certainly the Congress has a right to function as an organisation and it does select its candidates and proposes to place them before the electorate as its nominees, for their choice. The voters are free to vote as they like and all other organisations or individuals are also at liberty to oppose them.

Your statement that the selection board does not enjoy the confidence of the provincial Congress committee is not borne out by facts. The board was deliberately made representative of all groups within the provincial Congress committee and therefore it was unanimously adopted by that committee.

Forty members out of 48 were present; therefore, when you say that it did not enjoy the confidence of the provincial Congress committee, it appears to be inconsistent with facts. The composition of the board is known to you.

The candidates recommended are all opposed to Mr. G. M. Syed and the Muslim League, because both Mr. Syed and the Muslim League represent the same ideology, and they were selected irrespective of party considerations. I see no reason to suppose that any group of candidates would support either Mr. Syed or the League.

All the recommendations except two are unanimous and it may always be argued with a degree of plausibility that any candidate who has been rejected has been a victim of injustice.

I see no reason why Maharaj Vishnu Sharma, if finally adopted, would not be elected unopposed, or if opposed, would not secure a thumping majority. The Congress strength today is not what it was in the last elections. It is much stronger than ever before. Therefore any candidate selected by the Congress will succeed without any difficulty.

Wherever it was necessary, the provincial Congress committee has accommodated candidates from outside its own organisation.

Seth Sirumal has never been in the Congress. It is not expedient nor advisable to give reasons for the rejection of any candidate, as the proceedings of the selection committee are confidential and therefore it would be inadvisable to enter into a controversy on the comparative merits of candidates. It must, however, be understood that the rejection of any candidature does not necessarily involve any slur on the candidate rejected.

I do not understand what you mean to suggest in your last para. The Congress cannot abandon its political functions and surrender its rights and responsibilities to any other organisation, however more representative it may be, but there is nothing to prevent the Sarva Sindh Hindu Panchayat, if it wants, to put its own candidates in the field.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbai Patel

Sjt. Jethmal Parsram  
Karachi

#### ENCLOSURE

#### EXTRACT

#### MY APPEAL TO THE CONGRESS HIGH COMMAND TO RESOLVE THE SIND CONGRESS MUDDLE

To cut the story short, as the Congress Party by itself is not able to meet the situation, they must be helped by a group of patriotic people detached and disinterested, in bringing about rapprochement between the antagonistic groups in Sind on the simple question of "Sind" without interference from outside Sind in internal matters and a common programme of the common man in Sind.

Jethmal Parsram

382

20 December 1945

Dear Nihchaldas,

I got your letter at Calcutta on the same date on which your letter to Maulana [Abul Kalam Azad] Sahib dated the 7th inst. was received.

From the result of Mr. Rashidi's election, it is clear that all optimistic calculations were not justified and there were other reasons for his failure than those that were imagined. Probably

his own personal reputation and character contributed more than the reasons which you thought were responsible for his failure before the result was known. However, that is all past.

Today's papers report that the League High Command did not dare to do anything against Syed and they have settled with him for the present. The question is what will be the repercussions of this settlement and how far it affects our own chances.

I understand Vishnu Sharma's opponent has withdrawn his candidature. Let us hope there will be more withdrawals before scrutiny in the non-Muslim constituencies. What is the position in the Nawabshah constituency now?

Hope you all will now be able to work in co-operation for the Muslim constituencies contest.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Nihchaldas Vazirani

383

Mulchand Street  
Hyderabad (Sind)  
23 December 1945

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I received today, 23rd, your letter of the 20th sent by air mail. It bore the Bombay stamp of 21st. It seems letters from Bombay to Hyderabad take two days, whether they come by rail direct or by air via Delhi. This letter, written on the night of the 23rd, may reach you on the 25th by air via Karachi.

I have posted you today by rail cuttings explaining the latest developments in League affairs. The reference therein to Allama Mashriqi<sup>1</sup> is much exaggerated. Mr. Syed was to come here tonight. I will know tomorrow what transpires further. He is so far much depressed and dejected. It is certain that he feels he has no future except in the League.

The Azad Muslims' nominees and other independents who are being supported by Khan Abdul Samad Khan<sup>2</sup> and Congressmen are in all about 18. The estimate of those in the know is that about six or seven of these will win. The policy of sup-

<sup>1</sup> Founder of Khaksar movement, a band of fanatics who wore khaki uniforms and carried spades as symbol of militant politics

<sup>2</sup> Congress leader of Baluchistan known as Baluchi Gandhi

porting a smaller number and those more reliable instead of spreading effort over many may have been a wiser one. It is yet too early to say whether or not a League ministry can be prevented. It will all depend on the number of reliable anti-Leagueurs who succeed.

There is much uncertainty as to who will ultimately contest in the Muslim constituencies as some withdrawals are still expected. In Hyderabad district, a Syed group candidate took money and retired, leaving the field to the League candidate. It may take a few days for the situation to clear.

I was sorry to read that you were feeling the strain of Calcutta and the rail journey. I hope you will soon get over it at Bombay.

I am keeping well.

Love to Maniben.

Yours sincerely,  
Jairam

PS.

Before this reaches you may read of Mr. Syed's further step. I think it will weaken him and so strengthen the Khurho party.

J. D.

384

26 December 1945

My dear Jairamdas,

I have received your letter of the 23rd inst. The nominations and scrutiny being over, I expect a full report from you both as regards non-Muslim and Muslim constituencies and also the special constituencies. I also expect to hear from you about the estimate you gave me at Calcutta in consultation with Khan Abdul Samad Khan. The proposals for contesting Muslim seats were given to me when your estimate was given. Do these proposals hold good or is there going to be any change?

Hope you are doing well.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sri Jairamdas Doulatram  
Hyderabad (Sind)



Congress House  
Girgaon  
Bombay  
24 December 1945

My dear Sidhwa,

I see from the Press that you have been elected unopposed. I am very glad to learn that and I congratulate you for the same.

The split between the two sections of the local League has been joined for the time being and Syed's nominations have been accepted in spite of his defiance. I should like to know from you what is the present position. The nominations and scrutiny must have been over by this time. How many nominations have been filed on behalf of the Congress in the Muslim constituencies and how many have been filed by Independent candidates against the League candidates? What are the chances? Please give me a full picture of the present position as soon as possible.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sri R. K. Sidhwa, MLA  
Victoria Road  
Karachi

## EXTRACTS

Victoria Road  
Karachi  
4 January 1946

My dear Sardar Sahib,

As regards the split in the League, you must have since read in the papers that Syed has completely broken off his relations with Jinnah's League and set up his candidates in various constituencies which number 11. All these, including Syed, have been expelled from the League. From my reports in Sind there are

chances of Syed's seven out of 11 being returned. Syed is certain. The League has nominated all 35 members. Jamiat-ul-Ulema and the Muslim Board which are controlled by Maulvi Mahomed Sadiq and Abdul Samad Khan of Baluchistan have set up 15 candidates, some of whom are independents. Maula Bux himself has not taken any ticket as he thinks as an Independent he has sure chances of success. About 8 are supported financially. Out of the 15 nominated by the Jamiat, the Muslim Board and Independents I think only six or seven are likely to be returned. If this figure turns out to be correct, then even we will have gained much. If we succeed in all the 20 general seats we are contesting, which I hope, and one (Nawabshah) which you have left open, both have declared to join the Congress if they are successful, then 21 with one labour added (22) seven from Muslims (Jamiat and Independents) would make 29; we will require two more to bury Pakistan in Sind. I am aiming at that.

Yours sincerely,  
R. K. Sidhwa

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

387

8 January 1946

My dear Sidhwa,

I have your letter of the 4th inst. Pandit Jawaharlal's tour is to be finished today, and it is reported that at one or two important places of contest, the opponents of the Congress have withdrawn. It is good news. I trust that this tour will result in consolidating the Congress position and all the 22 Hindu seats will be captured without any difficulty. With the consolidation of our forces, the question of defeating the League will be much easier. The only thing that we should guard against is the possibility of disruption in the Congress ranks after the elections. This time there should be no disunity, no split, no quarrels. If we fail, we shall have to hang our heads in shame.

I propose to go to Ahmedabad on the 12th and from there to proceed to Delhi on or about the 17th. Please keep me informed of the situation there.

With best wishes,

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri R. K. Sidhwa  
Karachi

**CHAPTER VIII**  
**ORIYA-ANDHRA PULLS**

388 .

Camp: Sambalpur  
4 November 1945

Most Revered Sardarji,

I have the honour to submit herewith a true copy of my representation which was made in pursuance of a direction of [the Congress] President, [Abul Kalam] Azad to the secretary of the Utkal PCC. You may be kind enough to take note of the profaned name of Babu Prahlad Rai Lath so that his purse shall not be able to purchase the people of Utkal in order to bamboozle you with respect to the Congress ticket for the forthcoming provincial election. This Prahlad Rajji Lath is the very gentleman who had signed a document with the promise that he would join hands with the Parlakemidi Ministry at a time when we were all undergoing detention.

The name of another gentleman of Sambalpur deserved special mention in this connection. Babu Bodhram Dube, the ex-Minister of our province, had studiously refrained from supporting the August Resolution and as such he had freely and openly co-operated with the Government for the last three years or so. He had abstained from paying anything to the Kasturba Memorial Fund. But his zeal simply knew no bounds to preside over the Azfar Memorial Trust. This Azfar Memorial Trust was created in honour of the then reactionary District Magistrate of Sambalpur in the year 1944. Besides, Babu Bodhram Dube had joined a good many parties which were arranged in honour of officers of different categories. These gentlemen are in fact anti-Congress in their proclivities and actions but nevertheless they have been brisk at present with their ill-earned funds to organise batches of so-called Congressmen who are supposed to plead for them in the direction of having undue Congress tickets for the election. May you direct a colleague of yours to enquire into things of this district and I

make bold to say that you would order for a drastic overhaul of the Congress machinery of Utkal.

Fraternally yours,  
Laxminarayan Mishra  
Member, AICC

NB

I have got the bitterest need of seeing you once personally with the view to apprising you of things regarding Utkal in time so that you would well-nigh be able to prevent errors which might creep in owing to misinformation or want of information. I have been straining every nerve for the upkeep of the prestige of the Congress for the past twenty-five years and my ten glorious incarcerations and other sufferings must plead for my bona fides in this move. I may kindly be apprised of your future programmes so that it can be feasible for me to have a short interview with you at a convenient destination. I humbly pause for a reply.  
L.N.M.

389

.

Poona  
16 November 1945

Dear Friend,

I have received a copy of the resolution passed by the Executive Council of the Utkal Association, Jamshedpur, sent by you. If the Association has such a low opinion of the leaders in the High Command of the Congress as is insinuated in the resolution of the Association, I have nothing to say. All I can say is that the members of the Association are not serving the cause of Utkal and are certainly trying to injure the cause of the Congress.

No one can say that Mr. Mahtab has not done anything for the province. If he is not an Oriya, as suggested in the resolution, he has certainly adopted it as his province for many years. What your object is in sending a copy of the resolution to me I have not been able to understand. The province has suffered a great deal through factions during the last four or five years and when the opportunity has come for uniting all sections of the people you have started this campaign which is calculated to injure it for years to come, for this is not the right way of educating the electorate.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hony. Secretary  
The Utkal Association  
Jamshedpur

8 January 1946

My dear Mahtab,

I am enclosing herewith copy of a letter received from a man of the RAF serving in England and coming from your province. If you can find a place for him, it would be a feather in your cap to take such a man. It is for him to make arrangements for being a voter, which perhaps Government will allow and also give him facilities to return. In case you agree to take him, you may send me a wire so that I may reply by air mail. Your elections are in April, so there is plenty of time.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Harekrushna Mahtab  
Cuttack

## ENCLOSURE

Lal Ranjit Singh  
50 Bishops Avenue  
Bromley, Kent  
England  
31 December 1945

Sir,

As I am a stranger, I give you my introduction as briefly as possible and also state my reason for taking the privilege of writing to you personally. I am the fourth son of late Raja of Borasamber, Sambalpur, Orissa. I came to England in 1937 to study law and my study was interrupted by the war. After one year as an ambulance driver in London, in 1940 I joined the English Royal Air Force and after 5½ years of service I have just come out of the Air Force. During the war I married an English girl and I have a little daughter of 11 months.

The rest of the news you will get from my friends and relatives in India who will approach provincial and all-India Congress officials on my behalf.

If it is possible I would very much like to be adopted as a Congress candidate in my brother's estate, Borasamber, district Sambalpur, Orissa.

I remain,  
Yours obediently,  
Ranjit Singh

Vallabhbhai Patel  
Congress Parliamentary Committee  
Congress House  
Bombay

391

## EXTRACT

Sambalpur  
Orissa

18 January 1946

Esteemed Sir,

I have come to learn from the daily newspapers that Lal Ranjit Singh of Borasamber, who is at present an officer in the Royal Air Force, has applied for Congress nomination to the Orissa Provincial Legislative Assembly and that his name has been strongly recommended by the Central Parliamentary Board to the Provincial Parliamentary Board. There has also been a Press report to the effect that the provincial board has 'conceded' his claim provided he succeeds in getting his name enrolled as a voter in time. There is a talk here that the West Bargadh constituency in Sambalpur district has been reserved for him.

This decision of the Orissa provincial board has caused a sensation among Congress workers and sympathisers and provoked strong criticism from the critics of the Congress. Everybody is surprised to find an officer who has helped Britain to make the world safe for British imperialism, becoming a hot favourite of the Congress all of a sudden.

Your admirer & follower,  
Shraddhakar Supakar

8 February 1946

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter of 18 January 1946. It is true that the provincial election board of Orissa has been directed by the Central Board to nominate Lal Ranjit Singh of Borasamber on the Congress ticket for the Provincial Assembly. This decision is the result of the Working Committee's policy decided at Calcutta, which is to take suitable men available from the Defence Forces' Indian personnel wherever it is possible to do so. It is true that men from the army having no traditions of the Congress may not fit in with the present atmosphere all at once, but there is no reason to suppose that they cannot rise to the occasion and fall in line with the Congress standard when they voluntarily apply for being enlisted as Congress soldiers. On the contrary, it is quite possible that having been trained in army discipline, they may prove better members of the Assembly than many of our Congress workers, who, in spite of their sacrifices in the Congress struggles, do not willingly accept discipline or abide by the decisions of the Congress in the working of the legislative programme. The intention of the Working Committee in laying down this policy is to create an impression in the Indian Defence Forces that in Free India they will not be treated as aliens or enemies but they will be given full opportunities to serve as patriots and prove their worth in the service of the country.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Shraddhakar Supakar  
Sambalpur  
Orissa

## EXTRACT

P.O. Raj-Borasamber  
Dist. Sambalpur  
Orissa (B.N. Rly.)  
18 February 1946

Dear Sir,

Please forgive me for taking this liberty of writing to you personally knowing you be a very busy man. But now I wish to express my humble and respectful gratitude for having accepted me as a Congress candidate from the West Bargadh General constituency of the district of Sambalpur. Your kind telegram did everything to procure me a priority of air passage to India. I left England early on the morning of 31 January last. This was my last day of war service and from the 1st instant my official demobilization from the Royal Air Force became effective.

Thanking you in anticipation,

I remain, sir,  
Yours most obediently,  
Ranjit Singh

22 February 1946

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter of the 18th instant. In fact I was thinking of writing to you since your return from England, about which I had learnt from the Press. I am not surprised about the state of mind in which you are, as you have returned after such a long time, in an entirely different atmosphere to which you were accustomed during all these years. I had received several letters from the province against your selection, particularly because the Congress policy during the period of war was to refuse to help the British Government in the war and you were actively engaged in the Defence Forces of H.M. Government. Besides you also happened to be a zamindar of the



province. In spite of this, we have selected you because our policy is to adopt suitable persons available in the Defence Forces for nomination in the Assemblies wherever possible.

For the present, I am certainly very busy and I am going to Lahore tomorrow, where I may be engaged for a few days. After that, I am going to Bardoli for a fortnight from the beginning of next month and therefore I will not be in Bombay till the third week of March. If you think it necessary to see me earlier you can do so at Bardoli after 3 March, but you are in the midst of elections and perhaps it would be wise to wait till your elections are over, but I leave it to you to decide. In the meanwhile, I would advise you to keep your eyes and ears open but at the same time to keep your mouth shut. Hear all, see everything but don't talk much. You have much to learn to adapt yourself to the environments and surroundings and also to the atmosphere of the country and particularly of the Congress. Sjt. Harekrushna Mahtab is for the time being your Leader, who will be able to guide you and you can trust him fully. He is a seasoned soldier and has made tremendous sacrifices for the country's cause. We have fought the country's battles without arms and you have done so with arms (but the battles of other countries) and you are now not to think of your arms or weapons. The conditions of our country and the ways and habits of our people must not make you impatient or angry. The country's lot is very hard. Our people deserve all the sympathy that we can give and all the services that we can render. No sacrifice is big enough for that purpose. Forget that you are a landlord; also try to forget that you are a superior person. Try to be one of the people of Orissa and learn to talk with them and live with them on their own level, if you want to serve them. Above all, first learn to talk to them in their own language, so that you can read their thoughts from their eyes and convey to them your ideas in their own language. Let not the people feel that you are arrogant or that you are a foreigner. Please give no grounds for complaint that the Congress made a wrong selection in choosing you.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Kumar Lal Ranjit Singh  
Orissa

Berhampore  
Ganjam district  
2 February 1946

My dear Sardarji,

I had intimated to you the results of by-elections in Orissa. Election of the executives of these boards are over and Congressmen have naturally come in. To initiate a uniform policy in all nation-building activities in these boards, it is proposed to have a provincial parliamentary committee of three members as a sub-committee of the PCC Working Committee. This committee will also look to the discipline of Congressmen in the District Board. I believe this will meet with your approval.

As for primary elections in the Scheduled Class constituencies in the province, four seats from the districts of Ganjam, Puri, Balasore and one seat in Cuttack district are uncontested. Severe contest is expected in the other seat in Cuttack district and also in Sambalpur district from Dr. Ambedkar's party being financed and helped by the party outside Orissa. There need however be no anxiety on this score. We were prepared for primary elections to prove that even in their community the rival candidates have little backing. It would have been a good lesson for Dr. Ambedkar but that was not attempted by them. Hence there is no primary election in this in Orissa.

Allow me to thank you much for the kind and soothing letter addressed to Sri B. Das. We are busy in Assembly elections and are anxious to give you a good account of the province for which you always have affection.

Hope this finds you, Maniben and the rest of the family in perfect health.

With pranams,

Yours sincerely,  
Biswanath Das

PS

We all owe you our debt of gratitude for having accepted Pani from Singbhum district as a Congress candidate.

B.D.

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

6 February 1946

My dear Biswanath,

I have received your letter of the 2nd instant. I am glad the District Board elections in Orissa have been so successful. It is now for the provincial organisation to see that the overwhelming majority which has been secured in these elections do not result in discrediting the Congress but is utilised for the purpose of enhancing its reputation. A good committee should be appointed now to supervise the working of those bodies and to give guidance and directions for better administration of those local bodies. In many provinces Congressmen have proved their inability to rise above petty quarrels and considerations as well as over narrow selfish interests. In several places they have formed cliques for getting into offices and have brought a bad name in return. Please take necessary measures to see that the administration is carried out efficiently, cleanly and generally in the interests of the people concerned.

I am awaiting proposals for nominations from your province.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbai Patel

Sjt. Biswanath Das  
Berhampore  
Ganjam Dist.

Cuttack Central Jail  
P.O. Cuttack  
Orissa  
6 February 1946

Respected Sardarji,

With my respectful pranams I lay before you the following case for your kind and impartial consideration.

I am a resident of Cuttack district in a most interior back-ward village. I am a Congress worker and a member of the PCC. I was a member of the Congress Party in the last Orissa

Assembly representing my home constituency. For taking an active part in the 1942 August movement I [was] convicted for five years' r. i. [rigorous imprisonment] in the famous conspiracy case of this province. I am now serving my term of imprisonment. Consequently I have lost my qualification for a seat in the Legislature.

The East Kendrapara constituency of Cuttack district from which I was returned consists of three police stations, or thanas, viz., Patkura, Mahakalpara and Rajnagar. Among these, my home thana, Patkura, is the biggest, having a population of 1½ lakhs, among whom there are more than 7,000 voters for the provincial legislature. The other two combined contain nearly 50,000 people with a voting strength of nearly 3,000. only. The whole area in this constituency suffers permanently from heavy flood in the rainy season, and from want of water for irrigation purposes and even for drinking in some places in the dry seasons. It abounds with jungles along with the coast of the Bay of Bengal, where it lies, and contains vast tracts of swampy and water-logged paddyfields without any communication for traffic. There are a network of big and small rivers throughout, that remain dry for eight months in the year. Recently a semi-famine due to heavy flood took a toll of hundreds of lives, and people of this area are still dying from malaria, cholera, small-pox and dysentery as its after-effects.

Mrs. Kamaladevi Chattopadhyaya visited this area last year and gave a lurid picture of the deplorable condition of the unfortunate people in her statement to the Press. But nobody took any interest in ameliorating the sufferings of the people. Hardly people have recovered from that shock when an India-wide famine is going to happen, as has been recently stated by the Central Government in the Assembly. So it is very urgent that a person living and moving among the people of the very locality should be chosen to remain in constant touch with the governmental machinery.

Sri D.B. Misra is a young man of a strong physique, a local Congress worker, suffered six months' r. i. and was fined Rs 25, and after the end of this imprisonment was detained as a security prisoner for nearly two years for taking an active part in the August movement. He possesses academic qualification up to matriculation and has much improved in his general knowledge that can be considered to be adequate for the Assembly's normal work. He is very popular in the constituency and as a resident

local worker is suitable in all respects to represent that constituency in the Assembly.

I rely upon your judgment and as a faithful soldier I must obey.

With due regards,

Faithfully yours,  
Loknath Misra

398,

13 February 1946

Dear Mr. Misra,

I have received your letter of the 6th instant from Cuttack Central Jail, wherein you have been confined since a long time owing to your conviction and sentence for five years in the conspiracy case. I am sorry that we have not been able to secure your release and the release of other political prisoners but you may be sure that you are all constantly in our minds and it will not be long before the gates of jails are opened and you are once again restored to liberty in serving as comrade in the Congress cause.

You seem to be worried about the selection of a candidate from your district for the provincial Assembly. I have not yet received the proposals from Orissa but I expect them shortly and will try to see whether any injustice has been done to Sjt. D. B. Misra, about whom you are so particular. I may, however, inform you that the Assembly is not the only place of service nor is it comparatively a better place for service. You must be aware that many of us in the Congress Working Committee have never put our foot in any legislatures. Mahatma Gandhi has also never seen any Assembly hall. You must not attach any undue importance to these legislative bodies. Perhaps better work can be done from outside and Sjt. D.B. Misra, if he is such a sincere worker as you think him to be, is likely to lose his usefulness by being a member of the Assembly. I do not know why the Orissa provincial election board has recommended Sjt. B. C. Mohanty in preference to Sjt. D. B. Misra, but I dare say they must have some good reasons to do so. It is not proper for us to misjudge or attribute any motives of partiality or otherwise for their selection, which in all probability may be thoroughly honest.

It is not wise to worry about matters of this character from inside the walls. In jail nothing that goes on outside, over which

the prisoner has no control, should be allowed to disturb his mind. He must consider himself civilly dead and enjoy the peace of mind secured to him by his segregation from the outside world, which is full of worries and troubles these days. The best thing for you is to look after your health, to read in spare time good literature and pass your time mostly in spinning and meditation.

Wishing to see you outside soon,

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Loknath Misra  
Political Prisoner  
Cuttack Central Jail  
P.O. Cuttack  
Orissa

399

# EXTRACT

Berhampore  
Ganjam district  
16 March 1946

My dear Sardarji,

Of the Muslim seats, three are being contested but there is little chance except in one constituency. Barring also these three, there are only 50 seats including seats for Christians, Scheduled Castes, women, commerce and industries, backward tribes and labour. You can be assured of 48 seats as if they are in your pocket, including the 35 we now actually have, but there is a good possibility of our capturing 49 seats. My sorrow and anxiety is that we could not fully respond to your call showing cent per cent success. This is due not to us but due to the interference of Dr. Pattabhi. Among our possible losing a seat or two seats is the Andhra nominee for Berhampore (Ganjam district).

The position is now that the non-Congress Andhras are up against Dr. Pattabhi's nominee and Congress Andhras mostly are lukewarm. They have let loose communal feelings. People in general are deeply Congress minded and there is still a chance for the Congress candidate if some Andhra leaders like Prakasam or Dr. Pattabhi persuade the local Andhras not to support the non-Congress Andhra set up by them. . . .

Yours sincerely,  
Biswanath Das

19 March 1946

My dear Biswanath,

I have received your letter of the 16th instant. You know Sjt. Mahtab was here last week. He told me that I will secure at least two Muslim seats, but from your letter it seems there is not much chance for any. However, there is no serious communal problem there and hence even if we do not get any Muslim seat, it would not create any difficulty.

On the whole, as 48 seats are assured, you have made a good beginning, unless last time's repetition of indiscipline at a later stage takes place. I hope there will be no such disloyalty this time.

I am writing to Dr. Pattabhi and also to Sjt. Prakasam about the Andhra nominee for Berhampore asking them to do something in the matter.

Hope you are doing well.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbbhai Patel

Sjt. Biswanath Das  
Berhampore  
Ganjam district

New Delhi  
18 April 1946

Dear Friend,

I have received your telegram of the 17th inst. reading:

"ORISSA CHRISTIANS URGE INCLUSION OF CHRISTIAN MEMBER IN THE ORISSA CABINET."

The formation of a ministry rests with the leader of the Assembly Party of the Congress in Orissa. The elections are not yet over and the Leader is not elected, but I understand that Shri Harekrushna Mahtab will in all probability be elected

**Leader.** If you have to make any suggestion about the formation of the ministry, you should approach him, and if you can satisfy him about the necessity of including an Indian Christian he will certainly do so. It is not, however, possible to include members of all castes and creeds in the Cabinet in a small province like Orissa, and it is also unnecessary to rely upon such inclusion as the only means of serving the community. The Congress thinks in terms of nationalism and the Cabinet Members, though they may have been selected from any community, are expected to serve all the people of Orissa without any distinction.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Secretary  
Utkal Indian Christian Association  
Cuttack

402

New Delhi  
18 April 1946

My dear Mahtab,

I am enclosing herewith a copy of a telegram received from the Utkal Indian Christian Association and a copy of my reply. [See 401]. I should like to know the final date on which your party meeting has been called for the election of Leader and the probable date on which you propose to form the ministry. Also give me full details of the composition of the proposed ministry.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Harekrushna Mahtab  
Cuttack



Cuttack  
24 April 1946

Revered Sardarji,

I thank you for your letters of 'the 16th (marked confidential) and 18th inst. As regards your first letter, I shall take the necessary steps to get the maximum quota for Orissa from the Government of India Fund. With regard to your second letter, please refer to my express telegram dated 24 April, 1946, which reads as follows:

ASSUMED OFFICE YESTERDAY WITH FOUR OTHER MINISTERS, NABAKRISHNA CHOWDHURY, NITYANANDA KANUNGO, LINGARAJ MISRA, RADHAKRISHNA BISWASROY. EVERYTHING SMOOTH. MAHTAB.

I hope everything is going on happily in Delhi.  
With my kindest regards,

Yours sincerely,  
H. Mahtab

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

Berhampore  
Ganjam Dt.  
(Orissa)  
Camp Cuttack  
21 April 1946

My dear Sardarji,

There was a meeting of the Orissa Assembly Congress Party yesterday at Cuttack. Fortysix out of 47 members attended the meeting. I presided over the meeting and Sri Harekrushna Mahtab was unanimously elected Leader. These newly-elected members also authorised him to select his colleagues and present the list to the Governor. The Governor is coming from Puri and Sri Mahtab meets him at 2 p.m. today. On the 23rd the Ministers take the oath of office and assume responsibilities. Thus I have

discharged my part of the work and free myself from the parliamentary work entrusted to me so long.

It would be unfair of me [if I did not] state how deeply obliged I am for all your kindness which I had the good fortune to receive all along. Let me assure you that this has deepened my attachment. Your efforts to remove disqualifications imposed on me are supreme and I glorify God for all this. The very fact that I have been able to win the confidence of a great disciplinarian like you sustains and warms me.

I had assured you of 48 out of 57 elected seats excluding the four Muslim and two seats of the zamindars. We have secured only 47. You will please remember that the selection of the Berhampore Andhra seat was that of Dr. Pattabhi against my opposition. A report will be submitted to you, with all facts, what disappointments were faced by us from the local Andhra public. Another report will give you the facts of our failure in the two other seats and specially the Labour seat (which is the only seat) captured by the Communists.

Hope this finds you in the best of health.

With pranams,

Yours sincerely,  
Biswanath Das

405

New Delhi  
26 April 1946

My dear Biswanath,

I have received your letter of the 21st instant containing a report about the first Congress Assembly Party meeting and the election of the Leader. For the present this first chapter of the revival of parliamentary work in your province is over and it has been generally very satisfactory, for which you all deserve credit. You have done excellent work in obtaining such good results and I congratulate you for the same. Shri Mahtab replaces you for the time being and I am waiting for the day of your return to your rightful place, when your disqualification is removed. Till then we shall miss you very badly, but circumstances beyond our control have interfered with the fate of the province, which has been deprived of your leadership at this juncture. Except in the unfortunate province of Madras, in all other Congress provinces, except yours, the old premiers have been returned.

In Madras we tried our best to induce responsible people to accept Rajaji's leadership. They failed to accept our advice and have discredited the province and the Congress organisation in general. In Orissa things were different and but for the unfortunate obstacle which could not be removed, all would have welcomed your return.

Hope you are doing well. Please keep me informed of your activities.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Biswanath Das  
Berhampore

406

Camp Calcutta  
10 May 1946

My dear Sardarji,

I acknowledge with thanks your reply with expressions of sympathy and kindness which could hardly be forgotten. The Madras incident is to be deplored. It is an act of indiscipline which has not happened in the whole parliamentary career of the Congress, nor should it be repeated. Now that the provincial ministries have been functioning, it is necessary and desirable that the parliamentary sub-committee is constituted without delay. It is my experience and the experience of other Assembly Party leaders that no ministry and much less any parliamentary party could function efficiently without the help and guidance of the parliamentary sub-committee. Need I therefore stress that the sooner it is done the better for all concerned?

The announced resignation of the Governor-General's Executive Council revives the waning hopes of a possible settlement with Britain. I have already placed myself at your disposal. If you think that I am useful for the central executive, you may please utilise me there or in any manner you deem necessary. Shri Mahtab is now Premier. It is not at all my intention to disturb the arrangement only to show to the world that I am out for position and place. Let me fulfil your desire by helping the ministry in all possible manner from outside.

With pranams,

I remain,  
Yours sincerely,  
Biswanath Das

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

Camp Chadwick  
Simla, W  
13 May 1946

My dear Biswanath,

I have received your letter of the 10th instant.

I agree with you that it would not be proper to disturb the arrangements that have been made at present. But it is possible that Shri Mahtab himself would like to have you back at your original place, as soon as possible. In the Central Government our position is yet uncertain. We must wait and see the picture as a whole that would evolve out of the present endeavours. However, one thing is certain that there will be many openings for the Congress and we have very few good, reliable men. So your services will be needed at any time.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Biswanath Das  
Berhampore

CHAPTER IX  
CP—SCRAMBLE FOR SEATS

408

C/o D.W. Mandpe  
Dhantoli  
Nagpur  
21 November 1945

Dear Sardarji,

My application for the inclusion of my name in the electoral roll of the Provincial Assembly has been rejected: a report to this effect has already appeared in the Press. It has been held that a jail is no place of residence within the meaning of para (2) of Part VIII of Sixth Schedule to the Government of India Act, 1935. I am thinking of preferring an appeal against this decision, but local lawyers are of the opinion that it will not be of much avail unless the aforementioned schedule itself is amended by Parliament. What would you advise me in the matter?

I do hope that you are now restored to normal health and that the progress will be maintained, considering that we are now entering into the most salubrious season of the year.

In case you need my services on any front of our common battle, kindly let me know. I am ever ready.

I trust this will find you in good cheer.

Namaskar.

Yours sincerely,  
H.V. Kamath

23 November 1945

My dear Kamath,

I have received your letter of the 21st inst. Only last week I had called Messrs. [R. S.] Shukla and [D. P.] Mishra<sup>1</sup> for consultation in pursuance of our conversation, but a couple of days after they left Poona, I saw the report in the Press that your application for entering your name in the electoral roll had been rejected. This is confirmed by your letter received today. It is a strange decision, but at present we have to put up with such idiotic and arbitrary orders. It means that for the present your going to the Assembly is out of the question. It does not, however, matter much so far as you are concerned. Perhaps you will be able to do better service from outside. Many of us have never gone to any of these legislatures. Your remaining out will perhaps suit your temperament also. I will write to you later about the work to be done by you, in case you decide to settle down in Mahakoshal province.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. H.V. Kamath  
C/o Sjt. D.W. Mandpe  
Dhantoli  
Nagpur

Jubbulpore  
20 December 1945

My dear Sardar Sahib,

I understand your letter addressed to me is waiting for me at Raipur. In the meanwhile, learning about Mishraji's illness, I reached Jubbulpore yesterday and saw your letter addressed to

<sup>1</sup> Joined freedom movement in early 1920s; MLA (Central), 1926; Opposition whip under Motilal Nehru; Home Minister, CP, 1937-39; member, CWC, 1950; VC of Saugar University, 1956-62; Chief Minister, Madhya Pradesh, 1963-67; member, Central Parliamentary Board and Congress Working Committee, 1970-72

him from the train. Mr. Mishra is very grateful to you for your kind inquiries about his health. Since 1943, when he was in Vellore jail, he has been getting temperature practically every month. The real trouble could not be diagnosed at Nagpur. Now the expert here in the British Military Hospital says that the temperature is due to some infection in the kidney. It is hoped that the trouble will be known definitely in a day or two.

Even before Mr. Mishra got your letter we have been busy preparing our proposals for the Provincial Assembly. The last date for receiving applications from the candidates in the PCC office is 25 December. The district Congress committees have been asked to send their reports about the candidates by 4 January. The provincial board will meet on 6 January to make its own reports and recommendations. Our proposals will be in your hand latest by 10 January to enable you to accord your sanction by the middle of January.

As regards the Harijan seats we hope to capture all the eleven seats allotted to Mahakoshal. Mr. Hemchandra Khandekar has been persistently after us to give one of our seats to one Mr. Sakhare from Nagpur province in order to avoid the latter from opposing him in the city of Nagpur which also has a Harijan seat. Our Working Committee has rejected his request. As regards the Berar seats, Mr. Gavai and his group, the opponents of the Ambedkar party, are not willing to stand on the Congress ticket. He is not on good terms with [Brijlal] Biyaniji also. As regards Marathi CP Mr. Khandekar and his group are not willing to stand on the Congress ticket. Moreover, he is such an unscrupulous man that it is difficult to recommend him to anybody. My view is that in Marathi CP and Berar we should not interest ourselves in the primary elections of the Harijan candidates for the reason that a Congress candidate cannot win, defeating all the candidates set up by Dr. Ambedkar, Gavai and Khandekar groups. After the primary elections are over many will be found seeking Congress help and then we can select the best ones.

As regards the Muslim seats, our position is not desperate, as might be supposed; six seats lie in Berar, two in Marathi CP, five in Mahakoshal and the remaining one comprises two districts of Mahakoshal and one of Marathi CP. The Nationalist Muslims have formed a joint board for all the Congress provinces with headquarters at Nagpur. They are working on our instructions and in co-operation with us. We have asked the organisers

to seek the co-operation of Rankaji and Biyani. For the Mahakoshal seats we hope to set up two candidates on the Congress ticket and four on the Nationalist ticket. We are now, by a study of electoral rolls, trying to find out where we have the best chance. On these seats we wish to concentrate all our effort and money. We are trying to purchase an Urdu press for propaganda purposes.

You made inquiries on the phone about Mr. Ruiker. You already know my views about him. He is trying to strengthen his Forward Bloc by all sorts of means, throughout the provinces. His plans seem to be to have two seats for himself and his supporters in Marathi CP on the plea that he cannot stand on the Congress ticket from the Nagpur Labour seat. He wants to get a general seat, preferably the Nagpur city seat. I am afraid Mr. Ruiker has more up his sleeves than we have been able to discover so far. He is in touch with Dr. [N.B.] Khare<sup>1</sup> and is a great friend of Mr. Hemchandra Khandekar.

In the course of my recent visit to Nagpur I was informed by Mr. Ananta Sheorey of Independent [now Managing Editor, Nagpur Times] that under the guidance of Shri Vinoba Bhavé<sup>2</sup> and Jajuji, it was being earnestly proposed to send some Ashramites to the Provincial Assembly. Shri Dharmadhikari for the Nagpur City, Shri Shriman Narayan Agarwal for the Wardha-Chanda urban seat and Shri Gopal Rao Kale for the Arvi seat, are considered to be some of the candidates. Mr. Dharmadhikari is said to be trying to bring back some supporters of Dr. Khare. Mr. Sheorey who gave this information himself favours the idea and was pleading for our co-operation. He said that the Ashramites feel that to push through Gandhiji's schemes it was essential for some Ashramites to be in the Assembly. You already know my views regarding these matters and I must not repeat them. Apart from Shri Jajuji and Shriman Narayan the whole idea is to retrieve the Maharashtrian fortunes lost in 1938 as a result of Dr. Khare's conduct. It is also being attempted to bring in as many Maharashtrian candidates as is possible. So we are back to the 1936 position when the same game was being played! Much store is also being set by the visits of Shri Shankarrao Deo, who, they say gleefully, has been appointed Zonal Dictator by the Maharashtrian Berar and Nagpur province!

<sup>1</sup> Deposed Premier of CP; Member, Viceroy's Executive Council; once suspected of sympathy with RSS which was temporarily banned after assassination of Gandhi

<sup>2</sup> A leading Gandhian who pioneered bhoodan (land gift) movement



Before I conclude I wish to say a word about a candidate from Bombay province. He is Mr. Devjibhai of the firm of Heerji Govindji who has applied for the Bombay commerce seat. As against his opponent, Mr. Ramnath Poddar, Mr. Devjibhai actually participated in the 1942 movement and was imprisoned. I am interested in him inasmuch as his firms both at Nagpur and Jubbulpore have always helped the Congress. More than this I cannot say as I have no knowledge of the situation in the Bombay city.

I am leaving Jubbulpore today for Lucknow and will be returning to Jubbulpore by the 25th and Raipur 27th.

Yours sincerely,  
R.S. Shukla

411

24 December 1945

My dear Ravishankar,

I have received your letter of the 20th instant. Please write to me as soon as possible about the diagnosis in the Military Hospital of Mishraji's ailment.

If we get your proposals before 10 January, it will be all right for our purpose.

Your committee did well in rejecting Khandekar's proposal to accommodate him by giving one seat to Sakhare of Nagpur province. Khandekar appears to me to be an undesirable person. I agree with you that we should not meddle with the primary elections by putting up our candidates on the Congress ticket at this stage in Berar and Nagpur.

If you can succeed in securing even one or two seats on the Congress ticket in the Muslim constituencies, it would be very good. About the independent Muslims contesting the League candidates, I do not feel much interested.

Mr. Ruiker may have his own way if the local Congress organisation is not strong enough, and probably it is so. However, I will inquire from Mr. Ruiker if he has any objection to stand on the Congress ticket from the labour constituency instead of doing so as an independent one. His touch with Dr. Khare would do him no good and would perhaps do him harm if it is known to the people,

I know that efforts are being made to bring in Dada Dharmadhikari in the Assembly but I do not believe that he is in favour of any of Dr. Khare's old supporters. There is no doubt that Dada Dharmadhikari is held in high esteem for his honesty, integrity and even abilities and therefore they want to fill in the gap of leadership in Nagpur province for which there is great need. Amongst the Ashramites there is none who is willing to come in the Assembly except Mr. Shriman Narayan. Sri Jajuji's coming in is out of the question. He will not come even if he is pressed.

The idea of a zonal committee is all nonsense. It is a case of wishful thinking.

About Mr. Devjibhai, nothing can be said at present except that he is one of a dozen candidates from the Chamber, who propose to contest, and the decision will largely depend upon the recommendations of the Bombay Provincial Congress Committee, which will be guided by the influence of the candidate in the Chamber. Devjibhai is the most junior member amongst all the candidates in the Chamber and therefore he has the least influence. He is no doubt a Congressman, probably on the provincial Congress committee also, but I am not in a position to say anything at present.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbbhai Patel

Shri Ravishankar Shukla  
Raipur, CP

412

# EXTRACTS

Rajasthan Bhavan  
Akola  
20 December 1945

Respected Sardarji,

The Provincial Congress Committee met on the 16th and a Parliamentary Board has been appointed. The rules in connection with the election have also been framed. All decisions were unanimous. The last date for receiving applications is fixed for 6 January 1946. We could not fix earlier as the final date for hearing the claims and objections is 4 January 1946. I propose holding the meeting of the Provincial Parliamentary Board on

the 8th. All the recommendations will reach you by the 10th or 11th in time before 15 January.

The question of Scheduled Caste candidates is receiving my keen attention. Their primary election may come in the next month. I hope to contest and win all the four seats for this province in the final election. The followers of Ambedkar are numerous no doubt but I am sure of success and shall leave no stone unturned in this connection.

The question of Muslim constituencies is more difficult. There are six seats from this province out of 14 for the whole of CP & Berar. The Muslim population is numerous, rich and more organised in Berar. The League has a greater hold in this area owing to various reasons. The powerful workers of the League are from Berar. Under all these different circumstances, I am trying my level best and hope to set up some candidates against League nominees. I may even get some to stand on the Congress tickets or as Nationalist Muslims.

The question of general constituencies is not very difficult. I hope to win all the seats provided the situation is properly handled.

With deepest regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Brijlal Biyani

413

25 December 1945

My dear Brijlal,

I have received your letter of the 20th instant. It is difficult for me to visit Akola at present as I am not in a fit state of health for the strain of journey.

I am glad to hear that your decisions in connection with the election have all been unanimous. The question of Scheduled Castes candidates in your province and in Nagpur requires careful consideration. The Mahar community is largely to be found in these areas and hence we must particularly [take] care to see that no one of the followers of Dr. Ambedkar succeeds. The final elections will take place first and you may not, if you so desire, interfere with it except putting one of your own men without giving a ticket; he can be adopted on our ticket after he succeeds in the election.

The non-Muslim General constituencies will be won without difficulty all over, but the Muslim constituencies are difficult and perhaps we may not succeed in getting a single seat. However, we will discuss that question when you come here.

As you are engaged till the 31st, you may come as soon as you are free, say, on 1 or 2 January. It is possible that I may have to go to Ahmedabad after the 3rd and so you must not delay your coming here.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sri Brijlal Biyani  
President,  
Vidarbha Provincial Congress Committee  
Akola

414

Seoni (CP)  
21 December 1945

From  
The General Secretary  
The Azad Muslim Parliamentary Board  
CP & Berar

My respected Sardar,  
Jai-Hind

The Central Muslim (Nationalist) Parliamentary Board had authorised me to form a provincial board in CP & Berar, and I am glad to inform you that the said board was formed in Nagpur on the 9th inst. Maulvi Chiaghuddin, ex-vice-president, Mahakoshal PCC, was elected as president of the board and myself as secretary. The board in its meeting of the 17th inst. decided to support the Muslim candidates to be set up by the Congress in this province and the board will set up candidates on its own ticket in the remaining constituencies of the province. In Berar, it does not seem possible to set up candidates on the Congress or Azad Muslim Board ticket, and if even after further efforts the position is not changed we will have to support such independent candidates who openly declare that they are against Pakistan and the two-nation theory of the League. . . .

Yours faithfully,  
M. Maqbul Ahmed

30 December 1945

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter dated 21st inst.

I gather from your letter that you want to see me personally in connection with the selection of candidates for the Muslim constituencies in the province of CP. If you desire to do so, you can come to Bombay in the first week of January and intimate to me the date of your coming before doing so. I trust you have acquainted Sjt. Ravishankar Shukla with your proposals. Much will depend on the recommendations received from the provincial Congress committee concerned.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

M. Maqbul Ahmed, Esq.  
Secretary  
Azad Muslim Parliamentary Board  
CP & Berar  
Seoni  
CP

## EXTRACTS

Walker Road  
Nagpur City  
24 December 1945

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Central Election Board  
Congress House  
Bombay

Dear Sardarji,

The resolutions passed by the Nagpur Textile Union are intended to enable the labour representatives to co-operate with the Indian National Congress and to defeat the game of other communalists in the labour movement who may perhaps try to

disrupt the ranks of the working class. At Nagpur itself the situation is very much complicated owing to a strong party working under the guidance of Dr. Ambedkar and if you really want to capture all the four seats at Nagpur, i.e. Nagpur-cum-Kamptee, the Trade Union constituency seat, the Scheduled Caste seat and the Women seat, then we have to proceed very cautiously and carefully, otherwise there is every danger that we may lose one of the seats at any rate. I can assure you that if our viewpoint is taken into consideration by you and the board, in making the final selections of the various candidates for the four seats at Nagpur, then I am confident that all the four seats in Nagpur can be won by the Congress. I have absolutely no objection if the representative selected by our trade union stands on the Congress ticket; on the other hand it will be my endeavour to see that whosoever is elected finally by the trade union to contest the labour constituency, he should sign the Congress creed and ultimately fight the elections on the Congress-cum-labour ticket. It may be necessary to grant certain freedom of action to the trade union representatives so far as purely economic matters relating to day-to-day trade union work are concerned; on all other questions the working class representatives should wholly fall in line with the Congress. I do not for a moment want that the Congress should disown its representative character so far as labour is concerned. On the other hand I want the entire Congress to be a labour organisation that is the goal of the August Resolution and I stand by it. But as pointed out above, the problem of the labour seat in Nagpur is not one which can be dissociated from the other seats at Nagpur, as an overwhelming majority of the working class in Nagpur has got also a vote in the General constituency as well as the Scheduled Caste constituency. The reason why the Union has passed such a resolution to which you have referred in your letter is that I am not the only person who has got the final word in deciding all these matters, though it is correct that I am the president of the Nagpur Textile Union. The resolution represents the views also of those who are somewhat shy of completely falling in line with the Congress but it is a distinct victory for those like me in the trade union who want the Congress and labour to fall in line and who also want that all elections at Nagpur should be fought on the Congress ticket. If the situation at Nagpur is managed tactfully, taking into consideration the feelings of the Nagpur working class and the general public and the rank and file of the Congress, I am confident that the Congress will be able to

capture all the four seats at Nagpur including the Trade Union constituency, the Scheduled Caste, the Nagpur-cum-Kamptee General seat and the Women seat.

I am  
Yours truly,  
R. S. Ruiker

417

27 December 1945

My dear Ruiker,

I have received your letter of the 24th inst.

Regarding the Textile Union constituency, I have seen your viewpoint. It would be better if you could discuss this matter with Sri Shankarrao Deo who happens to be there from today for a few days. If you cannot persuade the Textile Labour Union to go whole hog with the Congress, the next best alternative will have to be considered. In any event, we must not allow any seat to go out of the Congress or to any anti-Congress candidates.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

R.S. Ruiker, Esq.  
President, Nagpur Textile Union  
Walker Road  
Nagpur

418

30 December 1945

Dear Captain Paranjpe,

I received your letter dated the 1st inst. at Calcutta. You must have received my telegram regarding the policy of admitting suitable army officers in the Assembly election. Before I could write to you further I received your letter of the 18th inst. It would appear from your letter that even if you are immediately selected as a Congress candidate for the Legislative Assembly election, you will remain as an army officer for 80 days after the date of release. The earliest your nomination can be sanctioned would be on 15 January when the Central Election Board meets at Delhi and 80 days thereafter would take the period toward the

end of March next. In this connection I have to point out to you that under Sec. 69 (1) (a) a person is disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of the Provincial Legislative Assembly if he holds any office of profit under the Crown in India and I feel that as long as your name remains on the pay list you will be deemed to be in the service of the Crown in India. Even if you are released immediately, the 80 days thereafter will be about 10 March. Your nomination must be filed by the end of January or the beginning of February, though no dates are officially announced by the Central Provinces Government. I do not see therefore how you can stand as a Congress candidate in the forthcoming election. If a way can be found out of this legal difficulty, I would ask the provincial Congress committee to consider your case favourably and carefully and even the Central Election Board may deal with your case as an exceptional one. As the matter stands, however, I do not think it will be possible to put you up as a Congress candidate. I regret that I have to come to this conclusion but it seems that the law is otherwise and even the recent Act passed by Parliament is of no help to you in the matter.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Capt. K.B. Paranjpe  
C/o RTO, Railway Quarters  
Poona

419

Madan Mahal Extension  
Jubbulpore  
3 January 1946

Revered Sardar Sahib,

I am extremely sorry I could not answer your kind letter earlier owing to prolonged illness. With your ashirvad [blessings] I am now free from fever. If I do not get another attack I hope to gain strength gradually. . . .

Reports and recommendations about various candidates have reached us practically from all the districts. The Provincial Election Board will complete its job by the 7th and you will have our proposals by the 10th instant.

We are likely to face some difficult problems.



In conclusion I want to assure you that I have no intention of being called for consultation at the time of final selection. Shuklaji has my complete confidence. I have sense enough to know that you want me to co-operate with him in the interest of the province. It is a matter of pleasure for me to carry out your wishes in this respect. All my suspicions of 1926 disappeared when I worked with him from 1937 to 1939. He is now in the evening of his life and I would rather suffer in silence than cause him any pain.

On receiving the report that you were going to Calcutta by train Seth Govind Das went to see you at the Jubbulpore station but it was subsequently learnt that you flew to Calcutta. When you returned he had gone out of station. He is anxious to meet you. You will be pleased to learn that all misunderstandings caused by interested people have now been cleared up and he has promised to co-operate with Shuklaji as well as with me. I look upon this as an achievement as disgruntled people would have gathered round him and caused disunity in the province.

Yours respectfully,  
D. P. Mishra

420

7 January 1946

My dear Mishraji,

I have received your letter of the 3rd instant. As in many provinces, provincial Congress committees being in charge of all people who are not in tune with the current of the present temper and atmosphere in the country, difficulties are experienced in the matter of selection of candidates. Your province is also one of them. I am therefore not surprised to hear that you will not find the matter so smooth and simple. However, you need not worry about it. Everything will be adjusted in accordance with the requirements of the situation and keeping in view the interest of the province as a whole. I am extremely glad to hear that the mental curtain between you and Seth Govind Das has been removed and you have become of one mind as before. Life-long friendship should not be allowed to be disturbed by suspicion and distrust. Such things can be removed by frankness and mutual desire to come together and also to remain together. I trust that there will be no further misunderstanding. I did not

know that Seth Govind Das wanted to see me. Perhaps if I had known, I would have called him.

You need not come to Delhi when the proposal for selections will be considered, but we shall call the president of the provincial Congress committee and Shuklaji. That I think will be enough.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Dwarka Prasad Mishra  
Madan Mahal Extension •  
Jubbulpore

421

TELEGRAM

Raipur  
7 January 1946

Sardar Patel  
Bombay •

FOURTEEN OUT OF FIFTEEN MEMBERS MAHAKOSHAL PARLIAMENTARY BOARD APPLIED. HENCE REQUEST IMPARTIAL JUDGMENT.

KANHAIAI LAL LUNIA

422

8 January 1946

The Secretary,  
Mahakoshal Provincial Congress Committee  
Raipur

Dear Friend,

I am quoting below copy of a telegram which has been received in this office:

"FOURTEEN OUT OF FIFTEEN MEMBERS MAHAKOSHAL PARLIAMENTARY BOARD APPLIED. HENCE REQUEST IMPARTIAL JUDGMENT."

If the facts mentioned in the telegram are true, I would advise you to set matters right and appoint a small board of which members are not themselves seeking tickets for nomination. The complaint appears to be legitimate and it cannot be ignored. It would be unwise to shut your ears to such a legitimate complaint.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

423

TELEGRAM

Yeotmal

10 January 1946

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

WIRE BERAR CONGRESS CANDIDATE SELECTION DATE WHETHER  
REPRESENTATION IN PERSON ALLOWED BEFORE BOARD.

DESHPANDE PLEADER

424

TELEGRAM

68 Marine Drive  
Bombay

11 January 1946

Deshpande  
Yeotmal

PERSONAL REPRESENTATION ALLOWED BUT CONSIDERED UNDESIRABLE.

VALLABHBHAI PATEL

425

EXTRACTS

Jubbulpore

14 January 1946

My dear Sardar Sahib,

Thakur Chedi Lal, owing to his indolent disposition and easy-going habits, took no interest in the day-to-day political life of this province and allowed it to be mishandled by self-seekers. A man like Amar Singh Saigal possessing no reputation for moral integrity was drafted into the Provincial Working Committee. Raghunath Sinha Kiledar, who had no Congress activity to his credit and had joined the Congress for the first time after its ministry had been installed in the province,

was appointed general secretary in disregard of the claims of veteran Congressmen. His unpopularity in his own district will be obvious from the fact that his candidature for the Narsinghpur-Gadarwara seat has been by an overwhelming majority rejected by the executive of the DCC, which was consulted like the rest of the district executives in respect of the candidates coming from them. Kashi Prasad Pande, a thoroughgoing opportunist, who always stepped into a legislative chamber in the teeth of the bans imposed by the Congress from time to time and who left no stone unturned to sneak into any cabinet by whomsoever formed, was appointed on the Working Committee of the province. This gentleman, in order to get a recommendation of his present candidature from his own district executive, was constrained to enter into a pact with Syt. Bhawani Prasad Tiwari, president of the Jubbulpore Nagar Congress Committee, to throw overboard a reputed and respected Congressman like Pandit Kunjlal Dube, who has sought the Congress ticket for the seat of Jubbulpore city. Even Syt. Pande's best friend, Thakur Chedi Lal, who, in pursuance of the procedure laid down by the provincial election board, was appointed to supervise the proceedings of this executive committee, was compelled to report that the members "appeared to me to be fully canvassed and already determined to record their votes. The whole atmosphere was unreal and to me the results of the deliberations appeared to be more or less mechanical." (The quotation is from Thakur Chedi Lal's report Flag C in File No. 29.)

Yours very sincerely,  
D.K. Mehta

426

29 January 1946

My dear Mehta,

I had received your letter of the 14th at Delli. In a democratic organisation, all sorts of people get in and it is difficult to prevent all people from getting into power. However, in the matter of selection of candidates, the proposals that were brought forward by Thakur Chedi Lal were scrutinised and eventually all the proposals that were agreed upon have been accepted by Shuklaji. For the present, therefore, no blame can be attached to Thakur Chedi Lal and in future if there is any difficulty you

can write to me so that steps can be taken to remedy the wrong, if any.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. D.K. Mehta  
Jubbulpore

427 .

TELEGRAM

Jubbulpore  
28 January 1946

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

GREAT DISSATISFACTION RESENTMENT ON SELECTION JUBBULPORE DISTRICT CANDIDATES. DISTRICT TOWN CONGRESS UNANIMOUS RECOMMENDATIONS COMPLETELY IGNORED. PRAY RECONSIDERATION. DEPUTATION WISH REPRESENT PERSONALLY. KINDLY WIRE DATE.

SECRETARY, TOWN CONGRESS

428

TELEGRAM

29 January 1946

Secretary  
Town Congress  
Jubbulpore

BOARDS DECISION FINAL. NO APPEAL POSSIBLE.

VALLABHBHAI PATEL

429

6 February 1946

Dear Mr. Kedar,

I have received your letter of the 30th ult. today as I was away from headquarters.

I am glad you appreciate the final selections made by us from Mahakoshal. I have noted that you are critical about the selections from Berar, but you will agree that we cannot improve

upon the material because when making selections we have no choice but to confine ourselves to the provincial recommendations. In Berar perhaps the general standard may not come up to expectations because men of parliamentary experience may not be available for selection.

I have received no proposals from Nagpur as yet. Your ability as a good parliamentarian is undisputed. Your ability as a good lawyer cannot perhaps be surpassed or equalled in Nagpur, but your selection as a Congress candidate may be questioned by many because of your differences with Congress policy and programme and of your inability to fit in with the Congress atmosphere. In the past you have found it difficult to accept Congress discipline and therefore it is possible that the local provincial committee may not recommend your nomination. It would, therefore, be difficult for the Centre to interfere.

Those who support Government have often found by experience that in the end they are thrown away when its purpose is served and therefore Congressmen have made it a rule never to be instruments of this Government, under any circumstances. If you had followed that rule faithfully, you would not have come in disfavour of the Government as you now find yourself to be. However, I congratulate you for your acting according to your convictions, in spite of your incurring the displeasure of the Government in the matter of the opening of the Commerce College.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. T.J. Kedar  
Advocate  
Nagpur

430

EXTRACT FROM T. J. KEDAR'S LETTER TO SARDAR PATEL  
DATED 8 FEBRUARY 1946

. . . But I think you will permit me to differ from you when you say that I became an instrument of Government. If you refer to my election as Vice-Chancellor, I took that position because the Congress Ministry itself put me in that position. In the CP, Vice-Chancellors are elected and not nominated. Once the election takes place, the person elected is bound to be accepted as Vice-Chancellor in the same way as ministers chosen by the

majority party are accepted by Government as ministers. The Congress was not in office at the time of the second election. Still Government could not do much at the time as my popularity was very high on account of the stand I had taken in admitting 600 Hindu students of Hyderabad University who had been expelled for singing Bande Mataram. No other university, not even the Benaras Hindu University gave them an asylum. The Government did not like it but they could not do anything. On the third occasion the political issue involved in the opening of the Commerce College made it possible for the Government to induce some of my supporters to go over to the opposite camp.

If I had been an instrument of Government I alone of all Vice-Chancellors would not have remained un-knighted.

All the same I realise your difficulties. I shall most cheerfully bow to any decision that may be arrived at.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,  
T.J. Kedar

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

431

11 February 1946

Dear Mr. Kedar,

I have received your letter of the 8th inst.

I am thankful for your correcting my wrong impression about your becoming an instrument of Government in your being a Vice-Chancellor, which I thought was an office in the gift of Government. I am also glad that you appreciate my difficulties in the matter of selection of candidates and I congratulate you for your readiness to willing acceptance of our decision in the Central Board.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. T.J. Kedar  
Nagpur

22 February 1946

Dear Mr. Kedar,

Thanks for your telegram of yesterday. Rankaji had assured me that he will accept our decision whatever it may be after his having received a letter from Mahatmaji, but evidently his colleagues are greatly dissatisfied and therefore he has also changed his stand. He now complains of humiliation but if it was so why did he give me that assurance when he was here? Anyway, there is no question of any humiliation in this matter, as the final selections were to be made by the Central Board. No proper recommendations were made from the province at all. The board of 15 in the province abdicated its powers and delegated the same to six, and these six in their turn delegated the delegated powers to Rankaji and practically there were no recommendations from the province. However, where there are more applications and few seats, there is bound to be such discontentment, especially where the applicants are not accustomed to accept decisions loyally.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. T. J. Kedar  
Nagpur

Jubbulpore  
7 February 1946

My dear Sardar Sahib,

As I have already told you on the phone, I have requested Mishraji to see you at Bombay. Although he is not quite well, I am sure he will meet you in a couple of days and explain the situation.

The announcement of candidates has met with universal approval here except among some of the rejected candidates. It is they who are engineering agitation. Before they started their agitation I was sure 50 per cent of the seats would go



uncontested and our candidates returned unopposed. Their airing of dissatisfaction publicly is encouraging the opponents of the Congress to offer opposition to our candidates. Of course this opposition in most of the constituencies will be only technical, and feeble too, but all the same it will force us to make all the arrangements an election involves. It would be in the best interest of the province if the regular candidates are firmly told that after the list had been finally approved the all-India Board could not hear appeals against its own decision. But unfortunately what has happened in the province of UP is encouraging the mischief-mongers in this province. I met Pandit Govind Ballabh Pant at Lucknow. I found him rather sad as Maulana Sahib [Azad] has replaced some of the candidates even after their names had been published by the all-India Board. While this sort of action may be merely unfortunate in a province like UP, it is sure to prove disastrous in a composite province like ours.

Yours sincerely,  
R. Shukla

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

434

8 February 1946

My dear Ravishankar,

I have received your letter of the 7th inst. I have already written to you that no changes will be made in the decisions that have been taken and therefore no appeals will be entertained on the nominations published.

Poonamchand is coming tomorrow with the Nagpur proposals. I will see what [D.P.] Mishraji has to say in the matter.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Ravishankar Shukla  
Raipur

11 February 1946

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter of the 8th inst. giving all the information re: the discharge of your son, Capt. Paranjpe, and also about his whereabouts. I am glad you have given us the necessary information and there will be no difficulty about his selection as a Congress candidate from Nagpur province.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. D. V. Paranjpe  
Dharampeth  
Nagpur

Raipur  
12 February 1946

My dear Sardar Sahib,

On return here today from Nagpur I received your two letters dated the 6th and 8th inst. Thanks many. We are now going ahead with full force making arrangements for nominations.

I met Poonamchandji at Nagpur and discussed with him the proposals for joint constituencies. University of course goes to Dr. Hasan and the commerce seat will go, I feel, to Mulla Abdullahi. He can succeed only if you kindly ask Biyani to see that Singhi (Munim of Seth Gopaldas Mehta) and Seth Gopaldas help Mullaji. Seth Gopaldas intended to stand from this constituency and had therefore got about 400 to 500 voters registered. We must now see that these voters vote for Mullaji. If this is done Mullaji's chances are then almost certain.

As regards the landholders constituency, after discussion with me Rankaji agreed to the selection of Mrityanjaya Prasad. He was no doubt hesitating and had, it appeared, a soft corner for Bhonsle and I believe he might have told you that Mrityanjaya Prasad's success was doubtful. I think he is not right. Knowing

the voters as I do, I feel that we can win this seat for the Congress. Our Bhujangrao Rao from Chanda district is also standing. If there is a triangular contest, our Congress candidate is sure to succeed. If there is only Bhonsle or Bhujangrao we may have to work hard for our candidate. There is no doubt that a good deal of sentiment is behind Bhonsle. You may consider all these facts and decide whether we should leave this seat uncontested.

As regards the town seat of Nagpur, I find that there is a strong feeling throughout the town that a resident of the town should be adopted. Avari is a very strong candidate for the town. I know there is a good deal of prejudice against him and he is reputed to be pig-headed. But the Avari of 1946 is not the Avari of 1940. He has changed a good deal and is very much sobered down. He will be, I can assure you, very loyal to us and will do nothing to merit censure from you. He has suffered the most and I feel his selection will be most welcome in the town. I feel almost certain he will be returned unopposed. If he cannot be given the city seat I would request you to give him a rural seat. Two days ago I had a talk with Ganpatrao Pande. He told me that if any candidate who is not a resident of Bhandara district is selected he will withdraw. Therefore, if in the place of Makae Guruji Avari is put up Pandeji may retire. Pande is a powerful candidate and it would be difficult to unseat him. Even if this proposal is not accepted I shall indeed be very happy if Avari is given some other constituency. If Dada Dharmadhikari is adopted from the city of Nagpur he will be severely opposed. Great effort will have to be made to bring him. The Arvi seat, I learn, will be hotly contested if any other person except a non-Brahmin is adopted. Wagh will perhaps be most suitable. Of course you have almost all interested persons present in Bombay. All can safely rely on your judgment. You will get this by the 15th noon. If there is anything which you wish to ask me I shall be available at Phone No. 154.

With best wishes,

I am,  
Yours sincerely,  
R. Shukla

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

16 February 1946

My dear Ravishankar,

I have received your letter of the 12th inst. Nothing can be done now regarding Avāri. The consideration of proposals from Nagpur has reached a final stage and nothing can be done to change the conclusions. Your letter was too late.

Mishraji had come here and I discussed the matter fully with him and the Nagpur friends, including Kishorelalji. He will explain to you everything.

Thakur Chedi Lal has come here. He is not indifferent about the little discontentment or agitation that has been going on in Mahakoshal about some of the selections. He defends the Central Board's sanction in toto, and has not said a word against it. He came here only for the purpose of obtaining sanction for the substitute of the Harijan candidate, who turned traitor.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Pandit Ravishankar Shukla  
Raipur

EXTRACT FROM SHRI MAHESH DUTT MISHRA'S LETTER TO  
SARDAR PATEL DATED 12-2-46

The workers of the Harda-Seoni constituency are independent minded but are known throughout the province for scrupulousness, sacrifice and sincere work. It is due to them that there is unity in the district despite the efforts of a few opportunist elements. When such workers decided upon the name of Pandit C.G. Mishra (father) it was after a great heart-searching discussion on principles. Although the support was spontaneous, the workers discussed all aspects of supporting a non-jail-goer candidate. The support is unanimous and what looks due to there being four applications is only due to the confusion in the province. If we were given a chance to prove we would have collected signatures from all Congressmen and even voters of the constituency.

But since we know that Shuklaji was aware of it we assert this again that Pandit C.G. Mishra was supported by all the workers of the constituency. Moreover it was due to him only that the workers agreed on one application being sent. I myself tried them to be unanimous on some other name but failed. So this was one of the temptations that led me to support his candidature. Now by imposing an outsider, whatever sacrifice may be to his credit was a grave injustice to the constituency and the workers who had to suffer.

439

21 February 1946

My dear Mahesh,

I have received your letter of the 12th inst. You need to have much stronger nerves than you seem to possess if you want to serve your country. The Assembly is not the only place for service and you should not have taken the matter of rejection of your father's nomination so seriously. There is nothing unusual in such matters and there is no need to attribute any motives to anybody or to find out reasons other than those which are apparent for the decision that has been taken. You must learn to be tolerant to others and you must also learn to take such minor defeats sportingly.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Mahesh Dutt Mishra  
9 Hamilton Road  
Allahabad

440

Raipur  
22 February 1946

My dear Sardar Sahib,

Notwithstanding the assurances contained in your letters of the 6th, 8th and 16th I am informed by [D.P.] Mishraji on the phone that Maulana Sahib has allowed K. P. Pande, an arch-intriguer to enter the Assembly. I also learn that some change is being made even at this stage (after nominations) in the

Dhamtari constituency of this district. God only knows what is in store for us in this unfortunate province.

Yours sincerely,  
R. Shukla

PS.

In case of necessity you may kindly phone me at N. 49 or 77.

.441

TELEGRAM

Karachi  
14 February 1946

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Congress House  
Bombay

YOUR CABLE. SECURED AIR PASSAGE AND LANDED TODAY. IMMENSELY GRATEFUL. MEETING YOU AFTER FILING NOMINATION.

KALAPPA

442

14 February 1946

My dear Kalappa,

I have received your wire from Karachi and I am glad you have returned. Your nomination in the labour constituency in Mahakoshal has created an amount of trouble, as there are other claimants who complain that you are not doing any service in this constituency, and therefore you have no right to be its representative. We have rejected all these appeals but you must bear in mind that in these days of democracy, if you will not keep in touch with your constituency, the voters will certainly have a genuine grievance and you will put the Congress organisation in the wrong. I have just brought this fact to your notice in order that you may fully discharge your duty towards your voters.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. V.R. Kalappa  
44 King's Way  
Nagpur

Rajasthan Bhawan  
Akola  
14 February 1946

The Secretary,  
Central Election Board  
Congress House  
Bombay

Dear Friend,

Your letter of 9 February 1946. I know the disqualification that is against Mr. G.B. Thakre. An application has been made for the removal of the disqualification and I hope the disqualification will be removed in time. In case it is not removed an alternative name will be kept ready. I shall inform you the alternative name.

Yours sincerely,  
G. R. Kulkarni  
Secretary

17 February 1946

My dear Biyani,

I received your letter of the 14th inst. today. We waited for the alternative name, but your letter, instead of giving us the name, informs us that the name will be kept ready. That is not proper. The substitute's name should be sanctioned by the Central Board. We have received complaints about this matter and your reluctance to give the name lends colour to the complaint. We have sent you a telegram today as under:

**"YOUR LETTER. IF THAKRE'S DISQUALIFICATION NOT REMOVED CENTRAL BOARD SANCTIONS DOCTOR SONAR."**

If Sjt. Thakre's disqualification is not removed, Dr. Sonar's nomination is to be filed. Please inform him accordingly.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Brijlal Biyani  
Rajasthan Bhawan  
Akola

445

Rajasthan Bhawan  
Akola  
20 February 1946

Respected Sardarji,

I have received your letter of the 17th in connection with the case of Shri Thakre. It has pained me a little as I find there is some misunderstanding. Let me assure you at the outset that there was never the slightest desire or the remotest thought to evade the authority of the Central Board. I have disinterestedly carried out all your orders and shall unhesitatingly do so in future. Please allow me to offer a little explanation.

Your letter of the 9th was received by me on the 14th. Before this an application was made for the removal of the disqualification and I was expecting an early decision. On receipt of your letter of the 9th. I could not give you the substitute name immediately as I wanted to consult. I was expecting an early decision on Mr. Thakre's application too. Under these circumstances your letter was only acknowledged. The wording in my letter of the 14th is not very happy but I only meant that after consultation I shall intimate the name. There was no idea of delaying. Please excuse me for unhappy construction.

On the 16th morning I learnt by telephone from Nagpur that the application was rejected. That very day, i.e. on the 16th, I posted you a letter, intimating to you the alternate candidate. Unfortunately this letter did not reach you in time. If I had the slightest desire to evade or delay I would not have posted the letter on the 16th. The date for nomination for this constituency was the 23rd. There was a clear week's time to get your sanction. I hope my letter of the 16th will convince you that there was nothing of the kind in my mind as you have hinted in your letter of the 17th. I have carried out your instructions carefully and fully.



Respected Sardarji, I again assure you that I shall ever carry out your instructions without the slightest evasion. A line in reply that the misunderstanding has been removed will give me immense consolation.

With best regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Brijlal Biyani

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

446

22 February 1946

My dear Biyani,

I have received your letter of the 20th inst. I know that you would not do anything against my instructions and on that account your assurance is unnecessary. I waited for your reply and you made no reference about it in your subsequent letters and telegrams, so I thought that there was something unusual, as already I had received a suggestion that you were thinking of putting Sjt. Deshmukh at the last moment as a substitute. You are very punctual in your correspondence and the delay coupled with the warning given made me feel that there was something in it and therefore I had to send the telegram. Even after the telegram, there was some misunderstanding and you had to telephone. However, this is of no consequence.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Brijlal Biyani  
Akola

447

20 February 1946

Dear Friend,

I have received your telegram of the 18th inst. reading:

"NEWSPAPER REPORTS ABOUT FINAL LIST UNBELIEVABLE. PRAY INFORM CORRECT LIST. IF REPORT TRUE DECISION UNJUST. SEEMS NAGPUR PROVINCIAL CONGRESS RELEGATED TO POSITION PARTY. GREAT DISSATISFACTION PREVAILS AMONGST WORKERS. PRAY FOR AN OPPORTUNITY TO PLACE CORRECT FACTS BEFORE YOU AND CONVINCE YOU OF THE INCORRECTNESS OF THE

REPORTED DECISION. CHANGES IN LIST UTMOST NECESSARY IN THE INTEREST OF PROVINCE AND ITS WELFARE. PRAY DO NEEDFUL IMMEDIATELY."

I have also read in the Press that Sjt. Avari is fasting as a protest. Perhaps Nagpur is the only place in the whole of India where such protests and demonstrations are staged against the Central Board's selections. I can only invite your attention to Gandhiji's article giving the best advice about our desire to rush into these assemblies. Unless this is checked, we are likely to lose what we have gained by our sacrifices. Why should people, who make so many sacrifices in the struggle, expect return for these sacrifices by way of compensation and claim to go to the councils? They render their sacrifices worthless and discredit the organisation. Instead of giving strength to the Congress they try to weaken it. There must be some finality to the decisions. There can be no appeal or revision of these decisions and the date of nomination will also be over now.

Your provincial board had been of no help to us. In the first place, your Board which consisted of 15 delegated its powers to 6; delegated powers cannot be delegated to anybody but these 6 in their turn delegated their powers to one man, who in fact brought no report as required by the Central Board. There was no help from the province in the matter of selection as was required according to its resolution. The Central Board got your proposals very late; otherwise they would have been disposed of at Delhi along with the Mahakoshal and Berar proposals. Your board, instead of being helpful, has been more or less obstructive. Even after the selections, it is trying to create difficulties. This is not the way in which your province can either build or maintain its reputation in the Congress organisation or outside. You must accept the decisions loyally and try to create an atmosphere in which there will be no contest.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Secretary  
Nagpur Provincial Congress Committee  
Nagpur

Jubbulpore  
26 February 1946

Revered Sardar Sahib,

Except in the case of the Backward Tribes constituency, for which nomination papers will be filed today, nominations for all constituencies are over. Besides one European, one Anglo-Indian and two Muslim Leaguers, 18 Congressmen have been returned unopposed, all from Mahakoshal. In three constituencies, including that of Thakur Chedi Lal, there are no caste Hindu candidates to oppose our candidates but as there are two Harijans in each of them the Congress caste Hindu and the Congress Harijan candidates could not go unopposed. A man of absolutely no importance has been set up against Shuklaji by the Hindu Mahasabha out of spite.

In the district of Saugor, Messrs Sapre, Vasudeo Prasad Srivastava and Gopilal Srivastava, all Congressmen, are opposing Messrs Shrikrishna Selot, Swami Krishnananda and B.L. Jain, the authorised Congress candidates. The brain behind are Mr. Sapre and his Maharashtrian friends, and the two Srivastavas are merely tools in their hands. But for their rebellion these three seats would have gone unopposed as the Hindu Sabhaite opponent of Mr. Jain offered to withdraw if the rebel Congressman did the same. Although the dates for the withdrawal have passed I still believe the rebels will give up their opposition. If they do not, they will have to forego their securities.

In the district of Jubbulpore we have to fight only in Murwara Rural and in the Labour constituency of Mr. Kalappa. The real difficulty is in Mr. Kalappa's constituency. To add to difficulties disgruntled Congressmen, more wicked than those of Saugor, have openly supported Mr. Kalappa and quietly set up non-Congressmen against him. Out of four of Mr. Kalappa's opponents we are trying to get withdrawn as many as possible. As I have not been in touch with labour I have not yet been able to gauge the situation. However, nothing will be left undone to secure success for Mr. Kalappa.

Yours sincerely,  
D.P. Mishra

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

2 March 1946

My dear Mishra,

I have received your letter of 26 February. I am glad about the 18 uncontested returns, but I am sorry to hear that some Congressmen have thought it fit to rebel against the organisation and file their nomination papers against some of the Congress nominees. Notices should be issued against them for disciplinary action without delay.

I have written to Shuklaji about Sri Kashiram Pandey. I must get all the facts about him as it was wrong of him to have obtained ex parte decision from Maulana after having failed in his attempt with me here. At present, I shall say nothing more about it, but I wish to know all his antecedents and the comparative merits between him and the candidate who was first selected by the Central Board. It is very unfair that the candidate selected by the Board was let down without being given an opportunity of hearing.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. D.P. Mishra  
Jubbulpore

450

TELEGRAM

6 March 1946

Pandit Shukla and Chedi Lal,

MAULANA WRITES HEARING APPEAL HE FOUND SHEER INJUSTICE DONE TO KASHIRAM PANDEY. WIRED TO PROVINCIAL BOARD WAITED REPLY FIVE DAYS NO SATISFACTORY REPLY RECEIVED AND DECIDED IN HIS FAVOUR. WIRE ALL PARTICULARS AND COMPARATIVE MERITS OF CANDIDATES CONCERNED.

VALLABHBHAI

EXTRACT FROM R. S. SHUKLA'S LETTER TO SARDAR PATEL  
DATED 15 MARCH, 1946

. . . The position of our candidates is very sound except that of Mr Kalappa. I think Mishraji will manage it. I believe we shall have cent per cent success. I have visited all the important centres of the constituency of Moulvi Maqbur Ahmed, our Congress candidate. His position is improving every day and I hope he too may succeed....

18 March 1946

My dear Ravishankar,

I have received your letter of the 15th inst. today.

You seem to have made no arrangements about redirecting the telegrams to you when you are on tour. When I received no reply to my telegram about your interference in Avari's fast for so many days, I was surprised. It is not right that telegrams should remain unanswered for a number of days. However, this is now an old story and unless Avari does any other stupid thing again, this matter is closed.

The elections will soon be over and you will have to think of the formation of a ministry. You must let me know immediately what your plan is or you may come down to Bombay for a day for discussion. We may have to go to Delhi by the end of this month.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Pandit Ravishankar Shukla  
Raipur

Akola

17 March 1946

Respected Sardarji,

These are the facts which I know about Mrs. Sharadabai Angal who withdrew in favour of the Congress candidate. I did not know the lady before. It was after the withdrawal that she came to Akola and met me.

After having filed a nomination paper, Sjt. Kochar, president of the Amraoti Nagar Congress Committee, requested Mrs. Sharadabai to withdraw in favour of the Congress candidate. She agreed accordingly and filed her withdrawal. I also sent my congratulatory telegram to her. Next day after withdrawal she and her husband came to me suddenly and asked me to accompany them to Mrs. Radhadevi Goenka to request her to proceed to Amraoti immediately and to donate something for starting some institutions to work among the ladies. I told them both that it was not proper for them to approach Mrs. Goenka for donations immediately after the withdrawal. Both of them were upset. I told them that the only thing that I could do was to arrange the meeting between Mrs. Angal and Mrs. Goenka, and Mrs. Angal could then speak to Mrs. Goenka what she thought proper. In the evening they met and talked. Mrs. Goenka did not like the way in which she was approached. She could not go to Amraoti immediately as her daughter was ill. On this Mrs. Angal went dissatisfied and then came the letter that I have received, copies of which have been sent to you.

There is no truth in her allegations.

With best regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Brijlal Biyani

20 March 1946

My dear Brijlal,

I have your letter of the 17th inst. It was indiscreet on your part to arrange a meeting between Mrs. Angal and Mrs. Goenka for the purpose of securing a donation from her soon after Mrs. Angal's withdrawal from the contest. You should have told them that you could not be a party to any such thing. If she had given any donation, it would have been interpreted as a bribe promised for the withdrawal. She was wise to refuse but you could not muster courage to say no. She has sent copies of her letter to Bapu and also to other leaders of the Congress. This is an unnecessary scandal arising out of that meeting which should not have been encouraged at all.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sjt. Brijlal Biyani  
Akola

EXTRACT FROM V. R. KALAPPA'S LETTER, DATED 15  
APRIL 1946, TO SARDAR PATEL

I am glad to report to you that all the three Congress-minded rivals withdrew at the eleventh hour in my favour. As a matter of fact Sri Hari Prasad Pathak, after declaring his withdrawal at a public meeting, actively worked for my success. All is well that ends well.

456

New Delhi  
17 April 1946

My dear Kalappa,

I have your letter of the 15th instant. Since then I have seen the results in the Press. I am glad that we have won all through. Let us hope that our party in the Assembly will justify its position, as also the confidence placed in them by the electorate.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri V.R. Kalappa  
Nagpur

457

TELEGRAM

Nagpur  
7 April 1946

Sardar Patel  
New Delhi

POSITION CRITICAL. KINDLY ADVISE. SHUKLAJI DANI AND DAGA  
REACHING RAIPUR ELEVENTH PREFERABLY BY AIR.

PRATAP SINGHIRAO BHONSLE

458

TELEGRAM

New Delhi  
7 April 1946

Ravishankar Shukla  
Raipur

BHONSLE WIRES QUOTE POSITION CRITICAL. KINDLY ADVISE. SHUKLAJI  
DANI AND DAGA REACHING RAIPUR ELEVENTH PREFERABLY BY AIR  
UNQUOTE DO NEEDFUL.

VALLABHBHAI



Raipur  
12 April 1946

My dear Sardar Sahib,

Your wire was duly received. The voting for the Landholders and Commerce constituencies is over today. Everything is all right here. Results of the elections are turning out satisfactorily.

We are holding our party meeting on the 21st instant. Is it necessary to inform Maulana [Azad] Sahib and invite him? Or will it do to send information to the office of the Parliamentary Board at Bombay?

Although I have not met Mr. Dharmadhikari, I am reliably informed that he and his friends want Gokhale and P.K. Deshmukh as ministers from Berar. This of course means that both ministers would be from East Berar. I, however, think this should not stand in the way of their selection. I am inclined to agree to this proposal. On the other hand Berar friends inform me that Mr. Biyani wants Mr. Kanade Shastri and Dr. Khedkar. Mr. Shastri has all his life-time been an anti-Gandhiite and in my opinion his selection as a candidate was a mistake. Dr. Khedkar is a man of no education so far as I know. He has picked up some knowledge of homoeopathy and calls himself a doctor. He is thoroughly lacking in culture and is not expected to be a good member of a united team. I am told in order to thrust these two undesirables upon us, Mr. Biyani is planning to call a meeting of the MLAs from Berar before we meet as a party.

such group meeting has taken place in Mahakoshal. I understand the Marathi CP friends also do not approve of such regional grouping. Mr. Joshi, I am informed, is also opposed to this move. But I think he can not persuade Mr. Biyani to give up the idea.

In Mahakoshal a number of friends are pressing me to include Thakur Chedi Lal in addition to Messers Mishra and Mehta. No one from Mahakoshal is opposed to Mr. Mishra but some want Mr. Mehta to be dropped. Both myself and Mishraji do not wish to sacrifice Mr. Mehta. Will it be possible to include Thakur Chedi Lal in addition to Mishraji and Mehtaji? We shall have a cabinet of nine instead of eight. That is all.

I would like to have your final advice regarding Thakur Chedi Lal. I may inform you that by his inclusion some complications which may otherwise arise will be avoided. But I shall abide by your advice.

Yours sincerely,  
R. Shukla

PS.

In order to avoid delay I am also sending a copy of this letter to your Bombay address. I shall be in Raipur till the 19th and in Nagpur from the 20th onwards.

460

16 April 1946

My dear Ravishankar,

I have received your letter of the 12th inst. regarding the formation of a ministry. I think it will be better for you to come here with Dada Dharmadhikari and Biyaniji [as] soon after your election as leader as is convenient so that we can discuss the question in all its aspects. Most of the points affecting its formation [had] been discussed when you were here on the last occasion. But you have raised some points which require consideration. If there is conflict between Biyaniji and Dada Dharmadhikari regarding selection of ministers from Berar, I would like to hear both of them before final advice is given. If they both agree amongst themselves and you are also agreeable, there could be no difficulty, but if there are differences, we must hear all concerned before a decision is taken. About Thakur Chedi Lal, Maulana Sahib holds a very low opinion [of him] and the change of the Central Board's decision in the matter of selection of one candidate was due to Thakur Chedi Lal's indiscretion. I do not think it would be wise to expand the ministry at this stage, except for the inclusion of one Harijan, if necessary. You must therefore inform Dada Dharmadhikari and Biyaniji and fix a date for coming here.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbbhai Patel

Pt. Ravishankar Shukla  
Raipur

461

TELEGRAM

Raipur  
14 April 1946

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

KINDLY GIVE AUTHORITY FOR CONVENING CONGRESS MEMBERS  
MEETING FOR ELECTING LEADER ON 21ST TO AVOID OBJECTIONS.

SHUKLA

462

TELEGRAM

15 April 1946

Ravishankar Shukla  
Raipur

YOU ARE AUTHORISED TO CALL PARTY MEETING TWENTYFIRST FOR  
ELECTION LEADER.

VALLABHBHAI

463

New Delhi  
16 April 1946

My dear Biyaniiji,

I have authorised Shuklaji to call a meeting of the Assembly Party on the 21st inst. The elections in Berar must now have been over. I do not know what has been the results but I expect you must have secured all the seats. The formation of the ministry has to be settled and soon after the election of the Leader is over, you, Dada Dharmadhikari and Shuklaji must come here for consultation. In Mahakoshal probably the old ministers will continue. In Nagpur Shri [R.K.] Patil and Dr. Hasan may be selected, but I have to consult Nagpur opinion

before I can give advice. In Berar Shri Gokhale, the old minister, will probably continue and the question of another minister will have to be settled. It may be necessary to take one Scheduled Caste man also, but looking to the strength of the Scheduled Caste members, Mahakoshal would have a claim, as they have the largest number of Scheduled Caste members from their province. In any case, there is no final decision taken so far and I have written to Shuklaji to fix a date in consultation with you and Dadasahib and he has been asked to come here with you.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Brijlal Biyani  
Akola

464

17 April 1946

My dear Sardar Sahib,

I was in due receipt of your wire on the 15th night and have communicated it to the president of the Berar Congress Committee and Dada Dharmadhikari. This settles the question of my authority to convene a meeting of MLAs of three different Congress provinces.

As regards provincial elections, we have succeeded in almost all the constituencies. We are sorry to find that our Congress Muslim candidate did not succeed as was hoped from all accounts the candidate had given us. By now you must have replied to my letters regarding ministry-making. Mr. Khandekar has come out successful with a thumping majority. Only one Harijan seat in Berar has been lost to us. Berar and Nagpur provinces give us eight Harijan and Mahakoshal eleven. We shall have to decide whether we should take Agnibhoj or Khandekar. I will let you know our final opinion after consulting friends at Nagpur on the 21 when we meet there.

I do not know whether you are aware of the march of events in Nagpur. The situation there is intriguing. A long article has appeared in The Independent of the 15th instant. I enclose herewith a copy of it for your information. It is accompanied by a copy of a letter I have received from the editor of The Independent, Mr. W. G. Sheorey, brother of Mr. Anant Sheorey who has, I believe, often met you in connection with nomination of candidates.

A copy of my reply to his letter is also enclosed. I am absolutely ignorant so far as to what part Dr. Dubey has played in the city elections of Nagpur. I know this much at any rate, that Dr. Dubey was mainly, if not solely, responsible for the grand success of our University candidate, Dr. Hasan. He was also helpful to me in some degree in inducing Lala Jainarain to withdraw in favour of our Congress candidate for commerce, Mullah Abdullah Taharbhahi. Beyond this I know nothing about Dr. Dubey's activities in the Nagpur-city elections. I have issued a statement dissociating myself from all anti-Congress activities of the Mayo Hospital group as alleged by Mr. [W.G.] Sheorey. A copy of that statement is also enclosed herewith for your information. I am acquainting you with all the facts so that if any situation develops at Nagpur it will not take long for you to see things through. I hope we shall not be required to trouble you in this connection. The only trouble, and perhaps greater trouble, we anticipate is from Berar about which I have already written to you. But I hope better sense will prevail and history will not repeat itself.

Yours sincerely,  
R. Shukla

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

465

New Delhi  
21 April 1946

My dear Ravishankar,

I have received your letter of the 17th inst. I am not able to follow the Nagpur affair referred to in your letter and about Dr. Dubey's attitude. However, if any complaint comes to us from Nagpur, we shall look into it in the light of the facts you have given in your letter.

You have your party meeting today and I hope things will turn out smoothly. I am trying to have a talk with you on the telephone, but I have not yet been able to get the connection.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Ravishankar Shukla  
Raipur

New Delhi  
16 April 1946

My dear Dadasahib,

During the course of the week, I have received many telegrams from Damodar giving me information about the election results and I think now they are all over. It seems the Congress there has won almost all the seats, and that too with great credit. I am glad about the results and I congratulate you and your colleagues for the good work that you have been able to do in organising the election campaign.

I have asked Shuklaji to call a meeting of the Congress Assembly Party on the 21st for the purpose of electing a Leader. The election of the Leader will, I hope, be unanimous, as there is no one in the party who has any intention of standing against Shuklaji. The question of formation of a ministry has to be settled, and after the Leader is elected, it would be better if Shuklaji, you and Biyaniji would come here to discuss this question. So far as Mahakoshal is concerned, probably the old ministers will continue. In Nagpur we may have no difficulty, but I should like to know your views before I can give any advice. In Berar there may be some differences of opinion between Biyaniji and Vir Wamanrao but this should form no impediment. I have written to Shuklaji to fix a date in consultation with you and Biyaniji and to come here as soon as possible.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dada Dharmadhikari  
Bajajwadi  
Wardha, CP

467

TELEGRAM

Nagpur  
22 April 1946

Sardar Patel  
New Delhi

FOLLOWING RESOLUTION PASSED BY EXECUTIVE PROVINCIAL CONGRESS COMMITTEE QUOTE NOTE WITH REGRET SARDAR PATEL ASKED DHARMADHIKARI TO SUGGEST NAMES OF MINISTERS FROM NAGPUR PROVINCE TO LEADER WITHOUT CONSULTING EITHER PRESIDENT OR COMMITTEE. COMMITTEE SUGGESTS CHATURBHUJBHAI JASANI R. K. PATIL AND WANKHADE AS MINISTERS FROM THIS PROVINCE BESIDES MUSLIM AND HARIJAN. AT LEAST TWO BE TAKEN UNQUOTE INSTRUCT LEADER.

PRESIDENT  
PROVINCIAL CONGRESS COMMITTEE

468

New Delhi  
25 April 1946

President  
Provincial Congress Committee  
Nagpur

Dear Friend,

I have received your telegram dated 22nd inst. suggesting the names of ministers and protesting against our calling Dada Dharmadhikari for consultation. I am surprised that your committee should have thought fit to pass such a resolution. The formation of ministry rests with the Prime Minister and it is for him to choose his own team. We have only to suggest or fix certain general principles and not the names of ministers. It is therefore unnecessary for us to consult any provincial Congress committee in this matter and we do not do so in any province. If you have any suggestions to make, you must approach the Premier.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

469

TELEGRAM

Nagpur  
30 April 1946

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel •  
New Delhi

ASSEMBLY MEETING SIXTH. • KINDLY GIVE DETAILED INSTRUCTIONS  
RE SALARIES OF MINISTERS SPEAKER DEPUTY SPEAKER SECRETARIES  
MEMBERS. BILL AWAITING DRAFT.

SHUKLA PREMIER

470

•  
Chadwick House  
Summer Hill  
Simla West  
2 May 1946

My dear Ravishankar,

I have received your letter of 29 April with its accompani-  
ments. I am asking Acharya Kripalani to send you details about  
the salaries fixed for the Ministers, the Speaker and the remunera-  
tion and allowances fixed for the Assembly members. I am sure  
you will get them before the meeting of your Assembly.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Pandit Ravishankar Shukla

PS.

Since this letter was dictated, I got your telephone message  
and hence I have to confirm our conversation that you can fix  
Rs. 1,000 per month, excluding allowances for house and conve-  
yance, for Ministers. The maximum that the Working Committee  
has fixed is Rs. 1,500 per month but that is the maximum limit  
allowed, and in Bombay and Bihar, the Ministers have fixed Rs.  
1,000 (one thousand only) per month. It would, therefore, be  
better to accept the same standard, as it would obtain uniformity

381



and avoid unnecessary criticism. For the Assembly members, the UP has fixed Rs. 200 per month but you are at liberty to reduce it if you can; you cannot go above it. This would be enough for the present, even if you do not get further information from Acharya Kripalani.

CHAPTER X  
BENGAL, BIHAR & UP SCENE

471

Poona  
11 October 1945

My dear Sarat,

Thanks for your telegram.

I was sorry to hear that you missed the train for ten minutes. It must have put you to a lot of inconvenience.

I am thankful to you for sending a special messenger to Maulana as the letter and the instructions I have given for him were urgent.

The scrutiny is over and the election campaign must have commenced in full swing. Please keep me informed of the nature and strength of opposition in all the constituencies, both Muslim and non-Muslim.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Sarat Chandra Bose  
1, Woodburn Park  
Calcutta

472

25 December 1945

My dear Sarat,

I have received your telegram and I am sorry that you could not get me on the telephone. I have tried my best for Sardul Singh but I do not think there will be any result.

You must have got my message sent through Nathalal [which I gave him on the] telephone.

What progress has been made since I left by your election board in connection with the provincial elections? Have you

formed the Scheduled Castes election board as settled by us and has it been done without any difficulty?

You have to devote more time in the districts of Bengal and rural areas for creating a proper atmosphere in the Muslim constituencies and also to be more careful in selecting the Muslim candidates, so that the lessons learnt in the Central Assembly elections may not be lost on us. The Central Assembly election results have done us considerable harm and we must try to nullify its effect.

You know we are to meet in the middle of January for your party meeting. Before that you have to invite all applications for the provincial elections and prepare your board's recommendations to be presented to the Central Board's meeting at the same time as the meeting of the Board will take place on or about that time in Delhi. Please keep me informed of the progress made from time to time.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Sarat Chandra Bose  
Calcutta

473

Calcutta  
29 December 1945

My dear Sardar,

Your kind letter of the 25th instant was to hand yesterday. I sent you just now the following telegram:

"YOUR LETTER 25TH THANKS. SCHEDULED CASTE ELECTION SUB-COMMITTEE FORMED AND NINETEEN SCHEDULED CASTE CANDIDATES ALREADY SELECTED. ARRANGEMENTS BEING MADE FOR MUSLIM ELECTIONS WITH MAULANA'S CO-OPERATION. LEAVING PUNJAB TOMORROW SHALL BE THERE SECOND TO SIXTH. ELECTION BOARD MEETING NINTH TO SIXTEENTH SELECTING CANDIDATES GENERAL HINDU SEATS AND RECOMMENDING CENTRAL BOARD. SHALL REACH DELHI SEVENTEENTH AND DISCUSS EVERYTHING FULLY. SHALL ALSO REPORT PROGRESS TIME TO TIME.—SARATBOSE."

Nathalal gave me your message. I wrote to him on the 27th instant and requested him to show my letter to you.

As regards the Scheduled Caste seats, I believe we shall be able to contest all the seats except possibly two.

As regards the Muslim seats, we are proceeding very carefully and trying to create a better atmosphere in the different constituencies so that there may not be another debacle.

Our election board's recommendations regarding the general Hindu seats will be placed before you by me on 17 January, if not earlier.

I trust you are keeping well.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Sarat Chandra Bose

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Bombay

474

30 December 1945

My dear Sarat,

I have received your telegram of the 29th instant, from which it appears that you have already selected 19 Scheduled Caste candidates for the Assembly elections. I expect that the remaining 11 candidates will also be selected soon.

As you are going to Punjab for three days, I would like you to send me a full report of your impressions about our position in the Punjab as soon as possible on your return. We expect to meet in Delhi on 17 January.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Sarat Chandra Bose  
Lahore

475

36 Wellington Street  
Calcutta  
3 January 1946

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Your letter of the 26th. I have heard nothing from the Government of India yet.

With regard to the money for the lantern lectures, all I would say is that I had thought of this arrangement because this

was the surest method of reaching the Muslim masses. At present there is no touch between us and them. This would be one way of gathering the villagers round and telling them about the Congress and its ideals. Therefore the Rs. 35,000 that would be necessary for the campaign for 4 or 5 months. If, however, you do not approve of it, I will have call it off. Because I have been depending on finding the money for recurring expenditure of Rs. 7,500 a month for 50 workers from election money.

Yours sincerely,  
B. C. Roy

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

476

8 January 1946

My dear Bidhan,

I have your letter of the 3rd regarding lantern lectures. I could not understand your proposal properly when I wrote to you. I now see that your scheme is intended to fit in with the election programme in the province. If that is so, its requirements can be adjusted in that programme. If you can persuade Prafulla Babu [Dr. P. C. Ghosh] and Shri Sitaram Seksaria, I will have no objection if they include that item also in the budget. You can take help from Ghanshyamdas [G. D. Birla] in including them to do so. That will, I think, solve your problem. Please write to me what the result is.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Dr. B.C. Roy  
36 Wellington Street  
Calcutta

477

EXTRACT

93/3/2 Hari Ghose Street  
Calcutta  
3 January 1946

Revered Sardarji,

In Calcutta you spoke of "organisation" for Bengal. But how can an organisation function?

An organisation must have a unitary leadership in a person or programme. Both of these are lacking in Bengal. None of the known leaders enjoy the confidence of all the sections or groups of workers. Some one falls short of sacrifice, other of vision and the third for his rigidity or for his fads; and of the common programme the less spoken the better.

You know all the leftist and so-called rightist leaders. By leftists I mean those who are opposed to the official Congress policy or programme and also those who believe in Marxist or materialistic interpretation of history and by rightists I mean those who support the official Congress policy or are believers in Gandhiji's creed. Not a single group is ready to come to a working agreement with the other. Two groups may unite for undoing the activities of the third but no two groups will unite for giving some definite service. Each and every group has taken the ideology it professes in a very restrictive sense suitable to the personal capacities of its leader or leaders.

In this chaotic condition I think a broad enunciation of the policy of the Congress regarding the future constitution and right of the toiling masses and the programme for attaining the same may lead to some sort of agreement.

Yours humbly,  
Sudhir Chandra Ray

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

478

8 January 1946

Dear Friend,

I have received your letter of the 3rd instant. You know we were in Calcutta for more than a fortnight only recently. Mahatma Gandhi is still there and he is trying his level best to induce Congressmen in Bengal to give up quarrels and party differences so that Bengal can be raised once again to its former premier place of pride. We also did our best during our stay. You are aware that we are in the midst of an election campaign to be organised all over India and it is impossible for us to neglect that work at this stage. It is, therefore, impossible for me to come there and take up the responsibility of organising the province. I am hoping, however, that the past five years' sufferings of Bengal

will not go in vain and Congressmen in the province will realise their responsibility.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Sudhir Chandra Ray  
93/3/2 Hari Ghose Street  
Calcutta

479

14/8 Gariahat Road  
Ballygunge  
Calcutta  
3 January 1946

Dear Sir,

I am enclosing herewith a list of 22 Scheduled Caste candidates for the Provincial Assembly election. They were unanimously recommended by our Board and Maulana Abul Kalam Azad as Chairman of the Central Election Board was pleased to nominate them on behalf of the Central Election Board.

There are altogether 30 Scheduled Caste seats. I believe we shall be able to recommend four more names. For the four remaining seats we have not yet got suitable candidates.

Yours sincerely,  
Prafulla Chandra Ghosh,  
Secretary,  
Bengal Congress Election Board

The Secretary  
Congress Central Election Board  
Congress House  
Bombay

480

9 January 1946

My dear Prafulla Babu,

I have received your letter of the 3rd instant along with a list of 22 nominations for the Scheduled Caste constituencies. Eight more nominations remain, but from your letter it appears

that you are only sending four more names when suitable candidates are found. Does this mean that the remaining four seats will be kept vacant by the Congress? Your letter does not say anything about it.

We are meeting in Delhi for the Central Assembly Party meeting on the 18th. I expect you will be there at that time because, in spite of what I had written to you, there is a possibility of the question being revived and the consequent trouble.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Prafulla Chandra Ghosh  
Ballygunge  
Calcutta

481

10 January 1946

My dear Sarat,

Our Delhi meeting of the Central Board has been expedited and so I am reaching Delhi on the 16th. The Bihar nominations will be considered first. I expect you will reach Delhi on the 17th, if not on the 16th.

More when we meet.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Sarat Chandra Bose  
Calcutta

482

EXTRACT

Ram Nagar  
Hazaribagh  
29 November 1945

My dear Sardar Sahib,

May I take this opportunity to bring to your kind notice certain important matters concerning our Bihar Congress committee? I had long been in the Central Assembly. This



administration, such things would not recur, but this one beats the rest and I feel that if the Provincial Government had extenuated or arrested him for making this sort of speech, I for one would certainly have upheld their action. If such things go on, I shall have the greatest difficulty in persuading the provincial administrations concerned to restrain their hands.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

H.E. Viscount Mountbatten of Burma

### ENCLOSURE

EXTRACTS FROM A SPEECH BY GHAZANFAR ALI KHAN AS  
REPORTED IN DAWN ON 1-6-47

"Brethren, I wish to assure you that even though I could not personally come here during those days, my heart and my full sympathies were with you as fellow Muslims.

"In the Punjab about which I can speak with intimate knowledge, a wave of horror went throughout the province and the nature and extent of the crimes that were committed against the weak minority here earned unbounded sympathy for the sufferers. There was grave danger of a sudden outburst of Muslim feelings in the Punjab, which would have taken an ugly turn, but this danger was averted only by the strict discipline maintained by the Muslim Leaguers. Even our women workers insisted on sending a special deputation to succour and console their unfortunate brethren and sisters. A deputation of Muslim women accordingly toured Bihar under the leadership of Begum Tasadduq Hussain, one of the two women members of the Punjab Assembly.

"Recently I saw a Press statement issued by the Premier of Bihar giving the number of firing carried out by the police before Sardar Nishtar and Pandit Nehru visited this province. Technically the statement may or may not be correct. For instance, the Premier would be correct in his statement even if here and there the police party fired a few rounds only to help rioters, which I am told was exactly what happened. . . ." He said there were fundamental differences between the Muslims and the Hindus. "People like Mahmud Ghazni and Aurangzeb are our national heroes but they are considered by the Hindus as their greatest enemies. Similarly, Shivaji is considered by us as our arch-enemy but is revered by them as a national hero. What we eat away is considered by them the greatest god."

The Viceroy's House  
New Delhi  
3 June 1947

My dear Sardar Patel,

Thank you for your letter of 1 June. It was a result of your letter that I raised the whole question of leaders making provocative speeches at our meeting this morning.

I will also speak to Mr. Ghazanfar Ali Khan about his speech at Patna when I next see him.

Yours sincerely,  
Mountbatten of Burma

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
5 June 1947

My dear Lord Mountbatten,

As one of the matters on which it is necessary that we should safeguard the interests of the Hindustan portion of India in the event of partition taking place, I think the Communications Department should be asked not to issue airways licences over any portion of Hindustan without the sanction of the Cabinet. Similar action may be necessary in other matters as well, but this is a matter which I feel assumes certain priority in view of what I hear is contemplated.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

H. E. Viscount Mountbatten of Burma

New Delhi  
6 June 1947

My dear Lord Mountbatten,

In continuation of the letter I sent you yesterday regarding the need for the stopping forthwith of the grant of any airline concessions linked with Hindustan routes, I write to say that I understand that after the draft proposals agreed to by both the main parties were sent to His Majesty's Government and even after you left for England with the final proposals, on 25 May 1947 a licence has been issued to Orient Airways, sponsored by Mr. Ispahani of Bengal, for an air service between Calcutta and Rangoon. I feel that measures like these should either be cancelled forthwith or should be subject to review by the new Government.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

H.E. Viscount Mountbatten of Burma

New Delhi  
9 June 1947

My dear Lord Mountbatten,

The Government of UP have complained that the Health Member, Mr. Ghazanfar Ali Khan, visited Khurja in district Bulandshahr on 22 April 1947 without timely intimation to the Provincial Government. On the basis of this visit, certain accounts appeared in the Dawn to which the Provincial Government have taken strong exception on the ground that they gave a distorted version of what had taken place.

2. The Home Department have already issued a circular to all departments requesting them to give the earliest possible intimation to the Provincial Government and other authorities concerned of the movements of Honourable Members when they visit provinces. It appears that on this occasion this instruction was

not complied with. Provincial Governments, quite naturally, feel that they have a right to be informed as early before the visit takes place as possible.

3. Some other Premiers have made similar complaints. They have also had occasion to find fault with the activities and utterances of some Honourable Members visiting their provinces. Some of them have even threatened to arrest Honourable Members if similar objectionable activities or utterances were repeated. The position is full of dangerous possibilities if, particularly in connection with the referendum in the Frontier and Sylhet, any similar visits with attendant objectionable consequences take place. I do hope, therefore, that you will impress upon the League Members the necessity of complying with the instructions and of refraining, during such visits, from activities and speeches likely to embarrass Provincial Governments.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

H.E. Viscount Mountbatten of Burma

391

The Viceroy's House  
New Delhi  
10 June 1947

Dear Sardar Patel,

Thank you very much for your letter of the 9th about the Health Member's visit to part of the United Provinces. I will take the opportunity at the next Cabinet meeting to draw the Honourable Member's attention to the points you have made.

Yours sincerely,  
Mountbatten of Burma

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

392

Nagpur  
16 September 1947

My dear Sardar Sahib,

I am enclosing a cutting from the Free Press Journal of the 15th instant. Perhaps this has already been brought to your notice, but I am doing it lest it has escaped you in midst of your

stupendous tasks. Kindly mark the concluding sentence. If Mr. Jinnah has been correctly reported, he needs a straight answer from the Indian Government. Does he mean to say that he is asking his co-religionists both in India and outside to prepare for the day when "mature action" will be taken? Does it not mean that he is inciting them to hatch a plot against us?

2. Kindly excuse me for encroaching on your valuable time. I hope you are keeping fit in spite of the strain, both nervous and physical. My regards to Smt. Maniben.

Yours sincerely,  
D. K. Mehta<sup>1</sup>

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

ENCLOSURE  
EXTRACT

"I have received many other telegrams from the Frontier tribes and other parts of Hindustan and my advice to them is exactly the same as I have given in this telegram.

"I assure the Mussalmans that the Pakistan Government is fully alive to the grave situation and is doing its very best to protect the Mussalmans everywhere in every possible way. I, therefore, request the Mussalmans not to precipitate matters by hasty and premature action on the part of any individual Muslims or groups in any part of the sub-continent."

393

New Delhi  
22 September 1947

My dear Mehta,

Please refer to your letter dated 16<sup>th</sup> September 1947. We have all got so much used to Mr. Jinnah's fulminations and we are not letting the grass grow under our feet.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Mr. D. K. Mehta  
Minister of Finance  
Govt. of the Central Province  
Nagpur

<sup>1</sup> Leading Congressman of CP; member of AICC and Provincial Minister

New Delhi  
23 September 1947

My dear Lord Mountbatten,

You would be interested to read the enclosed intercepted letter. You will notice that, according to the writer, preparations had already been made for large-scale disturbances in West Punjab and that distribution of arms for the purpose was taking place. Apparently, the military had also been contacted and their help enlisted. The date of the letter was 7 August 1947.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

H.E. Viscount Mountbatten of Burma

ENCLOSURE  
EXTRACT

My dear brother Mohammad Din,

Greetings. How do you do? I would have come but I am very busy. You should immediately reach Daska so that distribution may be carried out and also because your presence is very essential. Chowdhuri Qasim Ali Zaildar has made sufficient arrangements. Your help is urgently required. You should try to deliver sufficient arms. The military has been contacted and asked to help as much as they can in the area. We will do nothing for one or two days. The delay lies on your part and the rest is all right. A message was sent by hand of brother Ghulam Din which must have reached you. I think that you must have made good arrangements in your area. Immediately on the 17th this thing must be put into action, because in Lahore, Sialkot, Narowal, Lyallpur, Sheikhpura, Gujranwala and Montgomery arrangements on a vast scale have been completed. You should at once go to your area. The Muslim League leaders have also made their appeal for peace but you should as usual continue your work unless and until you get a special message. The rest is all okay. Greetings and salutation to all.

Your brother,  
Mohammad Hussain

Government House  
New Delhi  
24 September 1947

My dear Deputy Prime Minister,

Thank you for your letter of 23 September covering two intercepts which I am sorry to see are of the same subversive nature as others you have shown me.

Yours very sincerely,  
Mountbatten of Burma

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

New Delhi  
25 September 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

Banerjee has drawn my attention to Iengar's letter to Randhawa [Deputy Commissioner of Delhi] dated 20 September 1947, in which he asked him for certain information regarding houses and shops vacated by Muslims.

It appears that we were already seized of the matter and that at our instance Randhawa has had to withdraw orders which we had originally issued. If, therefore, Iengar had referred to Banerjee, as would have been consistent with departmental responsibility, it would have saved unnecessary correspondence. It seems that in matters connected with the subjects allotted to a department, the best course would be to refer to the department itself before approaching either a local administration or a Provincial Government. This would serve a triple purpose, i.e. ensure departmental responsibility, utilise information already available with the department and keep the department in the picture.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
27 September 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Your letter of the 25th about Iengar's letter to Randhawa asking for some information. You are perfectly right in saying that it is better for such requests to be made to the Ministry. Normally they are so made. I suppose it was to save unnecessary trouble that the direct request was made to the Deputy Commissioner.

I suggest that certain information be collected, if it has not already been collected, regarding the recent disturbances in Delhi. The more data we have the easier it is to deal with the situation. This data will probably be incomplete, but still it may help us.

The data required might be as follows:

- i. number of casualties:
  - a. deaths of non-Muslims by mob or individual action,
  - b. deaths of Muslims by mob or individual action,
  - c. deaths of non-Muslims by military or police action,
  - d. deaths of Muslims by military or police action;
- ii. total number of dead bodies disposed of by various authorities;
- iii. number of houses destroyed:
  - a. Muslim,
  - b. non-Muslim;
- iv. approximate estimate of damage to property;
- v. number of people who have evacuated from Delhi:
  - a. Muslim,
  - b. non-Muslim;
- vi. number of non-Muslim refugees in Delhi;
- vii. number of Muslim refugees in Delhi, other than evacuees from the city;



- viii. number of shops or houses looted in Delhi and New Delhi:
  - a. Muslim,
  - b. non-Muslim;
- ix. number of mosques and temples destroyed or partly destroyed in Delhi.

These are some heads under which data could be collected.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

398

30 September 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

For the last four days I have been going into the city, addressing meetings, meeting groups of people, etc. The impression I have got is that while there is an apparent improvement and incidents are few, this improvement is only on the surface. It is a lull and preparations are going on for a fresh and organised attack. The few remaining Muslim shops or occupied houses in the city are rather a pretext for an attack on Government. Reports come to me from various sources to the effect that these preparations are proceeding. I hope the Intelligence Service is inquiring into this matter and that we shall not be caught unawares again. These reports have come to me entirely from Hindu sources, shopkeepers, etc. Many of these persons are themselves alarmed by the developments that are taking place.

The atmosphere of Delhi is being poisoned by the numerous news sheets that continue to circulate. You must have seen all articles which appeared in the Hindu Outlook some time ago. There are other more or less similar articles appearing. I think strong and swift action should be taken against these papers.

I am convinced also that the impositions of heavy punitive fines on various mohallas of Delhi is necessary. Even the mention of this has produced a good effect.

Information has reached me that in the Sikh States, notably Faridkot and Nabha, Hindus are being deliberately attacked and pushed out, more especially everyone who has been connected with

**Praja Mandal activities.** Indeed, Hindus are beginning to leave these States.

There appears to be some kind of contact between subversive elements in Delhi with the rulers of some of the Sikh States as well as with Alwar and Bharatpur.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

399

New Delhi  
6 October 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I am writing to you about Delhi. Conditions here are certainly better but they are still far from normal. There is tension in many areas and all manner of rumours about future undesirable occurrences are afloat. Apart from this, there are so many acute problems in the country that difficulties may arise in other parts. If so, Delhi will be affected by them.

2. We have thus to be very careful about Delhi and not relax our vigilance.

3. I hope the Emergency Committee will continue in some form or other. Possibly the Emergency Committee is somewhat unwieldy; a smaller committee may be more effective. However, that is a small matter. I am anxious that some emergency organization should continue, not only the committee but the central control arrangements that had been made. These arrangements have been much appreciated and if they are wound up, there will be great disappointment.

4. An important matter to which a reference has previously been made is the proper functioning of our intelligence services in regard to Delhi. We have been let down in the past in this respect. If we are kept properly informed and take preventive action in time, our difficulties will be infinitely less. I am glad to know that something is being done in this respect.

5. Preventive action means not only the removal of trouble-makers but also the control of the irresponsible Press. There are plenty of people in Delhi today who are bent on mischief and there are many newspapers, recently started, which are carrying on an astonishing campaign not only against Government but against all decency.

6. From all accounts attempts are being made to carry trouble to the United Provinces and elsewhere. The people who started this show in Delhi have spread out to other places though some remain here still.
7. Delhi is an important charge. It is worthwhile considering whether in view of the importance and delicacy of this charge responsibility should not be divided, that is to say, instead of one district magistrate, there might be three or four with Delhi and New Delhi divided in these areas. Each district magistrate would of course have his normal complement of staff, civilian police, etc.
8. The military should also continue to keep in touch with the civil authorities. Each district magistrate having a military liaison officer would be under the control of the Area Commandant.
9. The position of the Chief Commissioner of Delhi has been very peculiar. He has hardly functioned with any authority during the past few weeks. This seems to be bad from the point of view of work and discipline. It must demoralise the services somewhat. If the present Chief Commissioner is not considered wholly suited to the job, perhaps some other man might be appointed in his place. The point is that the Chief Commissioner should function and should not be there for show only.
10. The policy to be followed by the newly-appointed Custodian of Evacuee Property has also to be clearly laid down as there appear to be varying opinions. The ordinance was clear enough, I thought, and yet it has been interpreted in many ways. It is common knowledge that empty houses are being occupied not only by the refugees but by others who do so with the intention of profiteering. Indeed Punjab refugees have come to me to complain that these empty houses have been occupied by others who charge heavy rent for them and ask for big premia. One Punjabi Hindu woman came to me today bringing a case to my notice of this kind where a Delhi resident had occupied several houses and shops and was charging heavy premia apart from rent. She herself had offered Rs. 100 a month and Rs. 2,000 as premium, but he wanted more. This profiteering at the expense both of the original owner of the house and the Punjab refugee is scandalous and I hope that effective steps will be taken to put an end to it. Indeed something should be done to stop this unauthorised occupation and to punish those who have been trying to profiteer.
11. Another question of policy arises about Muslim refugees in the city. I imagine that of the 1,20,000 persons in the Purana Qila

and Humayun Tomb, about half will go away to Pakistan. Where are the other half to go? According to our policy they will return to Delhi. To what parts of Delhi? Some parts of Delhi which the Muslims did not evacuate, like Ballimaran, Faiz Bazar, etc., are already full and there is not much room for additional people. Where else then are they to go to except to certain areas from which they were evacuated? These areas thus cannot be considered as reserved completely for non-Muslims. This matter will have to be carefully thought out so that wherever Muslims may live they should be in some kind of close proximity to each other in an area and not in isolated groups. In any event it is desirable that nothing should be permitted to be done now which comes in the way of future policy and rehabilitation of those who remain.

12. I am sending these suggestions to you so that you may consider them and if you think proper pass them on to the other authorities concerned. I am sending a copy of this letter to Neogy and H. M. Patel and asking Patel to show it to [C. H.] Bhabha.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

400

New Delhi  
11 October 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

In your letter of 30 September 1947 you mentioned inter alia the policy of some of the Punjab States, particularly Faridkot and Nabha, to push out Hindus. I raised this matter at the conference which we called on 6 October and it was unanimously agreed that such a policy would be suicidal for the States concerned and should be discouraged. I shall take occasion to impress this upon the Rulers concerned when I have a chance of meeting them. His Highness the Maharaja of Patiala was most emphatic on this point at the conference.

2. Regarding the Hindu Outlook, there is no doubt whatsoever that it does not deserve to exist as a newspaper. We have, however, passed a pre-censorship order against the paper and hope that either its contents would improve or it will come into further trouble and will eventually have to stop publication.

3. In your letter of 6 October you have referred to certain matters connected with the organisation of the Delhi Administration. You will have noticed that the Emergency Committee has now already ceased to function, but the Central Control Room continues to discharge its functions as before. This, to my mind, provides for the necessity of continuance of the emergency structure until we are definitely out of the wood.
4. You have already had the note from the IGP about the intelligence arrangements in Delhi. From his note you will see that the CID did function, but the reports were not followed up, probably mainly due to the ineffectiveness of the head of the police administration. I have under contemplation a reorganisation of the whole Delhi police and I expect to have in a day or two proposals for its complete overhaul. I have no doubt that after this reorganisation is carried through, which would obviously take some time, the Delhi police would be a very efficient body and breakdowns like the one we had to face lately would not occur.
5. In order to keep myself in touch with developments, I have asked for weekly reports to be made to me in regard to the situation in Delhi and the likely trends of public agitation or undercurrents so that we can take effective and preventive action as soon as possible.
6. I do not feel it is necessary to divide up Delhi in the manner you suggest. In fact, divided control is likely to lead to more confusion and chaos. What is required is to keep the District Magistrate free from ordinary routine and to confine his functions to an effective co-ordinating agency for the law and order situation in Delhi and any other matters. After all, Delhi neither in population nor in extent is as complex as places like Bombay, Calcutta and Madras, where ultimately there is one final authority in whom the functions of a district magistrate vest. I am convinced that the solution does not lie in the direction of breaking up the unity of administration, but in making that unity effective by means of concentrated co-ordinating functions. After I have been able to put right the re-organisation of police, I shall look into the changes that would be necessary to achieve the objective which I have mentioned above.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
11 October 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

Please refer to your letter dated 9 October 1947 in which you have communicated to me certain information and views of Dr. Zakir Husain on the present situation in Delhi.

2. Our policy regarding Muslims in Delhi has been made abundantly clear by you in so many public meetings and statements. It has not been contradicted by any responsible man. There is no doubt a difference in the approach to the problem, but that need not create any confusion in the public mind. I know that you are much worried about the situation in Delhi but in spite of all our impatience and anxiety we have to take into account certain serious difficulties inherent in the situation. So far as the general policy is concerned, we have decided that those who are willing to go may be given all facilities to leave and to the rest we must make all possible efforts to give protection in case they are prepared to return to their homes. It is difficult for anyone to guarantee complete security under present conditions, but that does not mean that we should fail in our duty to take all possible steps to create in them a sense of security.

3. It is a question whether it would be wise to create any Muslim pockets in or near Delhi. Such pockets for any large number of people which, according to your rough estimate, is about 60,000, would naturally attract the attention of people and perhaps invite hatred of the neighbouring non-Muslim areas or villages. Besides, the building up of such separate residential accommodation would take a fairly long time during which period the present problem of providing facilities for these people would remain. I doubt whether this would be a practical proposition, but this question may be examined by the department concerned.

4. I agree with you that it would be a pity to lose good artisans and craftsmen, but there is reason to believe that quite a large number of them have already left with valuable raw materials, jewellery, etc., which had been given to them by the jewelers, etc., in Delhi for preparation of ornaments etc. Apparently they thought it was a good opportunity to escape with these

valuables and establish business elsewhere. There may be a good number of innocent people of this class left in Delhi in the camps and the best way for them would be to go to mixed localities which may be safe and not to create any separate bastis for themselves.

5. You have often expressed your dissatisfaction about the police and Dr. Zakir Husain's vague allegations about their activities add nothing further on which any action can be taken. The present strength and quality of the police force is well known and the only thing which we can do is to make the best of it. Of course there would be no hesitation in punishing anyone of them against whom there is any evidence.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

402

New Delhi  
11 October 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I am rather worried about developments in Delhi city. During the last week there has been a widespread acquisition of Muslim houses in the city, including Chandni Chowk. The appointment of a Custodian, instead of stopping this process, has actually accelerated it because people wanted to take possession before anything definite was done. I understand that this process is being helped by the subordinate staff, including the police. This will naturally create grave complications for any future settlement of the problem.

In Mussoorie and Dehra Dun the same process was going on accompanied by looting. The UP Government took stringent measures and stopped it completely and as a consequence all looting, etc., has also stopped.

I have a feeling that there is not enough co-ordination between the district authorities and their superiors, that is to say, the Government of India's policy is not given effect to by the district authorities. I drew your attention the other day to a speech by Randhawa. Apart from the speech, his general view appears to be not at all in conformity with the policy we have laid down and he is continually saying this to people. In his meetings he is

accompanied by others who are well known for their opposition to our present policy.

It seems to me that much of the trouble is not due to the Delhi citizens, but rather to a certain official element which is bent on carrying out a policy not in conformity with ours. Where any attempt is being made to further our policy, it succeeds, such as in Narela recently where Choudhari Sher Jung has brought about a very amicable arrangement among the people.

I suggest to you to consider that a change in officials in Delhi might be made. This would bring fresh minds to bear on these problems and there will be a greater chance of our solving them in conformity with our policy.

Very soon we are going to have the Dussehra celebrations. Round about this time also there is the Bakr Id. Every precaution should be taken to avoid any trouble then. There is no chance of the Muslims misbehaving then because they are thoroughly broken up and cowed down. There is a possibility of some further organised attacks being made upon them. I trust that this matter will be enquired into. From a number of sources, more or less independent of each other, I have learnt that the persons named below have been, and are, carrying on a very mischievous propaganda in Delhi city. I send these names to you for such action as you may think proper. The names are:

In Kishanganj	: Dr. Bhagwan Das and Lala Hariram
In Rui ki Mandi	: Moolchand Vaid
In Bara Hindu Rao	: Manoharlal, Municipal Commissioner
In Faiz Bazar	: Master Jubla

There is one other matter to which I drew your attention in my last letter. There are a number of people in Purana Qila or Humayun's Tomb camps who are residents of those mohallas in Delhi city where Muslims still dwell. They have every desire to go back, but they have been kept back because of quarantine. The sooner these people are allowed to go back to these mohallas the better. There is no question of security involved, as they go back to the mohallas inhabited by Muslims still. This will relieve tension in Purana Qila and help in solving the problem.

Yours,  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel



New Delhi  
30 November 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Thank you for your letter of 30 November. I hasten to reply to you in regard to the incident of the house in Bazar Sitaram of which I spoke to you. When I heard the complaint, I passed it on to the Inspector-General of Police and left it at that. He took some action after inquiry. I had made no further inquiry into the matter. I did not want any steps to be taken in the nature of punishment merely because I drew attention to this matter. It is possible that I had had only one side of the story. Whatever is done should be on the basis of facts found out after due inquiry. I mention this specially as the police officer in question came to me today and complained that a wrong version had been told me. I said to him that I had referred the matter to the Inspector-General and I had no doubt that he would deal with it after full inquiry and properly. I had no time to enter into the details of the matter and I did not want anyone penalised unjustly.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
3 December 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

With reference to the correspondence resting with your letter of 30 November 1947 regarding the incident of the house in Bazar Sitaram, I enclose a copy of the report which I have received from the DIG Police as regards that particular case. This shows how difficult it is to proceed merely on the basis of one-sided information received from howsoever an unimpeachable source. Both sides seem to be at fault. An element of

profiteering also appears to exist. However, the only action we could take was to discharge Mr. Kirpal Singh from the Special Police Force, and that has been done. There is no doubt, however, that he did not use his official position in any respect whatsoever. From your letter, I thought that he had done so, and that is why I said I would strongly deal with him. He does not belong to the ordinary police force.

I have already impressed on the DIG once again the necessity of ensuring complete impartiality of the police force. He is calling a meeting of all the police upper subordinates and is explaining my instructions to all of them. I hope to take an early opportunity myself to address the policemen in Delhi.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

#### ENCLOSURE

CASE: MR. KIRPAL SINGH, SPECIAL POLICE OFFICER,  
VERSUS MR. T. N. HAKSAR

I enquired into this case on the morning of 1 December 1947 and the following are the facts of the case:

About six weeks ago Mr. Kirpal Singh, a refugee from Punjab, approached Mr. T. N. Haksar and asked him if Mr. Haksar would agree to rent out a portion of his house to Mr. Kirpal Singh. Mr. Haksar agreed to this and asked for a sum of Rs. 50 as the first month's rent which was paid by Kirpal Singh. After a few days Kirpal Singh made enquiries and found that the rent of the flat in question came to about Rs. 15 p.m. and not Rs. 50. He therefore told Mr. Haksar that he was not prepared to pay more than Rs. 15 p.m. Mr. Haksar did not agree to this and asked Kirpal Singh to vacate the house, as in any case, he required the accommodation for his relatives who were coming in large numbers from Srinagar. This resulted in relations becoming strained between Mr. Haksar and Mr. Kirpal Singh.

On 22-11-1947 Mrs. Kirpal Singh was inside her quarter when Mrs. Haksar entered the quarter and asked her to close the water tap as water was leaking through the floor down to another room where some other tenants were staying. An altercation ensued between the parties and both came to blows. Mrs. Kirpal Singh was slightly injured on the right ear with the broken bangle of Mrs. Haksar. Pieces of bangle were found inside the room of Mrs. Kirpal Singh and Mrs. Haksar admitted them to be her property

saying that Mrs. Kirpal Singh had dragged her by the hand resulting in the breaking of her bangle.

Mr. Kirpal Singh arrived home late in the evening and was told of the incident by his wife. He immediately proceeded to police station Faiz Bazar and lodged a report there against Mr. and Mrs. Haksar and their relations alleging criminal trespass into his quarter and theft of a charpoy. A case under sections 380/451 IPC was therefore registered and ASI Sis Ram took up the investigation. The case was subsequently investigated by SI Hans Raj, SHO Faiz Bazar police station and the Deputy Supdt. of Police, City.

It is a fact that Mr. Kirpal Singh has a very excitable nature and is matched in this respect by Mrs. Haksar. As a result of an altercation between these two, Mrs. Haksar had abused Mr. Kirpal Singh in the presence of Mir Mushtaq Ahmad and ASI Sis Ram and the abuse was returned by Kirpal Singh, who also threatened to shoot the family with his revolver.

After a great deal of discussion the parties agreed to compromise the affair. Mr. Haksar expressed his regret at the annoyance and slight injury caused to Mrs. Kirpal Singh and Mr. Kirpal Singh apologised for his rude and threatening behaviour. It was decided that Mr. Kirpal Singh would vacate the house by the 5th of December 1947. The police case is being cancelled and necessary steps will be taken to remove Mr. Kirpal Singh from the Special Police Force.

D. W. Mehra,  
Deputy Inspector-General of Police,  
Delhi Province

3-12-1947

405

TELEGRAM

Baghdaduljadid  
22 December 1947

Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Home Minister  
New Delhi

HAVING BEEN A FAITHFUL SUBORDINATE WITH YOUR LATE DISTINGUISHED BROTHER VITHALBHAI PATEL AS HIS FIRST WATCH AND WARD OFFICER IN THE CENTRAL ASSEMBLY I DO REQUEST YOU KINDLY TAKE ALL POSSIBLE MEASURES PROTECT THE SANCTITY OF DARGAH SHARIF AJMER. I ONE [of] THE MILLIONS DEVOTEES OF HAZRAT KHWAJA SAHIB WOULD BE HIGHLY GRATEFUL. WILL SEE YOU WHEN TIME PERMITS.

NURHUSSAIN SHAH  
COMMISSIONER POLICE

406

TELEGRAM

New Delhi  
29 December 1947

To  
Nurhussain Shah  
Commissioner of Police  
Baghdaduljadid

YOUR TELEGRAM. DARGAH SHARIF AJMER SAFE. YOU NEED HAVE NO ANXIETY. WE SHALL TAKE ALL POSSIBLE STEPS TO ENSURE ITS SAFETY AND SANCTITY.

VALLABHBHAI

407

New Delhi  
23 December 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I enclose a letter received from Pyarelal<sup>1</sup> on behalf of Bapu. I met Bapu also this morning and he suggested that an early meeting might be arranged as has been proposed. I told him that I was perfectly agreeable to this and would refer the matter to you so that you could suit your convenience. Could you kindly fix some time for this and inform Bapu and me? Bapu would then send word to Maulana Saheed and others.

Yours,  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

<sup>1</sup> Secretary to Gandhi; author of several books on Gandhi's life and works

ENCLOSURE

Birla House  
New Delhi  
22 December 1947

Dear Panditji,

Maulana Saheed and some other Maulanas of Delhi came to Bapu yesterday and told him that recent happenings in Delhi had well-nigh driven the local Muslims to desperation. They wanted to be told definitely and authoritatively as to whether the Government really wanted to keep them in the Indian Union or would prefer their going away. In the former case the Government's declaration of policy would need to be followed by suitable action. They suggested a joint meeting with you, the Sardar and the Maulana Sahib in Bapu's presence. Bapu has asked me to convey to you that he would be available for the purpose at any time that may suit you.

Yours sincerely,  
Pyarelal

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru

408

New Delhi  
23 December 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

Thank you for your letter dated 23 December 1947.

I suggest you fix some time at your convenience, and I shall suit my programme accordingly.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
25 December 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I have had a telegram from Mr. M. S. Aney<sup>1</sup> in which he has stated that he is agreeable [to accept the post of Governor of Bihar]. I shall now write to the Governor-General so that necessary steps might be taken.

I saw Bapu this evening and he suggested that you and I might see him together. I think this will be a good thing. 7 o'clock tomorrow the 26th evening has been suggested for our meeting at Bapu's place. I hope this suits you.

Yours,  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
26 December 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

I have just received your letter of yesterday. I am glad the Bihar appointment is settled.

Regarding this evening's appointment at Bapu's place, I suggest 7.30 instead of 7, as it would suit me better. I hope this will not put you to any inconvenience.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'able Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

<sup>1</sup> President, Berar PCC, 1921-30; Member, Viceroy's Executive Council, 1941-43; resigned over Gandhi's fast and Government's refusal to release him; India's representative in Ceylon, 1943-47; member, CA, 1947-48; Governor of Bihar, 1948-52; Lok Sabha member, 1959-66

19 Ferozeshah Road  
New Delhi  
4 July 1947

My dear Sardarji,

You are so frightfully busy with matters of the highest importance that we, your soldiers, can hardly find the heart to trouble you or to take your time; but several points strike us and worry us relating to matters which are now on the anvil. We feel oppressed and anxious about them, and yet the consolation is ours that things are in your strong and capable hands and that therefore nothing but the very best will result therefrom.

I have been worried over the report in the newspapers that the personnel of the services are receiving enquiries as to whether they would prefer to remain in Pakistan or in India. Is it contemplated that Muslim officers, (and who does not know the part they have been playing during these several months in the secretariat and other offices?) will be allowed to remain with us if they choose to do so? It is a terrible price we have paid for getting rid of this curse of divided loyalties and fifth columnism! Have we still to carry this load round our neck? It may sound a little harsh, but the only right and safe course will be that we should ask them without reservation or exception to move on to their own area.

I have no doubt that the point will be in your mind already and that you will be tackling it in your own inimitable way. I am writing this more to relieve the distress of my mind than because I think it is necessary to trouble you about it.

With respectful regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Govind Malaviya<sup>1</sup>

Shri Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

<sup>1</sup> Youngest son of Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya; member, Central Legislative Assembly

New Delhi  
7 July 1947

My dear Govind,

I have received your letter of the 4th instant.

The point that you have raised in your letter about those who have in the past worked for, or had sympathy for, Pakistan choosing to stay in India for future service has not escaped our attention. Such people will be given no option. I have noticed in my experience during the last 10 months that the Muslim personnel of the services are thoroughly disloyal to Government, and it was impossible to run any administration efficiently or even tolerably fairly. Their full energy was concentrated in disruptive activities inside the organisation. There was no discipline, and they were not afraid of doing anything contrary to rules. We have therefore taken care to see that all such elements in the services go over to Pakistan.

After 15 August, the service rules and regulations will be strictly enforced and no disloyalty will be tolerated. The oath of loyalty to the Indian Government will first be administered to all, and anyone found to have any other sympathy or loyalty with any outside agency or organisation will have to leave service. You may therefore rest assured that proper action will be taken to see that all such people are weeded out from here.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri Govind Malaviya  
19 Ferozeshah Road  
New Delhi



413

New Delhi  
20 August 1947

My dear Syama Prasad,

Please see the enclosed anonymous application. If the facts stated are correct and Dr. Karim has been retained in the Council, it is contrary to the instructions of the Partition Council. Once a final option has been exercised, it cannot be withdrawn. In that case, I hope you will see that the instructions are carried out.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Dr. Syama Prasad Mookerjee  
Minister for Industries and Supplies  
New Delhi

414

New Delhi  
21 August 1947

My dear Sardarji,

Thank you for your letter dated 20 August 1947. I am having the case of Dr. Karim looked into.

Yours sincerely,  
Syama Prasad Mookerjee

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

415

New Delhi  
26 August 1947

My dear Sardarji,

I have looked into the case of Dr. Karim who is working in the Council of Industrial and Scientific Research. He is a resident of Bihar and in his declaration form he gave a provisional

414

option for Pakistan. After several days he decided to remain in the rest of India. I am told under the rules anybody who gave a provisional option was entitled to change within six months. Mr. Rajagopalachari on this ground permitted him to continue. I am advised that constitutionally his return could not be stopped.

Yours sincerely,  
Syama Prasad Mookerjee

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

416

New Delhi  
4 October 1947

My dear Shri Morarji Desai,<sup>1</sup>

Sardar is very busy and he has therefore asked me to write to you regarding one or two matters which have been agitating his mind.

First is the question of the Pathan and Muslim employees of the Bombay dockyard. He feels that if any actual trouble breaks out on that account, it would again paralyse Bombay's port activities and would have serious repercussions on its industrial enterprise. He has therefore asked me to request you to look into this question at once and take suitable action to do away with these employees. Hindu employees of the Karachi dockyard are anxious to come over to the Indian Dominion and it might therefore be possible for you to have an exchange with Karachi.

The second point is regarding Sindi watchmen who are generally kept by cultivators in Gujarat and Maharashtra to watch their crops. He thinks that this is a possible danger spot which should be cleared up as soon as possible.

He has also asked me to send you the enclosed cuttings of some Gujarati papers. He feels that Muslim papers of this type have got no place in India. If they cannot behave loyally, they

<sup>1</sup> PCS, Bombay; resigned in 1930 to join civil disobedience movement; Minister, Bombay Government, 1937-39; Home Minister, 1946-52; Chief Minister, 1952-56; thereafter Central Minister of Commerce and Industry and later Finance; resigned under Kamaraj Plan, 1963; Deputy Prime Minister in Mrs. Gandhi's Cabinet, 1967-69

must clear out. He has asked me to request you to suppress such papers with a strong hand.

Yours sincerely,  
V. Shankar

The Hon'ble Shri Morarji Desai  
Revenue & Home Minister  
Govt. of Bombay

417

Home Department  
Bombay  
8 October 1947

My dear Sardar Vallabhbhai,

I have received Shankar's letter of 4 October.

I understand that about 1,500 Muslims from the HMS dockyard, Bombay, have opted for service in Pakistan and they are waiting to be moved by the naval authorities. The local officers are anxious that they should be moved quickly and have been pressing for necessary orders from naval headquarters. I would request that the question of their move may be taken up with Sardar Baldev Singh.

I also find that a large number of Muslims who have opted for service in Pakistan are being collected in Bombay, particularly by the military and railway and postal authorities, but very limited transport by sea is available. Their presence in Bombay is a potential source of great danger. I am very anxious that they should be moved out of Bombay as quickly as possible and will be thankful for all assistance that you can give. At this end I can assure you of fullest co-operation from all our officers in expediting their departure.

I am taking necessary steps about the Sindhi watchmen.

I had noticed the articles in Millat and had asked steps to be taken to demand security. We are also taking steps against other Muslim papers which write in the same strain as Millat.

In Bombay we are enforcing our conventions very strictly but our efforts are frustrated as papers coming from other provinces contain highly inflammable articles. Even the API messages which are supposed to be passed by the ad hoc committee before being transmitted frequently contain matter likely to incite communal ill-feeling, but we are not able to take action against

them. Moreover, papers published in Delhi and Karachi in the morning are being brought by air and sold in Bombay in the evening. The Dawn invariably contains highly objectionable articles, but even papers like the Hindustan Times and the Statesman also publish objectionable reports from their own correspondents. These reports are used by papers in this province of both sides to propagate their respective points of view. Apart from this, Sindhi and Urdu papers from Sind and Hyderabad also find large circulation in Bombay and it is not possible to stop them. These problems have been referred to you officially and I shall be very thankful if you can have the question thoroughly examined. The point is whether publication of communal killings in the Punjabs can be confined to some authorised reports only and whether the test of inciting communal ill-feeling can be applied fairly strictly in all provinces. I am aware that this will not affect newspapers in Pakistan and Hyderabad. If they cannot be kept out under the Customs Acts, it seems necessary to consider whether a special act cannot be passed for the purpose.

Yours sincerely,  
Morarji Desai

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

418

New Delhi  
16 October 1947

My dear Morarji,

Thank you for your letter dated 8 October 1947 received yesterday. I am taking up the questions of Muslim personnel in the dockyard as well as of that collected in Bombay for transfer to Pakistan. I presume that shipping is the bottleneck in both cases, but I hope we shall get over it.

2. As regards the convention regarding news, the Bombay meeting of the Standing Committee of the All-India Newspaper Editors Conference has come to a decision on this matter, and the convention which they have settled is going to have all-India application. This will therefore meet one of the points you mentioned. As regards the other point, namely, prohibiting the entry of certain papers, we have taken special powers under the ordinance banning the import of papers published outside in the

Chief Commissioners' provinces. I suggest that you take similar powers, so that no question would arise of your invoking our aid under the Sea Customs or other Acts.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Mr. Morarji Desai  
Bombay

419

New Delhi  
16 October 1947

My dear Baldev Singh,

The Home Minister of Bombay writes to me as follows:

"I understand that about 1,500 Muslims from the HMS dockyard, Bombay, have opted for service in Pakistan and they are waiting to be moved by the naval authorities. The local officers are anxious that they should be moved quickly and have been pressing for necessary orders from naval headquarters. I would request that the question of their move may be taken up with Sardar Baldev Singh."

I think the danger not only to Bombay city but to its port and to our trade is real if these Muslims are allowed to remain in Bombay for any appreciable length of time, and I hope it will be possible for you to put pressure on the authorities concerned to make a move in the matter as soon as possible.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Sardar Baldev Singh  
New Delhi

420

Council Hall  
Poona  
21 October 1947

My dear Sardar Vallabhbhai,

Many thanks for your letter of 16 October 1947 received today. I am glad you have taken up the question of making shipping arrangements required for the transfer of Muslim personnel to Pakistan.

I am considering the question of taking powers with a view to prohibiting entry [of] certain papers in this province.

Yours sincerely,  
Morarji Desai

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

421

New Delhi  
26 October 1947

Dear Liaquat Ali Khan,

Jamnadas Dwarkadas has given to me a note describing his visit to Lahore. Therein he has given an account of the discussion he had with you. In that account the following passage occurs:

"Mr Liaquat Ali Khan discussed how in his opinion a mistake had been made at the instance of Sardar Patel, by both the Governments, in deciding on a policy of giving an option to all the services either to remain or opt out from their respective dominions. A lot of trouble would have been avoided if this policy had not been adopted. If the services were prepared to work under a foreign government without any compunction, why should they, who have no politics as such, have any hesitation in serving wherever they happen to be? He suggested that Sardar Patel had insisted on this policy simply because he thought as there was a dearth of efficient administrators among the Muslims he would succeed in sabotaging the very division which he had ostensibly supported."

I do not think this correctly represents what took place between you and Jamnadas, but if it does, I should like to say that it is a gross misrepresentation of what actually transpired and contains an insinuation as to my motives, which is, to say the least, most unfair and unworthy. In fact, on the question of option, there was unanimous agreement, and there was no necessity for insistence by one side or the other. It was recognised that both for the army and the civil services this would be the only way of dealing with the problem under the circumstances. You will perhaps recall that I then said in the Partition Council that the division of the army on communal lines was the surest way of laying foundations for civil war.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

**MISCELLANEOUS STATISTICS IN CONNECTION WITH THE STRENGTH  
OF ARMY—INDIA AND PAKISTAN**

	<b>Officers</b>			<b>Other Ranks</b>		
	<b>Army</b>	<b>Navy</b>	<b>Air Force</b>	<b>Army</b>	<b>Navy</b>	<b>Air Force</b>
<b>Muslims</b>	23.7	13.7	18	33.8	41	18.3
<b>Hindus</b>	47.8	38	46	55.7	37.3	57
<b>Sikhs</b>	16.3	5.7	11	7.5	1.7	5.1
<b>Others</b>	16.3	5.7	25	3.7	20	19.6
<b>Non- Muslims</b>	76.3	86.3	82	66.2	59	81.7

422

New Delhi  
2 December 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Government employees were given a period of six months in which to decide finally their choice of the dominion in which they wanted to serve. Recently, I have been receiving a number of enquiries in this connection. One such enquiry I am enclosing.

Will you please let me know the decision of the Partition Committee in this matter?

Yours sincerely,  
A. K. Azad

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

## ENCLOSURE

The Secretary  
Partition Department  
Government of India  
New Delhi

Ref: Permission to revise my decision "to serve in India."

Sir,

With due respect I beg to lay down the following facts for your kind and favourable consideration:

That I had submitted my final choice to serve in Pakistan but I beg to say that I was unfortunately not free to make such a decision. The persuasions of my fellow-workers and friends favoured to come to this decision at which I am rubbing my hands now.

It is too late now to unmake my decision but unfortunately I can do nothing else.

Moreover the recent misfortune of my family does not allow me to proceed to Pakistan. My old mother is lying very seriously ill and she is not in a mood to allow me to go to Pakistan as she has no hope to survive her illness.

It will be useless and self-contradicting if I claim to be a patriotic son of India which I have blundered in favour of Pakistan. Really speaking, as I have stated above, the decision was not my own but I made under compulsion. I am an Indian first and an Indian last. I want to live in India and die in India. So I must serve in India.

Hence I humbly request your honour to permit me to revise my decision and allow me to serve in India.

Hoping a favourable reply,

I beg to remain,  
Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,  
Safdar Ali Khan  
Guard, Moradabad

Sept. 1947



New Delhi  
6 December 1947

My dear Maulana Sahib,

Thank you for your letter dated 2 December 1947 regarding options given to Government employees to serve in either of the two dominions. The case which you have referred to me is that of final choice and not provisional choice which could be altered within a period of six months. The Partition Council decision has been that once a final choice is made it should be adhered to. I could see no prospect, therefore, of the gentleman, whose application you have sent me, being allowed to change his option now.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Maulana Abul Kalam Azad  
Minister for Education  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
14 October 1947

My dear Pantji,

We have received information that the Pakistan Government have sent, or are sending representatives to recruit army officers from among Aligarh University students. I should be glad if you would kindly order immediate enquiries into this matter and send an official report. I feel that if the report is substantiated, we could presume that the university authorities are giving facilities for such recruitment, and on that account, we shall have to reconsider our attitude to giving this university a grant.

I also feel that, if the report is correct, you should detain the representatives concerned, because this would in effect amount to suborning the loyalty of Indian nationals.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Govind Ballabh Pant  
Prime Minister of United Provinces  
Lucknow

Lucknow  
21 October 1947

My dear Sardar Sahib,

I regret very much that I have been delayed in answering the letters I received from you during the last six or seven days. I have been slightly indisposed otherwise I would have probably seen you on the 17th or 18th. That also accounts for this delay.

I am glad to know that General Curtis<sup>1</sup> will be replaced by Major-General Kulwant Singh.<sup>2</sup> This will make the co-operation between the military and the civil authorities cordial and they will be able to work smoothly and I hope with redoubled vigour and efficiency. General Curtis was a hardened soldier and it had become rather difficult for him to readjust himself to his present environment and its growing needs and demands. Every time we stood in need of help from him we could bring him round to our point of view only after prolonged argument which called for considerable restraint and a great deal of persuasion on our part. I am certain that things will take a different turn on the arrival of Major-General Kulwant Singh. His own outlook will be in harmony with ours and we will be jointly able to overcome all obstacles and difficulties that may happen to come in our way. General Curtis himself told me that the Pakistan army personnel still in our midst here did not fall short of 10,000. He could not give me the exact figure, but I gathered from him that it would in no case be less than that number. I am relieved to learn that the matter is engaging your attention. Their presence in this province is a constant source of danger and anxiety and the sooner they leave the more secure will our people feel. Some of them are said to be also in charge of armouries, arsenals and ordnance depots. Even if their immediate removal

<sup>1</sup> GOC, Northern Command

<sup>2</sup> Passed out from Sandhurst, 1925; first Indian to be appointed Instructor at Staff College, Quetta, 1941; second-in-charge of 114 Brigade in Burma and Thailand; Director of Military Training, AHQ, August 1947; Maj-Gen., October 1947, when he assumed command of operations in Kashmir; Chief of General Staff, May 1948; Officiating GOC-in-C, Western Command, 1953

to Pakistan be not feasible I hope it will be found possible to transfer all arsenals etc. to our own Indian Army.

The Nawab of Rampur has been constantly in touch with me. He has been writing to me almost every second day. Mr. Zaidi has also been seeing off and on. I have tried to comply with their wishes, although it was not always easy to do so. We have provided a number of officers for police, revenue and other departments, have instructed all districts bordering on or in the neighbourhood of Rampur to render every possible help to the State and have taken steps to prevent the influx of Muslims from outside into Rampur. The Nawab has repeatedly expressed his appreciation of the attitude of this Government. I enclose herewith a copy of a letter which I received from him only recently. As you will notice, the last sentence in his letter runs as follows:

"It gives me great pleasure to recall how you have fulfilled to the letter your promise of helping me in my difficulties whenever I approached you."

I received your letter about the recruitment of officers for the Pakistan Army from Aligarh University on the 17th. The matter had, however, attracted my attention some time earlier. The CID and the district authorities had been doing the needful. I am forwarding herewith a copy of all relevant papers from our file. The District Magistrate has sent us what purports to be a true copy of a letter received from the headquarters of Pakistan Adjutant-General's Branch to the Pro-Vice-Chancellor, Muslim University, Aligarh [See Enclosure]. There is an endorsement on this letter by the Vice-Chancellor directing captain S. M. Ali to take necessary action. Captain Ali has accordingly invited the students to see him with written applications giving full particulars. There is no reason to doubt the authenticity of these documents. I have, however, asked the Collector to take necessary steps to collect the original documents or to record necessary evidence, so that no room may be left for any formal objections or for tampering with or destroying authentic records. But I have already warned the university that if any steps of the nature suggested by the Pakistan authorities are taken then this Government will take effective action according to law. He has, I think, made it known to the university that the Government are taking a serious view of this matter.

In all likelihood no one from Pakistan will now visit the university in this connection. It is, however, likely that a letter

may be addressed by the university to the Government of India seeking their advice in this matter. But the university has already carried out the instructions received from the other end. Written applications had been invited from the students under the instructions of the Vice-Chancellor and a list of students from among whom the selection was to be made was also to be prepared under his orders. I think this was in every way wrong and improper. I had expressed my views in the note that I had recorded on the file. So I need not say more. As in all likelihood no officer from Pakistan is going to visit the university for this purpose and no overt action is going to be taken by any representative of Pakistan, there will be no occasion for setting the law in motion. But Aligarh University is mainly financed and fed by the Government of India. It gets a very substantial grant from the Centre. The Governor-General is, I think, the Chancellor of the university. The university has also a training corps with an armoury. So the Government of India can, if it so chooses, take disciplinary action against the university and exercise complete control over its affairs. Various ways are open to it and it can deal with the matter in an effective manner without the least difficulty.

I am grateful to you for forwarding to me a copy of the letter sent to you by the Maharaja of Bharatpur. This is an old affair and I do not exactly remember the words that I used. But I am not prepared to say that the Press report attached to his letter, so far as it affects Bharatpur, is not substantially correct. What I said was called for and perfectly justified. I do not know if you are aware of the propaganda that had been actively carried on in and around Bharatpur about the establishment of an independent Jat State. It had created uneasiness and a certain amount of panic in Mathura. Besides the Meos, a goodly number of non-Jat Hindus had also migrated from Bharatpur. The Maharaja was, I believe, actively associated with this propaganda. Bharatpur had begun to entertain fantastic dreams at that time. I was told that a new army was being actually raised for the conquest of Mathura and certain other parts. You will be interested to see an appeal by one of his men which I am enclosing herewith. I had no doubt about the truth of the reports that had reached me. All this was having a very demoralising effect. It was necessary to scotch it. What I said had a salutary and heartening effect. Only once did I mention Bharatpur as I did not think that it was worthy of greater attention. That was enough for restoring confidence which had been shaken in those parts.

I think I have dealt with all outstanding matters. If there is still anything left over I shall include it in my next letter. On the whole the situation seems to be easier. There have been no serious incidents during the last week or two. Dussehra and Id are, however, fast approaching. If these festivals are celebrated in a normal way without any breach of the peace I hope there will be no recrudescence of deterioration thereafter.

I enclose herewith two telegrams exchanged between me and the Refugee Minister of Pakistan. You may be interested in particular in seeing the telegram that I sent him.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,  
G. B. Pant

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Deputy Prime Minister  
New Delhi

#### ENCLOSURE I

COPY OF LETTER DATED 12 SEPTEMBER 1947, FROM ARMY  
HEADQUARTERS OF PAKISTAN, ADJUTANT-GENERAL'S  
BRANCH, SELECTION OF PERSONNEL SECTION,  
RAWALPINDI, TO THE PRO-VICE-CHANCELLOR,  
ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY, ALIGARH

Subject: Regular Commissions in the Pakistan Army First Military Academy.

1. The number of Muslim candidates who applied for the first PMA course being very small and of a low standard, it has been found necessary to approach you with a view to obtaining the right type of candidates from the Muslim University for our army. It will, therefore, be very much appreciated if you . . . suitable type of boys to come forward. To be eligible for ordinary commissions, the candidates should fulfil the following conditions:

Age: The candidate must have passed his 18th birthday but have reached his 22nd birthday on 1 January 1948.

Education: The minimum standard acceptable is Senior Cambridge with no less than two credits or Matriculation first or second division. A fairly good knowledge of English is essential. Documentary evidence of educational qualifications must be produced at all interviews.

Medical: The candidate must be medically fit in all respects.

Previous Rejections: A candidate who has been previously rejected by a Services Selection Board can apply again provided still within the age-limit.

The period of training for the above will be . . . years PMA. To be eligible for University Commission . . . must fulfil the qualifications required. Candidates will be required to possess one of the following degrees:

Arts or Science—for services in IAC, RIA, PMA, IACC and . . .

Civil Engineering—for services in the RIE.

E & M— —do— ISE or IEME.

Agriculture—for services in the Farms Department.

Age-limits: Candidates to be eligible for a commission . . . normally be between their 20th and 23rd birthdays on the . . . they apply. Those with Civil Engineering E. & M. and Agricultural Degrees, however, will be accepted up to their 25th birthday.

Medical Standard: Candidates must be in medical category.

The period of training for above will be one year PMA.

I realise that it will be very difficult for you to send candidates to Selection Board just at present. I suggest you to send me a complete list giving their full names, age, and qualifications, both separately for Ordinary and University Commissions. Some time in the month of October and early November I will send an officer to give them all preliminary tests, and, if they are found fit, to send them to one officer . . . on Boards. The application form will be completed at the time when one of my officers visits Aligarh. A line from you will be very much appreciated.

Sd. N. Raza  
Brigadier

Copy forwarded to Capt. S. M. Ali with the remark that he may kindly discuss the matter with Col. Haider Khan and take necessary action and send me a complete list of students to this office.

Sd. Mohammad Obaidur Rahman Khan  
Vice-Chancellor

Those interested in the above should see me in the Geography Department with a written application giving full particulars.

Sd. S. M. Ali

1-10-47

## ENCLOSURE II

### EXTRACT

#### APPEAL TO BHARATPUR'S VALIANT RACES

You, valiant races of Bharatpur, beware that the country is passing through a great many changes and there seems anarchy all round. During such time, thinking that the present military force is quite inadequate to protect the life and property of the people, our Maharaja has announced the raising of the new corps and the work of recruitment is already in progress. Friends, our Maharaja has taken in hand only the most needed task and for that he deserves all praise and congratulations. If there are two sons in the house, let us spare one for the army. Brave sons, times reminiscent to those of Maharaja Jawahar Sinha and Surajmalji are in sight.

Yours,  
Dhruva Sinha

(Original in Hindi)

## ENCLOSURE III

### COPY OF TELEGRAM FROM FOREIGN, KARACHI, TO PRIME MINISTER, UNITED PROVINCES

FOLLOWING FOR PRIME MINISTER FOR NEOGY REFUGEE MINISTER INDIA FROM  
FAZLUR RAHMAN REFUGEE MINISTER PAKISTAN.

CONSIDERING YOUR MINISTER'S STATEMENTS AT LAHORE CONFERENCE SUNDAY 5  
OCTOBER TO EFFECT THAT THOUSANDS OF MOSLEMS SHOULD BE EVACUATED FROM  
SAHARANPUR AND MEERUT DISTRICTS OF UNITED PROVINCES AND RECENT PRESS  
REPORTS REGARDING MURDERS OF MOSLEM TRAIN PASSENGERS IN NORTH OF UNITED  
PROVINCES WE SHOULD LIKE APPRECIATION OF SITUATION IN PROVINCE. FOLLOWING  
ARE TYPICAL REPORTS REACHING US. DEHRA DUN .ON 14 SEPTEMBER. HINDU  
PROCESSION ALLOWED TO PASS MAIN STREETS CREATED TROUBLE AT MOSQUE KILLED  
MANY MOSLEMS LOOTED AND BURNT MOSLEM PROPERTY. STATED THOUGH SEVENTY-  
TWO HOUR CURFEW PROMULGATED EVEN DURING THIS PERIOD LOOT AND ARSON CONTI-  
NUED. REPORT ALLEGES THOUSAND MOSLEMS COLLECTED IN REFUGEE CAMPS LEAVING  
PROPERTY UNPROTECTED. JAWALAPUR ALLEGED TO HAVE BEEN ATTACKED ON 14  
SEPTEMBER BY THOUSANDS OF HOOLIGANS FROM HARDWAR FOUR MILES DISTANT  
WHERE THERE IS SAID TO BE LARGE CONCENTRATION HINDU SIKH REFUGEES. IT IS  
ALLEGED SEVERAL HUNDRED MOSLEMS WERE KILLED IN THIS ATTACK AND AS RESULT

POLICE FIRING SEVERAL NEIGHBOURING MOSLEM VILLAGES BURNT. MOST MOSLEMS NOW SAID TO BE IN OPEN REFUGEE CAMP. AT RAMPUR ZANIHARAN IN SAHARANPUR DISTRICT MOB IS SAID TO HAVE ATTACKED GATHERING OF MOSLEM WOMEN AND CHILDREN WITH SOME MEN AND TO HAVE KILLED BETWEEN TWENTY AND THIRTY MOSTLY WOMEN AND CHILDREN. SURVIVING OR BALANCE SAID TO BE UNDER PROTECTION SAHARANPUR MOSLEMS. TRAIN ATTACKS SAID TO HAVE BEEN PARTICULARLY BAD ON DELHI SAHARANPUR JAGADHARI RAILWAY AND LAKHAR DEHRA DUN AND NEAR MORADABAD MAIN CENTRES OF KILLING BEING JAGADHARI, MODINAGAR, BEGAMABAD AND SAHARANPUR.

Government of the United Provinces  
Lucknow 17 October 1947

Copy forwarded by special messenger to the Commissioner, Meerut Division, with the request that he will please prepare a detailed report and return it to Government as soon as possible by the same special messenger. The report should inter alia give figures of arrests made and fines imposed.

By Order  
B. N. Jha  
Chief Secretary

#### ENCLOSURE IV TELEGRAM

Hon'ble Mr. Fazlur Rahman  
Refugee Minister Pakistan  
Karachi

YOUR TELEGRAM OF 17. REPORT INCORRECT. STRANGE YOU ARE UNAWARE OF NUMEROUS MEASURES AND ACTION TAKEN BY THIS GOVERNMENT FROM TIME TO TIME FOR PROTECTION OF LIFE PROPERTY NOT ONLY OF MUSLIM FELLOW CITIZENS BUT OF THOUSANDS OF MUSLIM REFUGEES WHO SOUGHT SHELTER HERE FROM VARIOUS STATES AND PROVINCES ADJOINING OUR PROVINCE. DOUBT IF ANY NON-MUSLIM FROM OUTSIDE HAS EXPECTED OR MOVED FOR PROTECTION TO ANYWHERE IN PAKISTAN. OUR PROVINCIAL AFFAIRS ARE OUR EXCLUSIVE CONCERN AND OUTSIDE INTERFERENCE CAN ONLY CREATE DIFFICULTIES AND COMPLICATIONS. THERE IS MORE THAN ENOUGH DESERVING OF IMMEDIATE ATTENTION IN PAKISTAN AND IT HAS BEEN REPEATEDLY SUGGESTED THAT IT CAN PERHAPS HELP MUSLIMS ELSEWHERE BY CREATING CONFIDENCE IN MINORITIES THAT HAVE NOT YET LEFT PAKISTAN. GOVERNMENT OF INDIA TO WHOM ALONE THE GOVERNMENT IS RESPONSIBLE ARE FULLY ACQUAINTED WITH FACTS BUT IF SO DESIRED SHALL REPORT TO THEM AGAIN.

PREMIER, UNITED PROVINCES



New Delhi  
23 October 1947

My dear Pantji,

I have just received your letter of the 21st instant giving the detailed replies [to] several questions pending and I thank you for the same.

Major-General Kulwant Singh will be coming there in about a week's time, the delay being due to General Cariappa<sup>1</sup> going to England on special duty. We are trying to weed out Pakistan Army personnel as quickly as possible. We have to work against odds.

I am glad you are helping Rampur as much as possible and Rampur Nawab appreciates your help. We are equally interested maintaining peace in Rampur as the large bulk of the population in Rampur is Hindus who are a timid lot and get nervous and panicky at the least disturbance of peace anywhere near about.

Regarding the Aligarh University question, the Government of India would certainly take suitable action if the Pakistan Government persist in their efforts to tamper with the loyalty of the institution. We cannot allow the university to be used as a base for recruiting candidates for their army officers. I have seen copies of correspondence sent by you and I am glad that you have taken prompt action in the matter.

Some of the Princes, particularly the smaller ones, have begun to feel that power is slipping from the Union Government's hands and they think that now is the opportunity for them to revert to pre-British days. Bharatpur is one of them and we have to handle it carefully. I am glad you have sent me the leaflet published under the signature of Dhruva Singh. I have also got a report from Shankar Prasad from Ajmer quoting chapter and verse about raids and dacoities organised and committed by gangs of Jats under the leadership of Bharatpur's brother. I am collecting facts about Bharatpur's maladministration and misconduct during these troubled times and if I get sufficient evidence I

<sup>1</sup> GOC-in-C, Western Command, 1947; promoted General and Commander-in-Chief of Indian Army, 1949-53

propose to set an example so that others may take heed of our action.

Your reply to Fazlur Rahman, Refugee Minister of Pakistan, is good, but I think it would have been better not to have any direct correspondence with any representative of the Pakistan Government. They should not be encouraged to have direct contact from provinces, but they should be referred to the Dominion Government.

I am glad things are under control in the UP. The Punjab troubles have put considerable strain on the border districts in your province; but thank God you have escaped the fate which overtook Delhi. We had a hard time, but we are getting the upper hand now, although there is considerable room for anxiety.

Hope you are doing well,

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Govind Ballabh Pant  
Lucknow

427

5 Barakhamba Road  
New Delhi  
3 November 1947

My dear Sardarji,

I have just received your letter about coal despatch to the N.W. Railway. I also received a message to the same effect and I have asked for necessary information. I entirely agree that until the question of payment is settled we should not make further supplies.

•  
Yours sincerely,  
Syama Prasad Mookerjee

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
16 November 1947

My dear Balasaheb,

Mr. S. K. Patil tells me that Muslims in Bombay are selling their assets at high market prices and taking all the money to Pakistan. I understand that the Sind Government have passed an order prohibiting the sale of immovable property. I think you should have a similar legislation to control such transactions.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Shri B. G. Kher  
Prime Minister, Government of Bombay  
Camp New Delhi

New Delhi  
20 November 1947

My dear Sardarji,

A Hindu officer who until recently was under the Sind Government has come away to India, and he gave me a copy of a confidential note which has been circulated by the Sind Premier. You will see from it how Hindu officers are being dealt with in Sind although the Sind Premier has publicly declared that no discrimination will be made.

I am sending this to you as I thought you might feel interested in the matter.

Yours sincerely,  
Syama Prasad Mookerjee

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

## ENCLOSURE

SIND PREMIER'S NOTE DATED 30-9-47

In view of the changed conditions and also the fact that there have been several cases of leakage of confidential information by non-Muslim members of the confidential branches of the secretariat and other departments and also the non-Muslim members of the CID do not bring correct information with regard to the activities of those who are acting in a manner prejudicial to the interests of the State, I feel compelled to the necessity of placing only Muslims in confidential branches and also in the CID staff. It is of course expected that selection of Muslim staff for these branches, important as they are, will have to be made with the scrutiny as to their reliability and character.

The Chief Secretary is requested to take necessary steps as soon as possible to see that the principle laid down above is implemented soon.

430

Camp New Delhi  
18 October 1947

My dear Sardar Sahib,

I enclose herewith copies of the letters that I sent to Nawabzada Liaquat Ali Khan and Mr. Ghulam Mohammad some days ago. They speak for themselves. What I do really mean is that if the professions of fair deal to the minorities could be supplemented by definite and practical gestures of good will from either side it would have a good psychological effect and would in fact go a long way to reassure the minorities who have unhappily suffered too grievously during the past two months. We have by the brutal behaviour of the misguided among us made ourselves a laughing stock in the eyes of the world and it is time that our leaders, both Hindu and Mussalman, sat together and took stock of things, so that the situation could be saved.

With all good wishes and kind regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Ahmad Said  
[Nawab of Chhatari]

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Deputy Prime Minister  
New Delhi

433

## ENCLOSURE I

Camp New Delhi

11 October 1947

My dear Liaquat,

As some of my messages were kept unreplied, I would not have taken the liberty to encroach upon your time as I know how terribly busy and worried you are at present. But I do think that in the interest of Muslims of the minority provinces I must write to you. The recent statement by the Prime Minister, Mr. Khuhro, in which he said that Sind is an Islamic Government has caused a certain amount of difficulties for the Muslims in the minority provinces. If they are going to form theocratic Governments in Pakistan, there will be every justification for Hindus to form a Hindu Raj in the rest of India and, therefore, I would request you to make a definite declaration on this question or advise His Excellency Quaid-i-Azam to make some announcement including the following points:

1. that formation of Governments in Pakistan will be on a secular basis and not on a religious basis;
2. a declaration about the protection and rights of minorities in Pakistan; and
3. an expression of disappointment and regret at what happened in Western and Eastern Punjab with an assurance of protection and fair treatment to those who had left their homes and property if they come back to Western Punjab;

so that on the basis of reciprocity Muslims in minority provinces may hope to receive the same protection.

With kindest regards,

Yours very sincerely,

Ahmad Said

The Hon'ble Nawabzada Liaquat Ali Khan  
Prime Minister [of Pakistan]  
Karachi

## ENCLOSURE II

Camp New Delhi

11 October 1947

My dear G.M.,

I herewith attach a copy of the letter I have written to Nawabzada Liaquat Ali Khan. As Liaquat could not reply to my letters since he left Delhi, I am enclosing a copy to you also to make a sincere effort in the interest of the minority provinces to get such declarations as I have suggested in my letter to the Prime Minister from Pakistan in most unequivocal terms. You can show this copy of my letter to the Prime Minister and to the Quaid-i-Azam, if necessary. What I wrote to Liaquat is not against the policy of Pakistan, but it should be made clear. For instance, the Prime Minister, Mr. Khuhro, in one of his speeches said something about Islamic States. They should be advised not to speak in these terms.

With kindest regards,

Yours very sincerely,

Ahmad Said

The Hon'ble Mr. Ghulam Mohammad  
Finance Minister  
Karachi

431

New Delhi  
25 October 1947

My dear Nawab Sahib,

Thank you for your letter of 18 October 1947. I much appreciate the sentiments which you have expressed therein. Jawaharlal has in his speech after speech expressed the mind of the Government that they are determined to see normal conditions restored and to give all possible protection to the minorities. He has also mentioned that there was no question of India becoming a religious State. Indeed, the Congress Working Committee resolution on this subject is clear and unequivocal. None of us has spared any words in condemnation of the brutalities and atrocities which have been perpetrated on this side. On the other hand, you will notice that the declarations of Mr. Jinnah generally ascribe all the brutalities to India and gloss over the events on

the other side. The latter are regarded merely as incidental and of comparatively little consequence. I hope, therefore, that the appeal which you have made to Mr. Liaquat Ali Khan and Mr. Ghulam Mohammad will have some effect and that we shall get an unequivocal declaration on the lines which you suggest. I am sure such a declaration will have a good effect.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Lt. Col. Nawab  
Sir Muhammad Ahmad Said Khan, KCSI, KCIE  
President, H.E.H. the Nizam's Executive Council  
Camp New Delhi

432

Rahat Manzil  
Aligarh,  
26 November 1947

My dear Sardar Sahib,

Thanks for your letter of 25 October which I received here from Hyderabad yesterday.

I am glad to note that you agree with the views which I expressed in my letter of 18 October. I hope my friends in Pakistan also would find themselves in general agreement with these views. Frankly speaking, I see no other way out for India's misfortunes except that [the] leaders in both the dominions should be persuaded to put their heads together and solve this minority question once for all. It was with this end in view that I issued a Press statement through the representative of the Associated Press of India in Aligarh some time ago, wherein I had expressed my humble views on this question; but for some reason or other that statement could not appear in the Press. I enclose herewith a copy of that statement for your perusal so that you could have some idea as to how strongly I feel on this subject and how very essential I consider an early solution of this problem, if as a nation we want to face the world with our heads erect.

With all good wishes and kind regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Ahmad Said

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Minister for States  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
27 October 1947

My dear Neogy,

Please see the attached application. It is a very pitiable and sad case. I dare say there are several others of this type. I think the best course would be for Government to accept responsibility at once for the families of Central Government servants in Pakistan who had opted for service in India but who have been killed during the disturbances or are untraced. Temporary relief to be afforded should bear some relation to the salary which a Government servant was drawing and a scheme should be devised for suitable allowances for the education, marriage etc. of their children. I do not think that Government can shirk its responsibility for the families of Government servants who stuck to their duty up to the last and lost their lives and left their families in destitute conditions merely because they obeyed our instructions to continue to serve in Pakistan until we made arrangements for their posting to India.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Mr. K. G. Neogy  
Minister for Relief & Rehabilitation  
New Delhi

EXTRACT

Shahchara Lane  
Lucknow  
15 October 1947

Hon'ble Sir,

Importance and urgency of the subject matter of this letter have emboldened me to encroach upon your most precious time for which I beg to be excused.



Events have occurred and circumstantial evidence exists in abundance which have made the loyalty of Muslims of India appear dubious in the eyes of the Government. In this atmosphere surcharged with suspicion and distrust, I come forward to assert my loyalty to my motherland and in proof whereof I beg to offer not only my services but also my life unreservedly and unconditionally in the cause of my country. I am ready to do anything, whether directed against any foreign power or person of my religion.

As a guarantee of my sincerity and truthfulness of my assertion I offer my mother and three unmarried sisters as hostages to be held by the Government.

Jai Hind.

Yours obediently,  
Nasir Hussain Rizvi  
[Vakil]

435

New Delhi  
20 October 1947

Dear Friend,

Thanks for your letter of 15 October 1947. I much appreciate the sentiments you have expressed. There is no question whatsoever of Government accepting any hostages—a system which is anachronistic. When the time and opportunity come it would be for you to give positive proof of your loyalty to the cause of India.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Nazir Hussain Rizvi, Esq.  
Vakil  
Lucknow

CHAPTER XI  
MINISTERS' SALARIES

436

11 April 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

I am enclosing herewith a copy of a letter which I have received from the Hon. Mr. [G.V.] Mavalankar.<sup>1</sup> He has been talking to me about this matter since his appointment, but I have asked him to wait till we can take a decision about ourselves. I have also advised him that it would be unwise to take individual decision which would probably cause more embarrassment to our other colleagues.

I would like to have your views in the matter.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

ENCLOSURE

20 Akbar Road  
New Delhi  
9 April 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I have been feeling a good deal uncomfortable over the following two points:

1. You remember, soon after my election to the Presidentship, and once or twice thereafter, I conveyed to you the desirability of reducing the salary of the President's post, suggesting that it may be about Rs. 2,000 a month, and in any case, not beyond Rs. 2,500.
2. Later on, I also began to have a feeling that the house I live in is too sumptuous for the kind of ideal which we have been pursuing and leading before the public for so many years.

<sup>1</sup> Congress General Secretary, 1921; Speaker, Bombay Assembly; President, Central Assembly, 1946-52; first Speaker of Lok Sabha

3. The Congress fixed a standard of Rs. 500 a month. The economic conditions have since then changed. The conditions in Delhi are also different. All the same, I do feel that a salary of Rs. 4,000 and a big palatial building do not fit in with the ideology and the general set-up of the Congress type of Swaraj. I also feel that our case gets morally indefensible, not only as regards the lower paid subordinate services, but we are a good deal misunderstood by the general public, when they see that we draw the same salaries and live in the same houses as people of old used to do. The scale of salary and the style of the houses do not reflect anything of rural India or the general poverty of the country. I do realise that I am unable to go to the logical length. At the same time, I do feel that I could considerably revise both the scale of salary and the style of the house I live in.

4. I would, therefore, like you to consider this question at least for me, so that I may be able to reconcile myself with the situation, as it is, and my ideals and feelings about it. I think we could, from our present position, set standards.

5. I know you have the same ideas, and I am carrying nothing new about it. I also know that the situation is complicated, as the Muslim League would not come in a line with the Congress. All the same, I do feel that we have to face the situation and begin to set separate standards. As I am myself drawing this salary, I have to be a dumb spectator to the way in which allowances are fixed and the heavy number of people forming foreign deputations, etc. I feel that there is a lot of wasteful expenditure of public funds, and the general impression created is that Congress workers are not putting in practice what they have been preaching all along. To my mind, this question is more important psychologically than financially, and you can, therefore, appreciate my worry.

6. I am trying to satisfy myself by giving donations liberally to educational and other institutions and causes, but that is hardly the correct way. This attempt at compromise with my conscience is liable to be attacked as drawing public monies just for the purposes of furthering some objects which I like. The best course, to my mind, therefore, is not to draw from public funds.

I need hardly say that I shall abide by what you decide. The present attempt is only to lay before you the state of conflict and uneasiness of my mind.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Mavalankar

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
13 April 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I have your letter of 11 April enclosing copy of a letter from Mavalankar. I appreciate what Mavalankar has written. But I entirely agree with you that this is not the time for any individual decisions which are likely to create difficulty and embarrassment to some of our colleagues. I feel, however, that the matter will have to be taken up some time or other, as soon as the immediate difficulties are resolved.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
15 October 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

I am enclosing a copy of the note which Shanmukham [Chetty] has sent to me on the question of salaries of Hon'ble Ministers. Below that I have recorded my own views of that question but have included a reference to other incidental matters. I suggest that copies of these notes may be circulated by your office to Hon'ble Ministers with a view to discussion at the informal meeting of the Cabinet tomorrow. As a result of the informal discussion, I would submit a formal proposal to the Cabinet so that necessary legislation may be passed at the next meeting of the Legislature.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

## ENCLOSURE

### NOTE ON THE SALARIES OF HON'BLE MINISTERS

The gross salary of the Hon'ble Ministers of the Central Government is at present Rs. 5,500 per mensem. After income-tax and the rent of the furnished house allotted to them are deducted the net amount that they actually receive comes to approximately Rs. 2,800 per month. This does not, however, present the real picture of the net amount retained by a Minister when the ultimate assessment on his income is made by the Income-tax Department. In the case of a Minister who has no other private income he will ultimately retain the net amount that he gets now. This is not however the case in the case of Ministers who have private incomes over and above the salary. The burden of the tax will vary according to the income of the individual Minister. For example, in the case of a Minister who has an annual private business income of Rs. 1 lakh the additional income-tax and super-tax that he will have to pay on account of his salary income alone will be Rs. 64,954. This leaves him therefore only a balance of Rs. 87 per month out of his salary. This result is due to two factors:

- a. When a business man is appointed as a Minister all his business income is taxed on the basis of unearned income, and
- b. the rate of tax applicable to the salary in his case is the higher rate in the slabs above Rs. 1 lakh.

2. In fixing the salary for Ministers by an Act of the Legislature it would be equitable if it is so fixed that all Ministers will get an equal amount. This result can be achieved only if the salary fixed is made free of all taxes. Otherwise, whatever might be the scheme evolved, Ministers with private business incomes will be seriously handicapped. If this principle is accepted I would suggest that Ministers may be given a net salary of Rs. 2,500 per month free of all taxes (the salary to be excluded in calculating rate also) and a free furnished house inclusive of electricity and water charges. On this basis each Minister will get approximately the net amount that he receives every month.

3. Objection might be taken to any proposal to make the salaries of Ministers free of taxes. Theoretically the objection is valid. From a practical point of view, however, there is every justification for adopting this special course in the case of Ministers. The public ought to know what exactly is the net amount that a Minister gets as salary. The Minister must also be given a reasonable amount which will enable him to maintain himself in Delhi. I think Rs. 2,500 per month cannot be considered to be on the extravagant side.

4. The Prime Minister is expected to entertain on a considerable scale. For this purpose, I would suggest an entertainment allowance of Rs. 1,000 per month for the Prime Minister. In addition to this a Government Hospitality Fund may also be maintained. If any Minister has to give an official entertainment he should be allowed to meet the expenses of the entertainment from this Fund. For example, at the time of the Asian Labour Conference the Minister for Labour may have to entertain visiting foreign delegates. The expenses of such an entertainment should legitimately be charged to the Hospitality Fund.

5. A Bill for fixing the salaries of Ministers must be introduced in the November session of the Legislature and passed during that session. I understand that the Bill has to be sponsored by the Home Ministry. If the suggestions that I have made above are accepted steps may be taken accordingly.

R.K. Shanmukham Chetty

14-10-47

#### HOME MINISTER'S NOTE

I agree with the Hon'ble the Finance Minister that for reasons stated by him and in order to do away with what is, in effect, discrimination between Ministers who have other sources of income and those who have not, it is necessary to fix emoluments on an income-tax free basis. I feel, however, that the figure mentioned by the Hon'ble the Finance Minister is on the high side. Particularly having regard to the fact that the present rate of emoluments, even when they are subject to tax, are generally regarded as being higher than the country can afford. I would suggest for the consideration of my colleagues that the salary of the Ministers should be fixed at Rs. 2,000 per month free of all taxes (including exclusion from calculating rate) and a free furnished house inclusive of electricity and water charges. I agree that an allowance of Rs. 1,000 per month may be paid to the Prime Minister as entertainment allowance.

As regards official entertainments, the occasions are comparatively few and in each case where a Minister considers an official entertainment necessary, ad hoc sanction of the Finance Ministry should be obtained. For this purpose, a Government Hospitality grant may be obtained from the Legislature every year. It may not in practice be possible to confine ourselves within this grant, or it may be that in some years the grant may prove more than adequate. Nevertheless, it is only proper that the Legislature should vote this grant annually, at such tentative figure as may be possible to fix.

3. In regard to other allowances, at present the Ministers are entitled to an equipment allowance of £ 250 on their assumption of office. This was necessary at a time when Ministers had to equip themselves with costly uniforms. Now, as far as I can see, the only initial expense of a substantial nature that

a Minister may have to incur would be on equipping himself with a car if he has none. I suggest, therefore, that the equipment allowance should cease, but that a Minister should be allowed an advance for the purchase of a car. The advance would be recovered in suitable annual instalments, and the car, until the instalments are paid, would be mortgaged to Government.

4. As regards other allowances, I feel that in present-day conditions a saloon is definitely out of date and unsuitable. If a Minister travels by train, he should be entitled to reserve a first class compartment. If he travels by car, the question of reservation does not arise, but I would like to suggest for consideration of my colleagues whether, in view of frequent use of aeroplanes by Ministers, two or three aeroplanes should not be purchased and kept in reserve for use by the Ministers. Other Government servants can use these aeroplanes if they are not required by Ministers.

5. In regard to car journeys, the present rate of allowances should be maintained. At present Ministers, when they are out on tour, get a daily allowance of Rs. 30. It is for consideration whether this allowance should be maintained at this rather high figure.

6. I would be grateful for the views of my colleagues on these matters. I am, therefore, circulating these notes with a view to discussion at tomorrow's informal meeting of the Cabinet.

V. J. Patel

Minister for Home Affairs

15-10-1947

439

New Delhi  
15 October 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

With reference to your letter of 15 October enclosing notes about the Ministers' salaries, I have also written a note a copy of which I enclose. These notes will be circulated and we shall consider them at the informal meeting either tomorrow or the day after.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

## ENCLOSURE

### MR. NEHRU'S NOTE ON MINISTERS' SALARIES

I am in general agreement with the note by the Minister for Home Affairs.

1. Salary of the Ministers should be Rs. 2,000 per month free of all taxes and a free furnished house inclusive of electricity and water charges.
2. Official entertainments, that is parties on a more or less large scale which can be considered official, should be paid out of the Hospitality Fund. Apparently each department has got such a fund. There might be one joint fund for all the Ministries and this should be voted for by the Legislature.
3. I do not like the idea of a special entertainment allowance for the Prime Minister. It is true that the P.M., both as P.M. and as Foreign Minister, is supposed to entertain considerably. Nevertheless, at the present juncture entertainments are not to be encouraged except when they are considered essential.
4. The equipment allowance of £250 should be abolished. But a Minister should be allowed an advance for the purchase of a car.
5. No saloons are necessary for Ministers. He should be entitled to a first-class compartment if he travels by train.
6. It seems to me essential that some planes should be kept for the use of Ministers. There were, I believe, some such planes. I do not know what has happened to them. The proper thing to do would be to have a number of planes belonging to the Indian Air Force available for ministerial use. This is the practice in England. I do not know if this is possible here owing to the small number of Air Force planes that we possess, and these are generally of the small size. One or two Dakotas and two or three small planes like Expeditors or Avros might be kept.
7. I do not know what the rate of allowances for car journeys is. The daily allowance for Ministers seems hardly necessary as they are always somebody's guest everywhere. If it is considered necessary, it should be halved, i.e., Rs. 15.

The Finance Minister's note, the note of the Minister for Home Affairs, as well as this note should be circulated to Members of the Cabinet. They will be considered at the next informal meeting of Ministers. Later the matter



will be considered by the Home Ministry and put up formally before the Cabinet.

J. Nehru

15 October 1947  
New Delhi

440

New Delhi  
21 October 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I enclose a letter from Amrit Kaur about Ministers' salaries. I am inclined to agree with her, that is to say, that Ministers' salaries should be Rs. 2,000 per month (tax free etc.) plus Rs. 500 a month as entertainment allowance to each Minister. In effect, this means Rs. 2,500 as suggested by some Ministers. I suggest, therefore, that in the proposals the Home Ministry might draw up this basis might be adopted.

Yours,  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

#### ENCLOSURE

New Delhi  
18 October 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

I was away in Simla when the notes on Ministers' salaries were circulated and could not, therefore, put in one myself.

I am of opinion that the tentative decision arrived at at the informal Cabinet meeting day before yesterday in regard to the salaries of Ministers should be reconsidered.

I am quite sure that we should reduce our salaries so as to come into line with the ideals for which we have stood and thereby rally public opinion in our favour. There has been much criticism, rightly or wrongly, that Ministers of the Central Government are carrying on in exactly the same way as Ministers in the past. If we can straightaway do something to assure them

that we do think in terms of the poverty of this country we shall be following the right course.

I am, therefore, in full agreement with the Deputy Prime Minister's note with this exception that, in my opinion, both the Prime Minister and he should be given Rs. 1,000 per month each as entertainment allowance. The demands on them in the matter of entertainment are heavy.

I feel that if the other Ministers were also made eligible for receiving Rs. 500 a month (or less) as entertainment allowance, the question of maintaining such standards of life as have to be maintained in Delhi would be solved and the public would not then have any ground for complaint. Of course, it will be open to the Ministers concerned whether they take Rs. 500 or not. If we take Rs. 2,500 per mensem free of income tax plus other amenities, I do not think that we shall be taking any less than what we already do. The public would then have a right to say that the reduction is a mere hoax. The freedom from income tax of salaries should really meet the loss now borne by those who happen to have private incomes.

Yours,  
Amrit

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru

441

New Delhi  
12 May 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

I am sending herewith a letter which John Matthai sent to me when he was about to leave for a ten days' programme in Bengal.

If the Pay Commission's Report is to be out on the 16th and is to be disposed of finally by us on that date, I think it would be better to take into consideration the suggestions made by him. I am not, however, sure that this question will be disposed of on that date.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

## ENCLOSURE

New Delhi  
10 May 1947

My dear Sardar,

In pursuance of our conversation yesterday I wish to make the following suggestions regarding the publication of the Pay Commission's recommendations and Government's decisions on them.

The Government of India will issue an official communique containing the main recommendations of the Pay Commission and Government's decisions on them. This will be a short summary presenting in a brief but easily readable and intelligible form the rates of pay and allowances payable to all Government employees under the new arrangements. This, I understand, is already being prepared.

When this matter was discussed by the Cabinet you will remember it was decided that the presentation of Government's decisions should be in a form which would make a "human" appeal to the employees in the various Government services, including the Railways.

In considering how this could be best done, it has occurred to me that, immediately after the issue of the official communique, a Member of the Cabinet (preferably Jawaharlal) should broadcast a talk in as informal a manner as possible on the background and implications of the new proposals. The talk, in my opinion, should bring out particularly the following points:

(i) Government's desire that their employees should have a fair deal in the matter of the remuneration offered to them, particularly that such remuneration should provide a reasonable subsistence in relation to the cost of living;

(ii) That this places on labour a corresponding obligation to render the best service that they are capable of and that labour leaders should regard it as a special responsibility to bring home to their unions the great importance, in the present conditions of the country, of doing everything they can to increase production;

(iii) A special appeal to railwaymen in view of the fact that the transport position is particularly acute at present and is one of the principal causes which hinder increased production. Unless essential materials such as coal, steel and building materials are moved more speedily and regularly, production cannot be increased to the extent we require.

The talk should be given in Hindustani, but a translation in English should be prepared for subsequent publication in the Press. I suggest it should be broadcast on Monday, 19 May, and notice of it should be given to All India Radio at least by the 15th. This will give them sufficient time to make the necessary arrangements.

As I am leaving for Calcutta tomorrow and shall be away the whole of next week, I shall be grateful if you will kindly show this letter to Jawaharlal when he returns to Delhi and also, if you consider it necessary, get the approval of the Cabinet at the next meeting.

Yours sincerely,  
John Matthai

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

442

New Delhi  
15 September 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I feel that we are using up too many men and soldiers in guarding Ministers' residences or persons at a time when there is a great need of these men elsewhere. I am, therefore, suggesting to the military authorities that not more than two soldiers should be posted to each Minister's house, apart from such policemen as may be necessary.

As for policemen also I have got far too many. There is a camp of five in the grounds and besides this I always have three plainclothes men in attendance. I think these might be reduced somewhat.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

443

New Delhi  
16 September 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

Thank you for your letter of 15 September 1947.

I myself feel that the additional military and police guards at Ministers' residences are unnecessary. I was of this opinion  
S.C.-IV-29

even before, when Tarlok Singh contacted Shankar in regard to increasing the guards both at your place and mine. I refused to have any uniformed guards in my bungalow, but presumably a guard was put in your own compound. If you consider that it should be reduced, could you ask your Private Secretary to inform SSP who will then withdraw them?

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
Prime Minister of India  
New Delhi

444

New Delhi  
3 December 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

I have been receiving several invitations to drink and cocktail parties from embassies, consulates-general etc. as well as from certain officers and public bodies in Delhi. At all these parties generally alcoholic drinks are served.

2. I feel that having regard to our declared policy of prohibition, it would be quite incorrect on our part to patronize such parties. I should, therefore, like to suggest at the Cabinet meeting tomorrow that Ministers should make it a rule not to give such parties themselves, whether officially or socially, and to instruct their officers to follow suit. We should also inform the foreign embassies, consulates-general etc. that, in future it will not be possible for us to attend functions where alcoholic drinks are served. Such a general rule would save a great deal of embarrassment; otherwise, consistent with this attitude, individual polite refusal would be the only alternative.

3. I would like to add that already there is considerable pressure that prohibition should be introduced in Delhi. Short of that measure, I feel that this is the least we could do. I hope, therefore, you will agree to take up this matter at the Cabinet meeting tomorrow.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

**CHAPTER XII**  
**SUDHIR GHOSH EPISODE**

445

The Viceroy's House  
New Delhi  
13 February 1947

Dear Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel,

I see a report in the Statesman today that Sudhir Ghosh is to be appointed as a public relations officer in London. If there is any truth in this story I should be glad of the opportunity of discussing the proposed appointment with you next time we meet.

Yours sincerely,  
Wavell

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

446

New Delhi  
17 May 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

I have gone through the letters from [M. K.] Vellodi<sup>1</sup> [Deputy High Commissioner, London] which you gave me some time ago and which I am returning herewith. Not having seen your first letter I am not familiar with the background and it is difficult for me to follow completely what Vellodi has said, but as far as I can make out, the points referred to the following three matters:

1. The Friends of India Committee;
2. the monthly India luncheon; and
3. the position of the High Commissioner vis-a-vis the Public Relations Officer.

<sup>1</sup> ICS; Secretary, Ministry of States, 1948-49; Chief Minister, Hyderabad, 1949-52; retired as Cabinet Secretary to Union Government

2. As regards the first point, I personally see no objection to such a committee, nor to the association of the High Commissioner on a purely non-party plane. The committee would be something in the nature of a study group and I think that the High Commissioner should encourage, rather than keep himself aloof from, such a committee. It is of course impossible for him to take any active part in the committee's deliberations, but his knowledge of Indian affairs and currents of political thought in this country should, I feel, be at the disposal of the committee. In view of its non-party character it cannot be urged that the High Commissioner has associated himself with any particular party or organisation.

3. As regards the second point, I regret I am unable to see how Vellodi can argue that "anyone who reads that telegram must come to the conclusion that the High Commissioner had agreed to the proposal and was only seeking the Government of India's support." The telegram makes it clear that the proposal was that of Sudhir Ghosh and that what was wanted was our approval and support to this proposal. If Vellodi had any doubts, he should either have corrected the telegram in such a manner as he thought fit or could have followed it up by a personal telegram. It is difficult for me to concede that a man of Vellodi's experience and standing in service would agree to a draft merely because of a desire not to let down his subordinates. I personally feel, and the Press reports of the luncheon confirm, that the luncheon was a success and quite possibly nothing but good has emerged out of it.

4. As regards the last point, it is quite clear that the Public Relations Officer must function under the High Commissioner's guidance. For this reason, I made it clear in my telegram to Vellodi that the Public Relations Officer was free to utilise his entertainment allowance in such a manner as he thought fit in consultation with the High Commissioner. It is obvious, however, that the High Commissioner's control should not extend to every detail but that he should give general guidance to the PRO, who on his part should consult the High Commissioner in all matters of importance. In the very nature of things it is impossible for the High Commissioner to keep himself so much in touch with the Press and public as to be able to control the Public Relations Officer in all the details of his activities. He has, therefore, to trust his PRO a great deal and the PRO has on his part to keep the High Commissioner generally informed of what he

is doing and take the High Commissioner's instructions in matters of sufficient importance. I am enclosing an extract of conclusions reached at an inter-departmental meeting regarding the status of information officers abroad and their relationship with ambassadors, etc. You will see that these are in accord with the principles I have mentioned here.

5. It is difficult for me to follow what Vellodi means when he says that "So far I have managed my end of the business with only one thought, namely, to avoid causing embarrassment to the Government of India and also to myself, but if the Public Relations Officer is to function effectively something more than this is necessary." The official position of the Public Relations Officer vis-a-vis the High Commissioner seems to be clearly understood both by Sudhir and Vellodi. It is for both to act up to it, and there is hardly any justification for the High Commissioner to ask for the support of the Government of India. It is possible the High Commissioner feels diffident about it owing to Sudhir's personal relations with me or high personages in the UK. If so, in my view Vellodi is completely mistaken. While these personal contacts of Sudhir should be of great advantage both to Sudhir and to the High Commissioner, they do not confer any privileged position on Sudhir in so far as his official relationship with him is concerned. My own candid opinion is that Vellodi is unnecessarily sensitive on this point.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
Member for External Affairs Department  
New Delhi

447

New Delhi  
31 May 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

I am sending you herewith a copy of Sudhir's letter which I received this morning. I feel that something should be done to put matters right without undue delay. You will notice that Sudhir gives a different version about the luncheon incident from what Vellodi wrote to you. He has also referred to certain other activities which are hampering his work. If Sudhir is at all to be useful in England, it is clear that he must have the



support of the High Commissioner and any other person in authority who may claim to speak for any of us here.

Before replying to Sudhir, I should like to discuss the whole matter with you and hence I am sending you a copy of his letter in advance.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

### ENCLOSURE

26 Chafsworth Court  
Kensington  
London, W.8  
28 May 1947

My dear Sardar,

I am very sorry that I have not written to you for a long time. I waited to see how the situation with regard to my work stabilised itself before I worried you about my difficulties. My difficulties are really enormous. They are so unpleasant in character that the worry about them made me physically ill. I got your last letter when I was laid up in bed. I have got over this illness and sense of depression. But the problem has not solved itself. If this difficulty was created by any action on my part I would be prepared to make ample amends and put it right. But it is of a subtle nature. All these weeks I have resisted the desire to write to you about it but I must now tell you what is being said by various lieutenants of Mr. Krishna Menon<sup>1</sup>.

A campaign is being carried on by people who are known to be prominent members of the India League. Two people who are taking the lead in this matter are Tarapada Basu, employed by an American news agency, and Zakaria, employed by the Blitz newspaper of Bombay—two Communists who are well known here as Mr. Krishna Menon's assistants. The propaganda runs thus:

"There is a deep cleavage between Nehru and Patel. Nehru represents the progressive forces in Congress and Patel represents the forces of reaction and there is bound to be a final struggle between those who are behind Nehru and those who are behind Patel—the Birlas and all the reactionary capi-

<sup>1</sup> Secretary, India League, London, 1929-47; Special Representative in London of Indian Government, 1946-47; High Commissioner, 1947-52; last assignment, Defence Minister; resigned from Nehru Cabinet in 1962 after Sino-Indian conflict

talists. Krishna Menon represents in London Nehru and the progressive forces of India and Patel wishes to sabotage the good work done by Menon and the India League. With this end in view Patel has sent this man Sudhir Ghosh to London. All this talk about public relations is just an eyewash. The real purpose of sending Sudhir Ghosh is to set up a rival organisation which Sudhir Ghosh has now done by setting up a group of reactionary Britishers known as the Friends of India group. There is a sinister design behind it and this Sudhir Ghosh is here to boost Patel in London. He was sent by Patel in spite of all opposition from Nehru and much against his will. This Sudhir Ghosh is using India House as his base of operations to carry out his sinister project—and so on and so forth."

This, as you will see, is just a heap of pernicious rubbish. This is spreading rapidly in London and it is no longer confined to the Indian community and more and more English people are getting to know about it. [H.N.] Brailsford heard it from different sources and he is much perturbed about this unclean propaganda. I am not worried about the mental anguish it has caused me, but this sort of lies are bound to do great injury to the real interests of India in this country. I have to waste such a lot of time and energy worrying about this poisonous propaganda that it is impossible for me to give my whole mind to the work which has brought me here.

Surely Krishna Menon and I and all of our people here should have a sense of loyalty to all our leaders—to Gandhiji, to Panditji, to Sardar—to all those who made us what we are today.

The first time I heard your name mentioned and sensed something of this difficulty [was] from Mr. Vellodi and was somewhat of a shock to me. This was in connection with the endless discussion I had with Mr. Vellodi as to whether or not I should be allowed to give a lunch to provide a forum for periodical discussion of Indian affairs by a cross-section of British opinion represented by men of all parties and no party. When I first mentioned the proposal to Mr. Vellodi his immediate reaction was, "It is a good suggestion. It will do good and it should be done but I am afraid Mr. Krishna Menon will not like it. He will think that by getting together these men you are setting up a rival organisation to steal the thunder of the India League." I said that my purpose was merely to supplement all that was being done by others but not to compete with them in any way. He said he would like to be on the safe side by asking for your approval. So he sent a telegram to you and you gave your approval. In the meantime two letters came from Panditji—one to Mr. Vellodi and one to me—which seemed to indicate disapproval. This made Mr. Vellodi very nervous and he wanted to cancel the arrangements I had made. I pleaded with him that I had spoken to Brailsford and others and it would be very awkward for me to cancel it and I begged him not to do so. He telegraphed and telephoned to Panditji and in the end agreed

not to stop me. I suggested that Mr. Vellodi should have a friendly chat with Mr. Brailsford, who was so enthusiastic about it, and discuss with him what it was all about. Mr. Brailsford suggested that he and I together should have a talk with Mr. Vellodi. I mentioned this to Mr. Vellodi and he very readily agreed to see Mr. Brailsford but said, "Please tell Mr. Brailsford that I would like to see him alone." I did not understand why this matter could not be discussed in my presence but I did not worry about it and asked Mr. Brailsford to see Mr. Vellodi alone. After his talk with Mr. Vellodi Brailsford came to my room and said, "I don't really understand what Vellodi says. He says he is afraid that Sudhir Ghosh's activities might be interpreted as Sardar Patel boosting himself in London. I do not understand how Sardar Patel comes into the picture. This is all very puzzling." That was the first time I heard your name mentioned.

During the last few weeks this propaganda has steadily spread and the promoters of the propaganda have given me the name "Patel's spy." I do not know whom I am supposed to spy upon. I understand the story is that I am spying upon India House as well as the activities of the India League. It is difficult for me to scotch these poisonous talks. If it was due to any sin of omission or commission on my part I would be humble and I would do all in my power to remedy this. But it is not within my power; for it really is not due to anything I have done or left undone.

I deliberately brought a letter from Panditji for Krishna Menon. This introduction, I am afraid, did not help me. Panditji said in the letter that I was being sent to London by Vallabhbhai Patel and he did not know about my qualifications for the job but he knew I would do my best and that I was keen and enthusiastic and sometimes over-eager. Panditji's remark that I was being sent by Vallabhbhai Patel was obviously an innocent remark; I am sure he did not mean that I was being sent here against his will. But I fear that Mr. Menon and Mr. Vellodi (with whom I know Mr. Menon shared the letter) read some unusual meaning in that very innocent remark.

I hate to worry you about these unpleasant things. I resisted the desire to write to you about it all these weeks, but now I feel I must tell you about it. For it is a lonely fight for me.

It is no problem at all for me to get on with Mr. Vellodi. I have had no difficulty at all with him. He did not approve of my seeing the members of the British Government and I implicitly obeyed him and kept aloof from the British Ministers. I have not displeased him in any way. He is quite nice to me. I have a great deal of respect for him; he is a very capable man and is much devoted to his work and I have admiration for these qualities in him. I also understand his difficulties, to some extent, but he reads too much meaning into what he has heard about differences between our leaders.

Mr. Menon, however, is a difficult proposition in spite of his undoubtedly exceptional ability. I have heard it over and over again from public men in this country. With the exception of some Communists and two good souls, Agatha Harrison<sup>1</sup> and [the Rev.] Reginald Sorensen, nobody else in London can work with him. But, of course, my job should not bring me into conflict with him in any way. I am sorry he said to you that I did not go to see him before he went to India. I made at least half a dozen attempts to see him; he said he was very busy and put it off and put it off and went off to India without seeing me. As soon as he returned to London I wrote to him expressing my anxiety to see him. I have tried day after day but have not succeeded so far; he says he is very busy but will see me before he returns to India on Friday. I shall not be at all surprised if he goes away without seeing me. He can make time to see all the newspaper reports but evidently he is too busy to see me. But I will be humble and do all I can to appease him. Things would have been quite easy for me if I identified myself with the India League as soon as I arrived here, but if I did that I would have laid myself open to serious charges from the Muslim League, whose agent here watches every step I take.

All I have said above is the dark side of the picture. But there is a bright side of it too. After all, my job is to publicise India to the British and not to Indians, and the co-operation I have received from the British is more than I expected. During these last three months I have established very friendly contacts with all the key men in the British Press. The response I have received from them is much more than I anticipated. The editors of *The Times*, the *Manchester Guardian*, the *News Chronicle*, the *Daily Herald*, the [New] *Statesman* and all the other papers with the exception of a few reactionary papers like the *Daily Mail* and *Daily Express* have promised their full support. On the whole the demand made on my time by representatives of the British Press is more than I can do full justice to. But it takes a man in my position a little time to be really effective. I know a good few British people in the Foreign Office who have done this sort of job for the British Government in foreign countries. Their experience is that in this kind of a job it takes a man at least one year to establish himself and it is only in the second and the third year that he can produce results; after five years a man begins to be stale and dogmatic.

At present I am rather overwhelmed by the amount I have to do. I have to receive quite a steady stream of British newspaper representatives who come to discuss current Indian affairs; I have to go out to see some of them. I have to deal with a mass of correspondence. I get numerous invitations to speak. I have so far spoken at Oxford and at Cambridge, at Chatham House, Fabian Society, Labour Party meetings and at a conference of the

<sup>1</sup> English disciple of Gandhi

National Peace Council of Great Britain. I am addressing a Parliamentary Committee on India at Westminster in a few days. So I have a great deal to do. If only I did not have to worry about the poisonous propaganda of some of our own countrymen I could do a great deal more. I am badly in need of someone to assist me and I hope the Information Officer comes soon. Apart from the newly-created Public Relations Department a substantial part of the High Commissioner's office such as the India House Library have been transferred to the PRQ.

As regards the group of prominent British public men whom I have encouraged to get together, kindly see the enclosed copy of a personal letter written by Brailsford [which shows I] have not sponsored any group. I have helped an influential group of Britishers, six Socialists, four Conservatives, three Liberals and three non-party figures—men who belong to all parties and no party—to get together to disseminate Indian information as much as possible. I see no harm in it. But if you and Panditji do not like it I shall keep aloof from them. I hope at some stage it will be possible to define what is expected of me; it would be a great help. For at present our countrymen here have their own idea of what I ought to be doing and when they find that I am not doing precisely those things many of them start sniping.

As regards Mr. [Syed Abdullah] Brelvi's query about newspapers sent here by airmail, I sent you a cable about it. The selection of these papers was made by the Information Department at Delhi and not by me. But any kind of selection is bound to be unsatisfactory and will cause annoyance to those whose papers are not included. The department cannot buy more than a limited number in view of financial difficulties. They are sending me exactly what they send to India Office. But if I may make a suggestion, we might make a request to all the editors, through the AINEC [All-India Newspaper Editors Conference] that they contribute complimentary copies of their papers free of charge, by air mail, to India House. The newspapers are prosperous and can afford to make this sacrifice for a good cause. This should, of course, apply to all the papers, including those that are being purchased at present. I believe most papers would respond to such a suggestion.

I have noted your instructions with regard to taking over responsibility from Joyce.<sup>1</sup> The only thing I am taking over is the publication of the weekly summary of Indian news. I do not have to do anything about the mechanical part of it. The printing and distribution of it will be done by the Stationery Office as is being done by them for Joyce. All I have to do is to put together the week's messages received from Delhi and hand it to the Stationery Office at the end of the week.

I have arranged with J. Arthur Rank, the British film magnate, to produce a 40-minute documentary news film of India giving a picture of the present

<sup>1</sup> Adviser on Publicity at India Office

state of things in India. This film will be shown to millions of ordinary men and women in this and other parts of the world. If it is properly produced it should be a great help. Rank wanted my advice on it. His men will go to India fairly soon and I hope you will give them some help and advice.

As regards our main political affairs, I have not been able to do much, for I am anxious not to do anything contrary to the wishes of Mr. Vellodi; he as you know does not think it proper for me to see members of the British Government. I can easily keep in touch with them but it is not desirable to do this without Mr. Vellodi's encouragement. I can do this only if there is an understanding between you and Panditji and the High Commissioner and if the High Commissioner cheerfully gives his approval.

I, however, passed on to Sir Stafford Cripps the relevant portion of your letter.

Shanti is doing a post-graduate course in children's diseases at the Great Ormonde Street Hospital. She is well. We send our love to Maniben and to yourself.

Sudhir

PS.

I am sorry that I have written at such length but I had to do it.

448

TELEGRAM

14 October 1947

Hon'ble Sardar Patel  
New Delhi  
India

IN VIEW OF THE GROSSLY EXAGGERATED PROPAGANDA IN THE BRITISH PRESS AND THE DIFFICULTIES OF COMBATING IT IT WOULD BE A GREAT HELP FROM THE POINT OF VIEW OF MY WORK HERE IF I COULD PAY BRIEF VISIT TO DELHI AND PUNJAB. ISMAY CAN GIVE ME LIFT IN HIS PLANE TO DELHI END OF THIS WEEK. IF YOU APPROVE I WOULD LIKE TO COME. I WILL OF COURSE SECURE APPROVAL AND SANCTION OF MY SUPERIORS.

SUDHIR

449

TELEGRAM

17 October 1947

Sudhir Ghosh  
Care High Commissioner for India  
London

NO OBJECTION YOUR COMING WITH ISMAY.

VALLABHBHAI

450

TELEGRAM

18 October 1947

Hon'ble Sardar Patel  
New Delhi

AM COMING WITH ISMAY LEAVING THURSDAY NEXT.

SUDHIR

451

New Delhi  
24 October 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Some time back, you will remember, you mentioned to me a telegram which you had received from Krishna Menon about Sudhir Ghosh. I had not seen this telegram. Telegrams which are addressed to particular persons are sent from our Cypher Bureau direct and not circulated.

Later I asked for a copy of this telegram and it was shown to me. I did not think it necessary to take any action in the matter as you were dealing with it. In the course of some letters from our High Commissioner in London a reference was made to certain difficulties which had arisen in regard to the

maintenance of discipline in the High Commissioner's establishment. Sudhir Ghosh was mentioned in this connection and it was stated that he was not easily amenable to the discipline of India House. I sent no reply to these letters on this point as you were seized of the matter.

I have now had another message from Krishna Menon in which he states that Sudhir Ghosh has acted in an unusual manner and without informing him or the Deputy High Commissioner had arranged to come to India with Lord Ismay. Some mention of leave for a fortnight had previously been made but further particulars had not been supplied. Ismay casually mentioned to Krishna Menon that Sudhir Ghosh wanted to return with him to India. Ismay was very much surprised to learn that Krishna Menon knew nothing about it.

I do not know all the facts or what steps you may have taken in this matter. Before replying to Krishna Menon's telegram I should be grateful if you could kindly let me know how matters stand.

I am sorry that there has been this continuous friction between Krishna Menon and Sudhir Ghosh. It is clear that work can only be done if a certain discipline is maintained in a large establishment. Krishna Menon has complained that there has been no discipline at all and hence work has suffered greatly at a very critical time.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

452

EXTRACT FROM TELEGRAM DATED 26 SEPTEMBER 1947 FROM  
MR. KRISHNA MENON TO SARDAR PATEL

I AM GRAVELY CONCERNED AT WORKING OF OUR PUBLIC RELATIONS AND INFORMATION DEPARTMENT. FOR REASONS OF AVOIDING COMPARATIVELY DRASTIC STEPS AND INEVITABLE FRICTION THAT WOULD RESULT I HAVE HITHERTO ALLOWED WORK OF THIS DEPARTMENT TO CONTINUE AS BEFORE AND ONLY GIVE ADVICE WHEN POSSIBLE. PRESENT STATE OF AFFAIRS CANNOT CONTINUE ANY LONGER WITHOUT PERMITTING WORK TO GO UNDER. I HAVE ENDEAVOURED WITH GREAT PATIENCE AND WITH INCORRIGIBLE HOPE RESTRAINED FROM



ADDRESSING MYSELF TO THIS DEPARTMENT IN THE SAME WAY AS I DID TO EDUCATION DEPARTMENT WITH RESULTS THAT ARE ADMITTED ON ALL SIDES TO BE REMARKABLE. IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO ESTABLISH CORRECT RELATIONS OR EVEN PREVENT ERRORS WITHOUT TAKING A DIFFERENT ATTITUDE. I AM ALSO OBLIGED TO SAY DEPARTMENT IS NOT PULLING ITS WEIGHT IN THIS OFFICE. I AM THEREFORE WITH ASSISTANCE OF DEPUTY HIGH COMMISSIONER TAKING OVER ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTION, HIGH-LEVEL CONTACTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE POLICY AS FROM OCTOBER 3RD. THE NEW INFORMATION OFFICER HAS PROVED COMPETENT AND HELPFUL. I NEED A PRESSMAN AND I URGE YOU TO SANCTION APPOINTMENT OF CHANDRA WHO IS HERE AND I REQUIRE HIM URGENTLY. THE REST OF THE ARRANGEMENTS REQUIRED IN THE DEPARTMENT ARE TECHNICAL STAFF AND REPLACEMENT OF INEFFICIENT PERSONNEL. THOUGH THESE ARRANGEMENTS COME UNDER NORMAL ADMINISTRATION AND WORK OF OFFICE WHICH I AM RESPONSIBLE TO GOVERNMENT I AM INFORMING YOU IN VIEW OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES INVOLVED. I WOULD ALSO ADD THAT I FEEL SOMEWHAT GUILTY IN ALLOWING THINGS TO DRIFT AS THEY HAVE DONE DURING THIS CRITICAL PERIOD. I WOULD REQUEST YOU TO FEEL ASSURED THAT I HAVE STRIVEN PATIENTLY AND THOUGHT GREAT DEAL AND DISCUSSED WHOLE POSITION WITH DEPUTY HIGH COMMISSIONER AND OTHERS. SUCH FUNCTIONS AS PUBLIC RELATIONS OFFICER CAN EFFECTIVELY AND PROMPTLY CARRY OUT CAN AND WILL BE UTILISED BUT I CANNOT CONSISTENTLY LET THINGS DRIFT. I HAVE ARRANGED FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF PUBLIC RELATIONS AT HIGH LEVELS DIRECT WITH ME AS IS APPROPRIATE AND NECESSARY.

453

EXTRACT FROM TELEGRAM DATED 29 SEPTEMBER 1947 FROM  
MR. MENON TO MR. NEHRU

IN VIEW OF SERIOUSNESS OF THE MATTER AM ASSUMING GENERAL DIRECTION OF INFORMATION AND CONTACTS AS ALREADY INFORMED. HAVE INFORMED PATEL. CANNOT LET THINGS DRIFT WITHOUT CONSEQUENCES.

**EXTRACT FROM TELEGRAM DATED 6 OCTOBER 1947 FROM  
MR. MENON TO SARDAR PATEL**

**POSITION SET OUT IN PARA NO. 3 OF TELEGRAM OF 26 SEPTEMBER HAS CONSIDERABLY DETERIORATED. THE ORGANISATIONAL AND DISCIPLINARY ASPECTS OF THIS ARE UNDER REVIEW BY DEPUTY HIGH COMMISSIONER. DEEPLY REGRET THAT MY PATIENT ADVICE AND WARNINGS HAVE NOT YIELDED MUCH BETTER RESULTS. THE STEPS I PROPOSE TO TAKE WHICH I INTIMATED TO YOU IN ABOVEMENTIONED TELEGRAM OF 26 SEPTEMBER WAS DEFERRED BY ME FOR FURTHER EFFORTS BY DEPUTY HIGH COMMISSIONER AND MYSELF. IT IS NO LONGER POSSIBLE TO LET MATTER DRIFT WITHOUT**

- (A) SERIOUS REPERCUSSIONS ON REST OF INDIA HOUSE ESTABLISHMENT WHICH HAPPILY FUNCTIONING VERY SATISFACTORILY AND WITH MARKED AND PROGRESSIVE IMPROVEMENT;**
- (B) ADVERSE AND REGRETTABLE RESULTS ON INFORMATION AND PUBLIC RELATIONS WORK WHICH IN THE PRESENT CIRCUMSTANCES MUST RECEIVE OUR BEST ATTENTION;**
- (C) UNHAPPY REPERCUSSIONS ON OUR RELATIONS WITH VARIOUS SECTIONS OF BRITISH FOREIGN AND INDIAN PRESS IN UNITED KINGDOM.**

**THE FUNCTIONING OF PR DEPARTMENT UNDER DIRECT SUPERVISION OF DHC AND MYSELF WILL NOW OPERATE AS FROM WEDNESDAY, 8 OCTOBER. REQUEST THAT ALL TELEGRAMS RELATING TO PUBLIC RELATIONS AND INFORMATION SHALL HEREAFTER BE ADDRESSED TO DEPUTY HIGH COMMISSIONER WHICH ALONE ENSURES THEIR . . . RECEIVING PROMPT ATTENTION FROM US.**

**WE ARE CONFIDENT THAT WE SHALL SUCCEED IN CORRECTING ERRORS AND IMPROVING PUBLIC RELATIONS AND INFORMATION WORK SPEEDILY AND WOULD LIKE YOU TO BE ASSURED THAT THERE NEED BE NO ANXIETIES IN HM'S MIND ABOUT THIS.**

455

TELEGRAM DATED 7 OCTOBER FROM SARDAR PATEL TO  
MR. MENON

YOUR TELEGRAM DATED 6 OCTOBER RECEIVED THIS AFTERNOON. YOU MUST HAVE RECEIVED BY NOW OUR TELEGRAM ABOUT CHANDRA. REGRET FOR REASONS EXPLAINED THEREIN NOT POSSIBLE ACCEPT YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS. WE ARE SENDING [Jamal] KIDWAI FROM HERE SOONEST POSSIBLE. IMPOSSIBLE CONSIDER OTHER MATTERS IN ABSENCE OF DEFINITE INFORMATION. HITHERTO I HAVE HEARD FROM YOU NOTHING BUT VAGUE REFERENCES TO ARRANGEMENTS NOT WORKING SATISFACTORILY OR THINGS BEING ALLOWED TO DRIFT OR CERTAIN ORGANISATIONAL AND DISCIPLINARY ASPECTS. YOU WILL DOUBTLESS APPRECIATE I CANNOT COME TO A DECISION ON SUCH VAGUE GENERALITIES. I MUST THEREFORE ASK YOU DEFER ACTION UNTIL YOU HAVE FURNISHED ME DETAILS AND A DECISION IS REACHED BY ME.

456

TELEGRAM

23 October 1947

From Hicomind London  
To Foreign New Delhi

FOLLOWING FOR JAWAHARLAL NEHRU FROM KRISHNA MENON. REQUEST REFERENCE TO MY TELEGRAM DATED 22 OCTOBER. I DESIRE TO DRAW ATTENTION TO FOLLOWING DISTRESSING FACTS AND FOR YOUR DIRECTION.

2. GHOSH HAD NOT FURNISHED INFORMATION ASKED FOR BY DHC UNDER PARAGRAPH 56 OF OFFICE MANUAL WHEN OFFICE OPENED THIS MORNING. THEREFORE DHC SENT HIM AT 9.45 A.M. A WRITTEN NOTE HE HAD PREPARED ON NIGHT OF 22ND INVITING ATTENTION TO PARAGRAPH AND TO MY HAVING COME TO KNOW FROM OUTSIDE SOURCE THAT HE WAS LEAVING FOR INDIA AND THAT HIS DEPARTURE FOR INDIA REQUIRED PRIOR SANCTION OF GOVERNMENT.

3. LATER AT 10.30 THIS MORNING 23 OCTOBER GHOSH HANDED OVER TO DHC A NOTE BEARING PREVIOUS DAY'S DATE IN WHICH

HE MENTIONED FOR FIRST TIME HIS INTENTION TO VISIT INDIA. THE NOTE STATED THAT HE HAD RECEIVED URGENT MESSAGE "FROM MAHATMA GANDHI IN RESPONSE TO WHICH HE HAD TO PROCEED TO DELHI AT EARLIEST POSSIBLE MOMENT" AND THAT ARRANGEMENTS HAD BEEN MADE FOR HIS TRANSPORT BY AIR FROM LONDON ON 26 OCTOBER AND RETURN ON 8 NOVEMBER. HE ALSO SHOWED DHC AN EN CLAIR TELEGRAM ADDRESSED TO HIM BY NAME FROM SARDAR PATEL WHICH READ "NO OBJECTION TO YOUR COMING WITH ISMAY."

4. GHOSH REFUSED TO TELL THE DHC WHETHER GANDHIJI HAD ASKED HIM TO GO TO INDIA OR WHETHER TELEGRAM PURPORTING TO BE FROM SARDAR PATEL WAS IN REPLY TO ANY PREVIOUS COMMUNICATION FROM HIM TO SARDAR PATEL. GHOSH ADDED THAT WHATEVER HE WISHED TO SAY WAS CONTAINED IN HIS NOTE OF 22 OCTOBER.

5. I SAW THESE NOTES AT 5.45 P.M. TODAY ON MY RETURN FROM SOUTHAMPTON WHERE I HAD GONE TO MEET STUDENT ARRIVALS.

6. FACT THAT GHOSH HAD TAKEN GANDHIJI'S NAME AND THERE WAS A TELEGRAM PURPORTING TO BE FROM SARDAR PATEL MADE IT INCUMBENT ON ME TO SEND FOR GHOSH MYSELF AND ENQUIRE ABOUT THESE MATTERS. THIS I DID IN PRESENCE OF DEPUTY HIGH COMMISSIONER.

7. THOUGH GHOSH WAS WITH ME FOR 45 MINUTES HE DECLINED TO GIVE ANY DIRECT ANSWERS OR ADD ANYTHING TO CLARIFY PREVARICATIONS IN HIS NOTES BUT PERSISTED IN FURTHER PREVARICATIONS AND ILL-MANNERED REPLY. HE ADMITTED HE WAS ALWAYS AWARE SANCTION OF GOVERNMENT OF INDIA WAS NECESSARY FOR HIS GOING TO INDIA. I POINTED OUT TO HIM HE HAD WITHHELD FROM ME HIS INTENTION OF GOING TO INDIA WHICH WENT TO ROOT OF THE QUESTION OF LEAVE WHICH WOULD NOT THEN BE IN MY HANDS. I ALSO TOLD HIM I HAD CABLED TO INDIA FOR SANCTION USING INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM ISMAY AND I WAS AWAITING IT. I ADDED THAT HE HAD WITHHELD RELEVANT INFORMATION REGARDING MESSAGES FROM GANDHI AND SARDAR PATEL.

8. BEFORE HE LEFT MY ROOM HE SAID "ALL I HAVE TO SAY IS CONTAINED IN MY NOTE. I DO NOT WISH TO SAY ANYTHING FURTHER." I NEED NOT ADD THAT INTERVIEW AND MANNER IN WHICH GHOSH TREATED ME AND DEPUTY HIGH COMMISSIONER WAS MOST HUMILIATING AND WOULD NOT HAVE COME FROM ANY OTHER INDIVIDUAL IN THIS OFFICE. AS USUAL SEVERAL UNTRUTHFUL STATEMENTS MADE BY GHOSH. IT WAS SUCH AN OBVIOUS ATTEMPT  
S. C.-IV-30

TO JOCKEY ME INTO A POSITION OF REFUSING LEAVE IN FACE OF WHAT I WAS INVITED TO INFER WAS DESIRE OF GANDHIJI AND SARDAR FROM WHOM GHOSH CLAIMED TO RECEIVE PRIVATE DIRECT COMMUNICATIONS.

9. I INFORMED GHOSH MATTER OF LEAVE DID NOT LIE WITH ME AND I WAS AWAITING GOVERNMENT'S INSTRUCTIONS.

10. IN VIEW OF SARDAR PATEL'S PURPORTED COMMUNICATION I SHOULD BE GRATEFUL IF FACTS IN MY TELEGRAMS WERE MADE KNOWN TO HIM.

11. I AWAIT YOUR INSTRUCTIONS. IT MUST BE OBVIOUS NO HEAD OF AN ORGANISATION CAN FUNCTION IN THESE CIRCUMSTANCES. I REGRET THIS IMPOSITION ON YOU BUT I HAVE NO OPTION.

457

New Delhi  
25 October 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

Thank you for your letter of 24 October 1947 regarding Sudhir Ghosh [see 448].

Copies of telegrams which I have been receiving from Krishna Menon about Sudhir Ghosh are generally endorsed to your private secretary, and I thought that in the ordinary course you would have seen them.

At the time Krishna Menon was appointed, I had grave misgivings as to whether he would allow Sudhir Ghosh to function at all. I know that he cannot tolerate the presence of anyone who has as high contacts as Sudhir had. Even then, both Gandhiji and I had a talk with him when he left, and I told him that if he found that there was anything wrong he should let me know. Nevertheless, my misgivings persisted, and quite frankly I was not at all surprised when I received first intimation of it in a letter from Sudhir. In that letter, Sudhir had mentioned that Krishna Menon was dead set against him and that he had openly told responsible persons that Sudhir was his enemy and that there was no place for both of them in the same office.

I did not consider it necessary or proper to say anything on this matter to Sudhir; in fact, I did not reply to that letter at all. Krishna Menon's first telegram which came to me about the last week of September did not, therefore, come to me as a

revelation. In that he made some vague references to lack of discipline and the necessity of taking into his own hands all the publicity arrangements, without mentioning even the name of Sudhir. This was followed about a week later by another telegram, in which he said that things had not improved and that he was going to take over publicity in his own hands with effect from the next day. I replied to him that it was impossible for me to come to any decision on the vague and indefinite information that he had given to me, and therefore I must have more details. I asked him in the meantime not to disturb the present arrangements. In reply to this telegram, I received a long telegram from Krishna Menon dated 18 October (which probably you have seen, as according to the distribution list two copies have gone to your private secretary). You will notice that it contains a long catalogue of acts of omission and commission perpetrated by Sudhir Ghosh. I must say at once that I am not prepared to accept, on the face of it, everything that Krishna Menon has said. Knowing both of them as well as I do and with the background of the case as I know it, it is necessary that we suspend judgment until we have the other side of the picture before us. Krishna Menon has taken great pains to mention that in whatever he has done and written he has had the support and evidence of his Deputy High Commissioner. In fact, his latest telegram on this subject dated 24 October which is addressed to you and a copy of which has been sent to my private secretary shows it quite clearly that the Deputy High Commissioner has been made by him a witness of things that have been happening between him and Sudhir Ghosh. Unfortunately, however, I know the Deputy High Commissioner also. He was in Bombay for a fairly long time. A reference has been made in that telegram to Sudhir's correspondence with me. I enclose copies of the telegram which Sudhir sent to me and of my reply thereto. You will see that in this telegram Sudhir clearly told me that he took the consent of the High Commissioner to his coming out to India with Lord Ismay.

At present I am waiting for a full report giving the factual account which Krishna Menon has promised to send by air mail as soon as possible. For the time being, I feel that the best course would be for Sudhir to come to India with Lord Ismay.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
8 November 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Sudhir Ghosh came to see me two or three days ago and we had a talk. I do not know if you have come to any decision about him. The personal aspect has come to the forefront but I am not concerned with this. It seems clear from various accounts, the latest of which is Bidhan Roy's, that Krishna Menon and Sudhir Ghosh do not fit in with each other and it is unfair to both to be yoked together in one place. In fact, things have come to such a pass that Sudhir Ghosh can hardly go back to London without our asking Krishna Menon to resign from his post.

From all accounts that I have received from various sources, Krishna Menon has done very good work and he has brought some order into the office of the High Commissioner in London. There is a general appreciation of his work from different quarters, including many that were previously unfriendly. I have no doubt that he must continue there.

The consequence of this is that Sudhir Ghosh should not be stationed in London. How far he is suitable for some other foreign appointment, I do not know. Could you kindly let me know what you propose to do about London in this matter?

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

New Delhi  
13 November 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Three or four days ago I wrote to you about Sudhir Ghosh and enquired what you proposed to do about him. I pointed out that whatever the merits, it is quite clear that he did not fit into the present set-up at India House. If he was sent back there, Krishna Menon could not continue. Obviously, Krishna

Menon has to continue there, as he has done remarkably well. The consequence is that Sudhir Ghosh should not go back.

I have not heard from you on this subject, but on my return I received a cable this morning from Krishna Menon as follows:

"I RECEIVED THE FOLLOWING EN CLAIR TELEGRAM FROM THE MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING DATED 11TH NOVEMBER. BEGINS SUDHIR GHOSH RETURNING TO HIS POST SHORTLY. HIS LEAVE EXTENDED BY ONE WEEK. WILL LET YOU KNOW DATE AND TIME OF HIS DEPARTURE ENDS. NO OTHER COMMUNICATION OF ANY KIND HAS BEEN RECEIVED BY ME FROM THE HON'BLE MINISTER OR MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING, SINCE SUDHIR GHOSH LEFT, OR IN ANSWER TO MY REQUEST AND FACTS SENT TO SARDAR PATEL."

On reading this telegram I had an enquiry made from [G. S.] Bhalja<sup>1</sup> and he told us that Sudhir Ghosh was due to leave tomorrow morning by air. I have asked him to delay his departure till I have had an opportunity of discussing this matter with you.

Yours,  
Jawaharlal

460

New Delhi  
14 November 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

Thank you for your letter dated 13 November 1947 regarding Sudhir Ghosh.

I could not reply to your previous letter because I felt that before we made up our mind on this difficult question it was only fair and proper that we should give Sudhir a chance to reply to Krishna Menon's allegations against him and then come to a proper decision. Shortly after I received your letter, Sudhir left for a tour of the East Punjab, from where he returned on Saturday last. He naturally took some time to reply to those charges. I got it late on Monday evening, and as I anticipated we would have done grave injustice if we had acted on Krishna Menon's allegations alone. You were not here on Tuesday, and I have been away on Wednesday and Thursday. To-day is, therefore, the earliest that I could reply to your letter.

<sup>1</sup> ICS; Secretary, Ministry of Information & Broadcasting



I had arranged for Sudhir's return to London, as I felt that, quite apart from any other considerations, the presence of his wife in London made it necessary for him to return. The telegram, the wording and the transmission of which as en clair has surprised Krishna Menon, is purely a business telegram indicating that we had extended Sudhir's leave by one week and that we would intimate the date of his departure later. I do not see why Krishna Menon should make a grievance of it. After all, Sudhir was on leave and had not been recalled by us; he is, therefore, entitled to return to his post. Further, unless human considerations are to be ruled out, merely because Sudhir has fallen foul of Krishna Menon, Krishna Menon should have realised that at least the presence of his wife in London necessitates Sudhir's return. I would like to add, however, that the telegram was sent by Bhalja as a routine matter and was not shown to me. However, in view of your instructions, Bhalja has already cancelled Sudhir's passage.

It seems to me that the fact that Krishna Menon cannot even tolerate Sudhir's return from leave to London shows to what extent he has carried personal animosities. When Krishna Menon was appointed, as I have already written to you, I had grave misgivings about Sudhir being able to carry on as Public Relations Officer under Krishna Menon. I then told Krishna Menon that if he felt that he could not carry on with Sudhir he had to tell me and I would arrange for his return; instead of following this simple and straightforward course, for reasons best known to him, he has preferred grave allegations against him. Those allegations reflect not only on Sudhir's competence to hold the post, but also on his personal character. I am sure you will agree with me that to condemn Sudhir Ghosh on Krishna Menon's allegations alone without giving Sudhir Ghosh an opportunity to present his side of the case would have been the height of injustice and unfairness to a man whose capabilities, integrity and character have won high praise from more distinguished persons.

I enclose a copy of Sudhir's reply to Krishna Menon's allegations. It is impossible—nor would it be fair—to ascribe in any hurry blame or responsibilities over the most unfortunate differences that have taken place between Sudhir and Krishna Menon; nor would it be possible to ignore the allegations altogether, as they involve, more important than anything else, Sudhir's personal character and honour and because, if allowed

to remain un rebutted, they would seriously prejudice his future. I am sure you will see the fairness and justice of this position. Nevertheless, I had it in mind even before—and I stick to it even now—that if Krishna Menon is prepared to force the issue to this extent as to say that if Sudhir returns he would leave or that Sudhir could not fit in with him, then Sudhir must come back. For the present, however, I hope you will agree with me that Sudhir should return to London as Public Relations Officer for a few days, so that he could wind up both official and personal matters and arrange for his wife's return.

Both in this letter and in the previous one, you have referred to the good work done by Krishna Menon. I should not like to make any comments on this matter.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

461

New Delhi  
15 November 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Thank you for your letter of the 14th about Sudhir Ghosh.

2. I have not gone deeply into the charges, counter-charges and replies. I glanced through Krishna Menon's charge-sheet rather hurriedly and the impression produced upon me was that Sudhir Ghosh and he did not fit in at all either temperamentally or otherwise. I felt that there had been indiscipline; but when people do not fit in, this almost inevitably happens. Most of the other charges could also be explained in that way. But, as I have said, I did not read them carefully.

3. I have been influenced to some extent in coming to this decision by reports from Dr. Bidhan Roy and from some other people coming from London.

4. You are perfectly right in saying that it was totally unnecessary for Krishna Menon to prepare this charge-sheet. All that was necessary was for him to say that he could not pull on with Sudhir Ghosh. Anyway, as the charges were made Sudhir Ghosh had obviously to be given a chance to reply to them.

5. Sudhir should certainly go back to London to wind up his affairs and arrange for his wife's return. I did not know that his wife was there with him.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

462

New Delhi  
11 December 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

You told me some time back that Sudhir Ghosh was returning to London to wind up his affairs and bring back his wife. I do not know if he is still in London or has left his post there. In case he has not been transferred, I hope this will be done soon as the position in India House must necessarily be difficult after all that has happened. Our work will suffer greatly.

I was reminded of this matter today when Mountbatten asked me about it. I do not know the exact position now and so I am writing to you to enquire.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

463

New Delhi  
12 December 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

Thank you for your letter dated 11 December 1947 regarding Sudhir. I am more anxious than anybody else can be to arrange for Sudhir's return as soon as possible and not to keep him there for a day longer than is necessary. I have already received a telegram from him that he is leaving London next Tuesday and will be here on Thursday. I hope it will set at rest your anxiety about the functioning of India House.

2. After my return from the Cabinet meeting, I saw the note circulated by the Relief and Rehabilitation Ministry regarding

houses vacated by the Muslims in Delhi with particular reference to predominantly Muslim mohallas. I was particularly struck by the following statement:

“No break-up can be provided as the survey of houses cannot be safely undertaken by Hindus in Muslim areas. There are also very few vacancies as the owners have made some kind of arrangement for looking after their houses in their absence.”

I am wondering whether the assumption on which our discussions in the Cabinet were based, namely, that Muslims in predominantly Muslim mohallas suffered from a sense of insecurity, is correct, if a Hindu official cannot even dare go into Muslim areas and whether, having regard to the actual dimensions of the problem, as revealed by this statement, all this attention to this problem was worth giving.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

**CHAPTER XIII**  
**EXTERNAL AFFAIRS**

464

Viceroy's Camp India  
(Dehra Dun)  
19 January 1947

Dear Pandit Nehru,

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel has been pressing on me the proposal that there should be an Appointments Committee of the Cabinet to advise me on appointments which, under the present rules, come to me. I think there is a good deal to be said for the proposal. Clearly, however, one committee could not cover both appointments in the Government of India and representational appointments abroad, and I think we should appoint the following committees:

**APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE**

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

Mr. Liaquat Ali Khan

Dr. Matthai

**COMMITTEE FOR APPOINTMENTS TO  
REPRESENTATIONAL POSTS ABROAD**

Pandit Nehru

Mr. Liaquat Ali Khan

Dr. Matthai

I should be glad of your comments.

Yours sincerely,  
Wavell

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru

New Delhi  
23 January 1947

Dear Lord Wavell,

Thank you for your letter of 19 January regarding the formation of committees of the Cabinet to consider certain appointments in India and appointments of representatives abroad. As this suggestion involves a complete departure from the practice hitherto pursued, it deserves careful consideration.

Normally, it would be desirable to have committees of the Cabinet to deal with various functions and appointment committee or committees might facilitate business. In the present state of affairs, we have, unfortunately, to face a difficulty. The Cabinet itself does not work as a team and any committee appointed would, likewise, suffer from the same disability.

In your letter you say that the Appointments Committee would advise you in regard to appointments. It is not quite clear what this means. I understand that Sardar Patel's suggestion was that selection by a board or committee would be considered by the Member in charge and thereafter the proposal would go for final decision to the Committee of the Cabinet. Thus the decision would rest with the Cabinet Committee and would not require approval or sanction by you before it took effect.

In regard to foreign appointments, a certain uniformity of policy is obviously necessary and care has to be taken that secrecy is maintained till the appointment is jointly announced by the two countries concerned. There must be a capacity to co-operate with each other among the persons so appointed.

In the committees you have suggested two names are common to both, although the departments of these two Members are not particularly associated with such appointments. So far as appointments abroad are concerned, the three departments concerned are External Affairs, Commonwealth Relations and Commerce. I must confess that the proposal to form these committees for appointments at present and without much further elucidation does not appeal to me. One fact at least should be

made clear, whether these committees are the final authority in the matter or not.

Recently my department suggested a name for appointment as our representative in Ceylon. You have expressed your disapproval of this name. I understand that this is a rather unusual procedure and it puts me in difficulty. The name had been put forward by my department of their own accord. I approved of it also because of my long personal acquaintance with the person concerned. It is difficult for me to make any suggestions if they are to be overruled in this manner.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal Nehru

His Excellency Viscount Wavell

466

New Delhi  
31 January 1947

Dear Lord Wavell,

I have been giving careful consideration to the proposal that Cabinet committees might be appointed to consider and decide upon higher appointments in India as well as abroad. I have consulted some of my colleagues also on this subject.

2. In your letter of 19 January you suggested the appointment of two such committees. On 23 January I sent you a reply in which I pointed out certain difficulties, more specially in regard to appointments to representational posts abroad. I think there is a difference in regard to appointments in India and abroad. The former could more easily be made by a Cabinet committee, though even that would be a departure from the normal practice not only in India but elsewhere and it would bring certain difficulties in its train. Appointments abroad, to my knowledge, are nowhere made by committees for obvious reasons and in their case an Appointments Committee would create even greater difficulties. Those difficulties are not lessened by the present set-up of the Cabinet which, according to some of its Members, is not a Cabinet at all and not even a coalition. If people are appointed to posts abroad on party or communal grounds, our foreign policy would soon be discredited. I realise that in existing circumstances care has to be taken that any representation is not

one-sided either from the communal or the provincial point of view. Care has to be taken to give all communities and provinces a chance as far as possible and the proportions laid down for the services should be followed as far as possible. But there are certain overriding considerations of merit, suitability and conformity to the prevailing policy which cannot be overridden without peril. Foreign appointments, even more than appointments within the country, are not merely high offices to be distributed as spoils to various parties or aspirants. They have to be thought of in terms of fitness not only in regard to the general policy to be pursued but also with reference to the particular country or post. A person may be fitted as a representative in one country and yet may be totally unsuited for another.

3. Our general policy has to be laid down by the Cabinet as a whole and we have already stated in our instruments of instructions to some of our representatives abroad that they must steer clear of any party or communal lines. It would be exceedingly unfortunate if any of our representatives functioned abroad as communal propagandists. Of course the persons selected may and often will belong to particular parties. But they must be such as can rise above the party level and function as national representatives.

4. Thus far the External Affairs Department and the Commonwealth Relations Department have appointed four persons to relatively important posts abroad. The latest appointment is that of a senior member of the Foreign Service, Mr. K. P. S. Menon, who is going to China as our Ambassador. The other three are, as it so happens, all Muslims—Mr. Asaf Ali,<sup>1</sup> Ambassador in Washington; Dr. Rauf as our Representative in Rangoon; and Mr. [M.R.A.] Baig as our Consul in Goa. In choosing these three persons I did not think at all as to whether they were Hindus or Muslims, but tried to find the fittest man for the place. It so happened that the three persons chosen were all Muslims, one of them being a colleague of ours in the Cabinet and the two others being persons unconnected with any party or political activity. Some criticism was made about my appointments, to the effect that I was choosing Muslims only and neglecting Hindus and others. I did not pay much attention to this criticism because I was satisfied that on merits the choice was good. But, of course,

<sup>1</sup> Deputy Leader, Congress party in Central Legislature, 1945; Minister of Transport in Interim Government; Ambassador to US; Governor of Orissa



I cannot ignore, in existing circumstances, the fact that a certain balance has to be aimed at.

5. It seems to me that it will be [particularly] unfortunate if names are discussed in committees or in the Cabinet. This would be undesirable in the case of servicemen and it would be much more so in the case of men or women who are non-officials and who have been connected with the public life of the country. The initiative for an appointment in any of our departments should come from the department itself. If any person is thrust on a department against the wishes of that department, this will inevitably lead to a lack of co-operation and possibly to some conflict.

6. In my letter of 23 January I suggested that there should be further elucidation of the proposal you have made. There appeared to me to be a difference in your proposal and that put forward by Sardar Patel. Sardar Patel's idea was that the Appointments Committee should have in effect final authority to make a decision. Your proposal refers to a committee to advise you on appointments. If it is a question of advice and recommendation, there is no reason whatever why there should be a committee. The only point of having a committee is to give it authority to appoint. The committee would in effect function on behalf of the Cabinet and approval by you would be purely formal. This point has to be made clear. While I dislike very much the idea of appointments committees to be set up and thus starting a practice which is unknown in other countries and which may well lead to complications, I realise that in the abnormal conditions prevailing at present some way out has to be found. Perhaps the formation of such committees would be the lesser evil. I cannot say definitely now as there is a lack of the spirit of co-operation amongst the Members of the Cabinet and far too great a desire to emphasise purely communal issues and appointments based on communal considerations. With this background it is not unlikely that some persons so appointed might function far more as representatives of a communal party than of the nation and government as a whole. This danger has to be avoided. Any arrangement now would have to be temporary and experimental so that we may know exactly whether it works satisfactorily or not. In case it does not prove satisfactory, it will have to be put an end to.

7. Keeping all these considerations in view, I am prepared to accept the principle of having Cabinet committees for appointments, both for service in India and abroad. But it should be

clearly understood that these committees are not merely recommendatory and advisory. They must decide finally. In the case of important appointments, if there is any dispute, the matter might be referred to the Cabinet itself. The initiative for proposing any name or names should come from the department concerned.

8. This arrangement would be provisional and might be reviewed later.

9. If this principle is agreed to and accepted, the question of names for the committee can be considered later.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal Nehru

His Excellency Viscount Wavell

467

The Viceroy's House  
New Delhi  
5 February 1947

Dear Pandit Nehru,

Thank you for your letter of 31 January about Appointments Committees of the Cabinet.

2. I do not think there is any misunderstanding between us about the constitutional position. The appointments in question are by the Governor-General-in-Council and there would be no question of the decisions of the Committees being reversed without reference to the Cabinet. The word 'advice' in my letter of 19 January was perhaps misleading.

3. There is one other point in your letter on which I must comment. You speak in paragraph 7 of the initiative coming from the department concerned. You may be thinking of external appointments, and if so, I agree. In regard to internal appointments of officials, I do not think we could get satisfactory results in this way. No one department knows who is available, what his merits are compared with those of his colleagues, what service claims are involved, or what general policy should be pursued. I do not think it would be suitable, for instance, to permit a department to demand that a particular Deputy Secretary in some other department should be moved over to a vacant post of Joint Secretary. There might be better or senior officers available, and officers with greater claims to promotion. The implementation of the

policies laid down by Government for appointment and promotion should be watched by a single authority which should keep in mind such matters as the length of service at which promotions are permitted, communal representation in new appointment, and so on. If departments were to pick out individual officers from provincial cadres, surely the matter would soon get out of hand. I am sure that the initiative should be taken as before by the Selection Board, and in the case of the Finance Pool by the Establishment Committee, and that their proposals should always be considered by the Appointments Committee before a decision is taken.

4. I feel sure that we ought to set up these committees at once as the present position about appointments is unsatisfactory and undignified. The arrangement can certainly be provisional and subject to reconsideration later if necessary.

5. I suggest that we should very shortly discuss the personnel for these committees, and I hope you will agree.

Yours sincerely,  
Wavell

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru

468

New Delhi  
10 February 1947

Dear Lord Wavell,

Thank you for your letter of 5 February about Appointments Committees of the Cabinet. I appreciate what you have written about the initiative in regard to internal appointments.

I agree that these committees should be appointed as early as possible. But in view of what my colleagues and I wrote to you a few days ago in regard to the composition of the Interim Government, I think it will be better to await a decision on that matter before discussing the personnel of the Appointments Committees.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal Nehru

H. E. Viscount Wavell



A REFUGEE TRAIN FROM WEST PAKISTAN AT AMBALA RAILWAY STATION IN EAST PUNJAB



A MEAL FOR REFUGEES FROM WEST PUNJAB AT A CANTEN NEAR DELHI RAILWAY STATION  
BEFORE THEIR DISPERSAL TO VARIOUS CAMPS IN SEPTEMBER 1947

New Delhi  
9 March 1947

Dear Lord Wavell,

You will recall that in my letter of 1 November 1946 regarding an Appointments Committee of the Cabinet, I suggested that it was appropriate that the Establishment Officer should be placed under a department of the Government of India. The proposal regarding an Appointments Committee has now been agreed to in principle, and I feel that it is necessary that we should take up urgently the question of the Establishment Officer, particularly since I understand the present incumbent is due to retire on 31 March 1947.

2. The present position is of course that the Establishment Officer has been placed under the Cabinet Secretariat, but after this Appointments Committee is established, the Establishment Officer might become Secretary to the Committee. It is, therefore, necessary that the impending vacancy in that appointment should be filled with due regard to that contingency. Apart from this, the larger part of the Establishment Officer's duties pertains to officering the Secretariat rather than to the Establishment Committee, and from this point of view also, it would seem appropriate that the Home Department should have a good deal of say in that appointment.

3. In these circumstances, I suggest that the question regarding the appointment of an Establishment Officer should be considered as soon as possible in consultation with me. As soon as the question of an Appointments Committee is settled, we can then examine whether the Establishment Officer should continue as at present under the Cabinet Secretariat, or should be placed under the Home Department, which I feel is the only administrative department under whom he could be appropriately placed.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

His Excellency Viscount Wavell

The Viceroy's House  
New Delhi  
30 April 1947

Dear Sardar Patel,

You know the difficulties I have encountered over setting up Appointments Committees. Pandit Nehru has suggested that for the time being we should drop the proposal so far as external appointments are concerned, and I have agreed. He will have informal discussions where necessary with the Finance Member.

2. I have come reluctantly to the conclusion that we had better carry on as we are, for the present, in regard to internal appointments also; though I fully appreciate the objections to doing so. I am informing the Finance Member.

3. If you cared to discuss any special cases with Mr. Liaquat Ali Khan, as Pandit Nehru has offered to do in the case of the Agent General [to] Ceylon, I feel it would help but I leave this to your own discretion.

Yours sincerely,  
Mountbatten of Burma

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

New Delhi  
7 May 1947

My dear Lord Mountbatten,

Please see your letter dated 30 April 1947 regarding Appointments Committees.

2. I am sorry to learn that it has not been possible for you to push through this suggestion which was originally made by me in November last year, but I fully appreciate your difficulties.

3. I am sorry I have not been able to follow your suggestion regarding consultation with Mr. Liaquat Ali Khan in the case of internal appointments. These appointments are not centralised in the Home Department, but are made by departments themselves. Where your approval is required, the papers are sent to

you direct after the Member in charge of the department has indicated his preference. If your intention is that all non-League departments should consult H.M. Finance on individual appointments, I anticipate many difficulties in making your suggestion acceptable to my other colleagues, particularly when there is no indication of any reciprocity.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

H.E. Viscount Mountbatten of Burma

472

Viceregal Lodge  
Simla  
13 May 1947

Dear Sardar Patel,

Thank you for your letter of 7 May about the Appointments Committee.

2. It was not my intention that you or your colleagues should consult H.M. Finance about all cases of internal appointments, though I hoped that in certain special cases you would consult him informally before submitting the case for orders. I will mention verbally the particular case I had in mind next time we meet.

Yours sincerely,  
Mountbatten of Burma

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

473

New Delhi  
9 March 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

I have seen the Cabinet Secretariat memorandum intimating to us that the Viceroy sees no reason to reconsider our previous decision that there should be one Foreign Service of diplomatic, consular and commercial appointments. Now that our previous decision has been reaffirmed, I feel that we should lose no time in giving effect to it, particularly since it appears that the Commerce Department is going ahead at breakneck speed in filling



commercial appointments on communal, if not political, grounds. I hope you will take urgent appropriate action to this end.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
17 York Road  
New Delhi

474

New Delhi  
11 May 1947

My dear Lord Mountbatten,

I am not quite sure if the cumulative effects of appointments to the posts of Trade Commissioners and other similar posts overseas made since October 1946 have attracted your attention. As far as I have been able to gather the previous appointments were as follows:

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| 1. Trade Commissioner, New York           | Mr. S. K. Kripalani, ics<br>(now vacant) |
| 2. Deputy Trade Commissioner,<br>New York | Mr. I. Shaffi                            |
| 3. Trade Commissioner, Canada             | Mr. Ahuja                                |
| 4. Trade Commissioner, Mombasa            | Sardar Sahib Sagar Singh                 |
| 5. Trade Commissioner, Teheran            | Mr. Hasan                                |
| 6. Trade Commissioner, Alexandria         | Mr. Rahim                                |
| 7. Trade Commissioner, Paris              | Mr. S. S. Bajpai                         |
| 8. Trade Commissioner, UK                 | Sir David Meek                           |
| 9. Trade Commissioner, Sydney             | Mr. R. R. Saxena                         |
2. Recent appointments have been as follows:
- |   |  |
|---|--|
| 1. Trade Commissioner, UK                                 | Mr. Ikramullah, ics                        |
| 2. Deputy Trade Commissioner, UK                          | Mr. A. S. Lall                             |
| 3. Indian Supply Mission, UK                              | Mr. Habibullah                             |
| 4. Economic Adviser to Indian<br>Military Mission, Berlin | Dr. Mukhtar                                |
| 5. Personal Assistant to above                            | Prof. Abdul Majid                          |
| 6. Trade Commissioner, Milan                              | Mr. G. Ahmed, I.P.                         |
| 7. Trade Commissioner, Sydney                             | Mr. A. D. Azhar<br>(vice Mr. R. R. Saxena) |

3. The above list will make it quite clear that the representation of non-Muslims in these appointments has been very inadequate. In fact the impression one gathers is that it has been largely ignored. I presume the Commerce Department obtained your approval to these appointments, but while dealing with individual cases it is of course impossible for you to have realised the cumulative effect of these appointments in regard to communal representation. I feel that something should be done to retrieve the position. I understand that some appointments are still to be made: New York, Singapore, Rangoon and Iraq. It is possible other posts e.g. Switzerland, Japan, South American countries, may also be created. I would request you that in filling these appointments, this aspect of the matter be borne in mind.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

H. E. Viscount Mountbatten of Burma

475

The Viceroy's House  
New Delhi  
17 May 1947

Dear Sardar Patel,

Thank you for your letter of 11 May about appointments to the posts of Trade Commissioners and other similar positions overseas.

2. In the short time I have been out here I have been frequently embarrassed by recommendations from Members of the Government in regard to appointments coming within their province, when it was quite clear that the recommendations were based not solely on merit but mainly on either political or communal grounds. The examples you quote of the Trade Commissioner posts are, I am afraid, indicative only of what is going on also in other departments, but the majority of the appointments you mention were made previous to my arrival.

3. It was in an endeavour to prevent such bias in regard to these appointments that I tried to achieve agreement in regard to the setting up of Appointments Committees, both for internal and external appointments, and I am very sorry that my efforts did not achieve success. I am hoping that the political decision for the future of India will be given in the near future and that

after that it will be possible to evolve a satisfactory procedure for dealing with appointments of the type you mention.

Yours sincerely,  
Mountbatten of Burma

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

476

New Delhi  
6 June 1947

My dear Lord Mountbatten,

You will remember that I wrote to you before you left for the UK about appointments of Trade Commissioners made by the Commerce Department. I have now been informed that certain other appointments have been made by my honourable colleague, the Commerce Member, in keeping with the same spirit. Khan Bahadur Obeidullah, who was formerly Deputy Director-General (Disposals), drawing about Rs.2,000 per month, was appointed an additional member of the Tariff Board on a salary of about Rs.3,000. Somehow the need for a fourth member of the Tariff Board, after perhaps more than a year's working, was suddenly felt, and the appointment was made. Another Mr. Ikramullah, who was formerly in the Textile Commissioner's office getting about Rs.1,000 a month, was appointed as secretary to the Tariff Board on a remuneration of about Rs.1,800 per month.

2. I also understand that the place of Sir R. K. Shanmukham Chetty as Chairman of the Tariff Board is likely to go to a Muslim. Manoeuvres seem to be in progress to that end.

3. I need hardly say that appointments like these bring the administration into ridicule, and it is impossible at this stage to tolerate them any longer. I hope it will be possible to cancel such appointments and subject future appointments to Cabinet approval.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

H. E. Viscount Mountbatten of Burma

The Viceroy's House  
New Delhi  
7 June 1947

My dear Shankar,

H.E. asks me to acknowledge, with many thanks, the two letters from your Hon. Member about appointments made recently by the Muslim League Members of the Cabinet.

H.E. is not sending a personal reply because the whole question was discussed in Cabinet last night, and a sort of standstill agreement was accepted.

Yours sincerely,  
G.E.B. Abell

V. Shankar, Esq., 108

New Delhi  
11 May 1947

My dear Lord Mountbatten,

I have seen the Cabinet Secretary's letter to departments impressing upon them the need of taking your approval to delegations proceeding abroad. I myself have been thinking of raising this question for some time and I am glad that the matter has already attracted your attention.

2. I have particularly noticed that there is a tendency to overman these delegations. The trade delegation, for instance, has as many as seven ICS officers and a large number of other members and staff. I understand that some other delegations are also in contemplation. I need hardly say that the sending out of such large delegations means not only an undue expenditure of money but also a waste of manpower and probably of foreign exchange. The country can ill afford to fritter away its resources in this manner. I, therefore, suggest that the question of sending out delegations and their composition should, in every case,

come up to the Cabinet for approval. If you agree, perhaps, the matter could be taken up when the Cabinet meets next time.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

H. E. Viscount Mountbatten of Burma

479

The Viceroy's House  
New Delhi  
17 May 1947

Dear Sardar Patel,

Thank you for your letter of 11 May about the need for obtaining approval to delegations proceeding abroad.

2. I have looked into the matter and I find that departmental machinery for considering the composition of delegations already exists under Cabinet Secretariat (Machinery of Government) Minute No. C3/MOG/2345 of 16 September 1946. If this machinery is used properly I think there should be no trouble about delegations being staffed on an unnecessarily extravagant scale, but I feel that it will probably be desirable for the Finance Department to be included in every meeting convened under the order I have quoted.

3. I intend to raise this matter at a Cabinet meeting when I return from London so that we can get this matter put on a proper basis.

Yours sincerely,  
Mountbatten of Burma

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

480

New Delhi  
31 May 1947

My dear Lord Mountbatten,

Please refer to your letter dated 17 May 1947 regarding the need for obtaining approval to delegations proceeding abroad.

2. I have looked up the Cabinet Secretariat memorandum cited by you. The memorandum deals only with the supply of

officers for delegations abroad and does not deal with the composition of delegations as such. Further, it limits the initiative of the External Affairs Department to delegations which it is called upon to organise. It does not, therefore, cover delegations which other departments may have to organise.

3. In this connection I would invite your attention to the External Affairs Department D.O. dated 7 September 1946 under which foreign delegations have been divided into two categories, "General" and "Specialist." For the "General" category the External Affairs Department are not only the co-ordinating department but the operative department as well; for the "Specialist" category, the External Affairs Department are the co-ordinating department but in order that this co-ordination should function efficiently it has only to be kept in touch with important developments while the composition of the delegation is to be settled by the department itself.

4. If the above-mentioned two communications are read together, it is clear that in the case of all "Specialist" delegations the Cabinet Secretariat memorandum of 16 September 1946 is inapplicable. I myself feel that Cabinet approval should be obtained both for "General" and "Specialist" delegations and in the circumstances of the case, perhaps, the best thing would be to entrust the function of obtaining Cabinet approval to the External Affairs Department in respect of all delegations whether they are "General" or "Specialist."

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

H.E. Viscount Mountbatten of Burma  
New Delhi

• 481

16 Ultamont Road  
Bombay  
28 May 1947

Respected Shri Vallabhbhai,

I enclose herewith a copy of a telegram received by me from Johannesburg. You must be getting such news direct. Still I thought it proper to send you this one. I hope due to a change in Mussoorie both you and Maniben might have well improved in health.

With pranams,

Yours sincerely,  
Jivraj Mehta

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
(Original in Gujarati)

ENCLOSURE  
TELEGRAM

Johannesburg  
24 May 1947

Dr. Jivraj Mehta  
Care Equality  
Bombay

JOHANNESBURG RAND DAILY MAIL STATES AHMED BEMAIL (PRESIDENT SOUTH AFRICAN INDIAN CONGRESS) HAS CABLED PANDIT NEHRU NAWABZADA LIAQUATALI KHAN MRS SAROJINI NAIDU<sup>1</sup> MRS VIJAYALAKSHMI PANDIT<sup>2</sup> AND FORMER AGENTS GENERAL SAYED RAZA ALI AND RAJA SIR MAHARAJ SINGH URGING "THAT UNDER THE CHANGED CIRCUMSTANCES THE OPPORTUNITY TO RESUME DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS WITH THE OBJECT OF LARGER DISCUSSIONS BE SEIZED AND THE HIGH COMMISSIONER BE REPOSTED." TRANSVAAL INDIAN CONGRESS AT ITS MOST REPRESENTATIVE CONFERENCE HELD MAY ELEVENTH PASSED [resolution] UNANIMOUSLY AND CONVEYED SAME RESPECTFULLY TO GOVERNMENT INDIA "THAT THE PREREQUISITE TO A ROUNDTABLE CONFERENCE OR RESTORATION OF DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS BETWEEN THE TWO GOVERNMENTS IS AT LEAST SUSPENSION OF GHETTO ACT WITH THE ULTIMATE GOAL OF ITS ENTIRE REPEAL." THE RESOLUTION EXPRESSES FULLY STANDPOINT OF THE INDIAN COMMUNITY NOT ONLY OF TRANSVAAL BUT OF NATAL AS WELL. NATAL INDIAN CONGRESS CONFERENCE MEETING NEXT WEEK. AHMED BEMAIL'S STATEMENT IS UNAUTHORIZED BY SOUTH AFRICAN INDIAN CONGRESS. AT PRESENT LEGAL PROCEEDINGS ARE PENDING AGAINST AHMED BEMAIL INTERDICTING SOUTH AFRICAN INDIAN CONGRESS EXECUTIVE. IN THE MEANTIME HIS STATEMENT TO PRESS CAN AT BEST BE HIS PERSONAL VIEWS AND NOT OF INDIAN COMMUNITY. THEY

<sup>1</sup> Poet-politician; prominent woman member of Congress party; its President in 1925; Governor of UP

<sup>2</sup> Sister of Jawaharlal Nehru; Minister for Local Self-Government and Public Health, UP, 1937; was present in San Francisco at first meeting of UN and challenged the right of British-appointed delegation to speak for India; led Indian delegation to UN in 1946, 1947 and 1963; Ambassador to Russia, 1947-49, and to US, 1949-52; President of UN General Assembly 1953-54; High Commissioner in Britain and Ambassador to Spain and Ireland, 1954-61; Governor of Maharashtra; elected to Parliament in 1964

ARE CERTAINLY NOT VIEWS OF NINETY-NINE PERCENT INDIAN COMMUNITY IN SOUTH AFRICA. SIMILARLY DELEGATION OF NATAL INDIAN ORGANIZATION WHOSE MEMBERSHIP IS GIVEN BY THE SPONSORS AS ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY INTERVIEWED GENERAL SMUTS AND WITHOUT MANDATE OF THE PUBLIC OF NATAL URGED PRIME MINISTER "TO TAKE ALL POSSIBLE STEPS TO RESUME DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS WITH INDIA." IT IS AT BEST THE OPINION OF ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY MEMBERS. WE MAINTAIN THAT RETURN OF HIGH COMMISSIONER TO SOUTH AFRICA SHOULD NOT BE PREREQUISITE TO ROUNDTABLE CONFERENCE AS ONE OF THE QUESTIONS ROUNDTABLE CONFERENCE WILL HAVE TO DECIDE IS QUESTION OF RESTORATION DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS BETWEEN TWO GOVERNMENTS ON BASIS AS EXISTED BEFORE PASSING OF GHETTO ACT. WE HAVE FULLEST CONFIDENCE IN INDIAN GOVERNMENT TO DEAL WITH OUR QUESTION IN CONSONANCE WITH SELF-RESPECT OF INDIAN COMMUNITY OF UNION, MORE SO OF THE HONOUR OF INDIAN NATION PARTICULARLY NOT FORGETTING THE SACRIFICES OF OVER SEVENTEEN HUNDRED PASSIVE RESISTERS WHO ALREADY COURTED IMPRISONMENT AND SUFFERED SO ZEALOUSLY TO GUARD THEIRS AND INDIA'S PRESTIGE. STRUGGLE CONTINUES AND WILL CONTINUE UNTIL UNO DECISION IMPLEMENTED BY UNION GOVERNMENT.

SORABJEE RUSTOMJEE M A DINATH THAMBAL  
M A NAIDOO VICE PRESIDENTS DOCTOR  
VALLABHBHAI PATEL YUSUF CACHALIA JOINT  
HON SECRETARIES JASMAT NANABHAI J G  
VANDEYAR JOINT HON TREASURERS MOLVI  
CACHALIA NANA SITA ZINAB ASVAT MEMBERS  
TRANSEVAAL INDIAN CONGRESS EXECUTIVE.

482

Government House  
Calcutta  
27 August 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I hope you will forgive my writing on a subject which must have received your fullest consideration already and in connection with which I am somewhat worried over a corollary. I understand that besides posting Pakistan and India representatives at Delhi and Karachi respectively it is considered likely that Deputy High Commissioners may be appointed to function at provincial centres not only to do business on behalf of their respective Governments but with the definite idea of looking after the interests of minorities in the provinces to which they are attached. There can be no objection to representatives of an external Government doing consular work but to set up a focus for constant agitation



on the part of minority groups may not be advisable. The appointment of permanent agencies to receive appeals and representations from minority groups would lead to the creation and maintenance of an atmosphere not very desirable in the present context. All our efforts should be in the direction of making the minority communities, both in Muslim and non-Muslim areas, depend on the Governments of the respective provinces more and more completely rather than on the interference of an external administration. The people in Bengal are settling down and the appointment of Deputy High Commissioners would be an influence in the opposite direction and tend to encourage appeals against the Ministries in office and the acts of the officials and soon become a source of irritation and misunderstanding.

I feel it would be best to content ourselves with representatives at the highest level and avoid parallel officials at lower centres if we desire to evolve more and more of territorial loyalty and discourage looking to relief from extra-territorial authorities.

The West Bengal Premier agrees with me fully and says he had not at first realised the implications of the proposed step. If it is not too late, I think it would be desirable to discourage the idea of appointing Deputy High Commissioners at provincial centres.

Yours sincerely,  
C. Rajagopalachari

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

483

(Undated)  
Karachi

My dear Vallabhbhai,

As I shall be leaving India at the end of next month, I feel I must write to you and say goodbye and how glad I am that the dream for which you and others have fought and suffered for so long appear to have come true.

You know some of the reasons why, after over 32 years' service, I trust it is time that I should go, but often when I have heard the cry "Quit India" I have thought how absurd it all is. How can one ever "Quit India" when 32 years of one's life-work remains, with all its joy and sorrow; its happiness and tribulation. I may be thousands of miles away but I shall never "Quit India"

to my dying day. I have never met Pandit Nehru but would you give him a message from me? Would you tell him I greatly admire his courage, sincerity and high purpose (as I admire yours) and I feel he cannot fail in his great task.

I am going to New Zealand and Australia for a lengthy stay on my way to England to visit my wife's relations.

If I can ever be of any service to you, or perhaps I should say to India, I am sure you will not hesitate to let me know.

I thought the enclosure<sup>1</sup> might entertain. I think it was spontaneous and sincere—it was obviously prepared in haste, it is rather an absurd but rather a touching document.

Well goodbye old friend, God bless you.

Yours ever sincerely,  
Godfrey Davis

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

484

27 February 1947

My dear Davis,

I thank you for your letter received yesterday, which I read with mixed feelings of pleasure and pain.

Your letter reminded me of your surprise visit at my house when I was practising in Ahmedabad and you were posted as Assistant Collector there under Mr. Painter, the then Collector. Thirty-two long years have passed so quickly, and you are now leaving us at a time when we would be in need of sincere friends like you to help us in the gigantic task that faces us of rebuilding New India under extremely difficult circumstances.

You know "Quit India" never meant anything else than the transfer of power from British to Indian hands and the independence of India, which would give her an opportunity of making her own real contribution to the world.

Your parting makes me sad, but I know I can count upon you for help and goodwill from wherever you may be, and perhaps in these difficult times you may be able to help us more from England where there are still people who do not understand us properly.

I have conveyed your message to Jawaharlal Nehru, and he was pleased and was grateful to you for it. We wish to build

<sup>1</sup>The enclosure is an address to the Chief Justice of Sind (Godfrey Davis) by the Shikarpur Bar Association. It was a tribute to the man for his strict honesty, sincerity and for his qualities of head and heart.

a bridge of friendship between our two countries, and individual real friendship can do a lot towards that end.

May you be happy wherever you are and may God bless you. Please do write to me occasionally.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Sir Godfrey Davis  
Bar-at-Law, ICS  
Chief Court of Sind  
Karachi

485

C/o Mark Castle  
Chelsfield  
Eketahuna  
New Zealand  
7 May 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I know you must be engaged with many important questions but there is rather a tragic case in Karachi in which I thought you might be able to advise and help. One Marcel Levy, a Jewish refugee, applied for British naturalization and in his application he gave in answer to the question, the name of his son Helmut. The form did not say minor children and his son was over 21. He is now informed that while his own application will be considered, the case of his son cannot because he should have made a separate application; and it is now too late because of an order suspending the naturalization of foreigners as British subjects. The son has been admitted into the County Council School of Arts and Crafts in London and we cannot go or get a passport unless he is a British subject. The father is a skilled craftsman, has built up a splendid business in Karachi and is anxious his son should be properly trained. Would it be possible to treat the father's application as including the son and his son's application as bearing the date of the father's application? Or, is there any other way to remedy this unfortunate mistake? I will write again soon about other matters.

Yours ever sincerely,  
Godfrey Davis

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

New Delhi  
16 May 1947

My dear Davis,

Many thanks for your letters of 18 March and 7 May 1947. I am not surprised that you did not feel quite at home in the Mudie regime in Sind. Personally I feel that you should be happy to have left his domain. He is seldom guilty of consideration to those whom he does not like.

2. Regarding the case of the son of Marcel Levy, under the Act it is not possible to include major sons in the certificate of naturalization. Further, naturalization has been stopped until we have a nationality law of our own as there is considerable feeling in India against naturalization as British subjects in this country. But it is not necessary for the son to be naturalized to be able to leave for England. It would be possible for us to grant his son an identity certificate which is made valid for such journeys and is usually made use of in such cases. Hundreds of stateless Jews have been making use of these certificates for the purpose of travel abroad. I am asking my department to inform Mr. Levy accordingly so that there can be no delay.

3. We are all here engrossed in political discussions of which you must have had some inkling through the papers. We hope to know within a few weeks what the future shape of India is going to be. I only hope it will be something which would not impair Indo-British relationship.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Sir Godfrey Davis  
C/o Mark Castle  
Chelsfield  
New Zealand

New Delhi  
30 September 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I enclose a draft telegram addressed to the Prime Minister, Pakistan, and a draft announcement to be made by us here. I have sent copies of these to the Governor-General. If these are agreed to, we can send them to Karachi tomorrow and at the same time hand them over to Liaquat Ali Khan who arrives in Delhi tomorrow morning.

I am also enclosing a copy of a long telegram received from Karachi and my draft reply to it.

Yours sincerely  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

# ENCLOSURE

30 September 1947

From Foreign New Delhi  
To Foreign Karachi  
Repeated: Prime Minister Pakistan Lahore

FROM PRIME MINISTER INDIA TO PRIME MINISTER PAKISTAN. PLEASE REFER TO YOUR TELEGRAM DATED 28 SEPTEMBER. I SHALL NOT COMMENT UPON IT IN DETAIL, BUT WOULD LIMIT MYSELF TO TWO POINTS:

(i) IN PARAGRAPH 4 YOU SAY THAT A CONFERENCE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE UK AND OF THE DOMINIONS, INCLUDING INDIA AND PAKISTAN, MIGHT HELP TO DEVISE WAYS AND MEANS OF SECURING PEACE BETWEEN INDIA AND PAKISTAN. SINCE IN OUR JOINT STATEMENT OF 20 SEPTEMBER WE HAVE BOTH AGREED THAT THE VERY IDEA OF WAR BETWEEN THE TWO DOMINION GOVERNMENTS IS ABHORRENT MORALLY AND LIKELY TO PROVE RUINOUS TO BOTH, I DO NOT SEE HOW THE QUESTION OF A BREACH OF PEACE ARISES AS BETWEEN THE TWO GOVERNMENTS. THE PROBLEM WHICH WE HAVE TO FACE IS MAINLY PSYCHOLOGICAL AND CAN HARDLY BE DEALT WITH BY PEOPLE, WHO, HOWEVER WELL-INTENTIONED, CAN

IN THE VERY NATURE OF THINGS, EXERCISE LITTLE INFLUENCE ON MASS OPINION WHETHER HINDU, MUSLIM OR SIKH. IT IS A PROBLEM ESSENTIALLY TO BE DEALT WITH BY LEADERS AND REPRESENTATIVES OF THE TWO DOMINIONS. INDIA AND PAKISTAN ALONE CAN SOLVE AND TO THAT END BEND ALL THEIR ENERGIES. YOUR SUGGESTION THAT THE CONFERENCE SHOULD DEVISE WAYS AND MEANS OF DEALING WITH THE PRESENT DISTURBANCES INVOLVES A CONSIDERABLE DELAY. A CONFERENCE OF THE KIND THAT YOU ENVISAGE WILL TAKE SOME TIME TO CONVENE AND OUR OTHER EFFORTS MIGHT THEREBY BE HALTED OR WEAKENED MEANWHILE. THE RESTORATION OF ORDER AND NORMAL RELATIONS BETWEEN THE COMMUNITIES IN BOTH DOMINIONS IS A PROBLEM OF SUCH URGENCY THAT ITS SOLUTION CAN BROOK NO DELAY.

IN PARAGRAPH 7 YOU SUGGEST THAT THE CONFERENCE WHICH YOU PROPOSE SHOULD ALSO CONSIDER THE QUESTION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF AN IMPARTIAL COMMISSION AND ITS PERSONNEL. THIS IS A NEW SUGGESTION AND I AM UNABLE TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THE FUNCTIONS OF THIS COMMISSION WOULD BE. SUCH COMMISSIONS IN THE PAST HAVE TAKEN INORDINATE TIME AND ENDED WITHOUT PRODUCING ANY RESULTS.

488

New Delhi  
1 October 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

Thank you for your letter of 30 September 1947 enclosing a draft telegram and a draft announcement about Junagadh, and a telegram from Liaquat Ali Khan and a draft reply thereto. I returned from Amritsar last evening and saw your letter only this morning.

2. As regards Junagadh, I am having an alternative draft prepared and will send it to you as soon as it is ready. I do not think it will be possible to finalise it today.

3. As regards the correspondence with Liaquat Ali Khan, I feel that the matter is of great importance and should be discussed in the Cabinet. We, as individuals, can hardly take upon ourselves the burden of dealing with such an important inter-dominion matter. In any case, I feel that the draft does not meet the essential charges which Liaquat Ali Khan has made and any omission on our part to deal with those charges is liable to be misconstrued as a tacit admission thereof.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi  
S.C.-IV-32

5 Ridgway Road  
Kettering  
England  
1 July 1947

My dear Sardar Patel,

I have today written officially to say that I intend to take leave preparatory to retirement from May 1948. I have reached this decision after reading carefully the latest statements of HMG and the Viceroy—which I had not seen while on the ship—and after considering my own domestic circumstances. I need not conceal my dislike of the decision to divide India or my apprehensions of the possible consequences. But I should like to assure you that I should have been happy to continue to serve a non-Pakistan Government. Had my responsibilities in this country not appeared to me very urgent, I have served in India for 25 years and now feel that my son has a very strong call upon me for 5 years of paramount importance to him.

For most of my service, I have worked under Indian superiors, from whom I have received the greatest kindness and most valuable instruction and guidance. Recognising this debt I owe to them and to India I have reached my decision with great regret, but I am sure that it is the only possible one. For the short remaining period of my service I shall endeavour to do my best in any department which you may think suitable.

Yours sincerely,  
G. S. Bozman<sup>1</sup>

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

New Delhi  
11 July 1947

My dear Bozman,

Thank you for your letter of 1 July 1947.

2. I am sorry to hear that your domestic circumstances make it incumbent on you to ask for leave preparatory to retirement. It

<sup>1</sup> ICS; Secretary, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, Government of India, 1947

is a pity that India should lose the services of an able officer like you.

3. I fully appreciate your reasons for disliking the decision to divide India. Frankly speaking, we all hate it, but at the same time see no way out of it. We nurse the hope that one day Pakistan will come back to us.

4. I hope you are having a pleasant holiday. Here we are all preoccupied with partition. When you return in November you may find things quite different in several respects.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

G. S. Bozman Esqr., CSI, CIE, ICS  
5 Ridgway Road  
Kettering  
England

491

23 July 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Lord Ismay brought a letter from Prime Minister Attlee to me. I enclose a copy.

Yours,  
Jawaharlal

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

ENCLOSURE

10 Downing Street  
Whitehall  
17 July 1947

My dear Nehru,

I was glad to see Krishna Menon and to know that you propose that he should be High Commissioner here. He has, of course, many friends over here, and knows the political position very well.

I discussed with him a suggested amendment to the clause in the Bill about the States, but with the best of goodwill could not accept what was proposed, as it would not in fact have affected what was desired and might have created a false impression. The Bill passed both Houses this week



without any division. All speakers in the Commons, and all but two unimportant Peers gave it warm support and expressed most cordial good wishes for the success of the new Governments.

I have admired the statesmanship and courage of yourself and Patel. Our warm good wishes go with you in your heavy responsibilities, the weight of which I am in a position to appreciate.

I was very grateful to you for your help over the matter of steel rails for Russia. I am hopeful of getting something useful in the way of trade in that quarter.

I am a little anxious over the position in Burma. I like Aung San<sup>1</sup> and his colleagues very much, but of course they have not the same resources of experienced personnel as you have in India. I am a little afraid of their feeling obliged to go ahead and take over before they have got an adequate machine of government. They would, I think, be well advised to remain in the Commonwealth, at any rate for a period, as we could more easily help them to deal with these problems of defence and finance which are not very easy. I think, however, that they find it difficult to deal with their left wing, who have very little knowledge of anything outside their own rather narrow range of experience.

With all good wishes,

Yours sincerely,  
C. R. Attlee

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru

492

Government House  
Bombay  
3 December 1947

My dear Sardar Patel,

I did not get an opportunity of saying goodbye at V.P.'s party and I am therefore writing to thank you very much for all your kindness to me during these last eight months, and to give you my best wishes for the future.

If I can even be of any service to you or India when I arrive in England, I hope you will not hesitate to command me.

Sincerely yours,  
Ismaïl

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

<sup>1</sup> Prime Minister of Burma, 1946. He was assassinated two days after Attlee wrote this letter to Nehru

New Delhi  
19 December 1947

My dear Lord Ismay,

I am most grateful to you for your letter sent from Bombay and much appreciate the kind thought which prompted you to send it.

As you know, our country has been the victim of misrepresentation for years in the past. We thought that after India attained her independence, past controversies would cease and men prominent in public life in England would forget their past opposition to India's freedom and reconcile themselves to an attitude of friendship and goodwill, but I regret to find that our former opponents, including your old Chief, still harp upon the same old tune wherever they get an opportunity to fling mud at us. This is all the more regrettable since, I make bold to say, no other people and no other country in the world could have forgotten and forgiven the bitterness of years so easily as we have done. It was as if overnight we buried the past and entered upon an entirely new relationship with your people. You have yourself seen how that friendship and goodwill burst forth when the psychological opportunity came on 15 August. It is now for your statesmen and your country to capitalise that friendship and that goodwill and not to let these be smothered by old controversies in their new form.

You have been singularly fortunate (and I somehow feel in that circumstance India has also been lucky) that you came to India with Lord Mountbatten and thereby were enabled to see at close quarters how India reacted to the final gesture which your people made to us. You have also seen how we faced perhaps the most difficult task that was ever set to any country's Government. You have also witnessed the manner in which the country as a whole and the administration have accustomed themselves to the great and vital change from foreign rule to self-government. It is now for you to convince your old Chief whether you have had to deal here with men of straw or with men of substance. I am sure, if you could succeed in doing something to remove such misapprehensions as exist among the Conservative

Party, and particularly its gifted leader, about men and affairs in India, you would be rendering a signal service not only to this country but also to your own; for I genuinely feel that in the continued friendship and goodwill between our two countries lie the interests of both.

I should also like to say how much I have enjoyed the occasions whenever social or official engagements have brought both of us together. Your frank and straightforward manner of presenting views which you sincerely held was always welcome to us and we felt that your advice had the weight of experience of men and things which is a rare asset, particularly in the critical times through which we have passed. I, therefore, send my best wishes to you with all my heart and hope that whenever you feel like having a holiday you would not forget that a warm welcome awaits you.

With best wishes for Christmas and the New Year,

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

General Lord Ismay  
10 Lawndes Court  
Lawndes Square  
London S.W. 1

494

New Delhi  
7 November 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

I feel that at the forthcoming wedding of Her Royal Highness Princess Elizabeth, India should be officially represented. Since Lord Mountbatten would himself be present in London, I feel that he would be the best choice. I am sure he would also appreciate this gesture, and at the present juncture, such a move would be both tactically and politically wise. If you agree, I suggest that you may formally request Lord Mountbatten to do so and inform Mr. Attlee or whoever may be the appropriate authority in the United Kingdom.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

9 November 1947

My dear Lord Mountbatten,

I have pleasure in informing you that the Government of India have decided to request you and our High Commissioner in London, Mr. V. K. Krishna Menon, to represent the Government and people of India at the wedding of Her Royal Highness Princess Elizabeth with Lieutenant Philip Mountbatten. We shall be grateful if you will discharge this pleasant duty on our behalf.

The Government of India desire to send on this occasion to Princess Elizabeth and Lieutenant Philip Mountbatten some examples of Indian craftsmanship as tokens of their affection and good wishes. We shall be grateful to you if you will kindly take these with you and deliver them to Princess Elizabeth and Lieutenant Mountbatten.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal Nehru

His Excellency Rear Admiral the Earl  
Mountbatten of Burma

Government House  
New Delhi  
9 November 1947

My dear Prime Minister,

Thank you for your letter dated 9 November 1947. It is indeed a great honour to be asked, together with Mr. V. K. Krishna Menon, to represent the Government and people of India at the wedding of Her Royal Highness Princess Elizabeth with my nephew. I should be very grateful if you would inform your Cabinet how pleased and honoured I am to discharge such a pleasant duty on behalf of the people of India.

I shall be delighted to take home with me the wedding presents from the Government of India.

Yours very sincerely,  
Mountbatten of Burma

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru

100 Elmswood Road  
Liverpool 17  
England  
13 December 1947

My dear Sardar Sahib,

I hope that this will arrive some time about Christmas when it can bring you very good wishes for that season and for next year.

Your parting prophesy that things would be very different when I returned (as was then the intention) in November has been startlingly fulfilled and the pace has been so rapid that I for one have not been able quite to keep up with the changes which have taken place. But I do know that there must be difficult problems to be solved and I should like to assure you of my goodwill and of my best wishes for yourself personally and for your colleagues in dealing with those problems. There is a great fund of goodwill in the United Kingdom for the new India.

Since I came back to England I have spent my time making the acquaintance of my family now almost grown up in my absence in India! The classical stages of life according to the Indian scriptures have got rather mixed up in my case and I feel that it is a little late to be settling down to fulfil the dharma of a grihasta.

If you have time and would write I should much value anything that you cared to tell me about the progress of events and the prospects ahead: I always appreciated the frankness with which you let me speak to you and with which you spoke to me from time to time when I was serving you.

Please give my compliments and good wishes to Miss Patel. It would be kind if you would remember me to those of your colleagues whom I knew.

With very good wishes to yourself,

Yours sincerely,  
A. E. Porter

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

New Delhi  
19 December 1947

My dear Porter,

Thank you for your letter dated 13 December 1947 and for the good wishes for Christmas and New Year which I very warmly reciprocate.

Yes, many things, some almost cataclysmic, have happened since you left India but thank God we have survived the storm and have turned the corner. At one time it seemed that we would be completely overwhelmed but the people stood firm and four-square and we managed to hold them together. We are now settling down and hope shortly to take up the threads of rehabilitation and reconstruction which seemed almost to have snapped.

The disturbances in the Punjab did not come as a surprise to me. The poison of hate spread by the League had its inevitable reaction. The cleavage between the two communities had become wider and wider ever since the policy of the Conservative Government had put a premium on intransigence and obstinacy. We had hoped that the shock of freedom would evoke a healthy reaction. It was a risk and a grave risk, but we felt—and I think rightly so—that that risk was worth taking, since the stakes were freedom and domination.

I had also hoped that the partition would be throughout regarded as a friendly and brotherly arrangement giving scope for all communities in both the dominions to seek their own salvation and their own prosperity, but, unfortunately, elements which meant differently asserted themselves almost all over Western Pakistan and lawlessness overtook Eastern Punjab. This engendered suspicions, bitterness and ill-will with the result that the relations between the two dominions became strained almost to a breaking point. Junagadh and Kashmir provided further bones of contention which increased estrangement. Events in Junagadh fortunately followed a different course from those in Kashmir, but the Kashmir sore is still running. Unless the Pakistan Government have the courage to disown the elements who have replaced the rule of law by the rule of brute force and are bent

upon forcing a decision to their way of thinking, I feel that the situation is full of dangerous possibilities. I have no doubt myself that if the requisite spirit of accommodation and boldness to face facts were forthcoming, this outstanding point between the two dominions would be satisfactorily resolved and both of us would be enabled to settle down in peace to the many tasks of reconstruction which await us. I have never spared myself in explaining to the people here and abroad that we genuinely mean friendship and prosperity for the new State of Pakistan and that we ourselves do not wish to force a union, though true to our convictions we feel that a union is inevitable. On the other hand, we would welcome a friendly reunion whenever there is a genuine desire amongst our brethren in Pakistan to return to us.

I am glad to know that you have settled down to a real family life after years of separation. For a civil servant, public service becomes his dharma almost to the exclusion of his other duties and it is in this self-sacrifice and discharge of his solemn obligation lies his merit. Through centuries of colonial administration the British have acquired the knack of discharging this dharma and actually in your case you have settled down earlier than most of your predecessors ever did or hoped to do.

I am glad to know that there is a great fund of goodwill for us in the United Kingdom. I feel that such friendship and goodwill is necessary in the common interests of both India and Great Britain. I only hope that the former opponents of India's freedom would now forget past controversies and react to India's freedom in the same spirit in which India replaced as though overnight the bitterness of years of struggle with genuine feelings of cordiality and friendliness.

With every good wish from Maniben and myself to you and your family for the New Year.

Yours sincerely,  
• Vallabhbhai Patel

A. E. Porter, Esq.  
100 Elmswood Road  
Liverpool 17  
England

New Delhi  
16 May 1947

My dear Lord Mountbatten,

A correspondent of mine tells me that the 99-year lease of Mount Abu, which was administered by the Government of India up to 1937 and since then by the Crown Representative, is due to expire next year and there is a proposal afoot to hand it back to Sirohi State. It would be a great pity to retrocede an area which has enjoyed the benefits of British Indian administration to an Indian State. In the past proposals to hand over British Indian-administered territories to Indian States have met with vehement opposition from the local population. This one, I have no doubt, will meet with a similar fate. I feel that it is an entirely wrong attitude of mind to hand over such bits of jurisdiction merely because paramountcy is lapsing and the British Government is quitting. Instead, I feel that attempts should be made to keep such territories under the jurisdiction of the Central Government by a special agreement and the Political Department should actively promote the conclusion of such agreements.

2. I had a brief and informal discussion with the Political Secretary last night and I understood from him that there was some substance in the report which I had received. If so, I feel in all such matters the Government of India should be consulted so that it may decide in each case whether anything requires to be done to continue to retain jurisdiction under separate agreements.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

His Excellency Viscount Mountbatten of Burma



The Viceroy's House  
New Delhi  
30 May 1947

My dear Sardar Patel;

I am replying to your letter dated 16 May 1947 to Lord Mountbatten regarding the retrocession of Abu.

2. You suggest that it would be wise to keep administered areas in Indian States under the jurisdiction of the Central Government by a special agreement. I think I should first explain that the term 'British Indian-administered territories', if used to describe administered areas in Indian States, is misleading. Until 1 April 1937 when the Government of India Act 1935 came into force, these areas were administered by the Governor-General-in-Council as the agent of the paramount power. Since then they have been administered by the Crown Representative, who succeeded to the powers of paramountcy formerly exercised by the Government of India. They are integral parts of the States concerned and on the lapse of paramountcy any rights therein surrendered by a State automatically revert to that State in accordance with the clear terms of the Cabinet Mission's Memorandum of 12 May 1946. It would therefore be improper for the Crown Representative actively to promote such a change as you suggest.

3. In the case of Abu, which is still an integral part of Sirohi State territory, I understand that a request is likely to be preferred shortly by the Darbar for retrocession of the surrendered rights of jurisdiction in advance of the lapse of paramountcy. The only justification for retaining jurisdiction over Abu lies in the fact that it is the headquarters of the Resident for Rajputana and his establishment, and since the Residency will be abolished before long, it is advisable that arrangements for retrocession should be made in advance of the Resident's departure, while he is still able to supervise the arrangements and to ensure that no inconvenience results to the inhabitants and that the transfer takes place in an orderly manner.

4. With regard to the suggestion that the Central Government should be consulted, I should explain that where specific all-

India interests are involved, e.g. defence or communications, the Political Department are scrupulous about consulting the departments concerned of the Central Government in respect of proposals to retrocede jurisdiction in advance of its automatic reversion with the lapse of paramountcy. For example, the question of retrocession of jurisdiction over railway lands was referred several months ago to a number of such departments, including the Home Department. Abu, as you know, is a very small area, where these interests do not arise. In fact, however, a reference was made to the Defence Department of the Central Government since they own certain property there.

Yours sincerely,  
John Colville

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

**CHAPTER XIV**  
**ARMED FORCES RECONSTITUTION**

501

Chief of the General Staff India  
New Delhi  
17 June 1947

Dear Sardar Patel,

Reference the committee meeting held at the Viceroy's House yesterday evening.

The undermentioned officers will be ready to discuss with you the list of names of non-Muslim officers recommended by the Commander-in-Chief as suitable to serve on the sub-committees of the Armed Forces Reconstitution Committee:

RIN Vice-Admiral Sir Geoffrey Miles, C-in-C., RIN  
ARMY Major-General H. R. Swinburn, Military Secretary  
RIAF Air Marshal H. Walmsley, AOC-in-C.

The above officers will be ready to discuss with you on Thursday, 19 June 1947.

Yours sincerely,  
Arthur Smith

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Home Member

502

New Delhi  
17 June 1947

Dear Sir Arthur Smith,

Thank you for your letter dated 17 June 1947 regarding non-Muslim officers for sub-committees of the Armed Forces Reconstitution Committee.

I should be glad to meet the officers mentioned by you at 10.30 a.m. on Thursday, 19 June at my house (1 Aurangzeb Road).

510

The Hon'ble the Food Member will also be present. Could you please confirm that the time is all right?

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Lt. General Sir Arthur Smith  
KCB, KBE, DSO, MC  
Chief of the General Staff, India  
New Delhi

503

New Delhi  
18 June 1947

Dear Sardar Sahib,

Thank you for your letter of 17 June regarding the selection of Indian officers to serve on the sub-committees of the Armed Forces Reconstitution Committee.

10.30 a.m. tomorrow at 1 Aurangzeb Road will be convenient for Admiral Miles, General Swinburn and Air Marshal Walmsley to meet you.

Yours sincerely,  
Arthur Smith

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Home Member

504

New Delhi  
26 June 1947

My dear Sardar Sahib,

I am not very happy at the way discussions are going on about the reconstitution of the Armed Forces; there appears to me to be an organised attempt to delay matters as much as possible and also a move to throw the blame for it on Members of the Indian Union. The time has come when we must be prepared to take definite decisions.

Reconstitution of the Armed Forces cannot make any progress unless the basis on which the division can proceed is known. I find a decision on this point being unnecessarily postponed. At

this morning's meeting, I raised it several times and was anxious to get clear instructions issued to the Commander-in-Chief but the matter was not finally decided. In the last meeting of the C-in-C's Committee Mr. Mohammed Ali, Military Financial Adviser, raised objection to the issue of a questionnaire to Army personnel to ascertain which Dominion Forces they desire to join. I cannot understand why he should object to the questionnaire. The present officers and men in the Armed Forces were recruited on a voluntary basis. The leaders have agreed in principle that they cannot coerce anyone to serve in one Union or the other against his will. Therefore it is of the utmost importance that the questionnaire is issued without delay. A fortnight's time might be allowed for the reply.

The Commander-in-Chief has been talking of making up an efficient army for both the Dominions. If, as I am sure, a sufficient number of officers and men do not want to serve in the Pakistan Dominion, why should we suffer and delay the progress on our side? As we will be getting a large number of officers and men, it will perhaps not be necessary for us to employ more than a few British officers. Replies to the questionnaire, when received, will solve one of our greatest difficulties as we will then know what personnel we are going to get and what officers and men will go to the Pakistan side. It will then be also easy to decide the division of arms and equipment, assets and liabilities. In the next meeting of the Partition Committee, therefore, instructions should be given to the Commander-in-Chief for the issue of such a questionnaire and it should also be made clear that a date must be fixed by which the reply should come in.

This morning I also raised the question of enquiring from the British officers which Dominion they would like to serve. Both the Viceroy and the Commander-in-Chief were against the proposal and their opinion seemed to be that British officers are absolutely neutral. I know for certain that some of the British officers are definitely League-minded. There may be a number who are fair-minded, but I have yet to come across any who has sympathy with the Indian Union. There is a large number of British officers, about 9,000, scattered all over the country, and the only way to find out which way their mind is working is to send them also the above questionnaire. It is in our interests to keep only such people as bear no prejudice against us. I do not therefore agree with the views of the Viceroy and the Commander-in-Chief that the division of these British officers should be left entirely to them.

I would be glad if you will kindly give your serious consideration to the points raised above. [See Appendix]

I am sending a copy of this letter to Dr. Rajendra Prasad.

Yours sincerely,  
Baldev Singh

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

505

New Delhi  
9 July 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I find from your letter of 8 July 1947 received last night that certain decisions of the Armed Forces Reconstruction Committee regarding the allocation of armoured corps and infantry units as between the armies of India and Pakistan have to be released for publication on Thursday evening. It appears that the decisions of the Armed Forces Reconstruction Committee are final and we are only given the information about the time when they will be published. I do not understand the effect of the proposed allocation and have been under the impression that decisions will be taken by the Partition Council and the various committees will only make recommendations. We must get this point cleared.

Yours sincerely,  
Rajendra Prasad

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

506

From

Major General S. F. Irwin, CB, CBE

Armed Forces Reconstitution  
Committee  
Army Sub Committee  
New Delhi  
11 July 1947

My dear Sardar Patel,

I would like to express my appreciation of the very patient hearing and courtesy extended to me yesterday by you and the other distinguished leaders at your house.

I hope that if at any time you require elucidation of our recommendations before the Partition Council you will not hesitate to summon me or the appropriate members of my committee.

Yours sincerely,  
Stephen Irwin

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

507

My dear General,

Thank you for your letter of 11 July 1947.

2. We on our part fully appreciated the good work done by your committee and the full and frank manner in which you placed all the relevant information at our disposal. We shall not hesitate to trouble you again if we consider your assistance necessary in any future discussions.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

Major General S. F. Irwin, CB, CBE  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
2 August 1947

My dear Lord Mountbatten,

The composition of the Joint Defence Council, in our opinion, requires some reconsideration. Originally, when it was decided that it should consist of a Governor-General or Governors-General, two Defence Members and the Supreme Commander, the general impression was that there would be only one Governor-General and, therefore, there was no question of unequal representation of India and Pakistan. Now, if the original composition is adhered to, you would be its independent Chairman while Pakistan would be represented both by its Governor-General and its Defence Member. As against this, India would be represented only by the Defence Member. This difference is further accentuated by the attitude recently displayed by the Commander-in-Chief about which Jawaharlal has already written to you.

We feel, therefore, that the representation of India on the Joint Defence Council should be further strengthened by the addition of another Minister. We fully realise that it would be possible for the Defence Minister to bring with him another colleague to assist him in the deliberations of the Joint Defence Council. You will doubtless concede that this is not the same thing as having another Minister with full membership rights. On the other hand, the addition of one representative will restore the balance between the two Dominions and should not matter to the Pakistan Government since there is no question of majority decisions.

We should be grateful if you would give this matter early consideration so that it could be put before the Partition Council either today or at its next meeting.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

His Excellency Viscount Mountbatten of Burma  
New Delhi



New Delhi  
22 December 1947

My dear Baldev Singh,

I notice that at this morning's session of the Joint Defence Council, the question of joint defence against external aggression is set down for discussion.

I feel that we should make it clear that this is a matter which must be tackled between two Governments and should not be taken up by the Joint Defence Council which was not constituted for this purpose. In any case, the matter is one which should first engage the attention of the two Cabinets rather than that of the Joint Defence Council.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

- 1 The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru
- 2 The Hon'ble Sardar Baldev Singh

New Delhi  
1 October 1947

My dear Baldev Singh,

I am enclosing in original a letter which I have received from Dr. Gopichand Bhargava and Sardar Swaran Singh. I understood from you that the question of defence of the frontier was engaging the attention of the Commander-in-Chief. I feel that the Sikhs and Hindus of the East Punjab are very considerably, and for good reasons, exercised over this problem and we should take immediate action to allay their anxiety. The matter, as far as I see, can brook no delay. I hear that the Pakistan Government have made arrangements to settle Frontier Pathans within a belt of the territory near the Indian border. This in itself shows that we should be extremely vigilant. If necessary, you can seek early orders of the Cabinet on this problem.

2. In the meantime, the Provincial Government is organising a National Volunteer Corps and a sort of local militia. In the

public meeting at Amritsar I myself made it clear that the Central Government would not hesitate to supply arms if people knew how to utilise them on the right lines. I feel that there is no getting away from ensuring local co-operation in the defence of the frontier and the only way to do so is in the first place to give the reliable element of this population the means to defend themselves and to demonstrate to them that we are making the necessary preparations.

3. I should be grateful if you would let me know as soon as possible what action is being taken.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Sardar Baldev Singh  
New Delhi

511

New Delhi  
3 October 1947

My dear Sardar Sahib,

I am in receipt of your letter dated 1 October 1947 with which you have sent a copy of a joint letter from Dr. Gopichand Bhargava and Sardar Swaran Singh about our frontier defence. I received the same joint letter a few days ago. The Governor of the East Punjab has also written to me in this connection. For your information I am enclosing copies of my communications to the Commander-in-Chief in the matter. The problem is already under examination and I will let you know, in the near future, the steps that are being taken.

As regards para 2 of your letter, some rifles have already been distributed by the East Punjab Government in the frontier villages to people who know how to handle them. The East Punjab Government had asked for 5,000 rifles but later they increased the number on my suggestion, and they have already been supplied 10,000. In addition, we have sent them 400 Stenguns, 200 pistols, and 24 trucks. The distribution of arms has been left entirely in the hands of the East Punjab Government.

Yours sincerely,  
Baldev Singh

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
7 October 1947

My dear Baldev Singh,

When Pandit Ravishankar Shukla was here, he asked me to take up with you the question of the Muslim element in the Special Army Constabulary, which has been assigned by the Provincial Government to guard vulnerable points in the CP, and for which the Defence Ministry is making payment. I understand that there is a similar arrangement in the UP, and I had a discussion with Pantji yesterday, who said that if he received instructions from us that the Muslim element should be disarmed and replaced by non-Muslim element, he would have no objection.

I feel that the danger from the Muslim element of this constabulary, particularly since it guards vital points like railways and bridges, is real, and the sooner we issue instructions to Provincial Governments to take action for disarming the Muslim element, the better. I hope you will take appropriate action at a very early date.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Sardar Baldev Singh  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
13 October 1947

My dear Sardar Sahib,

Kindly refer to your d.o. of 7 October 1947 regarding the elimination of the Muslim element from the Special Armed Constabulary. I enclose for your information a copy of a letter which I have issued in this connection to all Provincial Premiers from the Ministry of Defence.

Yours sincerely,  
Baldev Singh

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

## ENCLOSURE

Ministry of Defence  
New Delhi  
13 October 1947

Subject:- Special Armed Constabulary

It has been represented to the Government of India by some Provincial Governments that the Muslim element in the Special Armed Constabulary constitutes a real danger specially as it guards vital points like railways, bridges and military installations. It has also been suggested that the Government of India should issue instructions to Provincial Governments to take action for disarming the Muslim element.

2. In this connection, I would invite your attention to this Ministry's letter dated 28 March 1947 (copy enclosed for easy reference) from which it will be clear that the administrative control, including recruitment and class composition of the Special Armed Constabulary, vests in the Provincial Governments and, therefore, it is really a matter for them to decide in each case on its merits whether the Muslims employed in these battalions should be disarmed and replaced by non-Muslim elements. As the Provincial Governments are the best judge, we will accept any steps considered necessary by them in this direction.

Baldev Singh

Government of India  
Defence Dept.  
(Army Branch)  
New Delhi  
28 March 1947

To  
All Provincial Governments and Administrations  
Special Armed Constabulary, Additional Police-Railway Protection  
Police, Directions and Control

Sir,

The Special Armed Constabulary were raised, at the request of the Army, by the provinces. They are administratively controlled by the respective Provincial Governments but operationally controlled by the Army. As they are employed exclusively on military tasks viz. guarding of Defence Department railway lines and military installations, the Provincial Governments are reimbursed

their cost from Defence Services Estimates. They may, at the request of the civil authority, and with the concurrence of the local military authority, be taken off their specific military task and allotted for armed assistance of the civil police. When thus employed they cease to be a liability against Defence Services Estimates.

2. When Defence Department railway lines are downgraded to "provincial" category or when military installations, guarded [by the] Special Armed Constabulary, are closed down, the Special Armed Constabulary employed on the tasks revert to provincial control. Whenever such decisions are anticipated the Provincial Governments concerned are given ample warning so that arrangements can be made to absorb these trained personnel of the SAC into the Provincial Police cadre or otherwise dispose of them. When SAC revert to provincial control they cease to be a liability against Defence Services Estimates. (In the following, wherever the term "Special Armed Constabulary" is used it refers to SAC, Additional Police or Railway Protection Police in accordance with the nomenclature existing in the province.)

I am sir,

Yours most obedient servant,

R. Milroy Hayes, W/Comdr.

Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of India

514

New Delhi  
15 October 1947

My dear Sardar,

I enclose a copy of a letter I have addressed to Baldev Singh for your personal information.

Yours sincerely,  
John Matthai

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

## ENCLOSURE

New Delhi  
15 October 1947

My dear Baldev,

I enclose a note prepared by the Chief Commissioner of Railways regarding certain movements of military stores and personnel. The whole position, to my mind, is disturbing and calls for your personal attention. We are doing what we can to counter movements of a doubtful character, but there is obviously a limit to what we can do to prevent them.

Yours sincerely,  
John Matthai

The Hon'ble Sardar Baldev Singh  
New Delhi

### MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (RAILWAY BOARD)

FOLLOWING INFORMATION HAS BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CHIEF  
TRANSPORTATION SUPERINTENDENT, GIP RAILWAY

A British officer of the name of Brig. Lickman is in command at Jubbulpore. A number of British officers under his command have recently been leaving Jubbulpore either on transfer to Pakistan or on leave to stations in Pakistan, and there are reasons to believe that some of these officers carry in their baggage arms and ordnance stores. Information in a private manner is being given to the Deputy Inspector General of Police, CP. That officer's name is Mr. Saxena, and it will be left to him to take such action as the civil police choose to examine whether any arms are being smuggled out of Jubbulpore.

2. Plans of Brig. Lickman were under way to order four special goods trains to carry ordnance stores towards Bombay. It was his intention that these specials should terminate at Kalyan and that the ordnance stores would be taken to Bombay by road in military motor transport for shipment ex that port.

3. The special trains contemplated would have been ordered by MILRAIL, which is under the Supreme Command. Recently at a meeting over which the Defence Secretary (Mr. Bhalja) presided, the QMG (India) expressed his inability to take over movement control owing to paucity of officers; that branch of the military transportation side is therefore not under the C-in-C, India, but under the Supreme Commander.

4. The Chief Transportation Superintendent, GIP Railway, has so arranged his train operations as to make it possible to resist MILRAIL's demand for these special trains, should such a demand be made.
5. It has also been reported that a large number of Muslims from the Agra and Gwalior side were making use of the GIP Railway's Express train (198 Up) to take them to Bhopal and for the South. Owing to the GIP Railway having been called upon to produce coaching stock for refugee trains in East Punjab, the Chief Transportation Superintendent, GIP Railway, has arranged for the Expresses (197 Down and 198 Up) to terminate and start from Jhansi.
6. A number of Muslims have recently reached Bhopal and Bhopal State are very keen in getting these men out of their territory and into the Nizam's territory. A request was made to the GIP Railway for a special train from Bhopal to Hyderabad. This has been refused on the grounds that movements of refugees are controlled by Delhi and orders of the Railway Board are necessary before any such trains can be run.
7. The CP Government do not wish to encourage migration from the Central Provinces to Hyderabad, but even so, many Muslims from the CP find their way to Manmad, which is the metre gauge terminal station of the NS Railway. From Manmad, the NS Railway has been running a number of special trains into the Nizam's territory, which is only two stations away from Manmad.

515

New Delhi  
15 October 1947

My dear Dr. Matthai,

Thank you for your letter of the 15th instant.

I need hardly say how much I appreciate the action taken by the Ministry of Railways, and fully realise that the Railways can only delay and obstruct indirectly, but cannot altogether prevent ramps of this kind.

I wonder if it will be possible somehow to convey to the officers concerned our appreciation of the action taken by them. This will encourage them in the discharge of their duties to the country.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Dr. John Matthai  
Minister for Railways  
New Delhi

Council Hall  
Poona  
16 October 1947

My dear Sardar Sahib,

I send you herewith a copy of a report which has been submitted to me as regards taking away and bringing of arms by the military personnel and refugees. You will see that those who have to come into the Indian Union are systematically searched and even the military personnel leaving Pakistan is not allowed to bring arms into the Indian Union area. On the other hand, we are not supposed to search people going out, and reports have been received that they regularly take out arms and ammunitions. I send herewith two reports, both of them secret, which will show what our information is. I think we must take some action to prevent this kind of thing. I am sending a copy of this to the Defence Minister and also to the Prime Minister for information. I am sure you will be able to take what action is necessary.

Yours sincerely,  
B. G. Kher

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
25 October 1947

My dear Baldev Singh,

I am sending herewith in original some material regarding traffic in illicit arms and ammunitions, particularly through Pakistan military personnel, which I have received from the Prime Minister of Bombay.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Sardar Baldev Singh  
New Delhi



Barnes Court  
Simla  
5 November 1947

My dear Sardar Patel,

I enclose for your information a copy of a letter which I have sent today to the Defence Minister.

Yours sincerely,  
C. M. Trivedi  
[Governor of East Punjab]

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

#### ENCLOSURE

5 November 1947

My dear Sardar Baldev Singh,

As you have doubtless heard, the Governor-General, Pakistan, has promulgated an ordinance providing for the establishment of a Pakistan National Guard. In case you do not have the details, I enclose an extract from the Pakistan Bulletin (Lahore Radio Station) of 3 November. So far as I can see, the ordinance is mainly based on the Indian Territorial Force Act, 1920. The scheme of that Act was (a) the constitution of provincial corps or units of the Indian Territorial Force, (b) the constitution of urban corps or units of the Indian Territorial Force and (c) the constitution of University Training Corps. At the beginning of the war the provincial battalions of the Indian Territorial Force were amalgamated with regular forces with the result that there are no provincial corps or units of the Indian Territorial Force. There are at present a certain number of urban units of the ITF but, they were never very live and the military authorities in the past looked askance at them. There were several reasons why the urban units were not satisfactory, but I do not wish to go into this in this letter. University or Officers' Training Corps do, of course, exist. Some of them are quite good while a good few are not very effective. East Punjab being a new province does not have at present any Officers' Training Corps.

2. The territorial forces were supposed to be a second line of defence. The relations between India and Pakistan are deteriorating and, although we may

hope that there will be no war, it would be well to be ready for defence. I do not know what the present strength of the Indian Army is or whether it is proposed to resume demobilisation. The question of demobilisation is, I am sure, being considered and I am certain that all relevant factors will be taken into account in reaching a decision whether demobilisation should be resumed or not. The general feeling here is that it would be unwise to restart demobilisation except for units which may be superfluous, having no role to perform.

3. There is a very great urge, at least in East Punjab, for some form of military training. There is also a great deal of readiness on the part of the youth to play their part adequately in the defence and security of the Indian Dominion. A second line defence force would appear to be the answer. I would suggest that we start with urban units in various towns of East Punjab. I do not know whether it would be advisable to constitute provincial units of the Indian Territorial Force. Men for these units were drawn from rural areas and it was after a great deal of consideration that the military authorities decided to amalgamate provincial battalions with regular forces. This question might, however, be considered.

4. We certainly want an Officers' Training Corps in East Punjab. We have lately established a university. What the strength of the corps should be I am unable to say at present, because I have not had an opportunity to discuss these things with the Director of Public Instruction or anybody else. I will let you know my ideas in detail a little later. What I do want is the establishment of an Officers' Training Corps.

5. The object of this letter is merely to throw up certain suggestions in the present state of emergency. So far as I can see, we do not want any ordinance. The Indian Territorial Force Act provides us with the necessary legislative basis for constituting an organisation not identical with but similar to the proposed Pakistan National Guard.

I am sending a copy of this letter to Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru and Sardar Patel.

Yours sincerely,  
C. M. Trivedi

The Hon'ble Sardar Baldev Singh  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
9 November 1947

My dear Baldev Singh,

I have received from Trivedi a copy of his letter dated 5 November 1947 regarding the Pakistan ordinance for the establishment of a National Guard. I agree with him that we should have a territorial organisation. It should be easy, particularly if no further legislation is required. It would also canalise in a desirable channel the urge of the people to render service to us in this time of emergency and would convince them that we mean business and that we are doing something to protect the country. I would, therefore, suggest that you might take this matter up at the next meeting of the Defence Committee. In the meantime, perhaps your experts can examine the question and prepare a scheme.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Sardar Baldev Singh  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
7 November 1947

My dear Baldev Singh,

I enclose a copy of a letter issued by the Defence Ministry of the Government of Pakistan to the Supreme Headquarters, New Delhi. The possibility of the military moves in Waziristan being used as a pretext for getting ammunition and explosives cannot be ruled out, but even if the information is true, we are certainly interested to know what exactly is the trouble in Waziristan for which this is needed and whether the despatch of ammunition and explosives would prejudicially affect our interest.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Sardar Baldev Singh  
New Delhi

**ENCLOSURE**

**Government of Pakistan  
Ministry of Defence  
Karachi  
20 October 1947**

**To  
The Supreme Headquarters  
New Delhi**

The Royal Pakistan Air Force has no backing of explosives and ammunition to carry out its training or any possible operations that may be necessary on the Frontier. In view of the fact that we are about to undertake certain military moves in Waziristan, the necessity of being well prepared for air action when required cannot be over-emphasised. This Ministry requests urgent action for the move of explosives and ammunition from Kasu Bagu which is just on the Indian side of the Punjab frontier to Pakistan.

**Iskandar Mirza  
Secretary to the Government  
of Pakistan**

**521**

**New Delhi  
7 November 1947**

**My dear Sardar Sahib,**

I received some days ago a copy of the letter referred to in yours of even date. Necessary action is being taken in the matter.

**Yours sincerely,  
Baldev Singh**

**The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi**

New Delhi  
9 November 1947

My dear Baldev Singh,

You remember we discussed yesterday the question of the impounding of 26 Dakota aircraft by the Pakistan Government. Although Air Marshal Elmhurst says that in the meantime the transfer of Dakota aircraft from India to Pakistan is being held over, I understand from a very reliable source that on the pretext of getting supplies etc. the same aircraft are being flown from Bangalore to Karachi, the pilots returned saying that the aircraft had been impounded. If true, this is a most astonishing proceeding and I feel that we must devise adequate protection against it. In this connection, it is relevant to point out that we have had several complaints against Mr. Tyrrell of the Hindustan Aircraft Limited and some other European members of the Hindustan Aircraft Company. One particular instance brought to my notice was that Mr. Tyrrell took a Hindustan Aircraft aeroplane from Delhi to Pakistan with some high placed persons as refugees and brought to Delhi some heavy packages intended apparently for distribution amongst the Muslims here. Whatever the effects may be, the flight itself is vouched for by the crew of the aircraft in question and apparently that flight was not arranged on the business of the company.

2. My purpose in mentioning these instances is merely to emphasise the need for urgent and effective action to see that on no pretext whatsoever and in no circumstances does any aircraft go from the Hindustan Aircraft Limited, Bangalore, to Karachi. Unless we do that, we might risk further impounding of Dakotas.

"

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Sardar Baldev Singh  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
16 November 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

General Bucher has sent to me a copy of the message which Mr. Jinnah has issued to all British officers under the Supreme Commander. I feel that you should also send a message to British officers. General Bucher has sent a draft which I enclose. I feel the draft is generally suitable, but perhaps something may be added to bring out that in spite of India's independence and the policy of complete nationalisation a field exists in which British personnel can be of assistance to us in building up our strength and in full utilisation of our resources. He sent me this copy and the draft message when you were at Kashmir.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

#### ENCLOSURE I

New Delhi  
11 November 1947

Lieut. General F. R. R. Bucher  
Commander-in-Chief  
Army Headquarters, India.

My dear Deputy Prime Minister,

1. I enclose:

(i) A copy of a message from H.E. the Governor-General of Pakistan, which is being given publicity, to all British officers under the Supreme Commander.

(ii) A draft which the Hon'ble the Prime Minister might care to consider as a framework for a similar message.

2. Immediately any approved message is returned to me, I will at once ensure it is given the identical distribution to that of H.E. the Governor-General of Pakistan.

Yours sincerely,  
Roy Bucher

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
Deputy Prime Minister

## ENCLOSURE II

### MESSAGE FROM THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL OF PAKISTAN TO ALL BRITISH OFFICERS SERVING UNDER THE SUPREME COMMANDER

The terms for British officers who volunteer to serve on in the Pakistan Armed Forces after the closing down of Supreme Command Headquarters will shortly be published. Pakistan is a new country in which there is much work to be done. Our armed forces have to be recognised and built up to the highest standard of efficiency. Our own Pakistan officers are at present inadequate in numbers, in technical training and in experience to shoulder the whole burden at once, but my Government's policy is complete nationalisation of the officer cadres of the three services at such speed as is compatible with efficiency. I extend to all British officers who are prepared to stay on and help us a sincere welcome and I am confident that my Government will treat them in the same way as our nationals in our service.

M. A. Jinnah  
Governor-General, Pakistan

## ENCLOSURE III

### DRAFT OF MESSAGE FROM THE HON'BLE PANDIT JAWAHARLAL NEHRU, PRIME MINISTER OF INDIA, TO ALL BRITISH OFFICERS SERVING UNDER THE SUPREME COMMANDER

The terms and conditions of service under which British officers may volunteer to serve on with the Indian armed forces after the closing down of the Supreme Commander's Headquarters are being considered by His Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom and will shortly be published. The policy of my Government is the complete nationalisation of the Armed Forces of India in the shortest possible time. In order to achieve this aim, the help of a number of experienced British officers, especially in technical appointments, will be of the utmost assistance to my country. I, therefore, wish to assure those British officers, who may be prepared to volunteer, and who are asked to

assist in this great task, of the sincere friendship and support both of myself and of my Government.

524

New Delhi  
18 November 1947

Dear Gen. Bucher,

The Prime Minister has prepared the following message to all British officers serving under the Supreme Commander. It has been seen by the Hon'ble the Defence Minister. The Prime Minister will be grateful if you could take steps to have his message communicated to all the officers for whom it is intended.

MESSAGE

"The terms and conditions of service under which British officers may volunteer to serve on with the Indian armed forces, after the closing down of the Supreme Commander's Headquarters, are being considered by His Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom and will shortly be published. The policy of my Government is the complete nationalisation of the armed forces of India in the shortest possible time. In order to achieve this aim, the help of a number of experienced British officers, especially in technical appointments, will be of the utmost assistance to my country. I, therefore, wish to assure those British officers who may be prepared to volunteer, and who are asked to assist in this great task, of the sincere friendship and support both of myself and of my Government. I am sure that in the new order that we are building up in India there is plenty of room for British assistance and co-operation, which will also help in maintaining close and friendly relations between India and England."

—Jawaharlal Nehru

Yours sincerely,

H. V. R. Iengar

Principal Private Secretary to the  
Prime Minister

General F. R. R. Bucher  
Chief of General Staff  
GHQ  
New Delhi



New Delhi  
7 April 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

In the Hindustan Times of 4 April considerable prominence has been given to the problem of Indian defence forces and the appointment of a Defence Committee to consider this. In effect, the decisions of the Cabinet have been published. In the same issue of the Hindustan Times it is also stated that the Central Pay Commission's report has been examined by Government and accepted. Both these items of news are essentially secret and it is most distressing and embarrassing that publicity should have been given to them.

Previously the Dawn had given publicity to matters which had come up before the Cabinet. It is obvious that if Cabinet matters are to leak out this will make the proper functioning of the Cabinet impossible. I should like you to consider what steps might be taken to prevent this kind of occurrence.

I should be glad if you will kindly come to my room in the Council House at 5 p.m. tomorrow (8 April) to consider this and the items on the agenda of the next Cabinet meeting.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal Nehru

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

CHAPTER XV  
NEHRU FORMS NEW CABINET

526

The Viceroy's House  
New Delhi  
14 March 1947

Dear Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel,

The Cabinet Secretary has shown me your note on the draft minutes of the Cabinet meeting held on Friday, 28 February [see enclosure].

2. It has never been the practice, in India or in the UK, or in other countries, I believe, for budget proposals on taxation to be committed to writing beforehand, and circulated to Members of the Cabinet, like other Cabinet papers. The taxation proposals are always explained verbally by the Finance Member at a Cabinet meeting very shortly before the introduction of the Budget. Before this, they are usually known only to the Finance Member and head of the Government. This year, in view of the special circumstances, I had them explained beforehand to two other Members of the Cabinet.

3. The Budget is the most important Government measure of the year, and the Cabinet as a whole must accept responsibility for it. It cannot possibly be considered as the responsibility of the Finance Member only. I am quite aware that it is naturally impossible for Members to grasp all the detailed implications, but the general policy of taxation must be the responsibility of the Cabinet as a whole.

4. Both at the preliminary meeting between myself and three Members of the Cabinet, and at the full Cabinet meeting, though many questions were asked, nothing was said which indicated to me any general disapproval of the taxation policy laid down. I therefore consider that it is correct to say that the proposals were approved.

Yours sincerely,  
Wavell

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

## ENCLOSURE

### HON. HOME MEMBER'S OFFICE

Reference to Cabinet Secretariat No. 436/C.M./47, dated 1 March 1947 relating to the minutes recorded about Budget proposals in the Cabinet meeting on 28 February 1947, I must point out that there has been some serious misunderstanding.

The record of the minutes says that "The Hon'ble the Finance Member explained his Budget proposals which the Cabinet approved." I take exception to the underlined portion in the minutes, as I have not understood that the proposals were placed for the Cabinet's approval. All that happened was that the Finance Member explained generally his taxation proposals and some questions were put by Members seeking some information relating to those proposals. The taxation proposals were of such a vital, revolutionary and complicated character that it would be impossible for the acutest brain to study and digest their implications in such a short time so as to give any approval to them. What I understood was that the responsibility for the budget was that of the Finance Member, who did not want to take the whole Cabinet into confidence in time, but as a matter of courtesy thought it proper to place them before the Cabinet just before placing the budget in the Assembly. Necessary correction, therefore, should be made in the minutes.

H. M. Home & I & B

2-3-47

Mr. H. M. Patel

Joint Secretary to Cabinet [Secretariat]

527

New Delhi

16 March 1947

Dear Lord Wavell,

Thank you for your letter of 14 March 1947.

2. I am sorry I am unable to subscribe to your view that the Budget proposals should be deemed to have been approved by the Cabinet. I and many other Members of the Cabinet feel that, having differed from the Finance Member ever since he placed the proposals before us and having had no opportunity to express that dissent, we cannot accept responsibility for the proposals.

3. We strongly feel that these Budget proposals will put back economic progress and we cannot be parties to them unless they are very greatly altered and amended.

4. We had hoped that suitable amendments would be accepted in the Select Committee. This has been rendered impossible by the disciplined votes of the official members. It is impossible for us to support the measures as they are going to be returned by the Select Committee on the 19th. It is impossible to secure the consent of the Legislature either.

5. In these circumstances, I feel that the best and most appropriate course would be for the Cabinet to re-examine the proposals in the light of the public reactions to them and the views and alternatives offered in the Legislature and the Select Committee. For this purpose, I would suggest your convening an emergent meeting of the Cabinet before the 19th when the report of the Select Committee is due for presentation. This seems to me the only way out of a very critical situation.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

His Excellency Viscount Wavell

528

New Delhi  
15 March 1947

Dear Lord Wavell,

I understand that, within the next month or two, 14 posts of Secretaries are going to fall vacant. The list, as it has come to my notice, is as follows:

- Secretary, Home Department
- Secretary, Information & Broadcasting Department
- Secretary of the Cabinet
- Secretary, Industries & Supplies Department
- Principal Secretary, Finance Department
- Secretary, Transport Department
- Secretary, Works, Mines and Power Department
- Secretary, Food Department
- Secretary, Commerce Department
- Secretary, External Affairs Department
- Secretary, Legislative Department
- Secretary, Agriculture Department

Secretary, Commonwealth Relations Department  
Secretary, Posts & Air Department.

2. If my information is correct, it means a sudden demand for senior officers for key posts. I need hardly emphasise that the question of filling so many posts at the highest level in the Secretariat involves not only the consideration of maintaining the efficiency of Government as a whole at the maximum level but also the utilisation of the available man-power at higher level to its best advantage. I would, therefore, suggest that this question should be considered not as one for the individual departments to deal with but as one requiring consideration, in the absence of an Appointments Committee, by the Cabinet as a whole. If you agree with my suggestion, I would propose that the Home Department, in consultation with other departments concerned, should consider this problem and put up proposals for the orders of the Cabinet as soon as possible.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

His Excellency Viscount Wavell  
New Delhi

529

30 July 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I have spoken to [Dr. B. R.] Ambedkar<sup>1</sup> and he has agreed. He said Law would not give him enough work. I told him he need not worry about that. There will be plenty of work of many kinds to do.

I have also spoken to Rafi [Ahmed Kidwai] and he has agreed.

Now you have to approach Syama Prasad [Mookerjee] and Rajaji [and] Shanmukham [Ghettty].

I have still to speak to [N. V.] Gadgil and Amrit Kaur.

Yours,  
Jawaharlal

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

<sup>1</sup> Member, Round Table Conference, 1930-32; Member, Viceroy's Executive Council, July 1942-June 1946; Member, CA; Law Member in Nehru Cabinet

New Delhi  
1 August 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

As formalities have to be observed to some extent, I am writing to invite you to join the new Cabinet. This writing is somewhat superfluous because you are the strongest pillar of the Cabinet.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

1 Aurangzeb Road  
New Delhi  
3 August 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

Many thanks for your letter of the 1st instant. Our attachment and affection for each other and our comradeship for an unbroken period of nearly 30 years admit of no formalities. My services will be at your disposal, I hope, for the rest of my life and you will have unquestioned loyalty and devotion from me in the cause for which no man in India has sacrificed as much as you have done. Our combination is unbreakable and therein lies our strength. I thank you for the sentiments expressed in your letter.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi

17 York Road  
New Delhi  
4 August 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I give below the list of names for the new Cabinet which I have sent to the Governor-General:

1. Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel
2. Maulana Abul Kalam Azad
3. Dr. Rajendra Prasad
4. Dr. John Matthai
5. Shri Jagjivan Ram
6. Sardar Baldev Singh
7. Shri C. H. Bhabha
8. Rajkumari Amrit Kaur
9. Shri Rafi Ahmed Kidwai
10. Dr. B. R. Ambedkar
11. Dr. Syama Prasad Mookerjee
12. Sir Shanmukham Chetty
13. Shri Narhar Vishnu Gadgil

The portfolios have not been mentioned. It is proposed, however, that portfolios with the old Members should be retained by them except for a change necessitated by Rajaji's departure.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

14 August 1947

The new Cabinet, which will function from August 15, 1947, will consist of the following members. Their portfolios are indicated opposite their names:

Jawaharlal Nehru	Prime Minister; External Affairs and Commonwealth Relations; Scientific Research
Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel	Home; Information and Broadcasting; States
Dr. Rajendra Prasad	Food and Agriculture
Maulana Abul Kalam Azad	Education
Dr. John Matthai	Railways and Transport
Sardar Baldev Singh	Defence
Shri Jagjivan Ram	Labour
Mr. C. H. Bhabha	Commerce
Mr. Rafi Ahmed Kidwai	Communications
Rajkumari Amrit Kaur	Health
Dr. B. R. Ambedkar	Law
Shri R. K. Shanmukham Chetty	Finance
Dr. Syama Prasad Mookerjee	Industries and Supplies
Shri N. V. Gadgil	Works, Mines and Power

Pilani

Jaipur State

29 September 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I arrived here on the 27th evening. The weather is clear and bright and I hope it will help me to recuperate soon.

On the 26th evening Rajkumari Amrit Kaur saw me with a message from Bapu. It was to the effect that I was unable to put forth the energy that the food situation required and I should therefore give it up. I told him I would do it unhesitatingly if it did not embarrass the Prime Minister in finding a substitute.



I saw Bapu on the morning of the 27th on my way to the aerodrome. He told me that I should not give up the Constituent Assembly Presidentship and should also retain the agriculture portfolio but should give up food. I told him that if it did not cause embarrassment to the Prime Minister I would do so and requested him to speak to Jawaharlalji which he said he would do. I have not mentioned the matter to anyone else up to now, as I did not like it to be talked about before it was finally decided. I am writing to Jawaharlalji today communicating to him what is stated above and on hearing from him will take such action as may be required. I know it will come to the department as a bombshell and if it is finally decided I shall prepare them for the news before I actually send in my resignation. I am therefore writing to you also in a personal secret cover.

I hope things are improving. It takes long for letters to come here. I have asked the Food Secretary to arrange to send my mail bag. If you have to send anything to me please have it handed over to him for being passed on to me. Please let me know what reply you got from Patna to your letter about the Governor.

Yours affectionately,  
Rajendra Prasad

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

535

Government House  
Patna  
6 December 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I have received your letter of 1 December today and also Jawaharlal's letter. I have informed him that I am agreeable to work in Rajendra Prasadji's place in the Central Cabinet. I doubt if I can leave Bihar before the 23rd as I have to preside on the 20th and 21st as Chancellor of Patna University which has to confer honorary degrees on Dr. Rajendra Prasad, Maulana Azad and three local public men, including our Prime Minister. So I expect to reach there on the 24th or thereabouts.

With best regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Jairamdas (Doulatram)

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

New Delhi  
5 July 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

The enclosed note has been put up before me. I think it will be desirable to follow the course suggested. The names proposed for the committee are, of course, merely provisional and for your consideration. You are much more acquainted with suitable persons who might be desirable on such a committee.

If you agree with this proposal I trust you will appoint some committee and ask it to report within two or three weeks.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

#### ENCLOSURE

It has been recognised for some time that the organisation of work within the Government of India should be improved. Some of the directions in which improvement is particularly called for are:

- (i) procedure in regard to noting by office;
- (ii) circulation of files between departments and handling of files at different levels in each department;
- (iii) procedure for obtaining financial concurrence and the system of financial control;
- (iv) tendency towards over-centralisation, so that officers at each level do not discharge the maximum responsibility they may be capable of;
- (v) strengthening of officer cadres especially at the lower levels.

In view of the impending reconstitution of the Government of India and other events, the time has come to make a review of these and other similar problems. The efficiency of Government and the speed with which new proposals can be framed and executed will depend to no small extent on the machinery and procedure of administration.

2. Similarly it is necessary to consider the organisation of departments under the new set-up. There is a risk that we may carry all the features of the present organisation into the new administration. It is to our advantage to take the opportunity of making such changes as are essential at what is after all a turning point.

3. With these objects in view, it is proposed that a committee should be set up unofficially by HVP [Hon'ble Vice-President] and the Home Member with the following terms of reference:

- (i) To make recommendations regarding the organisation of departments and the possible re-allocation of departmental business in the Govt. of India with effect from 15 August 1947;
- (ii) to make recommendations for improvement in the procedure and machinery of administration (including the system of financial control) in the Secretariat and allied offices

4. The committee will be able to consider the recommendations made in earlier years in the Lewellyn Smith Report<sup>1</sup> and the Maxwell Report<sup>2</sup> and more recently in the Reports prepared by Sir Richard Tottenham and by Mr. H. A. C. Gill in the Finance Department.

5. In considering the personnel for a committee of this kind, an important consideration is to take the help of officers who are not otherwise too closely burdened with work in the Partition Committees. It is suggested that the following officers may be requested to make draft recommendations on the terms of reference set out above:

- (i) Mr. R. N. Banerjee, Secretary, Home Department.
- (ii) Mr. V. K. R. Menon, Secretary, Labour Department.
- (iii) Mr. K. R. P. Aiyangar, Joint Secretary, Finance Department; lately Secretary, Pay Commission.
- (iv) Mr. L. K. Jha, Deputy Secretary, Commerce Department.

Mr. Banerjee could be the chairman and Mr. Jha the secretary of the committee. The committee would of course be free to consider any other matters which it might wish to bring out.

6. The committee can advise upon further steps after it has prepared its draft recommendations. Perhaps it would be useful to get these proposals within two to three weeks and to invite comments from selected officers of the Government of India, to which the committee could give further consideration. By the end of July or the beginning of August the committee could produce a report for consideration, informally, by members of Government.

<sup>1</sup> Report of the Committee on Government of India Secretariat Procedure under L. Smith, 1919

<sup>2</sup> ICS; Central Home Member, 1938-44; headed Committee on Organisation and Procedure whose report was published in 1937

New Delhi  
6 July 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

Please refer to your letter of 5 July 1947 regarding a proposal to set up a committee to make recommendations regarding reorganization of departments and other matters.

2. There is already a considerable amount of literature on this subject. Various committees have reported on it from time to time and the latest reports are those submitted by Sir Richard Tottenham and Mr. H. A. C. Gill. These reports were considered in great detail by the Machinery of Government Committee, which consisted of senior officers of the Government of India. The recommendations of this committee on the reports have come up to Government from time to time. Some time ago, I dealt with their recommendations on the question of reorganisation of the Secretariat machinery. Although I could not accept their recommendations, we evolved an alternative scheme which would have the effect of strengthening the officer cadres at the lower levels. The Federal Public Service Commission and other authorities, whom we consulted, have blessed our alternative scheme, but it could not be put into force owing to the standstill agreement. As soon as we are in a position to resume the threads of normal administration, that scheme would be put into force subject to Cabinet approval. Similarly other recommendations, which are concerned with the reorganisation of the Secretariat and improvement in its working and procedure, would also come up for consideration and submission of proposals to the Cabinet.

3. In these circumstances, I do not think that it is at all necessary to set up any fresh committee. If during the course of consideration of these recommendations I feel that any ad hoc committee is necessary on any particular matter, I shall certainly set up such a committee.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
Vice-President of the Cabinet  
New Delhi

New Delhi  
6 August 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I have just seen a letter dated 5 August, addressed by P. V. R. Rao to Tarlok Singh stating that the Home Department [has] come to the conclusion that Oulsnam, Secretary, Health Department, should be relieved with effect from 15 August. I am rather surprised to learn this, somewhat indirectly, as I have been proceeding on the assumption that Oulsnam will stay for a short time more. Indeed I have been discussing various matters with him and have asked him to take certain action. Just at present the Health Department is completely depleted and new people will have to be brought in. I should have thought that before any decision was arrived at in such a matter some kind of reference might have been made to the acting Member for Health; otherwise, there is bound to be dislocation and sometimes contrary policies being pursued.

I was given to understand by you that no one who chose to stay on is going to be asked to leave summarily. In accordance with that I proceeded on the basis that Oulsnam was staying on, for a while at least. Whether Oulsnam is particularly suited for it or not is beside the point.

Apart from this individual matter, there is another aspect to be considered. Any action taken in regard to officers of a particular department should, I imagine, be taken in consultation with the Member concerned. Otherwise his plans might be completely upset.

For the last three days I have had daily interviews with Oulsnam and, in fact, I am seeing him this morning. This was with the purpose of organising the Health Department and making some appointments. The intimation that he is going in about a week's time suddenly upsets all this. The notice appears to me to be very short and people might feel that we are acting in too great a hurry without giving enough time to persons who have been in service for a considerable period.

Last evening Lady Mountbatten came to me and mentioned Bhatia's case as well as one or two others. Her point was that

it was not fair to suddenly push out a person at short notice. I assured her that this was not our policy and that we are asking the Madras Government and the Bengal Government not to proceed in this way in the case of IMS officers. Oulsnam's case now will belie the assurance I gave her and it will be difficult to justify. Of course, she has no official position in this matter. She is only connected with a number of medical associations, like the Tuberculosis and other associations and she was worried about them.

I am rather at a loss to know how I am to talk to Oulsnam when he comes to see me. It seems rather odd that I should be completely unaware of what was going to happen to him and that I should have talked to him till yesterday on a different basis. I am put in a somewhat false position. All I can tell him today is that I was not aware of this step and that I shall give more thought to it.

I hope to see you today and discuss this matter more fully. I am enclosing a copy of Rao's letter to Tarlok Singh.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

#### ENCLOSURE

Home Department (India)  
New Delhi  
5 August 1947

My dear Tarlok Singh,

I am desired to state for the information of the Hon'ble Member, Health Department (India), that Mr. Oulsnam, Secretary, Health Department, had, in reply to this department's letter dated 18 June 1947, enquiring whether he wishes to continue in service after 15 August, expressed willingness to continue in service for a short period and that the Hon'ble the Home Member after examining his case has come to the conclusion that he should be relieved with effect from 15 August 1947. I have informed Mr. Oulsnam accordingly and I am forwarding a copy of this letter to Mr. Patel, the Establishment Officer to the Government of India, so that he may initiate action to find a suitable successor.

Yours sincerely,  
P. V. R. Rao

Tarlok Singh, Esq., ICS

New Delhi  
9 August 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

Thank you for your letter dated 6 August 1947 regarding Oulsnam.

We have had a discussion about it already and if you feel that the retention of Oulsnam would serve any useful purpose, I have no objection to your keeping him on until the middle of October.

I might perhaps explain that we had agreed to permit officers to remain in India if they wanted to continue indefinitely and that we had not given any guarantee to them to abide by their option, if they wish to stay only for a short period. But as regards Indian officers, there is no question of their being relieved of their employment unless the Provincial Government, or we ourselves, found that anyone was particularly bad and should be discharged, even if we had to pay compensation. I believe the IMS will be wound up on 15 August, and thereafter, will be provincialised. It is, therefore, competent of the Madras Govt. to say that they do not wish to retain an IMS officer, but that would not mean that the IMS officer would have to go. It would be incumbent on the Centre to find for him a job either at the Centre or in some other province, failing which he would be entitled to compensation.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru

New Delhi  
6 August 1947

My dear Jawaharlal,

I have read in today's papers that [S. A.] Venkataraman has been confirmed as Secretary, Industries and Supplies Department.

Previously, I had heard reports to this effect, but apparently final action has now been taken by the Hon'ble Member in charge. I also understand that Rajaji has made appointments to various posts, even though according to our standstill orders posts vacated by persons leaving for Pakistan were not to be filled save in exceptional circumstances. Matthai has stated in a Cabinet summary that [Y. N.] Sukhthankar is to take up the post of Secretary, Transport Department, with effect from 11 August.

2. In connection with the appointment of the Reorganisation Committee under the chairmanship of Sir Girja Shankar Bajpai, I have already indicated the very difficult position regarding ICS officers. One of the terms of reference of this committee is to suggest reorganisation of departments with a view to the allocation in the best manner possible of available personnel. It is only after this committee has reported that we shall be in a position to fix important posts which must be filled by ICS officers and then having regard to the ICS officers available we would have to arrange postings which would be to the best advantage of Government as a whole and not any particular department.

3. From this point of view I feel that any appointments made are likely to prejudice our freedom of action in making appointments having regard to the recommendations of the committee and the manpower available. You will recall that when the two Provisional Governments were set up and we had to consider certain appointments, we made it clear to His Excellency the Viceroy that the appointments were provisional.

4. In all these circumstances, I feel that all appointments which have been made whether on or after 21 July, or even previously, but which have not yet been given effect to, should be considered as provisional. I also suggest that the sub-committee of the Cabinet which would consider the recommendations of the Bajpai Report should go into the question of all appointments and suggest such changes or reallocation of officers from one department to another as it may consider necessary having regard to the manpower position, the intrinsic importance of various posts and merits of officers concerned.

5. I suggest that this matter might be mentioned in the Cabinet tomorrow.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru  
New Delhi



New Delhi  
14 June 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

Yesterday I spoke to you about H. V. R. Iengar. I think he is one of our most capable senior officers and his services should be utilised to the full in the division arrangements now going on. I suppose he could easily do this work in addition to Constituent Assembly work. As a matter of fact I was thinking of him in connection with the new States Department that we were thinking of setting up, but I feel that he might be more useful to you.

I enclose a note which has been sent to me by Brij Narain, a senior officer of the Military Finance Department. There is not very much in this note. Brij Narain, however, seems to me a useful person who can give help and information. He came to me, I think, with a letter from Rajendra Babu. I sent him on to Sardar Baldev Singh. He is well known to V. P. Menon and others. He is at present stationed at Meerut but he spends week-ends in Delhi.

I believe that R. L. Gupta's name has already been mentioned to you as a person who can be of help in various ways. He is Joint Secretary in the Finance Department.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel  
New Delhi

27 July 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

I have just been to Bapu. He felt that [K. M.] Munshi was wholly unsuited for Bengal. Indeed, he felt he was even more unsuited for Bengal than for East Punjab. He based his conclusion on his intimate knowledge of Bengal and the Bengalis.

The only name he could suggest was Amrit Kaur's.

Yours,  
Jawaharlal

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

543

New Delhi  
24 November 1947

My dear Vallabhbhai,

As you know, a meeting of the All-India Congress Committee was held in New Delhi a week ago. This meeting considered the present situation and passed a number of important resolutions. You must have seen these resolutions in the newspapers. Nevertheless, I am sending you a copy of some of the resolutions bearing on general policy.

Many of the members of the Cabinet are also members of the All-India Congress Committee and they took part in these discussions and in the passing of these resolutions. Naturally, therefore, it is their desire as well as mine that the policy followed by Government in regard to these matters should be in line with the resolutions passed by the AICC.

I would draw your special attention to the last resolution, i.e., the resolution on Congress objectives. This resolution is in the nature of a general directive for the formulation of an economic programme. It is vague as it is because a committee has been asked to draw up this programme. Nevertheless, it signifies clearly what the Congress is aiming at and what, I trust, the Government will work for.

It is becoming increasingly important that the Government of India should lay down its economic, industrial and labour policy as speedily as possible. Some attempt has been made from time to time to deal with the problems separately. It is hardly a satisfactory method. Some kind of a comprehensive picture must be seen towards which we can work in each individual sector. Hence it is necessary that we should consider this problem in its entirety and lay down a more or less precise policy.

Probably the best way to do so will be to consider the report of the Advisory Planning Board with the recommendations

of the Economic Sub-Committee which was formed some time ago.

Yours sincerely,  
Jawaharlal Nehru

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

#### A.I.C.C. RESOLUTION ON CONGRESS OBJECTIVES

Political independence having been achieved, the Congress must address itself to the next great task, namely, the establishment of real democracy in the country and a society based on social justice and equality. Such a society must provide every man and woman with equality of opportunity and freedom to work for the unfettered development of his or her personality. This can only be realised when democracy extends from the political to the social and the economic spheres. Democracy in the modern age necessitates planned central direction as well as decentralisation of political and economic power in so far as this is compatible with the safety of the State, with efficient production and the cultural progress of the community as a whole. The smallest territorial unit should be able to exercise effective control over its corporate life by means of a popularly elected Panchayat. In so far as it is possible, national and regional economic self-sufficiency in the essentials of life should be aimed at. In the case of industries, which in their nature must be run on a large scale and on a centralised basis, they should belong to the community, and they should be so organised that workers become not only co-sharers in the profits but are also increasingly associated with the management and administration of industry. Land, with its mineral resources, and all other means of production as well as distribution and exchange must belong to and be regulated by the community in its own interest.

Our aim should be to evolve a political system which will combine efficiency of administration with individual liberty, and an economic structure which will yield maximum production without the creation of private monopolies and the concentration of wealth and which will create a proper balance between urban and rural economies. Such a social structure can provide an alternative to the acquisitive economy of private capitalism and the regimentation of a totalitarian State.

With a view to drawing up the economic programme for the Congress in accordance with the above-mentioned principles and the election manifesto of the Congress dated 19 December 1945, the following committee is appointed:

1. Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru
2. Maulana Abul Kalam Azad

3. Shri Jayaprakash Narayan<sup>1</sup>
4. Prof. N. G. Ranga<sup>2</sup>
5. Shri Gulzari Lal Nanda
6. Shri J. C. Kumarappa
7. Shri Achyut Patwardhan<sup>3</sup>
8. Shri Shankarrao Deo—with powers to coopt.

16 November 1947

544

The Viceroy's House  
New Delhi  
14 August 1947

Dear Sardar Patel,

I cannot leave my active participation in Cabinet work without writing a line to express my sincere appreciation of the loyal support you have given me as a Member of the Interim Government during my short period of Viceroyalty. No one could pretend that the Coalition Ministry was an easy partnership, particularly with the partition looming so large in the background; but you and your colleagues made my task easy and thus proved yourselves true statesmen of whom your country can well be proud.

It was indeed fortunate that a statesman of your vision and realism should have been associated with all the discussions on the 3 June Plan and with all the subsequent vital and delicate negotiations with the States. After our first "tussle" I always felt we should become friends, and I believe history will prove that that friendship played a very vital part in obtaining a peaceful solution and a speedy transfer of power to a Dominion of India which, with the States, will be greater than "British India" was by itself.

Thank you also\* for your trust and support in the problem of the Indian States—future generations will appreciate the full effect of the wise policy you have followed.

<sup>1</sup> Founder-Secretary, Socialist Party of India; prominent member of Congress till 1948; retired from politics and engaged himself in Sarvodaya (public welfare activities), including Rhodan (land gift) movement

<sup>2</sup> President, All India Kisan Sabha; President, Andhra PCC; member, Congress Parliamentary Party; Founder-President, Swatantra Party

<sup>3</sup> Veteran freedom fighter

With this letter I am sending you a small informal photograph of myself, in the hope that you will accept it as a souvenir of the historic time when we were colleagues together in the Cabinet.

All best wishes to you and to the Dominion of India.

Yours sincerely,  
Mountbatten of Burma

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

545

New Delhi  
16 August 1947

My dear Lord Mountbatten,

Many thanks for your very kind letter of 14 August. I am overwhelmed by the personal references to me and by the generous terms in which you have referred to such assistance to you as I have been able to render in the very difficult and complicated task which was entrusted to you by His Majesty's Government.

You were good enough to call me a stern realist and it is as such that I make bold to say that, when the history of the six months of your Viceroyalty comes to be written, it cannot but accord to you the major share of the credit for the manner in which the manifold difficult tasks have been accomplished and for the transformation which has been made in Indo-British relationship during these fateful months. India and Indians have always been quick to respond to understanding and sympathy. Both Britain and India must congratulate each other that in you they at last found one so abounding in these virtues, essentially a man of speed and action, frank and painstaking and genuinely sincere and anxious to deliver the goods. The only regret of ours is, and of the future historian will be, that we should have had the benefit of your wise counsel and the privilege of your able guidance at a much earlier date.

I personally have a great deal to thank you for. It is possible I have taxed your patience and consideration severely during the last few months of great mental stress and strain, but I have always found in you a ready response which has often dispelled the clouds of anxiety and concern. In fact, what you have achieved in the way of friendship and goodwill merely emphasises what the long line of your predecessors have missed as a result of studied

aloofness and failure to take into confidence leaders of public opinion.

I am also grateful to you for the kind thought which prompted you to send me your photograph as a souvenir of our collaboration during these historic times. I shall always prize it as such.

May I add a word of gratefulness to Her Excellency for the humanitarian service which she has rendered to India at a time when it was sorely needed? Her keen insight into human psychology and vigilant interest in the removal of human suffering and misery have touched the hearts of thousands with whom she has come in contact.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

H. E. Viscount Mountbatten of Burma  
New Delhi

546

New Delhi  
22 August 1947

Dear Sardar Patel,

I am most grateful as well as deeply touched at the kind and generous references you have made to me in your letter to my husband. It has been a great privilege as well as a real joy to work for India and with Indians in the past months. My heart has gone out to them in their suffering and needs and in the great sacrifices they have made, and my admiration at the fine courage they have shown at all time.

No words can express the gratitude I feel to you, your daughter and to the people of India for the warm sympathy and understanding as well as generosity of spirit you have shown me since my arrival here. We are honoured that you should have invited us to stay and work with you a little longer and pray that we may be worthy of the trust that you have placed in us.

Yours sincerely,  
Edwina Mountbatten  
of Burma

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

Government House  
New Delhi  
23 August 1947

My dear Deputy Prime Minister,

Many congratulations on your new and vital appointment.

I enclose a copy of a letter I have sent to the Prime Minister, since much of what is written affects the States: in particular you will remember your promise to Jodhpur that you would ensure they did not starve.

Yours very sincerely,  
Mountbatten of Burma

The Hon'ble Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel

#### ENCLOSURE

Government House  
23 August 1947

My dear Prime Minister,

For some time past I have been gravely disturbed by certain aspects of the economic situation in India, particularly by the food situation. I know that you and your colleagues have also had them on your mind, and have been trying to grapple with them, in the midst of other matters which, during the last few weeks, have claimed first attention. I feel that it may be of assistance to you if I let you know how the situation appears to me, and add some suggestions, on which I should greatly value a discussion with you.

The economic dangers facing us are in my view three-fold—first, a breakdown of urban food rationing; secondly, a coal crisis; and thirdly, should either of these two occur, a severe inflationary spiral that will place urban subsistence beyond wage levels.

2. So far as the food situation is concerned, we are, of course, all aware of the facts. They are, briefly, that the food stocks with which to support rationing systems over large areas of India, but principally in South India, will give out before the Kharif crop is reaped and marketed in late October or early November. Over some parts of India this crop is, in fact, likely to fail completely. Indigenous procurement from the last Rabi crop has already virtually ceased.

Imports cannot possibly fill the gap. It seems therefore almost unavoidable that, from early September onwards, and despite severe cuts in the adult ration, rationing systems in many urban areas will be in grave danger of breaking down. If this were to happen, public confidence in the food administration, which has with such difficulty been built up since the bitter experience of 1943<sup>1</sup>, and which is the last defence against famine, would be dealt a disastrous blow.

3. Since procurement and distribution are the pillars of food administration, it is my belief that only a really vigorous, early and united effort, by the Central Government and all Provincial and State Governments concerned, backed by the whole weight of the Congress Party machine, to renew and intensify procurement, and prepare for emergency distribution, will avert famine conditions in the urban areas this autumn. As regards procurement, we know that the crops in many parts of the affected areas, e.g. Madras, have been good; and I fully appreciate the difficulty which is being experienced in extracting the full quota from the cultivators, and the steps which have so far been taken to overcome them. But I am sure you will agree that nothing should be left to chance in this. As regards emergency distribution, the Army will hardly be able to help this time, as it did in Bengal in 1943. Casey, who was then Governor of Bengal, told me that almost the whole credit for arresting the full impact of that disaster, and preventing widespread disease must be given to the Army, which released reserve foodstuffs and provided transport and medical units. Demobilisation has now reached a point where there is no margin of resources to provide such assistance. Indeed, the Army is already grievously strained by the relatively enormous commitments of maintaining the Punjab Boundary Force.

4. Secondly, as regards the coal position, I understand that the industrial centres of India, to say nothing of railways and public utilities (gas, electricity, water etc.) have never before been operating on such restricted quotas as they have been during the last six months. I need hardly remind you of the disastrous effect on steel production which the closing down of 30 per cent of the blast furnaces had brought about. I believe it is right to say that this is not so much due to a shortage of mined coal or to a deficiency of rolling stock, as to the bottleneck in the marshalling yards through which empty wagons are passed into the coalfields and full wagons are passed out for distribution. By its nature, a marshalling yard must normally work 24 hours a day. A legacy of the communal trouble in Bihar and Bengal is that men are reluctant to work by night for fear of attack on themselves or their families. An improvement in the system of operating marshalling yards continuously would produce a corresponding improvement in the whole coal supply situation. Conversely, any further setbacks in marshalling yards will have a

<sup>1</sup> Reference is to the Bengal famine



most definitely adverse effect on urban employment, nearly all of which is dependent on coal in the final analysis. The first remedy here would seem to be the restoration of confidence among the workers.

5. With regard to the third danger, inflation, it is true to say that every community contains an element alert to profit from national misfortune, and that India is no exception to this rule. It is beyond doubt that the Bengal famine was largely aggravated by this class. If either or both of the food and coal factors turns against the Government and the peoples of India, a serious price inflation must inevitably follow. It will be unavoidable and uncontrolled. A food crisis would again place the cost of subsistence above wages. If coal shortages restricted the output of factories or closed them altogether many would have no wages at all. I suggest to you that in the field of inflation lies a fruitful opportunity for the political enemies of the present Government.

6. I have therefore come to the conclusion, which I feel that I must place before you, that quick determined action alone can mitigate or circumvent these dangers to the future of India. It appears to me, and I must say so bluntly, a case where the whole structure and machinery of the Congress Party should be thrown in to reinforce the efforts that the Administration should make to raise indigenous food procurement to the requisite level, to prepare for emergency distribution and to bring the marshalling yards and all related coal facilities to the highest peak of productive action.

I have written this letter because it is my view that immediate measures, both by the Government and by the Congress Party, are essential to the future well-being of India. I cannot help feeling that all available talent should now be employed on measures for saving the country from disaster, and that absolute priority should be given to this. I hope you will not hesitate to make any use of this letter which you think fit. I am sending a copy to the Minister for States, since many of the States are also closely affected.

Mountbatten of Burma

The Hon'ble Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru

548

New Delhi  
25 August 1947

My dear Lord Mountbatten,

Many thanks for your kind letter dated 23 August 1947 and for the congratulations on my appointment as Deputy Prime Minister. I know that I can always rely on your good wishes, co-operation and advice and your kind thought in adding the

congratulations to your letter strengthens me in that faith. For this I am sincerely grateful.

I deeply appreciate the vigilant interest in our problems which has prompted you to write to the Prime Minister on the three dangers with which we are faced and which you have set out in such clear and concise terms. I agree with you that nothing less than a maximum all-out effort is required to meet these dangers and that we cannot afford to lose any more time over it. I am fully conscious that the problem affects the States as seriously and as urgently as it does the Dominion proper. You can rest assured that I shall remain always watchful on their behalf and that the undertaking which I have given to Jodhpur State in regard to their food supplies will be honoured.

Yours sincerely,  
Vallabhbhai Patel

His Excellency Viscount Mountbatten of Burma



## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX ONE

#### MEMORANDA RECEIVED UNOFFICIALLY BY SARDAR PATEL, PRESUMABLY FROM INDIAN MILITARY OFFICERS, AT THE TIME OF THE RECONSTRUCTION OF ARMED FORCES ON THE EVE OF PARTITION

(1)

##### RECONSTITUTION OF THE ARMED FORCES

1. The allocation of major units to the armed forces of the two dominions of India and Pakistan has already been decided upon.
2. The division of stores, equipment, training establishments and regimental and corps centres remains to be carried out.
3. This task, it has been announced, is to be carried out by the Joint Defence Council through the Supreme Commander.
4. The Supreme Commander's staff (the present Naval, General and Air HQs.) are to be anglicized.

This will result in:

- (a) neither India nor Pakistan having a hand in the detailed implementation of the decisions of the Joint Defence Council.
- (b) the newer and more up-to-date equipment being given to Pakistan, as most of the British officers electing to serve on wish to do so in Pakistan.
- (c) most of special equipment of a secret nature e.g. radar, going back to the UK instead of being divided between India and Pakistan. Neither India nor Pakistan Army HQs. will be aware of how much of such equipment there is in India, as their representatives will not be on the staff of the Supreme Commander.
- (d) Much of the top secret military intelligence vital to the future military development of India and Pakistan, being destroyed when the present AFHQ ceases to exist, on completion of the reconstitution. There is no doubt that the top-ranking British officers will destroy such intelligence or return it to the UK to prevent its being used by us. In fact this was partly done early this year, when the British decision to quit in June 1948 was about to be announced. Up to then, Indian Armed Forces were regarded as an appendage of the British Armed Forces and few secrets were withheld. Since then, few pamphlets and no papers on future development of

equipment and warfare generally are coming in. Even the USA has been asked not to pass American military intelligence to India since the present Indian military attache assumed office in Washington.

5. It is suggested, therefore, that in each directorate of the Supreme Commander's staff, the director, who could be British, should be assisted by Indian and Pakistani officers. If possible for purposes of division, the British Director and the Indian and Pakistani officers should constitute a committee for the detailed division of stores, equipment, files, intelligence, schools and other establishments. This principle has been followed in the Armed Forces Reconstitution Committee and in all civil departments. It should be continued for the detailed implementation of the decisions of the Joint Defence Council.

#### THE SUPREME COMMANDER'S HEADQUARTERS

1. Unlike civil departments, the present Armed Forces Headquarters are not being reconstituted into Naval, Army and Air HQs. for India and Pakistan. New Naval, Army and Air HQs. are being set up for each dominion and the present AFHQ being retained to implement the decisions of the Joint Defence Council and to administer the Armed Forces of the two dominions until reconstitution is complete.

2. Apart from creating more senior appointments for British officers, thus enabling them to retire on a higher pension than they would otherwise have done, this organisation will mean a tremendous and unnecessary drain on the finances of the two dominions. There is no reason why Pakistan Defence Headquarters should not be created out of the present AFHQ and Northern Command Staffs. Also, it is essential that division of Armed Forces assets (stores, equipment, schools, centres and other establishments) is not entrusted to non-Indian and non-Pakistani hands. The C-in-C's (Supreme Commander's) senior British Staff would largely be used as chairman of boards of Indian and Pakistani officers to carry out this division. The administration and supply of the forces of the two dominions does not require a large headquarters, the size of the present GHQ.

(2)

#### FUNDAMENTAL DEFECTS

The whole scheme prepared by the Chiefs of the Staff Committee for the reconstitution of the Armed Forces in India has certain fundamental defects. In the first place, it makes no allowance for the decision that choice to serve in Pakistan or India would be purely voluntary. Nor does it take into account the decision that the disposition of the Army on 15 August should be fixed with due regard to the necessity of having in respective areas most

of the personnel which would ultimately be subject to the orders of the Dominion Government concerned. Apart from this, there is a major defect in the plan, since it has been assumed that the existing animosity between the tribal areas and India would continue. As far as I know, the Muslim League believes that, with the establishment of Pakistan, a relationship based on amity would grow up between the tribal areas and the settled districts, and it is therefore unreasonable for the Chiefs of Staff so to dispose of the Army as to take away a major portion of the Indian Army to the Frontier. Further, in the matter of mutual assistance, which is one of the basic assumptions on which the plan is based, it is not necessary that a portion of our Army should be bodily removed and posted into the Pakistan area. Should at any time the Pakistan Government feel that its own forces are inadequate to deal with the situation, it could ask for aid from India, and I have no doubt that under suitable conditions such aid would be forthcoming.

The whole scheme, therefore, requires reconsideration on this basis. The policing of the Frontier must be done by Pakistan forces, though under mutual agreement we could keep a substantial part of our Army within striking distance in case of need. For this purpose, the cantonments of Ambala and Jullundur, and not Rawalpindi and other cantonments in the NWFP, would be suitable. The strength of the Pakistan forces can be settled by the Pakistan Government or those who can speak on their behalf at present on the basis of their territorial and strategic needs. They should have nothing to do with the Army of India except insofar as an agreed mutual assistance pact might necessitate. The allocation of 97 major units to India for her normal needs could be accepted. In addition, we should have 30 units stationed in Eastern Punjab to deal with possible worsening of the situation either on the Frontier or in Western Punjab. This would leave 52 units for Pakistan, which should be sufficient for its needs under the altered conditions and which perhaps is the maximum its economy could afford.

As regards aircraft, the same considerations as have been mentioned above apply. I do not think it will be fair to India to give her anything less than seven Air Force units, leaving three for Pakistan, though on a voluntary basis it is doubtful if Pakistan would be able to get even as many as three units. However, that could be the target for the actual allocation, and Pakistan will have to find out ways and means of supplementing it.

(3)

### DIVISION OF ARMED FORCES

1. Brig. Cariappa, I understand, has submitted a top secret document proposing a scheme of joint defence with Pakistan. I have not seen this document but his views are known. These were given publicity by the Dawn last week in what it said was an "interview" with the Brig. He may hold these views sincerely and is entitled to express them to our leaders. It so happens that some such views are also held by senior British officers, including the Supreme Commander. The point of importance is that this is a matter of high policy and unless it is approved by our Government, no one, and certainly not the soldiers, is entitled to express them in public. Further, such views held by soldiers are apt to influence vital decisions such as are now under consideration.
2. It is obvious that the general scheme of division of armed forces approved by the Partition Council and now being enforced, is breaking up the homogeneity of every unit and regiment. The final picture of units, when reformed, will be Muslim on the Pakistan side and non-Muslim with a sprinkling of Muslims on our side. In the context of communal relations and to the extent to which Pakistan develops its Islamic role, there is little hope in the foreseeable future of any friendly relations being developed in the two Armed Forces. This is unfortunate; it would nevertheless be folly to ignore it. In the re-building up of the homogeneity of disrupted units, care has to be taken not to force — at least at this stage — the "reconciliation" of irreconcilables.
3. The British claim to have preserved the unity of Armed Forces even in recent months when communal relation were at their worst, and we have publicly commended this. They did this by completely isolating the Forces from public opinion. That is how they also used them against national interests. The picture is now completely changed. A people's Armed Force must necessarily reflect public opinion and the Forces can now no longer be isolated.
4. More necessary than the homogeneity of individual units is the absolute necessity of having a "balanced" Force. This "balance" covers, in the first place, the sum-total of all units, branches and formations on land and sea and air and secondly the capacity of production in civil life with which the Armed Forces are fed. It is noteworthy that although the British gave us an excellent fighting machine, they never developed its "balance." Two analogies will make this clear. (a) In Land Forces, our armies have proved second to none. On the technical side, however, these forces remained dependent on

British and foreign equipment. (b) We have ten Air Squadrons which we were told were completely Indianised. On closer examination we found that even these were ineffective because the entire maintenance side was wholly British. The result of this policy is not only that we have to depend on British supplies even now; but more unfortunate we have to retain British personnel. I submit that if we are not careful here and now and let the Supreme Commander, British officers and even senior Indians imbued with old ideas, fashion our units or the armed force as a whole on the old or near-old basis, we shall have on our hands—and the danger may become apparent after some years—a force dependent not only on the British or other foreign Power but even on Pakistan. A lacuna in our planning now will be hard to fill for years.

5. It is said that the Supreme Commander's powers have been severely limited and he is concerned merely with the business of division of Forces. This is far from true. The GHQ is now busy (has been for months) planning the post-war Armed Forces, so called. The entire scheme bears the Supreme Commander's personal impress. What is worse, no one—not even the senior Indian officers in almost all cases—knows how actually the various branches are being transformed. Only last week, the Military Engineers Directorate prepared a compact plan for post-war Directorate somewhat as follows:

Director-Colonel

Dy. Directors—two Lt. Colonels

Staff—four Majors and eight Captains. This meant the elimination of several British officers holding senior posts. They are said to have gone up (presumably to the C-in-C's Committee), with the result that the Directorate will now consist of almost as at present:

Director—Brig.

Dy. Directors—two Colonels

Staff—four Lt. Colonels, eight Majors etc.

Quite apart from overhead heavy expense, this means the retention of a larger number of British officers as this branch has only a few junior Indian officers.

Instances can be multiplied. But they work behind closed doors. They base their plans on "budget provisions" and claim that no one, not even the Defence Member, can interfere in working out details. The new C-in-C India is a British General. In theory he will make up his own GHQ. In practice he will find his work more or less done by the staff of the present Supreme Commander, who is a power to be reckoned with by anyone. Further, the Supreme Commander claims that all British officers, even after they are allotted to the two dominions, will be his charge for promotions or removal. If this is so, the more the British officers on our side (and they will hold command and other important jobs) the bigger the influence of the Supreme Commander. I submit that as this Supreme Commander and his British Generals have preconceived ideas—ideas that kept our Forces dependent on



Britain, ideas that kept the Indians out of key jobs (these still being relentlessly pursued), ideas that though divided, the two Forces of India and Pakistan must in fact operate as one—and to trust his schemes and plans and to let him propose policy and work out its details as he will do, is to trust our future to alien hands. I submit that there is enough reason to fear that the Supreme Commander and his Generals will interfere or intervene even when the C-in-C India takes over charge, particularly as all top jobs for the time in the new GHQ will also be in British hands.

6. The Supreme Commander functions through his daily committees or conferences. The same method will be adopted by C-in-C India. In these committees, there is not a single Indian. The Defence Secretary is admitted. So far he has been a Britisher. The other day, when division work was being discussed, Defence Secretary Dundas was present. He has opted for Pakistan and has been made Pakistan Defence Secretary. An Indian went from our side. The Supreme Commander first said he would recognise only Dundas. He changed immediately when it was pointed out that the matters for discussion related to division. Our Indian, however, came out with the impression that not all was put on the table. Secretary Dundas who goes on other side is fully posted. We have no comparable man who knows even half as much. From the technical point of view, as we have had no Indian General, all senior Indian officers are completely out of the picture in so far as working out of detailed plans is concerned. It is in working out these plans that we might well be landed in difficulties. I submit that the situation should be remedied immediately. The Supreme Commander has refused to promote senior Indians by one pretext or another. We should not be content in laying down policy. Our own Generals should see to its implementation from now on.

7. It is axiomatic that British Generals are all pro-Muslim and now pro-Pakistan. In para 6, I submitted that the Supreme Commander functions through his committees. His private secretary is a Muslim. He attends all committees, though not as a member. The non-Army man who also attends has been the financial adviser, also a Muslim. How much the Pakistan side has exploited the situation can be illustrated by the general impression that in the partition Army sub-committees, the Muslim officers, though of far less calibre and experience, have been far better equipped with information and are more "aggressive" than our-side officers. The army sub-committee, I understand, was constituted from the panel given by the Supreme Commander. Our men are first rate; the general fear is that they are not aggressive enough to "fight" for India's rights. I submit that when the panel was submitted, the Supreme Commander saw to it that the names of sterner type of non-Muslim Indian officers were omitted. In any event, even now men like Brig. Hiralal Atal and Lt. Col. Kaul should check up on what has happened or what is still to be done. All is not going well with the working out of detailed plans. Pakistan

officers ask for and get help from all sides without qualms. Our men do not work so hard and are more concerned with keeping top secrets even where they are incapable of doing the particular job themselves.

8. A situation is now arising which is fraught with many difficult implications. The Supreme Commander insists that he and his partition staff (which he says must consist of almost the entire present GHQ staff) must remain in South Block. His argument is that if partition has to be swiftly done, he must have all those with him who are conversant with facts. This is plausible enough. The necessary corollary of this is that the C-in-C India when building up his staff will have to draw on officers who may not have GHQ experience. We are short of senior Indian officers. The result of this design of the Supreme Commander will mean: (a) a large concentration in Delhi, (b) retention of a much larger number of Britishers, (c) fewer Indians will be available for command of our Armed Units; thus many more regiments will have British Commanders. I submit that if, as explained above, we have British officers in key jobs and British officers in command ranks during the crucial period when Units are being reformed, we stand in danger (a) of our Units being malformed and (b) which is worse, the importance of "balance" in the final build-up being lost or ignored. It is not safe to let this aspect of details being left in British or even predominantly British hands. I submit that the Supreme Commander's present design to retain a large staff for the purposes of partition even for a short period is not only to prolong the stay of British personnel in India but to undermine the prospects of building up a self-contained and balanced Force on our side.

9. The plan till late last week was that the C-in-C India and his staff will not be housed in South Block. This is mixed up with the fear of British Generals that on August 15, GHQ will also fly the National Tricolour, which they do not want. I have it from the most reliable source that the Generals hold that as they are doing partition, GHQ shall fly both the Tricolour and the League flag—if any flag other than the Union Jack flies there. This is derogatory. Our officers are already concerned about it. The Pakistan flag must not fly over our Headquarters. We should insist (a) that the Supreme Commander need not have the entire GHQ staff quarters for his partition staff, (b) that our C-in-C must be located in GHQ South Block.

10. The Supreme Commander need not have the entire GHQ staff for his partition work. He will of course agree to thin it down. British officers are however still being kept on. A case in point is flagrant. A British Brigadier was due to retire last February (General Staff Duties). Normally he should have been asked to proceed on leave. He has stayed on in his post on the plea that he cannot get a passage. He got a passage for 18 July. An Indian was to take his place. This passage has now been postponed to August 3. The Indian is still deprived of the job and his rank. He is staying on, I understand, because

having remained in employ after February, he will get an enhanced rate of pension. Such instances can be multiplied. No one is allowed to pry into these "details." No one can interfere. The fact is that for the purposes of division of Armed Forces, the Supreme Commander need not keep any of the following:

Chief of the General Staff (British Lt. General)  
Adjutant General (do) (do)  
Quarter Master General (Major General)  
Military Secretary (Major General)

and at least 10 of the remaining Generals, all British. If we create ten Indian Generals immediately, they can within a fortnight handle the entire administrative side of the details of division. For technical services and branches, our Indian officers will perhaps need one to three months to pick up current duties and organise division. It follows that if the Supreme Commander is forced to reduce his staff, (a) we will get rid of the British element sooner, (b) we will have Indian officers checking up details of division and (c) we will provide facilities to the C-in-C India to employ a larger number of trained senior Indians in the new India GHQ. The Supreme Commander will not do this willingly. He must have a directive from the Defence Member or the Partition Council. I submit no time should be lost in creating Indian Generals and promoting other competent senior Indian officers to handle essential duties. The list or panel of names should not be made by the Supreme Commander. A selected number of Indian officers should be asked to furnish this list.

11. According to the present plan, I understand our new GHQ is to have some 350 officers with a staff exceeding 3,000. The plan is fantastic as compared with pre-war figures of less than 200 officers and 1,500 staff. The figures are being deliberately bloated to justify (a) that sufficient Indians are not available and (b) the retention of a larger number of Britishers. The pre-war Armed Force (Land) was 2,35,000 more or less. Of course there was no navy and a very small air force. But though post-war land force optimum is not known, the pre-budget target in March was 2,10,000, for all India. It is true we shall have on our hands some 3,00,000 men after division. If our policy remains as it was in March, we shall certainly not have a land force of more than 1,50,000. We shall probably have a bigger air force than at present (ten squadrons) but that not at once. Thus there is no justification for 350 officers in the new GHQ. Demobilisation work can be done by an additional and temporary wing of GHQ. Even this will not require a big strength. Indeed, there is no need to set up the complete new GHQ at once. The new C-in-C should have a skeleton staff of senior Indian officers (new Generals) and this staff should be instructed to stand by and keep including officers and men as and when they are released by the Supreme Commander from

division duties. A plan rapidly worked out on these lines must be laid down at once for the direction of the Supreme Commander and the C-in-C in India. Side by side, the C-in-C and Indian Generals should draw up a plan of posting senior Indians in command ranks where possible immediately within the next six months. This way (a) there will be no undue concentration of military officers in Delhi, (b) the British officers will be eliminated progressively at a more rapid rate and (c) the C-in-C India will have his own portion of South Block, where he must remain from the day he takes over charge. Incidentally, a lot of money now being wasted in reconditioning an old Hutment Line will be saved. The entire proposition that the C-in-C India should not be in South Block and of his staff is a racket creating resentment everywhere. If his proposed staff is cut down, and it can be, there will more money saved for either a bigger post-war strength or for general revenues.

12. An immediate check-up is necessary of the few Indians whom the Supreme Commander placed in various branches, especially in the Military Secretary's branch, various sections in the Adjutant General's office and in some area commands. Some of our first class men have been shunted out. Men who are in important places are those who have acted as aliens or promoted the interests of their favourites. None of these officers should be retained in key jobs hereafter. A team of senior Indian officers should be asked to draw up a list of efficient and trusted men who must occupy key jobs and important places in GHQ, in area commands and in regiments. The appointments must be made by the Defence Member or approved by him. This team should include our men from every branch. Its next job should be to advise the Army Partition sub-committee on the details of partition. There is grave danger at present of our being let down in details. If senior enough Indians are not available in some branches, the best available material should be utilised to avoid blundering. Our side is weak, definitely weak. Too much must not be made of "top secrets." Junior men who are efficient can and must be trusted to give aid.

28-7-1947

## APPENDIX TWO

### (AN OFFICER'S NOTE ON IMPLICATIONS OF PARTITION)

This note raises a fundamental issue of considerable importance and it is important to enunciate in the form of a series of statements what exactly is understood by us to be the basis and implications of partition.

- (1) It is the Muslim League which wanted partition.
- (2) The responsibility for setting up a separate Government is therefore primarily that of the League and not of the Government of India.

(3) At the same time it should be agreed readily that every reasonable facility should be provided to the League for this purpose.

(4) That however should not be at the expense of the rest of India. Thus if for the purpose of putting up new buildings extra allotments of steel and cement are required it is obvious that they can only be made at the expense of the provincial quotas. In such a case it would scarcely be unreasonable to suggest that the League should draw to the maximum extent on the quotas due to the Pakistan area in the first instance and if more is still required it can be considered whether that quantity can be spared without undue hardship to the provinces in the rest of India.

(5) In regard to an item such as the removal of a press, clearly we could only agree to it, if we had a surplus capacity. Actually we ourselves are having quite 50 per cent of our printing work done through private presses. Surely the Sind Government could arrange to requisition, if necessary, the printing press capacity for the use of the Pakistan Government.

Similarly, in regard to telephones the position is well known to be one of acute shortage, and waiting lists running into hundreds exist in all the principal cities.

If it were merely a case of making available the services of officers and staff which can be spared temporarily, this could perhaps be done. But we are asked for something more—wholesale diversion of physical resources, transplantation of fixed assets, etc.

## INDEX

- ABELL, G.E.B.**, writes to V. Shankar that Royal Ascent will be given to Indian Independence Bill on 18-7-'47, 188-89
- Ahmed, Sir Sultan**, 61
- AICC**, 1-3
- Akhand Hindustan**, 7
- Alexander, Horace**, 273
- Aligarh University**, Pakistan sending recruiting officers to, 426-27; warned by Indian Government, 425, 430
- Ali, Mohammad**, 183, 209-10, 512
- All-India Hindi Sahitya Sammelan**, 62
- All-India Newspaper Editors' Conference**, 418
- All-India Radio**, 23, 68, 69, 74, 75, 77, 79, 81, 86-88; its Hindi Urdu Advisory Committee, 60, 75, 76, 78; its language policy, 60-89; its lexicon, 61; its Standing Advisory Committee, 71
- All-India Services**, 142
- All-India Trade Union Congress**, 107, 108
- Ambedkar, Dr. B. R.**, 536
- Ambekar**, 99, 100
- Aney, M. S.**, agrees to become Bihar's Governor, 411
- Anjuman-e-Taraqqi-e-Urdu**, 62, 71
- Anti-Partition Day**, 116
- Appeal**, by Gandhiji & Jinnah, 22-23
- Appointments Committees**, 474-82, 485
- Arbitration Tribunal**, 197, 203, 210
- Armed Forces**, 510, 513
- Army**, its strength in India & Pakistan, 420
- Assam**, 2, 24, 31, 35; its Ministry, 150-51
- Assets & Liabilities Committee Report**, 197
- Attlee, Major**, 184-86, 499-500, 502
- Auchinleck, Sir Claude**, 290
- Award**, regarding boundaries, 17
- Ayyangar, Gopalaswami**, 272, 306
- Ayyar, A. Krishnaswami**, 206
- Azad, Abul Kalam**, 30, 73-74, 81-82, 367, 368, 370, 420, 422, 540
- Azad Pakistan**, 37
- BALDEV SINGH, SARDAR**, 19, 110, 112, 201-02
- Bardoloi, Gopinath**, 194
- Bengal**, 30, 36, 41, 42, 43, 55; its Muslim League, 53; its partition, 38-40, 45-46, 54, 56, 59
- Bestiality**, 24
- Bharatpur**, 425
- Bhargava, Dr. Gopichand**, 94, 104-05, 152, 217, 329, 331, 343-44, 516, 517
- Bhatnagar, S. S.**, 104-05
- Bhide, M. R.**, as senior officer for Refugee organization, 246
- Bihar**, its Governor's letter to Viceroy, 9
- Birla, B. M.**, 56, 220-22
- Bokhari, Director-General of AIR**, 71
- Bombay European Textile Association**, 98

- Bose, Sarat Chandra, 39-40, 42, 45-49, 57-58  
 Boundaries, between Hindustan & Pakistan, 177  
 Boundary Commission, 53, 59, 141, 174-75, 178-79, 201, 325  
 Bozman, G. S., 498, 499  
 British diplomacy, 43  
 British officers, 186-87, 512, 529  
 Bucher, General, 529  
  
 CABINET MISSION PLAN, 3, 7, 30, 33-35, 40, 65-66, 112  
 Cabinet, new, 487, 534  
 Calcutta killing, 38, 51  
 Cariappa, General, 430  
 Censorship, of Press messages, 286  
 Central Board, of Directors of Reserve Bank, 101  
 Central Excise Department, 181  
 Central Government, 508, 509  
 Central Ordinance, 14  
 Central Pay Commission, 532  
 Chagla, Justice, 207  
 Chamanlal, Dewan, 195  
 Chandra, C. N., 246  
 Chetty, Shanmukham, 216, 442-43, 536  
 Chhatari, Nawab of—, 434-35  
 Chimni, Brigadier, 306, 307, 321  
 Chittagong Hill Tracts, 174-75, 177, 179  
 Civil Aviation Department, 180  
 Colville, Sir John, 17-19, 508-09  
 Commander-in-Chief, 512, 515, 516, 517  
 Commonwealth Relations Department, 198  
 Communal disturbances, in Punjab, 11-12, 145  
 Communal grievance, 65, 144  
 Communist Party, 51, 94, 107, 108  
 Compensation, for Secretary of State's Officers, 159-60  
 Congress (Indian National), 2, 4, 7, 31, 33, 38, 44, 45, 50, 182-83  
 Congress Central Relief Committee, 273  
 Congress High Command, 43, 50, 51  
 Congress Ministries, 8  
 Congress Objectives, AICC Resolution on, 550-51  
 Congress Working Committee, 49  
 Constituent Assembly, 2, 7, 8, 31, 49, 53, 58, 71, 113, 175, 326, 329  
 Cripps, Sir Stafford, 31, 34; asserts to Sardar Patel that the Sardar is terribly precious to India in those last final months, 156  
 Curtis, General, 423  
  
 DALAL, M. N., 103  
 Dasgupta, Satish Chandra, 222  
 Davis, Godfrey, 492-94  
 Dawn, 1, 6, 13, 26-28, 84-85, 87-89, 146-49, 233-35, 389-90, 392-93, 417, 532  
 Defence activities & industrial potential, their expansion, 104, 105  
 Defence, Council, 114  
 Delegations, 487  
 Deo, Shankarrao, 96-98  
 Deputy Superintendent of Police, reports W. Punjab is completely in hands of Muslim goondas, 278-79  
 Desai, Khandubhai, 93, 94, 95, 102  
 Desai, Morarji, 416-19  
 Direct action, exhortation to—fits ill with Gandhi-Jinnah appeal, 27  
 Dominion Status, 113-14, 141, 142, 512  
 Draft Communique, on reconstitution of government, 188

**EAST PUNJAB GOVERNMENT,**  
 distributes arms to their people, 517  
 Electricity Department, 144  
 Employers' Federation of India, 109  
 Evacuees, treatment of property left  
 behind by—, 215, 321  
 External affairs, 474-509

**FEDERAL COURT, 2**  
 Federal Service Commission, 84  
 Finance Department, 180-81  
 Flag hoisting, 153-54  
 Food crisis, in India, 322  
 Foreign industrial concerns, 92  
 Foreign Service, of diplomatic, consu-  
 lar & commercial appointments,  
 483  
 Freedom of Speech, 28, 30  
 Friends Service Unit, 273

**GADGIL, N. V., 536**  
 Gandhiji, *passim*; his Noakhali mission,  
 219-308; tries to establish com-  
 munal peace in Bihar, 24; instructs  
 Sardar not to undertake any  
 financial responsibility regarding  
 Noakhali, 220, 221; writes to  
 Suhrawardy, Gill & his workers  
 can work in Noakhali only if you  
 approve of his work & subscribe  
 to his financial appeal, 225  
 General delegations, 489  
 Germany, its chemical industry, 92  
 Ghosh, Dr. Prafulla Chandra, 166-67  
 Ghosh, Sudhir, Public Relations  
 Officer, 156, 451, 454-59, 466-71;  
 his episode, 451-73  
 Gill, Niranjan Singh, 219-22, 228  
 Government of India, directions for  
 administrative improvement, 541-  
 42

Grouping, 31, 33  
 Gujarati Papers, 415-16  
 Gurdwaras, 331

**HAMIDULLAH, Nawab of Bhopal,**  
 374-80  
 Hashmi, Maulana, 71  
 Henderson, Arthur, 158  
 Hindi, 69, 70, 73; —Urdu question, 66  
 Hindu & Sikh refugees, 309  
 Hindu Mahasabha, 45, 46, 50  
 Hindus, burnt & butchered in Punjab,  
 15-16  
 Hindus & Sikhs, in NWFP, their  
 number in different districts, 276,  
 336  
 Hindustan Mazdoor Sevak Sangh 93,  
 95-98  
 Hindustan Times, 13, 87, 417, 532  
 Hindustani, 61, 69, 70-72, 77  
 Hindustani Prachar Sabha, 62  
 His Majesty's Government, 112; its  
 statement, 126-31  
 House of Commons, 33  
 House of Lords, 32  
 Husain, Dr. Zakir, 61, 306, 403, 404  
 Hussain, Zahid, High Commissioner  
 for Pakistan in India, 217, 355  
 Hydari, Sir Akbar, 61

**IMAM, SYED HUSAIN, 61**  
 Income-tax Department, 181  
 India, Dominion of—should take  
 over full responsibility for present  
 National Debt, 202-03; her  
 independence, 529; its Congress  
 agrees to her partition, 325; is  
 short of metallurgical, chemical,  
 electrical & machine building  
 industries, 91-93  
 Indian Army, its expansion, 104



- Indian culture, 70  
 Indian Government, should lay down its economic, industrial & labour policy, 549  
 Indian management of industries, 93  
 Indian music, 81-82  
 Indian National Trade Union Congress, 107  
 Indian States, 113, 551  
 Indian Union, 112, 512  
 Industrial development, 90-109  
 Industrialist, prominent, his proposals regarding future industrial progress, 91-93  
 Information & Broadcasting Department, 65, 91  
 Interim Government, 8, 70, 87, 156  
 Irrigation Department, 142, 144  
 Irwin, Stephen 514  
 Ismay, Lord, 114, 499-502
- JAGJIVAN RAM, 57**  
 Jairamdas Doulatram, 540; on worsening Sind situation, 371-73  
 Jamnadas Dwarkadas, 419  
 Jenkins, Evan, Governor of Punjab, 241  
 Jinnah, 36, 40, 53, 54, 87, 88, 110, 112, 113-15, 141, 148, 149, 177, 204, 209, 234, 394, 435; his signature to the appeal is a mockery, 24; made a partisan & propagandist broadcast, 123-25, met Gandhiji & discussed political matters, 151  
 Joint Defence Council, 515-16
- KANIA, Chief Justice, 207-08**  
 Karachi dockyard, its Hindu employees are anxious to come over to Indian Dominion, 415  
 Kasturba Workers, 273
- Kaur, Amrit, 280, 281, 446-47, 536, 539, 549  
 Khan, Ghazanfar Ali, 6-8, 25, 67-71, 76-79, 200  
 Khan, Liaquat Ali, 5-8, 25, 65, 68, 73-77, 87, 110-12, 141, 248, 251, 311-12, 333, 334, 419-20, 496, 497  
 Khan Sahib, Dr., 115, 229  
 Khanna Mehrchand, 49, 50, 85-86; requests Sardar for financial help to NWFP., 230-32  
 Khaksar prisoners, 368  
 Kher, Balasaheb, 99-100, 523  
 Khizr Ministry, 14  
 Kidwai, Rafi Ahmed, 355, 356, 536  
 Kripalani, Acharya, 151, 169, 170  
 Kripalani, Mrs. Sucheta, 273
- LAW & ORDER, 322**  
 League members, 146, 392-93  
 Legislative Assembly, 77, 86
- MAHTAB H. K., 166**  
 Malaviya, Govind, suggests to Sardar all Muslims without reservation or exception be asked to move on to their own area, 412  
 Mamdot, Punjab landlord, 143  
 Martial law, 14  
 Master, Tara Singh, 291, 347; gives disturbing news about West & East Punjab, 329-31  
 Matthai, Dr. John, Minister for Transport & Railways, 22, 101, 153, 165, 195, 196, 321-23, 447-49, 521-22  
 Mavalankar, G. V., his letter to Sardar suggesting that his pay may be reduced, 439-40  
 Mazumdar, N. Dutt, 36, 48-49  
 Mehta, Jivraj, 489-91

- Menon, Krishna**, 460-71, 499
- Mendon, V. P.**, note on his conversation with Nehru at Simla, 116-18; writes to Sardar in detail about Mounbatten Plan, 111-16
- Meos**, 337
- Meteorological Department**, 180
- Miles, Vice-Admiral Sir Geoffrey**, 510, 511
- Ministers' salaries**, 439-50
- Minorities, their Committee**, 326; their interests are ignored in Bengal, 38
- Mookerjee, Dr. Syama Prasad**, 103, 106, 169, 414-15, 536; writes to Sardar about Bengal situation, 40-41
- Moplahs**, 149-50
- Mount Abu**, 507-09
- Mounbatten, Edwina**, 269-70, 553
- Mounbatten, Lord**, 18, 22, 88-89, 141, 160, 162, 163, 165, 182-83, 245, 284-85, 485, 501, 502, 551, 554-56; his broadcast, 118-20; his meeting with Sardar, Nehru & Kripalani, 133-34; his meeting with seven Indian leaders, 134-36; his note, 131-32; invites Sardar & other leaders to discuss his new plan for transferring authority to Indian hands by June 1948, 110; on appointment of Boundary Commission, 171-72; on compensation for services, 161; on question of grouping, 35; writes to Sardar regarding some matters of the Interim Government, 189-92
- Mounbatten Plan, new**, 110-70
- Munshi, K. M.**, 548
- Muslim League**, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 33, 36, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 50, 51, 53-55, 57, 60, 63, 70, 79, 87-88, 114-16, 147-49, 182-83, 218, 230, 333, 487; attempts to capture provinces of Assam, NWFP & Punjab 24; its ministry, 8; launches 'Direct Action', 23; spent fabulous sums to purchase Hindu votes, 57
- Muslim National Guards**, 330
- Muslim refugees**, 267
- Muslims**, 7, 8, 15-16, 37, 63, 68-71, 141, 142
- NANDA, GULZARILAL**, 93-96, 98-99, 107-09
- Narang, Syed Ghulam Bhik**, 86-88
- National Flag**, 155
- National Trade Union Congress**, 98; *see* Hindustan Mazdoor Sevak Sangh
- Nationalisation**, 529
- Nayyar, Suahila**, 249, 250
- Nehru, Pandit Jawaharlal**, *passim*; asserts Press is not helpful & spreading news about Punjab in one-sided way, 291; forms new Cabinet, 533-57; his broadcast, 121-23; his message to all British officers, 530-31; his note on Delhi disturbances, 341-43; his note on Ministers' salaries, 444-46; informs Liaquat Ali Khan details of arrangement he has made for Punjab refugees, 248-49; says Sardar Patel is strongest pillar of Cabinet, 537; suggests Cabinet Committees for appointments for service both in India & abroad, 478; suggests opening refugee camps for Hindus & Sikhs, 357; suggests to Rajaji to have prepared a brief report on Industrial position & its possible development, 90-91; suggests reduction of military & police guards posted at his residence,

449; urges upon Liaquat Ali Khan immediate protection of Punjab refugees, 247; writes to Sardar about administrative deficiencies, 404-06; writes to Sardar for making arrangement for money for refugees, 309; writes to Sardar regarding disposal of stores, raw materials etc. belonging to non-Muslims, 213-14

Neogy, K. C., 37-40

Nishtar, Sardar Abdur Rab, 25, 87, 146, 147

Noakhali incidents, 51

NWFP, 2, 31, 38, 50, 173

ORDINANCES, two, 18, 19

Outrages, on ladies, 381-83

Overseas broadcast, of AIR in Arabic, Persian & English, 82

PAKISTAN, 7, 15, 27, 36, 37, 39, 42, 45, 54, 58, 112-15, 141-42, 180-81, 195-96, 202, 204, 330, 368, 412-13, 423-24, 426-29, 499, 512, 515, 527, 528, 530

Pakistan army, 423

Pakistan Government, 196, 289-90, 306-07, 339

Pant, Govind Ballabh, 291, 423-26, 518

Paramountcy, 508, 509

Partition, 112, 113, 114; of Bengal & Punjab, 147

Partition Council, 132, 181, 196-99, 203, 209, 210, 414, 419-21, 512, 513, 514, 567-68

Partition Plan, 141-43

Patel, H. M., 183, 193-94, 197-99, 209-12, 534

Patel, Maniben, 232-33

Patel, Sardar Vallabhbhai, *passim*; accepts gratefully Her Excellency Edwina Mountbatten's offer for relief work, 245; agrees to consult Provincial Governments on AIR language problem, 78; answers Syed Ghulam Bhik Narang regarding his complaints, 86-88; approves of suggestion to establish factory for manufacture of arms, ammunitions, flash-lights & flash-light cells, 103; asks Home Department to declare a paid holiday for Factory employees on 15th August 1947, 100; asks Morarji Desai to do away with Pathan & Muslim employees of Bombay dockyard, 415; asserts Bengal has to be partitioned, if non-Muslim population is to survive, 43; asserts Bengal State exists for all, irrespective of caste & creed, 57; asserts Bengal will have to be divided between East & West, 55; asserts Cabinet approval should be obtained both for 'General' & 'specialist' delegations going abroad, 489; asserts creation of Muslim pockets would be directly contrary to our policy, 364; asserts 80% of Indian Muslims are living in peace, 350-51; asserts Muslim personnel of the service are thoroughly disloyal to Indian Government, 413; asserts only way of saving Hindus of Bengal is to insist on partition of Bengal, 39; asserts question of Hindi & Urdu should be left for departmental decision, 65-67; asserts situation in E.&W. Punjab is very serious, 314-17; asserts there is tendency to overman delegations going

abroad, 487; asserts to Lord Mountbatten, Jinnah abused hospitality extended to him by A.I. Radio, 125; asserts welfare of labour lies in non-political approach to their problems 108; assures Pandit Pant Indian Government would take suitable action against Aligarh University, if necessary, 430; concurs with Pandit Jawaharlal's suggestion that military & police guards at his residence should be reduced, 449-50; congratulates Sir Cripps & his colleagues for bold & courageous step of withdrawal from India, 156; does not agree to further guarantees regarding existing pay scales & conditions of service, 166; donates Rs. two lakhs to Edwina Mountbatten for United Council for relief & welfare, 274; emphasises civil power is inactive & martial law is inevitable in Punjab, 21; emphasises reference of AIR language question to extraneous considerations would result in utter confusion, 79; gives in detail to Lord Mountbatten his replies to enquiries of Dawn, 88-89; gives list of appointments which seem ridiculous, 486; his memoranda on reconstruction of armed forces on eve of partition, 559-67; his message to Ahmedabad Majur Mahajan, 103; his message to newspapers, 25; his press interview, 37; his reply to Chetty's letter regarding Ministers' salary, 443-44; informs Lord Wavell that substantial measure of agreement for his proposals among Provincial Governments is

seen, 79-81; informs Lord Wavell that taxation proposals cannot be said to have been approved of by Cabinet, 534-35; informs Mehrchand Khanna of the existence of 'Radio Pakistan', 85; informs Sardar Baldev Singh that substantial pockets of Muslims are being created in Calcutta, 17; informs Shanmukham Chetty that Cabinet has decided no payment should be made to Pakistan until Kashmir question has been settled, 217; informs Sir Stafford of the final declaration of HMG fixing a date for complete withdrawal from India, 155; insists official policy of Congress should have united support, 46; maintains in future representation of non-Muslims in these appointments should be adequate 485; maintains Muslim League has not accepted announcement as a settlement & has further claims to make, 149; Muslims are afraid of—, 141; on Dawn's propaganda regarding Boundary Commission, 172-73; on question of British & Indian officers who are being relieved, 546-47; on recommendations of AIR Hindi-Urdu Standing Advisory Committee, 60-63; opines League is preparing to resist partition of Bengal & Punjab, 388-89; opines our Railways should, before 15-8-1947, be completely manned by staff who have opted for India, 196; pleads representation of India on Joint Defence Council should be strengthened by additional minister, 515; pleads with Lord Ismay that we should bury

past & enter upon entirely new relationship with England, 501; presents some points to Gopalaswami Ayyangar for presenting to Pakistan Government for solution, 307-08; refutes allegation that interference by ministers & their parties in administration is too common, 29; represents Hindustani case, 71-73; sends Rs. 50,000 to Khandubhai Desai with instructions regarding organisation of Indian National Trade Union, 94-96; solves difficulty of Sir Godfrey Davis, 495; stops Randhawa from making any speech in a public meeting, 301; suggests Cabinet should discuss whether prohibition should be introduced in Delhi, 450; suggests Delhi must have first priority in matter of evacuation of Muslim refugees, 280; suggests formation of an organisation parallel to Communist Party as genuine Trade Union Congress, 94; suggests instructions should be issued to Provincial Governments to disarm Muslim element in army, 518; suggests Justice H. J. Kania for Arbitration Tribunal, 205; suggests matter of protection of shrines & books should be taken up with Pakistan ministers, 360; suggests numerous administrative arrangements as a consequence of Partition, 180-81; suggests sterner measures in affected parts of Punjab, 20-21; suggests territories, whose lease would soon expire, should be put under jurisdiction of Central

Government, 507; suggests to Balasaheb Kher to have legislation prohibiting sale of immovable property, 432; suggests to Gandhiji to persuade Horace Alexander to send a unit to E. Punjab, 309-10; suggests to Nehru to request Lord Mountbatten to be present at wedding of Her Royal Highness Princess Elizabeth on behalf of India, 502; suggests to Neogy to include mills & factories of non-Muslims left in Pakistan in agenda, 215; suggests to Lord Wavell to have discussed AIR language policy in Cabinet, 75; suggests to Sardar Baldev Singh to seek Cabinet orders for defence of frontiers, 516; suggests to Sardar Baldev Singh to start territorial organisation, 526; suggests various ways to lodge refugees, 357-58; suggests ways of solving National Debt question, 202-03; takes up compensation case in Cabinet Committee, 161; urges upon Lord Mountbatten to compel Muslim League to withdraw 'Direct Action', 23; urges upon Lord Wavell to enforce martial law in Punjab, 12; wires to Dr. Gopichand Bhargava to help Bhopal Nawab, 375; writes to Pandit Nehru about terrific state of non-Muslim minorities in Frontier, 310-11; writes to Lord Wavell about Punjab situation, 11-12

Patiala, Maharaja of, 326, 358-59, 401

Patwardhan, P. H., 96, 98

Pethick-Lawrence, 32, 34

- Political Department, 507, 509  
 Population, 517; its transfer, 141  
 Press, its control, 14  
 Property, exchange of, 141  
 Provincial constitution, 35  
 Provincial governments, 5, 76  
 Provincial services, 142  
 Public Debt, 203, 204  
 Punjab disturbances, 14  
 Punjab Government, organizes National Volunteer Corps, 516  
 Punjab situation, 247-64  
 Purushottamdas Thakurdas, Sir, 207  
 Pushto, 70-73  
  
 "QUIT INDIA" movement, 243  
  
 RABI crop, 145  
 Radio, of Pakistan, 85  
 Rahman, Fazlur, 428-29  
 Railway resources, 198, 322  
 Rajagopalachari, C., 84-85, 91, 104, 268, 414-15, 492, 538, 547  
 Rajendra Prasad, 57, 513, 539-40; writes to Sardar Patel regarding Meo refugees, 338  
 Rampur, its Nawab thanks G. B. Pant for timely help, 424  
 Randhawa, M. S., Delhi's Chief Commissioner, 237-38, 293-303  
 Rao, B. G., 43-45  
 Rau, Sir B. N., 177-79, 183  
 Red Shirts, in Peshawar & other places, 230  
 Refugee Ministry, 358  
 Refugees, 219, 245-46, 268, 273, 275, 277, 313, 354, 356, 366, 385, 386; in Punjab in thousands, 16; their evacuation from W. Punjab, 320-21  
 Reorganisation Committee, 547  
  
 Roy, Binoy Kumar, 42, 47  
 Roy, Kiran Shankar, 39, 42, 43  
 RSS, their activities in Delhi, Amritsar & elsewhere, 297-99  
 Ruikar, R. S., 107-09  
  
 SACHAR, BHIM SEN, 143  
 Sampuransingh, Deputy High Commissioner, complains fifty thousand Hindus & Sikhs are daily butchered in Pakistan, 256  
 Scientific research, 92  
 Sen, Surendranath, 50-51  
 Setalvad, 207  
 Shah, Nurhussain, 408  
 Shankar, V., Sardar Patel's private secretary, 102, 199, 487  
 Sharif, Mohammed, 344-45  
 Shri Ram, Sir, 109  
 Shukla, Pandit Ravi Shankar, 518  
 Sikhs, 2, 7, 15-16, 141, 325-27, 330, 332; as sword-arm of India, 327  
 Sikh Gurdwaras, 330  
 Sindi watchmen, in Gujarat & Maharashtra should be asked to leave, 415  
 Sind Premier, his secret circular, 432-33  
 Singh, Kirpal, 407-08  
 Singh Major-General Kulwant, 423  
 Singh, Mangal, 83-84  
 Singh, Sardar Baldev, 348-49, 511-13, 516-19; issues a letter to all provincial Premiers regarding elimination of Muslim element from Special Armed Constabulary, 518-20  
 Singh, Swaran, 329, 331, 516, 517  
 Sinha, Bimal Chandra, 53  
 Sinha, Dhruva, 428  
 Smith, Lt. General Sir Arthur Smith, 510, 511

Socialist group, 98  
 "Sovereign Bengal", 51, 52  
 Spens, Sir Patrick, 209-10, 212-31  
 Sri Prakasa, 91, 255  
 Standing Advisory Committee, 60-65  
 Statement, issued by British Government to the British officers & men serving in India, 186-87  
 Statesman, 14, 87, 417  
 Steering Committee, 209-10  
 Suhrawardy, H. S., 38-40, 54, 58, 167, 220-21, 224, 226  
 Swinburn, Major-General, H. R., 510, 511

TARACHAND, DR., 61  
 Tear-gas, 104-06  
 Textile Association, 98, 99  
 Thimayya, Major-General, Commander, E. Punjab, 313  
 Tiwari, Ramanand, 9, 10  
 Trade Union Congress, 93  
 Transfer of power, 56  
 Tripura State, 175

Trivedi, G. M., 524-25  
 Trivedi, Sir Chandulal, 255

UNITED COUNCIL FOR RELIEF  
 & WELFARE, (UCRW), 269, 272, 273  
 Urdu, 69-73; & Hindi, 65

VELLODI, M. K., Deputy High Commissioner, London, 451  
 Villages, are burnt in Punjab, 15-16, 330

WALMSLEY, AIR MARSHAL H., 510, 511  
 Wavell, Lord, 1-3, 8, 9, 13-16, 74, 146, 474, 533; opines that martial law is not necessary in Punjab, 12-13  
 Women, from Campbellpore, Rawalpindi & Jhelum etc. jumped into wells to save their honour, 15-16

---







